A MECHANICAL KEY FOR THE GENERIC IDENTIFICATION OF BACTERIA

V. B. D. SKERMAN

School of Bacteriology, University of Melbourne, Australia

THE NEED FOR ADEQUATE DESCRIPTIONS

Many of the descriptions of bacteria in Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology (1939, 1948) are decidedly poor when viewed from present-day standards. Some will be difficult to improve since a number of the original cultures have probably been lost. The original descriptions which still remain on record present us with an awkward problem in establishing priorities. Some of these descriptions are so inadequate that one description could be equally well applied to many new isolates. The original authors cannot be blamed for the inadequacy of these descriptions which no doubt conformed to the standard of the day and it would be a breach of ethics to refuse recognition of these descriptions. Nevertheless present-day workers cannot regain the original cultures in some instances to subject then to further examination and would-be key formers are handicapped by the lack of this information. Thus one cause of the chaotic state of bacterial nomenclature is the lack of "type" specimens regarded as essential by systematic botanists. There is only one remedy for this, namely the redescription of all available cultures according to a certain code which should be applied to all bacteria alike. On the basis of these descriptions the organisms should be renamed, for the most part with the names they now possess. Priorities should be based on these names and all descriptions and names for which there are no procurable cultures should, by common consent, be discarded.

Such a move would necessarily have to be backed by a definite decision on a code for description and standardization of methods whereby characters employed in the code are determined. Although an attempt has been made on an international basis to maintain uniformity in methods of nomenclature, no attempt has been made to standardize the methods by which tests are carried out to determine the characteristics of a species. The Society of American Bacteriologists through its Committee on Bacteriological Technic has suggested a scheme whereby all organisms should be described and in its "Manual of Methods of Pure Culture Study of Bacteria" has made an attempt to standardize the methods by which the tests are carried out. The scheme is considered by some to be too extensive and quite unnecessary for some groups of organisms. I cannot agree with this attitude. It is easier to discard evidence considered unnecessary than it is to repeat an investigation through lack of it.

A descriptive code need not be static. It could be expanded or contracted as the need arises. Expansion would necessitate further investigation of described species. Necessary alterations can easily be made to mechanical keys.

A uniform code should be enforced and publication of descriptions should be contingent on complete descriptions being submitted, even if this entailed work-

ers in poorly equipped laboratories having to submit cultures to recognized institutions for complete description. The main objection to this procedure would be overcome if the worker submitting the culture retained the right of discovery and the right to name the organism.

THE DEFINITION OF SPECIES AND GENERA

A species is defined only by those characteristics which, through their constancy and stability have served in the past to assign it to a genus, together with those characteristics which have served to separate it from other species in the same genus. A distinct advantage would be gained if a list of these characteristics were appended to the name of each bacterium prior to the general description. Attention would then be focused on these essential characteristics and a better appreciation of the extent and importance of variations be gained.

Characters which are not employed in differentiation of the species could be employed for the subdivision of species into types.

There is also need for more precise definitions for genera. In the hands of the authors of most of our textbooks the term "definition" has entirely lost its meaning. Many of the definitions contain very little which is definite. They approach more towards condensed, and often confusing, descriptions which attempt to embrace all the possibilities which one may encounter among the species in the genus rather than a precise statement of the characters which can be uniformly found among all or the majority of species within that genus and on the basis of which one might expect the genus to be distinguished from other genera.

Let us take for example the definition of the genus *Streptococcus* from Topley and Wilson's Principles of Bacteriology and Immunity (1947). It reads as follows: DEFINITION. *Streptococcus*. (Author's italics.)

Spherical or ovoid cells, arranged in short or long chains or in pairs. Usually non-motile. Non-sporing. Most species Gram-positive. Some species form capsules. Growth tends to be relatively slight on artificial media and some species grow poorly in the absence of native protein. Several species produce characteristic changes in media containing blood. Various carbohydrates are fermented with the production of acid. Most species fail to liquefy gelatin. Most species are aerobic and facultatively anaerobic. Some are anaerobic. Many species are normally pathogenic on man or animals; some species are highly pathogenic and some produce soluble toxins.

