Our experience with these investigations has been largely restricted to adolescents with suspected hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism, often in combination with growth hormone deficiency. Although hepatic, renal, and adrenocorticotrophic reserves are expectedly higher in this age group, we still observe appreciable adverse manifestations, including nausea, sweating, and tachycardia, with the standard doses of thyrotrophin releasing hormone, luteinising hormone releasing hormone, and soluble insulin that we use in our unit. When concomitant deficiency of gonadotrophin and growth hormone is suspected interpreting the total pituitary function profile becomes far more complicated and frustrating. Often results are unsatisfactory with minimal clinical value in the overall management of the patient.

Inconclusive results may erroneously be attributed to an "inadequately" stressed pituitary gland, which may result in an overwhelming desire to repeat the investigation with higher doses of pituitary stimulants. Our view is that such temptations must be firmly overruled. Even for this age group the hazards associated with such higher doses outweigh any potential clinical benefits.

In such circumstances obtaining the growth hormone profile after exercise may be beneficial. Above all, clinical sense and competence must prevail above potentially dangerous laboratory investigation. In a considerable proportion of our patients with confirmed growth hormone deficiency fusion of epiphysial cartilage would have been completed. Growth hormone replacement therapy seems immaterial in such patients. Gonadotrophin deficiency is treated with the combined contraceptive pill, pulsatile ovarian stimulation with luteinising hormone releasing hormone, or nothing.

The safety guidelines recommended by the authors are valuable. But the fact that two of their cases occurred in dedicated endocrine units and one presumably in a district general hospital hardly justifies their conclusion that the risks must be much higher in non-specialist units. The unpredictable nature of complications of pituitary stress tests is well recognised.

In our district general hospital all procedures are performed in a day central treatment unit, with flexibility for extended admission on demand, that is located close to the intensive therapy unit. The nursing staff have considerable experience; we believe that both their early recognition of potential complications and their intervention are paramount with regard to optimal outcome. In addition, we strictly observe our unit's policy of giving the intensive therapy unit early warning that the test is imminent. We consider that these factors deserve far more emphasis irrespective of the location of the clinical setting, and they certainly seem possible in every district general hospital providing laboratory services of this nature.

> T C A BOTO G THOMAS R M ELTREKI

Ipswich Hospital, Ipswich IP4 5PD

 Shah A, Stanhope R, Matthew D. Hazards of pharmacological tests of growth hormone secretion in childhood. *BMJ* 1992; 304:173-4. (18 January.)

Failed hip replacements

SIR,—We endorse several of the sentiments expressed by R N Villar in the editorial on failed hip replacements.¹ The apparent success of total hip replacement has extended its indications, and as a result we can expect ever increasing numbers of revisions.

At our centre the probability of survival of a Charnley hip replacement after 10, 15, and 20 years is 91%, 87%, and 82% respectively.² These

results are comparable with those in other published series. The consequence of such figures, taking into account patients' survival, is at least five revision procedures per 100 primary arthroplasties. In 1991 we performed 56 revision hip arthroplasties at an estimated mean unit cost three times that of a primary arthroplasty. Extrapolation of these figures suggests that every 100 primary arthroplasties will result in a minimum potential extra expenditure equivalent to 15 primary arthroplasties. The Swedish experience of two less successful prostheses gave probabilities of survival of 63% and 28% at 10 years.3 The burden of revision accruing from such poor results has worrying financial implications, especially under market constraint.

The wide range of currently available prostheses tempts surgeons with new design features, but follow up data sufficient for analysis of survival are available for only a few prosthetic designs. Undoubtedly, technical skill and choice of prosthesis at primary arthroplasty determine outcome. These factors are even more relevant at revision.

While revisions increase in numbers, complexity, and expense we believe that some financial provision should be made at primary arthroplasty for the likelihood of revision surgery, which may be performed at a specialist centre. This figure can be calculated only for prostheses with a documented probability of survival and surgery of certain quality. We conservatively estimate this to be an addition of about 15% to the cost of a primary total hip arthroplasty.

RONAN TREACY SIMON CARTER PETER GRIGORIS PAUL PYNSENT

Royal Orthopaedic Hospital, Birmingham B31 2AP

- 1 Villar R. Failed hip replacements. BMJ 1992;304:3-4. (4
- January.) 2 Carter SR, Pynsent PB, McMinn DWJ. Greater than ten year survivorship of Charnley low friction arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint* Surg (Br) 1986;68(suppl 1):71.
- 3 Ahnfelt L, Herbert SP, Malchua H, Andersson GBJ. Prognosis of total hip replacement. Acta Orth Scand [Suppl] 1990;61: 1-26.

