Comparative Activities of the β-Lactamase Inhibitors YTR 830, Clavulanate, and Sulbactam Combined with Ampicillin and Broad-Spectrum Penicillins against Defined β-Lactamase-Producing Aerobic Gram-Negative Bacilli

MICHAEL R. JACOBS,¹* STEPHEN C. ARONOFF,² SHARON JOHENNING,¹ DAVID M. SHLAES,³ and SHIGERU YAMABE⁴

Departments of Pathology¹ and Pediatrics,² School of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University, and Laboratory and Research Services, Veterans Administration Medical Center,³ Cleveland, Ohio 44106, and Division of Chemotherapy, Kobe College Research Institute, Nishinomiya, Japan⁴

Received 23 October 1985/Accepted 11 March 1986

The in vitro synergistic activities of the β -lactamase inhibitors YTR 830, clavulanate, and sulbactam, combined with ampicillin, ticarcillin, mezlocillin, azlocillin, piperacillin, and apalcillin, were determined against 34 strains of members of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, and *Haemophilus influenzae* with characterized plasmid or chromosomal β -lactamases or both. Strains were tested against fixed concentrations of β -lactamase inhibitors (8 µg/ml) combined with doubling dilutions of β -lactams. Synergy was defined as a fourfold or greater decrease in the MIC of the β -lactam. Against *Enterobacteriaceae* producing Richmond and Sykes class III and V plasmid-mediated β -lactamases, synergy was obtained against most strains with YTR 830– and clavulanate– β -lactam combinations, with sulbactam being less effective. Against *Enterobacteriaceae* producing class I chromosomal β -lactamases, combinations containing YTR 830 or sulbactam were more synergistic than combinations containing clavulanate. Against strains producing class V PSE enzymes, all three inhibitors were synergistic with piperacillin and apalcillin against strains producing PSE-1, -3, and -4 enzymes, while the PSE-2-producing strain was resistant to all inhibitors. YTR 830– β -lactam combinations were also synergistic against strains producing the novel β -lactamases OHIO-1, TLE-1, AER-1, and ROB-1. Overall, YTR 830 with piperacillin or apalcillin was the most effective combination.

Inactivation of β -lactams by β -lactamases has been known for almost 40 years, and this problem was predominantly addressed by the development of compounds resistant to the activity of β -lactamases (4). Over the last 10 years, however, attention has been paid to compounds with very little intrinsic activity, but with the ability to irreversibly inhibit β lactamases. Such inhibitors include clavulanic acid, halopenicillanic acids, and penicillanic acid sulfones such as sulbactam and YTR 830 (6).

Clavulanate is the most extensively characterized β lactamase inhibitor and demonstrates activity against βlactamases of Staphylococcus aureus, the gram-negative plasmid-mediated enzymes (TEM, OXA, HMS, SHV, and PSE), and the chromosomal enzymes of Klebsiella spp., Proteus vulgaris, and Bacteroides fragilis (6). Sulbactam is a less potent but broader-spectrum β -lactamase inhibitor, with up to 100-fold less acitivity against class III enzymes and up to 100-fold better activity against class I enzymes than clavulanate (1). Sulbactam does not appear to be a potent inducer of chromosomal B-lactamases (4), whereas clavulanate is (12, 20). YTR 830 is a penicillanic acid sulfone derivative which inhibits the plasmid-encoded β -lactamases of many gram-negative bacilli (2; F. Moosden, J. D. Williams, and S. Yamabe, Proc. 14th Int. Congr. Chemother., abstr. no. S13-13, p. 123, 1985).