This so-called "definition" contains two statements, the first and third, which can reasonably be applied to all species and therefore could be said to characterize the genus if the genus *Leuconostoc* is not recognized.

The above "definition" would be more aptly termed a "description."

There is a great need for precise definitions in systematic studies. Adoption of precise definitions for species should automatically lead to more precise definition of genera to which they belong and the characters used in the definition of genera would, like those used in defining species, be definitely determinable. Inclusion of species in genera on purely speculative ground should be entirely eliminated. It would be infinitely better if a new genus was created for species which do not

conform to the general pattern, particularly if one views the genera as "form genera" without any phylogenetical significance.

Variation will no doubt be raised as a barrier to this unquestionably idealistic approach to bacterial classification. In the opinion of some workers variation is so prevalent that definition of species would be impossible. But despite this we cannot lose sight of the fact that over the past half century a great number of organisms have been described and have definitely attained species rank. Recognition of them as species has come through the gradual recognition of the constancy of certain characteristics which they display. Variants have been recognized only because certain characters were selected as representative of a species, that is, a certain standard was created. It is a fundamental fact that without such standards there can be no variants, otherwise all variants would themselves assume the status of standards. For this reason the acceptance of a suitably worded list of the stable characters of a species as a "definition" for the species is entirely justified.

THE CLASSIFICATION OF BACTERIA; FORMATION OF HIGHER GROUPS

The adoption of the botanical system of nomenclature made possible the segregation of bacteria into Species, Genera, Tribes, Families and Orders. A number of classifications have been suggested. These have been reviewed by van Niel (1946) and have been discussed briefly in Bergey's Manual. Van Niel questions the wisdom of attempting to form a "classification" on the evidence which is at present available but in so doing he does not discount the fact that there is strong evidence for phylogenetical relationships between some groups of bacteria. A close study of the number of determinable characters which could represent all species within each genus would reveal this number to be very small. The number of characters which are common to all genera within a Tribe must inevitably be smaller, and would continue to diminish as groupings become broader. Where groupings have become impossible on purely determinable characters resort has to be made to the use of certain assumptions which originate from the study, not of single organisms, but of large groups of organisms. On the basis of these assumptions some bacteria may be placed in higher groupings on a character or characters which they do not possess but which one is led by assumption to believe that they probably did possess by virtue of obvious relationships to other bacteria which do possess these characters. This was the case with Corynebacterium pseudodiphthericum (hofmanni) which in the fifth edition of the Manual was placed in the Order Actinomycetales, because of its relationship to certain other species in the same genus reputed to show positive evidence of branching—a salient character of the Order Actinomycetales. But how one was expected to identify the organism by first determining a character which it did not possess is difficult to understand.

This is the obvious result of an attempt to form a key for *identification* which operates in the reverse direction to that in which the *classification* was constructed. In the development of our system of classification the discovery and naming of species with a generic and specific name came first. Grouping into

Genera was followed by grouping of Genera into Tribes and Tribes into Families and Families into Orders. In developing the key in the reverse order, the authors of the keys in the Manual were forced to use initially for identification characters which by their very nature are largely indeterminable.

Van Niel struck at the heart of this matter and advocated the complete separation of a system of identification from a system of classification. He suggested the formation of a number of mechanical keys for the identification of bacteria. I am strongly in favor of van Niel's suggestion. It is the only way to overcome the vexatious problem of identifying organisms. Van Niel did not elaborate greatly on the type of keys he envisaged. I therefore suggest that initially keys should be formed for the identification of species into genera. Subsequent keys could be formed for identification of species in each genus on the lines already followed in the Manual. Concurrent with the production of generic keys and keys to species for purposes of identification, systematists could also direct attention to the formation of keys to show how Tribes are formed from Genera, Families from Tribes and so on. Such keys should assume a different form from those used for identifying bacteria and should be largely discussional. They should aim to educate all workers in this fascinating field of biological relationships.

