
These are electronic appendices to the Biology Letter by Koehler *et al.* 2004 How well does second-to-fourth-digit ratio in hands correlate with other indications of masculinity in males? *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* B (Suppl.) **271**, S286–S289. (DOI 10.1098/rsbl.2004.0163.)

Electronic appendices are refereed with the text. However, no attempt has been made to impose a uniform editorial style on the electronic appendices.


Electronic Appendix A

<u>Table 2.</u> Descriptive statistics of all variables assessed. Face and body ratings were made on a scale from 1-7 (1 = not masculine, 7 = very masculine).

	N	M	SD
average	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>
left 2D:			
4D males	94	0.96	0.03
average right 2D :			
4D males	94	0.96	0.03
average	٥.	0.00	0.00
left 2D:			
4D			
females	100	0.97	0.03
average right 2D :			
4D			
females	100	0.98	0.04
body			
masculinity			
rated by males	93	4.53	0.75
body	90	4.55	0.75
masculinity			
rated by			
females	93	4.32	1.01
face masculinity			
rate by			
males	88	4.78	0.69
face			
masculinity			
rate by females	88	4.40	0.91
left testis	00	4.40	0.91
volume			
(cm ³)	43	24.2	18.5
right testis			
volume (cm³)	43	23.1	12.9
,	43	۷۵.۱	12.9
factor	00	0.63	0.04
score	88	0.63	0.84



<u>Figure 1.</u> Relationships between rated facial masculinity (by females) and left average 2D:4D, right average 2D:4D and right average 2D:4D for male participants (N = 88).



<u>Figure 2.</u> Relationships between rated facial masculinity (by males) and left average 2D:4D, right average 2D:4D and right average 2D:4D for male participants (N=88).