With the introduction of clavulanate combined with amoxicillin and ticarcillin for oral and parenteral administration, respectively, β -lactamase inhibitors have been shown

to have a useful place in the therapy of many infections caused by β -lactamase-producing organisms (5, 6, 8). The spectrum of activity of these combinations includes β lactamase-producing strains of *S. aureus*, Haemophilus influenzae, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Klebsiella pneumoniae and some strains of other members of the Enterobacteriaceae family (6, 14).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the activity of YTR 830 against strains of members of the *Enterobacteria-ceae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with defined β -lactamases and to compare this activity with those of two other β -lactamase inhibitors, sulbactam and clavulanate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design. The study was designed to detect synergy of β -lactams with β -lactamase inhibitors, using known defined β -lactamase-producing strains of members of the *Enterobacteriaceae*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, and *H. influenzae*, and to compare the activity of the three β -lactamase inhibitors combined with six β -lactams. The β -lactamase inhibitors were tested in fixed concentrations of 8 µg/ml (2 µg/ml used for the *H. influenzae* strain) to represent achievable serum levels of clavulanate and sulbactam by intravenous administration (3, 19) and to enable optimal β -lactamase inhibitors designed to occur without significant antibacterial activity of the β -lactamase inhibitors alone.

Test strains. Thirty-four strains with characterized plasmid or chromosomal β -lactamases or both were used in this study. Fifteen strains were *Escherichia coli* recipients into

^{*} Corresponding author.

which known β -lactamase-coding plasmids had been transferred by conjugation or transformation (9, 10; D. M. Shlaes, A. A. Medeiros, C. Currie-McCumber, E. Papa, and C. V. Vartian, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., in press). Five strains were P. aeruginosa recipients with minimal chromosomal β -lactamase production in the absence of an inducer (A. A. Medeiros, personal communication) into which PSE and OXA-6 plasmids had been transferred. Eleven strains were wild clinical isolates of Enterobacter, Citrobacter, and Serratia species producing chromosomal β-lactamases and resistant to cefotaxime or moxalactam; seven of these strains also produced plasmid-mediated β -lactamases (11). Two strains were organisms with novel β -lactamases (A. hydrophila AER-1 and H. influenzae ROB-1), kindly provided by A. A. Medeiros (Miriam Hospital, Providence, R.I.) (7, 10). E. coli ATCC 35218 was also included as a known TEM-1 β-lactamase-producing strain. In addition, E. coli ATCC 25922 was included as a negative control. All strains were maintained at -70° C and subcultured in the presence of ampicillin prior to testing.

Antimicrobial agents. Laboratory reference powders of the following agents were used: ampicillin (Bristol Laboratories, Syracuse, N.Y.), ticarcillin (Beecham Laboratories, Bristol, Tenn.), mezlocillin and azlocillin (Miles Laboratories, West Haven, Conn.), piperacillin (Lederle Laboratories, Pearl River, N.Y.), and apalcillin (Sumitomo Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan).

β-Lactamase inhibitors used were YTR 830 (Taiho Pharmaceutical Co., Tokyo, Japan), clavulanic acid (Beecham Laboratories), and sulbactam (Pfizer Inc., New York, N.Y.).

β-Lactamase production. The presence of β-lactamase was tested by the chromogenic cephalosporin method on growth in a β-lactam-containing microdilution well, using nitrocefin (Glaxo Inc., Durham, N.C.) (16). A 25-µl portion of nitrocefin solution (500 µg/ml in 0.05 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.0) was added to the test well. Development of a red color within 10 min was read as positive.

Synergy with **β**-lactamase inhibitors. Isolates were tested by broth microdilution in 96-well trays, using a Dynatech MIC 2000 system (Dynatech Laboratories, Inc., Alexandria, Va.) (12). Trays contained 0.1-ml volumes of doubling dilutions of β -lactams (0.5 to 512 μ g/ml) alone and combined with fixed concentrations of 8 μ g of the β -lactamase inhibitors per ml (2 µg/ml for H. influenzae). Control wells containing β -lactamase inhibitors alone at 8 μ g/ml (2 μ g/ml for *H. influenzae*), as well as growth and sterility wells, were included. Medium used was cation-supplemented Mueller-Hinton broth (supplemented with 1% hemin and 1% NAD for H. influenzae). Trays were stored at -70° C until used. Trays were inoculated with 10⁵ to 10⁶ organisms per ml, using a Dynatech MIC 2000 inoculator, and incubated overnight (18 to 20 h) at 35°C. The lowest β -lactam concentration showing no growth was read as the MIC. E. coli ATCC 25922 and 35218 were tested weekly during the study period for quality control assurance. MICs were interpreted according to current recommendations of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (13). MICs of the β lactamase inhibitors alone were also determined. Synergy was defined as a fourfold or greater decrease in the MIC of a β -lactam in the presence of a β -lactamase inhibitor compared with the β -lactam alone; antagonism was defined as a fourfold or greater increase in the MIC of a B-lactam alone in the presence of a β -lactamase inhibitor compared with the β-lactam alone (15; M. D. Kitzis, L. Gutman, S. Yamabe, and J. F. Acar, Proc. 14th Int. Congr. Chemother., abstr. no. P45-63, p. 412, 1985).