A key to the genera of bacteria is presented in this paper. Genera included are those in the Suborder *Eubacteriineae* of the Order *Eubacteriales* and those in the Order *Actinomycetales* in the sixth edition of Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology. For the convenience of all workers, the generic terminologies employed in the fifth and sixth editions of the Manual and that employed in the third edition of Topley and Wilson's "Principles of Bacteriology and Immunity" have all been included. The only genus omitted is the miscellaneous genus *Bacterium* as used in the Manual.

The bacteria in the Suborder Eubacteriineae are characterized by the possession of rigid cell walls, the absence of photosynthetic pigments and the absence of a stalk which would fix them to their substrate. Those in the Order Actinomycetales are similar except that they show marked or rudimentary branching. Unfortunately not all species included in the Order do branch and the absence of the character renders their identification difficult. For this reason all species in the order have been treated with those in the Suborder Eubacteriineae in the key.

It must be emphasized that the only advantage that can be claimed for the key is that it is workable. It cannot improve in any way on the original material. It was quite apparent at the outset that it was impossible to obtain the type of "definition" for individual genera advocated in the earlier part of this paper. It was impossible to obtain a combination of characters for every genus which would separate it from other genera. Therefore in preparing the key the only other course was adopted. The reactions of all bacteria given species rank in the Manual were tabulated and characters were selected which appeared to be uniform for each separate genus. In some instances this was found impossible and genera had to be subdivided and each subdivision treated separately. In some instances descriptions from Topley and Wilson's "Principles of Bacteriology and Immunity" were substituted for those in the Manual.

Characters employed in the key were selected solely on their suitability for separating organisms and the ease with which they could be determined. No other importance was attached to any of the tests. As far as possible an attempt was made to keep the sequence of characters in line with accepted laboratory practice.

Quite frequently information on the reaction of species to tests employed in the key was not available. In such cases the species has been treated as being either positive or negative and separation has been effected in both sections of the key. The same procedure was adopted where species were known to be variable within a genus to a particular character, e.g., with motility in *Escherichia coli*. The absence of information has greatly increased the length of the key. It could be considerably reduced in size if the information was made available.

The key is of a type commonly employed by botanists. The numbers on the left hand side of the key cover, for the greater part, pairs of opposing characters such as "gram positive or gram negative." The character of the pair which applies to the organism in question is selected and the number immediately on the right indicates the next number on the left to be consulted. The numbers must be followed in strict sequence until a number on the right is replaced by a generic name. A single example is given.

For species of the genus *Escherichia* the number sequence is as follows: 1-7; 7-10; 10-44; 44-45; 45-46; 46-47; 47-48; 48-49; 49-50; 50-52; 52-54; 54-57; 57-62; 62-63; 63-64; 64-65 or 64-71

65-66; 66-67; 67—Escherichia; or, 71-72; 72-73; 73—Escherichia.

THE KEY

1. Organisms which can grow on a mineral salts medium with carbon dioxide as the sole source of carbon; strict autotrophs which will not grow on meat extract agar; do not oxidize hydrogen to water
Other than above7
2. Organisms which oxidize ammonia to nitrites
Organisms which oxidize nitrite to nitrate6
Organisms which oxidize sulfur compounds (inorganic)
3. Zoogloea formed4
Zoogloes not formed
4. Zoogloea surrounded by a membrane, forming a cyst
Zoogloea not encysted
5. Cells ellipsoidal
Cells spherical
•
Cells spiral
6. Zoogloea present
Zoogloea absent
7. Organisms will grow on a mineral salts medium with carbon dioxide as a sole source of
carbon but will also grow on meat extract agar8
Organisms will grow in a mineral salts medium if carbon monoxide or methane is present as a sole source of carbon9
Other than above10
8. Organisms grow autotrophically oxidizing hydrogen to water
Organisms grow autotrophically oxidizing sulfur compounds
9. Organisms will grow autotrophically using carbon monoxide as the sole source of
carbon