SIR,—In an editorial R N Villar drew attention to the increasing number of revision hip arthroplasties being performed in the United Kingdom.¹

The need for a considerable proportion of these revision procedures could be delayed or avoided. Any measure or technique proved clinically to reduce the rate of complications in hip arthroplasty should be used at the primary intervention. Uncemented components have not been shown to improve the long term results of hip arthroplasty, and many designs have caused considerable early morbidity. With cemented total hip replacement improved techniques are frequently not used by the operating surgeon.² Prophylactic measures taken against early complications such as deep infection or venous thrombosis are often not optimal. Likewise, although it is known that the surgical technique used at operation will affect the longevity of the prosthesis,3 improved methods are often not practised.2

Fractures of acrylic cement and loss of bone stock are mentioned in the editorial as causes of loosening' whereas they are often a consequence of the failure of mechanical fixation of the implant. Refinements in surgical technique such as cleaning the bone, intramedullary plugging, and applying pressure to the cement have been shown clinically to improve mechanical interlock and longevity of the prosthesis.' They should be used routinely.

At 10 year follow up there is a 20-fold difference in the incidence of loosening of the femoral component after cemented hip arthroplasty in the absence of sepsis.⁴⁵ Reports from a surgeon's personal series of operations⁶ are generally better than those reflecting collective experience at institutions.⁷⁸ Results from centres with a specialised interest in hip arthroplasty are comparable with those from a single surgeon. $^{\rm v\,10}$

Villar should be supported in the call for revision hip surgery to be carried out by specialists. The need for revision surgery would be diminished if a greater proportion of the more complex primary hip arthroplasties and operations on patients under 60 were also performed in specialist centres or by surgeons with a specific interest in the technique.

A J TIMPERLEY

G A GIE

Princess Elizabeth Orthopaedic Hospital, Exeter EX2 4UE

- 1 Villar RN. Failed hip replacements. *BMJ* 1992;304:3-4. (4 Ianuary.)
- 2 Timperley AJ, Jones PR, Roosen R, Porter ML. Their hip in your hands—what is the contemporary total hip? J Bone Joint Surg [Br] 1991;73:71-2.
- Lee AJC, Ling RSM. Loosening. In: Ling RSM, ed. Complications of total hip replacement. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1984:110-45.
- 4 Sutherland CJ, Wilde AH, Borden LS, Marks KE. A ten year follow-up of 100 consecutive Muller curved-stem total hip arthroplasties. *J Bone Joint Surg (Am)* 1982;64:970-82.
- 5 Older J. Low-friction arthroplasty of the hip. A 10-12 year follow-up study. *Clin Orthop* 1986;211:36-42.
 6 Eftekhar NS, Tzitzikalakis GI. Failures and re-operations
- 5 Eftekhar NS, Tzitzikalakis GI. Failures and re-operations following low-friction arthroplasty of the hip. *Clin Orthop* 1986;211:65-78.
- 7 Stauffer RN. Ten-year follow-up study of total hip replacement. J Bone Joint Surg [Am] 1982;64:983-90.
- 8 Dall DM, Grobbelaar CJ, Learmonth ID, Dall G. Charnley low-friction arthroplasty of the hip. Long term results in South Africa. *Clin Orthop* 1986;211:85-90.
- South Africa. Clin Orthop 1986;211:85-90.
 9 Eftekhar NS. Charnley low friction "torque" arthroplasty. Clin Orthop 1971;81:93.
- 10 Charley J, Cupic Z. The nine and ten year results of the low-friction arthroplasty of the hip. *Clin Orthop* 1973;95:9.

Voice after laryngectomy

SIR,—In his editorial on voice after laryngectomy Michael Gleeson refers to the inferiority of radiotherapy compared with primary surgery in locally advanced (T_3,N_0,M_0) disease.¹ We disagree for several reasons.

T₃,N₀,M₀ laryngeal cancer is a heterogeneous entity including disease ranging from small glottic lesions fixing the vocal cords to large transglottic tumours fixing the entire hemilarynx. Therefore no single treatment is necessarily appropriate for all cases. In this and many other British centres the primary management of almost all laryngeal cancer including T₃,N₀,M₀ disease is with radical radiotherapy. The patient is then followed up closely at a combined otolaryngology and oncology clinic, and salvage laryngectomy is performed if indicated. Gleeson supports his preference for primary surgery by referring to a retrospective study by Kaplan et al of just 10 cases of T₃,N₀,M₀ laryngeal cancer treated with primary radical radiotherapy.2 It is clearly preferable to base policy decisions on larger studies. In a recent large unselected series of 376 cases from this centre the five year cause specific survival for all stages was 75.5% (J M Heywood et al, unpublished observations). Of the 99 patients with T₃ tumours (83 were T_3 , N_0), 23 subsequently required salvage laryngectomy, with a five year cause specific survival of 66% (R P Crellin et al, unpublished observations).

Harwood *et al*, in a review of 144 selected cases of $T_{3,}N_0,M_0$ glottic cancer, showed that local control and cause specific survival after primary radiotherapy with surgical salvage did not differ significantly from those after primary surgery.³ This policy was particularly effective for female patients, with a local control rate of 92%.

It is misleading to state that only up to one in five patients choose radiotherapy in preference to surgery, particularly as in McNeil *et al*'s study the subjects were not patients but healthy people.⁴ Although all patients are theoretically able to make an informed choice of alternatives, most will be guided by their specialist, whose personal preferences or prejudices will inevitably influence