RESULTS

Bacterial isolates. All isolates produced β -lactamase by the chromogenic cephalosporin method. All were resistant to ampicillin (MICs > 16 µg/ml) (11), with most having MICs of >512 µg/ml (see Tables 1 to 3). Most strains were also highly resistant to ticarcillin (MICs ≥ 256 µg/ml), except for the four *Enterobacteriaceae* family strains producing chromosomal β -lactamase only, which were moderately susceptible (64 µg/ml) or just in the resistant range (128 µg/ml).

Susceptibility to the other β -lactams was variable, with susceptible strains inhibited by 4 to 16 µg/ml. *E. coli* ATCC 25922 was susceptible to all agents (MICs of 1 to 8 µg/ml), and synergy was not observed with any of the β -lactamase inhibitors. With the exception of *H. influenzae* ROB-1, no strains were inhibited by the β -lactamase inhibitors alone at the concentration tested (8 µg/ml), and MICs of the inhibitors alone were all \geq 32 µg/ml. MICs of the inhibitors alone for *H. influenzae* ROB-1 were 8 to 16 µg/ml, and the concentration of the inhibitors was therefore lowered to 2 µg/ml for synergy testing of this strain.

Synergy studies. (i) Plasmid-bearing E. coli strains (Table 1). Synergy was demonstrated with all β -lactams combined with YTR 830 or clavulanate against all 16 strains, including OXA-producing strains susceptible to mezlocillin, piperacillin, and apalcillin alone. MICs of the β -lactams were reduced by 4- to >512-fold, with all except two MICs being reduced to $<32 \mu g/ml$. Sulbactam was synergistic with the various β-lactams against 9 to 13 of the 16 strains, with MICs reduced by 4- to >512-fold for 67 of the 97 combinations. MICs remained in the resistant range despite synergy for five strains with ampicillin-sulbactam, two with ampicillin-YTR 830, one with ticarcillin-sulbactam, and one with azlocillinsulbactam. Strains for which no synergy with sulbactam was observed produced TEM-1, TEM-2, HMS-1, and OHIO-1 β-lactamases. For combinations showing synergy with sulbactam, the reduction in MICs of the β -lactams was equal or superior to that of clavulanate and YTR 830 for 24 and 35 combinations, respectively, and inferior for 43 and 32 combinations, respectively.

(ii) Plasmid-bearing *P. aeruginosa* strains (Table 2). No synergy between ampicillin and the inhibitors was seen. Ticarcillin and clavulanate were synergistic against strains producing PSE-1, -3, and -4 and OXA-6 enzymes; against the PSE-3-producing strain, ticarcillin-YTR 830 and ticarcillin-sulbactam also showed synergy. None of the combinations was synergistic against the PSE-2-producing strain.

Azlocillin alone was active against the PSE-1 and -3producing strains. Azlocillin was synergistic with all three inhibitors against the PSE-4-producing strain and with sulbactam and YTR 830 against the PSE-3-producing strain. Azlocillin-clavulanate was antagonistic against the PSE-1producing strain.

Mezlocillin was synergistic with the three inhibitors against the PSE-1-, -3-, and -4-producing strains, although the MIC of mezlocillin remained in the resistant range when combined with sulbactam and YTR 830. Piperacillin and apalcillin were synergistic with the three inhibitors against the same three strains, with reduction of MICs of the β -lactams to clinically achievable levels.