	(Note: the genus Carboxydomonas has been appended to the genus Streptomyces in the 6th edition of the Manual.)
	Organisms will grow autotrophically using methane as a source of carbon and energy $Methanomonas$
10.	Gram positive
	Gram negative
11.	Organisms will grow in contact with air without the addition of specific reducing
	substances to the medium.
	Organisms will grow only in the absence of air, or in the presence of air if specific
	reducing substances are added to the medium
10	
12.	Rods and cocco-bacilli
	Cocci
13.	Sporing rods
	Non-sporing rods
14.	Organisms showing distinct branching15
	Organisms not branched
15.	Long intertwining branching forms showing little or no tendency to fragmentation of
	the pseudomycelium except at the tips where short conidia-like bodies are formed in
	large numbers in chains
	Streptomyces (6th ed.)
	Actinomyces (T & W.)
	Similar to above except that only one "conidium" is produced at the end of each side
	branch or "conidiophore"
	Micromonospora (6th ed.)
	• • •
	Branched rods which do not produce conidia16
10	Organisms present in young cultures as branched filaments which rapidly disintegrate
10.	to produce short branched rods and some unbranched rods and coccal forms; non-
	acid fast or only weakly acid fast; obligate aerobes
	Nocardia (6th ed.)
	Actinomyces (T & W.)
	Branched rods with little or no tendency to form filaments; colonies dry and crumbly;
	acid fast
	Branched rods with only a slight tendency to form filaments; division by a sharp
	snapping action resulting in palisade formation; non-acid fast Corynebacterium
17.	Motile rods
	Non-motile rods
18.	Carbohydrate fermented19
	Carbohydrates not fermented
	Zopfius (T & W.)
	placed in genus Bacterium (6th ed.)
10	Organisms pathogenic to plants
19.	Organisms not pathogenic to plants; pathogenic to warm-blooded animals causing
	Organisms not pathogenic to plants; pathogenic to warm-blooded animals causing
	monocytosis
<i>.</i>	Listeria (6th ed.)
	Erysipelothrix (T & W.)
2 0.	Yellow pigmented colonies
	Colonies with no yellow pigments21
21.	Flagella polar
	Flagella peritrichiate Erwinia
22.	Unbranched rods; colonies dry and granular; organisms have twisted axes and tend to
	form in clumps; acid fast
	Not as above: non-acid fast

23.	Litmus milk acid or acid and coagulated
24.	Litmus milk acid and coagulated
25.	Organisms beaded and club shaped; pleomorphic; pathogenic to guinea pigs, rabbits and mice; no growth in a glucose acetic acid broth with pH 4.5 Corynebacterium Non-pleomorphic; non-pathogenic; growth in glucose acetic acid broth with pH 4.5
•	Lactobacillus
20.	Colonies small, dewdrop, about 0.2 mm in diameter; in smooth colonies the organisms appear as short rods; in rough colonies they appear as long filaments; no growth in acetic acid glucose broth with pH 4.5; cause erysipelas in man and animals Erysipelothrix
	Not as above
27.	Catalase positive
	Catalase negative
	. Microbacterium
	Not as above
29.	Growth in acetic acid glucose broth at pH 4.5; non-pleomorphic rods producing over 1% lactic acid from glucose without continuous neutralizationLactobacillus
•	Not as above
30.	Human mouth parasites; long tangled threads intermingled with a few short rods
	Leptotrichia (5th ed.) appended to genus Lactobacillus (6th ed.)
	Not as above
31.	Small dewdrop colonies; organisms cause erysipelas in man and animals Erusipelothrix
	Not as above
32 .	Rods straight or curved; arranged singly; non-pleomorphic; litmus milk alkaline and peptonized; no carbohydrates fermented
	treated in the miscellaneous section by T & W.
	Rods usually in palisades; division by a characteristic snapping motion; pleomorphism common; litmus milk may be rendered acid or alkaline but is not peptonized
	Corynebacterium
33.	Organisms arranged in cubical packets
	Organisms arranged in chains or in diplococci in liquid media
2/	Organisms arranged in clusters other than cubical packets
or.	high, convex, and granular and usually difficult to emulsify; species cause gonorrhea,
	meningitis; others normal inhabitants of the throat
	Spherical or oval organisms in chains or in diplococci which do not form clusters35
35.	Organisms producing a slimy pellicle in sucrose broth; in which the organisms are
	heavily capsulated and form zoogloea
	Other than aboveStreptococcus
	including the genus Diplococcus
36.	Organisms divide in two directions producing plates of organisms all lying in the one
	plane
	Micrococcus (6th ed.)
	Staphylococcus (T & W.) including the genus Gaffkya
	Organisms apparently divide in more than two directions producing clusters or organ-
37	isms lying in more than one plane
٠.,	Rods

