

et al. 1967, Schmid *et al.* 1969). In large intestine, however, studies on patients with ulcerative colitis have shown that the potential is often reversed in the diseased areas. This is found when the disease is active and the potential does not always return to normal until some considerable time after an acute attack (Edmonds 1970). In some patients, despite the absence of ulceration, grossly abnormal PD measurements were persistent for months.

Finally, something needs to be said about electric current measurements. The observed potential depends on an electric current provided by the flow of charged particles or ions, and a resistance provided by the permeability barriers of the epithelium. Change of the potential results either from change of current or from change of resistance; measurement of potential alone does not reveal which is responsible. To measure current, techniques developed for studies with other tissues have been applied to intestinal epithelium. In general these are *in vitro* techniques and although measurements can be made in living animals (Edmonds & Marriott 1970) they are not practicable in man.

With an *in vitro* method the electrical resistance of the epithelium can be measured easily if the tissue is mounted as a sheet with both sides bathed by solution in a chamber of the type described by Ussing & Zerahn (1951) which they originally introduced for amphibian skin studies. It is then possible to determine if a change of potential results from altered tissue resistance or whether it reflects a change in ionic transport. In addition to observations on resistance, the current generated by the tissue can be measured and can give information about which ions are chiefly responsible for the potential. When the solutions bathing the epithelium are of identical composition and a current is passed so as to reduce the transepithelial potential to zero, then the value of this so-called short-circuit current must be equal to the sum of all active ionic transport occurring in the epithelium. If at the same time, using radioisotope methods, the actual transport rates of ions are measured, the net ionic movements can be compared with the current. Thus, how much each ion is contributing to the current can be deduced. It is possible, therefore, by using this method to see how changes of potential due to the action of hormones, drugs, substrates, &c., depend on alterations in tissue resistance or depend on changes in the net movement of the various species of ions being actively transported. Clearly such techniques offer considerable assistance in interpreting potential measurements and potential variations under a variety of conditions; unfortunately the practical difficulties have so far confined their use mainly to the *in vitro* situation and so restricted their application.

In summary, therefore, the electrical potential that is measured in the intestine may arise from several sources. The diffusion potentials and osmotically-induced potentials occur in non-living systems, but of particular significance to us are the transfer potentials which are unique to biological systems and associated with active transport. As outlined above, the magnitude of the transfer potentials is dependent on a variety of factors, for example various metabolic and hormonal influences, the part of intestine where measurements are done, and the composition both in regard to electrolytes and nonelectrolytes of the solutions bathing the tissue. If any meaningful interpretation of potential measurements is to be made, these many influences must be defined.

REFERENCES

- Crane R K (1968) In: Handbook of Physiology, Ed. C F Code. Washington; Section 6, p 1323
 Edmonds C J (1970) *Gut* 11, 867
 Edmonds C J & Marriott J C (1967) *J. Endocr.* 39, 517
 (1968) *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 194, 457
 (1970) *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 210, 1021
 Edmonds C J & Richards P (1970) *Lancet* ii, 624
 Norris H T, Schultz S G, Curran P F & Finkelstein R A (1967) *J. infect. Dis.* 117, 193
 Sacher D B, Saha J R & Hare K W (1967) *Fed. Proc.* 26, 384
 Schmid W C, Phillips S F & Summerskill W H J (1969) *J. Lab. clin. Med.* 73, 772
 Schultz S G & Zalusky R (1964) *J. gen. Physiol.* 47, 1043
 Smyth D H (1965) *Symp. Soc. exp. Biol.* 19, 307
 Smyth D H & Wright E M (1966) *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 182, 591
 Ussing H H & Zerahn K (1951) *Acta physiol. scand.* 23, 110

Professor O M Wrong (*Department of Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee, DDI 4HN*)

Intestinal Handling of Urea and Ammonia

The origins of intestinal ammonia are several (Fig 1):

- (1) Ingested ammonia. Except when ammonium chloride or ammonium cycle resins are taken by mouth, the amount ingested is likely to be small. Analysis of faecal dialysate (Metcalf-Gibson *et al.* 1967) shows no increase in ammonia concentration after ingestion of ammonium salts; the ammonia is probably absorbed high in the small intestine where the pH is favourable to non-ionic diffusion.
- (2) Peptic digestion of protein yields some ammonia from glutamine residues (Melville 1935, Webster *et al.* 1958).
- (3) Autolysis of bacterial protoplasm in the colon probably provides some ammonia, for the ammonia content of faeces steadily increases after they are shed (Ing & Wrong, unpublished) and the precursor cannot be urea which is not present in normal faeces.
- (4) The main source of intestinal ammonia is undoubtedly bacterial hydrolysis of urea. Urea