(iii) A. hydrophilia AER-1 (Table 2). All β -lactam combinations with YTR 830 and clavulanate were synergistic against A. hydrophilia AER-1, although the MIC of ampicillin-YTR 830 remained in the resistant range. Sulbactam was synergistic in combination with ticarcillin, piperacillin, and apalcillin, but not with ampicillin or azlocillin. 982

		+ YTR 830	⊽	I	7	1	T	v	v	4	v	⊽	4	v	⊽	1	v	1	Conf.
	illin	+ Sul- bac- tam	⊽	16	>512	256	512	2	œ	7	7	7	4	-	1	2	7	⊽	ntersci.
	Apalc	+ Cla- vulan- ate	⊽	v	1	ī	T	v	₹	7	⊽	⊽	I	ī	ī	1	v	₹	25th I
		Alone	32	128	>512	512	512	256	2	2	×	4	16	16	16	256	32	32	i, Abst.
		+ + 830	∣⊽	I	l	7	1	ī	v	1	₹	⊽	4	1	I	-	⊽	1	Labrozz
	cillin	+ Sul- bac- tam	∣⊽	œ	128	32	2	32	4	7	₹	₹	4	4	7	2	⊽	1	and P.
	Pipera	+ Cla- vulan- ate	∣⊽	1	I	I	-	₹	v	1	₹	₹	1	⊽	⊽	-	v	$\overline{\nabla}$	ronoff
		Alone	32	2	128	2	2	2	32	16	4	4	16	16	16	2	16	32	2 (S. A
		+ YTR 830	⊽	7	4	4	1	⊽	1	7	v	ī	4	7	T	7	I	7	pl of 9.
	sillin	+ Sul- bac- tam	∣⊽	16	512	2	128	2	4	1	v	₹	4	4	7	128	1	7	with a
	Mezloc	+ Cla- ulan-	⊽	I	7	I	1	₹	1	1	₹	₹	2	ī	₹	2	ī	₹	amase
		Alone	2	128	512	512	256	128	2	16	œ	4	16	16	16	256	16	32	l β-lact
(lm/g		YTR /	4	80	7	4	90	œ	4	32	1	4	16	œ	*	32	4	90	nosoma
MIC (µ	llin	+ Sul- bac- tam	14	2	-512	256 ^b	-512	-512	32	œ	1	1	16	16	16	512	4	œ	a chroi
	Azloci	+ Cla- ulan-	10	4	1	4	~ ~	4	1	90	2	7	80	4	1	16	4	7	oduces
		Alone v	128	512	-512	-512	-512	~512	512	256	16	32	256	128	256	-512	128	256	s also pr
		+ YTR / 830	14	œ	2	16	32 ~	2	œ	16	7	l	32	32	16	2	4	7	C 35218
	llin	+ Sul- bac- tam	œ	-512	-512	-512	~512	~512	-512	16	V	I	32	128 ^b	2	~512	32	œ	oli ATC
	Ticarci	+ Cla- ulan-	6	16	2	16	32 ~	2	4	œ	₹	4	×	32	4	16	1	7	C). E. c
		vlone v	-512	-512	-512	-512	-512	-512	-512	256	2	128	256	-512	512	-512	-512	×512	h in MI 35).
		+ YTR /	^ 7	4	~~ ~~	4	~~ ~~	4	7	64 ⁶	1	7	64 ⁶	16 >	16	32 ⁶ >	4	7	eductio 274, 195
	llin	Sul- bac- tam	7	~512	-512	-512	~512	~512	256 ^b	6	₹	7	32^{b}	4 5	4 5	~512	œ	4	greater 1 983, p. 2
	Ampici	+ Cla- ulan- ate	6	4	~~ ~~	4	~~ ~~	~~ ~~	1	œ	₽	7	16	16	4	~~ ~~	7	7	fold or str. no.
		Alone v	512	>512	>512	>512	>512	>512	>512	512	32	128	512	256	512	>512	512	>512	gy (four ter., abs
	1 I	acta-	М-1			M-2	M-2 ~	[S-1 ~	1->	A-1	A-2	A-3	A-4	A-5	A-7	IO-1	I0-1	E	es syner Chemoth
	e.e	Ē	3 TEI	TEI	12 TEI	TEI	TEI	ΗM	SH	38 OX	0X	0X	33 OX	0X	02 OX	5 OH	HO 9,	TLI	indicat vgents (
		Strain	ATCC 35218	R6K-R	C600-pBR32	C600-RP1	1725-RP1	J53.2-R997	J53-R1010	1527-RGN23	1573-R46	1894E-R576	7529-pMG20	C600-R388	7181-pMG20	C600-pD507:	C600-pDS07	7604-cilV	^a Bold type Antimicrob. A