38.	Branching rods39		
	Not branching rods40		
3 9.	. Long branching filaments which show no tendency to disintegrate; produce a single		
	"conidium" at the ends of short side branches or "conidiophores"		
	Actinomyces (5th ed.)		
	Micromonospora (6th ed.)		
	Actinomyces (T & W.)		
	Long branching filaments showing no tendency to disintegrate except at the tips of		
	aerial "hyphae" where chains of "conidia" are produced; giving the colony a dry		
	powdery surface		
	Streptomyces (6th ed.)		
	Actinomyces (T & W.)		
	Short branching rods. In very young cultures branching filaments are formed which		
	rapidly disintegrate to form short branched rods and some unbranched rods and		
	cocci; no conidia formed		
	Actinomyces (6th ed.)		
	Actinomyces (T & W.)		
40	Sporing rods		
10.	Non-sporing rods		
<i>4</i> 1	Plant pathogens; motile		
11.	Not plant pathogens; non-motile		
40	Flagella peritrichiate		
44.	Bacterium (T & W.)		
	Flagella polar		
40			
43.	Catalase positive; propionic acid produced from lactic acid and from glucose		
	Propionibacterium		
	Catalase negative; butyric acid produced from lactic acid and from glucose		
	Butyribacterium (6th ed.)		
	Catalase negative; lactic acid accumulates as an end product of glucose breakdown		
	Lactobacillus		
44.	Organisms grow in contact with oxygen without the addition of specific reducing		
	substances to the medium45		
	Organisms will not grow in contact with oxygen unless some specific reducing sub-		
	stances are added to the medium118		
45 .	Organisms isolated from and capable of producing nodules on the roots of leguminous		
	plantsRhizobium		
	Not as above46		
46.	Organisms capable of continued growth in a medium devoid of inorganic or organic		
	nitrogen compounds; large rod-shaped organisms which change to spherical forms		
	in ageing cultures		
	Not as above		
47	Kidney shaped or hemispherical organisms arranged in pairs in clusters; organisms		
	found commonly in the throat		
	Rods and cocco-bacilli		
40	Sporing rods		
40.	Non-sporing rods		
40	Organisms grow well in a yeast water medium containing up to 10% alcohol, oxidizing		
4 9.	the latter to acetic acid		
	Not as above		
F 0			
5 0.	Colonies with a purple pigment		
	Colonies with a red pigment		
	Colonies other than the above		
51.	Motile rods; do not attack alkylamines		
	Chromobacterium (T & W.)		
	Motile rods capable of attacking alkylamines		

52.	Organisms producing water soluble green, blue, or yellow pigments which diffuse into the medium
	Not as above; pigments, if produced, are not water soluble54
	Plant pathogens
٠٠.	Pseudomonas (6th ed.)
	N.A I A A A A A A
	Not plant pathogens
54 .	Organisms isolated from disease lesions in plants55
	Not as above
55.	Yellow pigment produced which is not soluble in waterPhytomonas (5th ed.)
	Xanthomonas (6th ed.)
	No yellow pigment produced
56	Flagella polar
<i>.</i>	some species in the the genus Agrobacterium (6th ed.)
	other species apparently in the genus Pseudomonas (6th ed.)
	Flagella peritrichiate
	Bacterium (T & W.)
57.	Curved rods, single or united in chains
	Straight rods and cocco-bacilli
EO	Rods curved; organisms capable of using phenolic compounds as a source of carbon;
JO.	
	gas produced in 0.1% nitrate broth but no nitrites are produced; no carbohydrates
	fermentedMycoplana
	Not as above
5 9.	Short stout rods, motile with a single flagellum60
	Long thin rods
ഹ	Cellulose oxidized to oxycellulose; no growth on meat infusion agar; cells curved with
ω.	pointed ends
	Cellulose is not oxidized
	Note: The genus Thiospira (6th ed.) is not treated.
61.	Motile with a single flagellum; cellulose oxidized
	Motile with several polar flagella; cellulose is not oxidizedSpirillum
	(except S. virginianum)
62	Lactose fermented within seven days
٠	Lactose not fermented within seven days
00	
03.	Growth on MacConkey's agar in three days at 37 C
	Not as above76
64.	Motile at 37 C65
	Non-motile at 37 C
65.	Acid and gas produced from glucose66
	Acid only produced from glucose
RR	Colonies yellow
ω.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Chromobacterium (T & W.)
	Colonies not pigmented67
67.	Methyl red positive; Voges Proskauer negative
	Bacterium (T & W.)
	Methyl red negative; Voges Proskauer positive
	Bacterium (T & W.)
6 8.	Indole produced
	appended to the Salmonella (6th ed.)
	Indole not produced
60	Small translucent colonies; greyish yellow; mucoid; small slender rods in smooth
Uð.	
	colonies; ovoid rods with bipolar staining in rough colonies; cause melioidosis in
	man and animals
	Pfeifferella (T & W.)
	Not as above

70. Yellow pigmented colonies	Flavobacterium
	Chromobacterium (T & W.)
Colonies not pigmented	Achromobacter
71. Acid and gas from glucose	
	egative73
	positive
	Bacterium (T & W.)
73 Colonies not mucoid: organisms not can	osulatedEscherichia
vo. colonics not mucola, organisms not cal	Bacterium (T & W.)
Colonies museid: ergenisms consuleted	pathogenic causing throat infections in man
and animais	Bacterium (T & W.)
74 W-11	Dacterium (1 & W.)
74. Tellow pigmented colonies	Flavobacterium
	Chromobacterium (T & W.)
75. Enteric organisms; optimum temperatur	e 37 C; pathogenic; agglutinated with shigella
	Shigella
	rature below 37 C; water or soil organisms not
	Achromobacter
	77
77. Small cocco-bacilli; capsulated; colony	mucoid; isolated from the conjunctiva
	Noguchia
78. Cellulose hydrolyzed	Cellulomonas
	79
79. Yellow colonies	Flavobacterium
	Chromobacterium (T & W.)
Non-pigmented colonies	
80. Small ovoid cocco-bacilli usually showi	ng bipolar staining; cause hemorrhagic septi-
cemia in animals	Pasteurella
Not as above	
81. Colonies adherent to the agar; resemble	sulfur granules but are not yellow; organisms
may show bipolar staining; strict pa	arasites causing actinobacillosis in man and
Not as above	
Cellulose not hydrolyzed	
	Flavobacterium
	Chromobacterium (T & W.)
Colonies not pigmented	Achromobacter
Motile at one of these temperatures	108
85 Grows on MacConkey's agar in three d	ays86
No growth on MacConkey's agar in thr	ee days95
	87
	93
	88
	89
88 Organisms cansulated: colonies mucoid	; organisms pathogenic causing throat infec-
tions in man and animals	
Colonies not mucoid organisms not co	apsulated; agglutinated by salmonella group
D "O" entiserum	Salmonella