TABLE 1. MICs of β -lactams alone and with inhibitors against 16 plasmid-bearing E. coli strains^a

(iv) H. influenzae ROB-1. H. influenzae ROB-1 was tested against ampicillin, which had a MIC of 4 μ g/ml, and against ampicillin combined with the three inhibitors, where MICs of ampicillin were reduced to <1 μ g/ml. The ROB-1 strain was readily β -lactamase positive with nitrocefin both in solution, as used for the other study strains, and in disk form (Cefinase; BBL Microbiology Systems, Cockeysville, Md.); this contrasts with the original description of this strain, which was described as having a weak, delayed nitrocefin reaction (18).

(v) Strains of *Enterobacteriaceae* with chromosomal β lactamases, with and without plasmid-mediated β -lactamases (Table 3). These members of the *Enterobacteriaceae* were resistant to ampicillin and resistant, or in a few instances, moderately susceptible to the broad-spectrum penicillins. No synergy was detected with the ampicillin-inhibitor combinations. The four strains with only chromosomal β lactamase were inhibited by 32 to 128 μ g of ticarcillin or mezlocillin per ml and 64 to 512 μ g of piperacillin or apalcillin per ml. Synergy with the inhibitors occurred in only 4 of 20 β -lactam-clavulanate combinations. β -Lactam-sulbactam and β -lactam-YTR 830 were synergistic in 13 and 12 of the 20 combinations, respectively.

Against the four strains producing only chromosomal β -lactamase, ampicillin, ticarcillin, and azlocillin showed little useful synergy with the inhibitors. Mezlocillinclavulanate and mezlocillin-YTR 830 were synergistic against one strain each; mezlocillin-sulbactam was synergistic against two strains. Piperacillin and apalcillin were synergistic with clavulanate against one strain, with YTR 830 against three strains, and with sulbactam against all four strains.

Comparison of the susceptibilities of strains producing only chromosomal β -lactamase and those producing both chromosomal and plasmid-mediated enzymes is shown in Table 3. Synergy occurred in both groups with many of the combinations against the *Enterobacter* and *Citrobacter* strains, but was less frequently observed with *Serratia* spp.

Overall, combined with broad-spectrum penicillins, clavulanate was synergistic with β -lactams in 27 of 55 combinations (49%); sulbactam, in 22 combinations (40%); and YTR 830, in 38 combinations (69%). Despite synergy, MICs of the β -lactams in the combinations remained in the resistant range for six ticarcillin, 12 azlocillin, 3 piperacillin, and 3 apalcillin combinations.

DISCUSSION

Activity of β -lactamase inhibitors has extended the spectrum of β -lactams to include strains of *Enterobacteriaceae* and *P. aeruginosa* producing class I, III, IV, and V enzymes (2, 6, 14, 17). This study has shown that β -lactams combined with YTR 830 or clavulanate have similar activity, which is superior to that of β -lactam-sulbactam combinations (Table 4). Against *E. coli* recipients of enterobacterial plasmid-mediated β -lactamases, combinations containing YTR 830 or clavulanate were essentially equivalent. Against *Enterobacteriaceae* producing chromosomal enzymes, combinations containing YTR 830 and sulbactam were equally synergistic.