89.	Small ovoid cocco-bacilli; may show bipolar staining; colonies on agar very small,			
	glistening and colorless; organisms cause plague in man and animals Pasteurella			
	Not as above90			
90.	Colonies pale grey; translucent			
	Colonies with a yellow pigment92			
91.	Organisms agglutinated by shigella antisera; enteric pathogen			
	Not as above			
92.	Organisms attack alkylamines			
	Not as aboveFlavobacterium			
	Chromobacterium (T & W.)			
93.	Colonies with a yellow pigment			
	Colonies not pigmented94			
94.	Litmus milk strongly alkaline; no carbohydrates fermented			
	treated in the Miscellaneous groups (T & W.)			
	Litmus milk acid or unchanged			
95.	Acid produced from glucose96			
•••	Glucose not fermented			
96	Colonies on blood agar very small, transparent, dew-drop or bisected pear; no growth			
00.	on meat infusion agar; organisms usually small cocco-bacilli but may occur as long			
	spiral filaments on first isolation; inhabitants of the respiratory tract but may be			
	found in large numbers in the cerebrospinal fluid in cases of meningitis in children			
	Haemophilus			
	Not on above will show an most inferior and			
07	Not as above; will grow on meat infusion agar			
91.	Slender rods; 1.0 to 3.0 μ long often arranged in palisades; pleomorphic; will grow on			
	meat infusion agar in 48 hours producing a smooth entire, glistening butyrous			
	colony about 1 mm in diameter; bipolar staining common; café au lait on potato;			
	Strauss reaction in guinea pigs; strict parasites causing glanders especially in man			
	and horses			
	Small ovoid cocco-bacilli; 0.7 to 2.0 μ long; arranged singly, in pairs and in small			
	bundles; may be pleomorphic and show bipolar staining; will grow on meat infusion			
	agar in 24 hours at 37 C producing a small entire smooth glistening colony which			
	may extend from 1 to 6 mm in diameter in 5 days incubation; no growth on potato;			
	parasites producing hemorrhagic septicemia in animals and birdsPasteurella			
	Not as above98			
98.	Organisms attacking alkylamines			
	Not as above99			
9 9.	Colonies yellowFlavobacterium			
	Chromobacterium (T & W.)			
	Not as above			
100.	Litmus milk acid or alkaline			
	Litmus milk unchanged101			
101.	Yellow coloniesFlavobacterium			
	Chromobacterium (T & W.)			
	Colonies not pigmented			
102.	Colonies 1 to 2 mm in diameter in 24 hours; pale grey, translucent; growth on meat			
	infusion agar good; normal inhabitants of water; saprophyticAchromobacter			
	Colonies on meat infusion or blood agar 0.5 to 1.0 mm in diameter; often no growth			
	on meat infusion agar on first isolation; cocco-bacilli; parasitic on man or animals. 103			
103	Organisms causing subacute infectious conjunctivitis or angular conjunctivitis; occur			
	predominantly as diplobacilli; Loeffler's blood serum slowly liquefied Morazella (6th ed.)			
	Haemophilus (5th ed.)			
	Isolated from the respiratory tract, meningeal fluid or eye exudates; small cocco-bacilli			
	which require blood or ascitic fluid or other body fluids and sometimes special growth			
	" roquire brood or ascisse figure of other body figures and sometimes special growth			

	factors for primary isolation after which some species can be trained to grow or meat infusion media; organisms associated with influenza, whooping cough or meningitis; inspissated serum is not liquefied
	sary for isolationBrucello
104.	Organisms cause acute ophthalmia (pink eye) in cattle; small gram negative rods predominantly arranged in diplobacilli; enriched media necessary for growth Loeffler's serum liquefied
	Not as above
105	Good growth on ordinary meat infusion agar; yellow pigment produced which is
100.	insoluble in water
	Chromobacterium (T & W.)
	Not as above; no yellow pigment
100	Litmus milk alkaline
100.	Litmus milk acid
107	
107.	Organisms associated with undulant fever in man and septicemic infections with or
	without abortion in animals
100	Not as above
108.	Acid and gas produced from glucose
	Acid only from glucose
	Glucose not fermented
109.	Organisms produce a swarming growth on moist agar; gelatin is liquefied or indole is produced, or both
	Discrete colonies on moist agar; gelatin is not liquefied and indole is not produced;
	sucrose and salicin are not fermented; enteric pathogens of man, animals and birds Salmonella
110.	Colonies mucoid; organisms capsulated; isolated from the eye (Rhesus monkeys); parasitic
	Not as above
111.	Small translucent greyish yellow colonies; mucoid; small slender rods in smooth colonies; ovoid rods with bipolar staining in rough colonies; motile at 37 C; organ-
	isms cause melioidosis in man and animals
	Small umbonate granular colonies; translucent with a dull finely granular "beaten
	copper" surface; entire; butyrous; organisms cause pseudotuberculosis in rodents
	Pasteurella
	Not as above
112.	Yellow pigmented colonies
	Chromobacterium (T & W.)
	Not as above
113.	Pathogenic; organism responsible for typhoid fever in man; agglutinated by Salmonella
	group D antiserum Eberthella (5th ed.)
	Salmonella (6th ed.; T & W.) Non-pathogenic; not agglutinated as above
	Non-pathogenic; not agglutinated as above
114.	Yellow pigmented colonies
	Chromobacterium (T & W.)
	Not as above
115.	Litmus milk rendered strongly alkaline116
	Litmus milk rendered acid or unchanged
116.	Organisms causing broncho-pneumonia in rodents and sometimes associated with
	canine distemperBrucella
	Other than above
	(including Agrobacterium radiobacter)

117.	Small circular translucent colonies; mucoid; or		
	liculosis in rabbits		Toguchia
	Not as above		mobacter
	Cocci		
	Rods and cocco-bacilli		120
119.	Kidney shaped diplococci		l eisseria
	Cocci in clusters; average diameter 0.3 μ	Ve	rillonella
120.	Minute rod-shaped organisms which grow only in		
	or ascitic fluid; found in the upper respiratory		
	Not as above		
121.	Sporing rods		stridium
	Non-sporing rods		
122.	Plant pathogens		
	Not plant pathogens		
123.	Peritrichiate flagella		
		Bacterium (
	Polar flagella	Phytomonas	(5th ed.)
		Pseudomonas (
124.	Long tapered cells, 3 to 16 μ with distinct gran	ules; grow poorly on ordina	ry meat
	infusion agar		
	Never more than 3 μ long; rounded ends; pleome		

SUMMARY

A mechanical key for the identification of bacteria in the Suborder *Eubacteri*ineae and the Order *Actinomycetales* is submitted. Attention is drawn to the necessity for precise definitions for species and genera.

The key submitted in this paper was originally prepared as an alternative to the keys provided in the fifth edition of Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology for placing bacteria into their respective genera. It has since been amended to cover the sixth edition of the Manual and is being submitted in the hope that it will be generally tested and criticized and that its deficiencies will be rectified by suggestions from workers experienced in particular fields.

Directions for the procedure adopted in describing bacteria are available in the majority of textbooks but there are no directions for the application of the information to the identification of the organisms. The only attempt to supply this guidance which covers all types of bacteria and related microörganisms is to be found in Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology. The attempt has not been very successful. The fault in the Manual keys lies in the attempt to combine a system of classification with a system for identification. An alternative method, which effectively separates the two systems and should benefit both, is suggested in this paper. The key proposed will be capable of marked improvement when bacteriologists provide more adequate descriptions of many listed species and genera but in the meantime it should serve a useful purpose.

Acknowledgments. I wish to convey my thanks to Mr. St. John Kent, now Principal of the School of Dairy Technology, Werribee, Victoria, for the interest he displayed in the preparation of the key in his laboratory at the Department of Agriculture and Stock, Queensland. I wish also to thank Professor S. D. Rubbo, Professor of Bacteriology, University of Melbourne; Professor J. S. Turner, Pro-

fessor of Botany, University of Melbourne and Dr. Nancy Hayward, Lecturer in Bacteriology, University of Melbourne for their comments and criticism.

REFERENCES

- 1 Bergey, D. H., Breed, R. S., Murray, E. G. D., and Hitchens, A. P. 1939 Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 5th ed., London. Bailliere, Tindall and Cox.
- 2 Breed, R. S., Murray, E. G. D., and Hitchens, A. P. 1948 Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 6th ed. Baltimore. Williams and Wilkins.
- 3 Wilson, G. S., and Miles, A. A. 1946 Topley and Wilson's Principles of Bacteriology and Immunity, 3rd ed. London. Edward Arnold.
- 4 VAN NIEL, C. B. 1946 Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology, 11, 285-301.