Against PSE-1-producing *P. aeruginosa* strains, azlocillin and apalcillin alone were active, as was piperacillin with any of the inhibitors. Piperacillin or apalcillin combined with any of the inhibitors was most active against the PSE-3- and -4-producing strains, while none of the combinations tested was effective against the PSE-2-producing strain. Combinations containing YTR 830 and clavulanate were synergistic

		ABLE	2. MI	Cs of	β-lacta	ums alc	one and	l with	inhibito	ors aga	inst fiv	e plasr MIC	nid-be; (µg/ml)	aring P.	aerug	inosa s	strains	and A.	hydro	phila /	AER-1				
	0 Inote		Ampi	cillin			Tica	rcillin			Azlo	cillin			Mezlo	ocillin			Pipera	tcillin			Apalo	illin	
Strain	mase	Alone	+ Cla- vulan- ate	+ Sul- bac- tam	+ YTR 830	Alone	+ Cla- vulan- ate	+ Sul- tam	+ YTR 830	Alone	+ Cla- vulan- ate	+ Sul- bac- tam	+ YTR 830	Alone	+ Cla- vulan- ate	+ Sul- bac- tam	+ YTR 830	Alone	+ Cla- vulan- ate	+ Sul- bac- tam	+ YTR 830	Alone	+ Cla- vulan- ate	+ Sul- bac- tam	+ YTR 830
1937-RP11	PSE-1	>512	>512	>512	>512	>512	64	512	>512	120	646	~ ~	` 8	512	64	128	1280	128	16	32	32	32	2	• ••	. 8
Pu21-KISI	PSE-2	<pre>>>12</pre>	212	210	<pre>>>12</pre>	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	302	302	226	128	2 Z	e 2	e 2	902	226	226	226	22	1 22	o 22	o 22	16	Ja	ა თ	3 Io
Pu21-pMG19	PSE-4	>512	>512	>512	>512	>512	2	>512	>512	256	32	32	32	512	2	128 ^c	128°	128	32	32	32	128	2	œ	16
PAO38-pMG329	OXA-6	>512	>512	>512	>512	256	2	256	256	128	2	128	128	128	2	128	128	2	16	2	32	œ	4	œ	œ
A. hydrophila ^d	AER-1	>512	16	512	256	° 256	j =1	32	4	32	1	16	4	8	<u>^</u>	8	2	8	≙	2	Δ	8	Δ	2	1
 ^a Bold type indic ^b Combination ar ^c MIC in resistan ^d A. hydrophila V 	ates synerg itagonistic. t range des /L7711. Th	y (fourfo pite syno is strain	old or g ergy. produc	reater i es two	reductio β-lacta	on in Mi mases,	IC). presuma	ably det	ermined	by chr	omoson	nal gene	s, in ad	dition to	the tra	nsferab	le AER.	-1 enzyı	me (7).					:	
A. nyurophua V	L//11. 10	is suam	produc	S LWO	p-lacta	illases,	presum	ably det	CITTURE		OTTOSOT	Tat Bene	3, III au		une in a	11316140		T CILZY	IIIC (7).						

YTR 830 25° 16 **16** 16 + 16 >512 **5 5 5 5** 2 Sulbac-tam Apalcillin Cla-vu-lanate 256° 16 00 + Alone >512 >512 256 256 >512 256 YTR 830 16 8 256[°] 32 33 + **16** >512. **32** 512 512 2 Sul-bac-tam Piperacillin + Cla-vu-lanate 12 16 00 8 [3 8 8 128 + YTR Alone 830 **16** 512 **16** 128 **32** > 512 32 128 16*>5*12 256 œ 8 16 8 >512 Sul-bac-tam 2 32 32 512 Mezlocillin + lanate Cla-vu-2 33 **8** 23 Alone 512 64 **128**^c >512 256 64 512 MIC (µg/ml) YTR 830 256^c **256**[°] 5128[°] **256** >512 512 512 >512 Sul-bac-tam 64 >512 + Azlocillin >512 >512 >512 128° >512 128° 128° 128° + Cla-vu-lanate >512 >512 >512 Alone + + 830 128 512 >512 128 128 2 128 >512 >512 64 >512 >512 Sul-bac-tam Ticarcillin + **56** lanate 2 2 **2** Cla--nv + Alone >512 >512 >512 >512 >512 >512 YTR 830 + Sulbactam Ampicillin + Clalanate -Πν + Alone 0HIO-1 Plasmid **B**mase(s) None OHIO-1 None TEM-1, TEM-1 lacta-TEM-1 - 83120216 7.66 1 83120218 8.2 (83120223 8.0 7 somal β-lac-tam-ase^b pI of chro-Enterobacter 83120208 7.82 aerogenes 83120220 7.82 -ou 83120210 7.9 Strain Enterobacter Organism cloacae

TABLE 3. MICs of β-lactams alone and with inhibitors against 11 strains of Enterobacteriaceae with chromosomal β-lactamase, with and without plasmid-mediated β-lactamase^a

^a Bold type indicates synergy. ^b Isoelectric focusing data from Medeiros (personal communication). ^c MIC in resistant range despite synergy.

OXA-2

None TEM-1 TEM-1,

83120230 8.5 83120212 8.3 83120202 8.3

marces-

Serratia cens

5 2 3

32 512 512

128 256°

128 >512 `>512

33 32 25%

16 128 >512

128 128 512

32 256 >512

128 256 512

512 >512

256 512 >512

256° >512 >512

>512 >512 >512 >512 >512 >512 >512 >512

32 >512

 256
 512
 256
 54
 64
 16

 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512
 >512

~1 ∞

33 8

22

28 28

∞ ∞

32

22

64 128

4 ∞

16

16

32 128

2 8

256° 512

>512 >512 >512 >512

23

128 6

128 128^c

None TEM-1

83120221 8.10 N 83120211.8.10 7

Citrobacter freundii

	N		No. o	f strains	susceptib	ole ^a
β -Lactamase class	NO. OF	Antibiotic	β-	β-La	ctam wit	h ^ø :
	tested		Lactam alone	Clavu- lanate	Sul- bactam	YTR 830
I (chromosomal)	4	Ampicillin	0	0	0	0
		Ticarcillin	2	0	1	1
		Azlocillin	0	0	0	1
		Mezlocillin	4	0	0	0
		Piperacillin	2	1	2	2
		Apalcillin	2	0	2	2
III (TEM,	9	Ampicillin	0	9	2	8
HMS, SHV,		Ticarcillin	0	9	2	9
OHIO, TLE)		Azlocillin	0	9	4	9
		Mezlocillin	3	6	3	6
		Piperacillin	8	1	0	1
		Apalcillin	3	6	3	6
I and III	8	Ampicillin	0	1	1	1
		Ticarcillin	0	4	1	3
		Azlocillin	0	2	1	1
		Mezlocillin	1	5	3	6
		Piperacillin	1	4	3	5
		Apalcillin	1	4	3	5
V (OXA, PSE)	11	Ampicillin	0	6	2	4
. , ,		Ticarcillin	1	9	5	6
		Azlocillin	4	7	6	6
		Mezlocillin	6	4	1	1
		Piperacillin	9	2	2	2
		Apalcillin	10	1	1	1
Totals	32	Ampicillin	0	16	5	13
		Ticarcillin	3	22	9	19
		Azlocillin	4	18	11	17
		Mezlocillin	14	15	7	13
		Piperacillin	20	8	7	10
		Apalcillin	16	11	9	14

 TABLE 4. Cumulative susceptibilities of strains tested (excluding A. hydrophila and H. influenzae)

Antibiot. 35:1578-1583.

- 2. Aronoff, S. C., M. R. Jacobs, S. Johenning, and S. Yamabe. 1984. Comparative activities of the β -lactamase inhibitors YTR 830, sodium clavulanate, and sulbactam combined with amoxicillin or ampicillin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 26:580-582.
- Brown, R. M., R. Wise, J. M. Andrews, and J. Hancox. 1982. Comparative pharmacokinetics and tissue penetration of sulbactam and ampicillin after concurrent intravenous administration. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 21:565–567.
- 4. Bush, K., and R. B. Sykes. 1984. Interaction of β -lactam antibiotics with β -lactamases as a cause for resistance, In L. E. Bryan (ed.), Antimicrobial drug resistance, p. 1–31. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, Fla.
- File, T. M., J. S. Tan, S.-J. Salstrom, L. A. Johnson, and G. F. Douglas. 1984. Timentin versus piperacillin or moxalactam in the therapy of acute bacterial infections. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 26:310-313.
- 6. Fisher, J. 1984. β -Lactams resistant to hydrolysis by the β -lactamases, p. 33–79. In L. E. Bryan (ed.), Antimicrobial drug resistance. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, Fla.
- Hedges, R. W., A. A. Medeiros, M. Cohenford, and G. A. Jacoby. 1985. Genetic and biochemical properties of AER-1, a novel carbenicillin-hydrolyzing β-lactamase from Aeromonas hydrophila. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 27:479-484.
- Holloway, W. J. 1985. Treatment of infections in hospitalized patients with ticarcillin plus clavulanic acid. Am. J. Med. 79:(Suppl. 5B):168-171.
- 9. Medeiros, A. A. 1984. β-Lactamases. Br. Med. Bull. 40:18-27.
- Medeiros, A. A., M. Cohenford, and G. A. Jacoby. 1985. Five novel plasmid-determined β-lactamases. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 27:715-719.
- Medeiros, A. A., R. Hare, E. Papa, C. Adam, and G. H. Miller. 1985. Gram-negative bacilli resistant to third-generation cephalosporins: β-lactamase characterization and susceptibility to Sch 34343. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 15-(Suppl. C):119–132.
- 12. Minami, S., A. Yotsuji, M. Inoue, and S. Mitsuhashi. 1980. Induction of β -lactamases by various β -lactam antibiotics in *Enterobacter cloacae*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 18: 382–385.
- 13. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 1983. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically, M7-T. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, Pa.
- Neu, H. C. 1982. Penicillins—new insights into their mechanisms of action and clinical use. Bull. N.Y. Acad. Med. 58:681-695.
- Neu, H. C. 1983. β-Lactamase inhibitor activity of iodopenicillanate and bromopenicillanate. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 23:63-66.
- 16. O'Callaghan, C. H., A. Morris, S. Kirby, and A. H. Shingler. 1972. Novel method for the detection of β -lactamases by using a chromogenic cephalosporin substrate. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1:282-288.
- 17. Paisley, J. W., and J. A. Washington II. 1978. Combined activity of clavulanic acid and ticarcillin against ticarcillin-resistant, gram-negative bacilli. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 14: 224-227.
- Rubin, L. G., R. H. Yolkin, A. A. Medeiros, and R. E. Moxon. 1981. Ampicillin treatment failure of apparently β-lactamase negative Haemophilus influenzae type b meningitis due to novel β-lactamase. Lancet ii:1008–1010.
- Scully, B. E., N.-X. Chin, and H. C. Neu. 1985. Pharmacology of ticarcillin combined with clavulanic acid in humans. Am. J. Med. 79-(Suppl. 5B):39-43.
- Yotsuji, A., S. Minami, Y. Araki, M. Inoue, and S. Mitsuhashi. 1982. Inducer activity of beta-lactam antibiotics for the betalactamases of Proteus rettgeri and Proteus vulgaris. J. Antibiot. 35:1590-1593.

penicillins). ^b Number of strains only susceptible to combination.

tory Standards (<16 µg/ml for ampicillin; <64 µg/ml for broad-spectrum

against the novel β -lactamase-producing strains tested, OHIO-1, TLE-1, AER-1, and ROB-1.

YTR 830– β -lactam combinations were most active against strains with chromosomal (class I) enzymes with or without class III enzymes, particularly in combination with piperacillin, mezlocillin, or apalcillin. Overall, the best combinations were YTR 830 with piperacillin or apalcillin (30 of the 32 strains susceptible), followed by clavulanate with mezlocillin and apalcillin with sulbactam (29 strains susceptible). YTR 830 therefore appears to be a promising β -lactamase inhibitor, and further development and evaluation of this agent are warranted.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Arisawa, M., and R. L. Then. 1982. 6-Acetylmethylenepenicillanic acid: a potent beta-lactamase inhibitor. I. Inhibition of chromosomally and R-factor-mediated beta-lactamases. J.