# Differential sensitivity of antinociceptive assays to the bradykinin antagonist Hoe 140

Christopher G. Heapy, 'John S. Shaw & Susannah C. Farmer

Bioscience 1, ICI Pharmaceuticals, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK1O 4TG

The antinociceptive activity of the bradykinin (BK)  $BK_2$  receptor antagonist D-Arg-[Hyp<sup>3</sup>,Thi<sup>5</sup>,D-Tic<sup>7</sup>,Oic<sup>8</sup>JBK (Hoe 140) was determined in a range of mouse abdominal constriction assays.

2 Hoe 140 potently inhibited the response induced by i.p. injection of 10  $\mu$ g BK/mouse, and 1  $\mu$ g BK/mouse in mice pre-sensitized by i.p injection of prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> (PGE<sub>2</sub>). The ED<sub>50</sub> values in these assays were 1.9 and  $3.7 \,\mu g$  kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. This confirms that Hoe 140 is a potent antagonist of BK in vivo.

3 Hoe <sup>140</sup> produced potent, but incomplete inhibition of the responses evoked by i.p injection of kaolin or 0.25% acetic acid. ED<sub>25</sub> values in these assays were 2.7 and 16.1  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup>, and the maximum inhibition produced was 60% and 70% respectively.

4 At doses up to  $1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ , Hoe 140 was completely ineffective against the abdominal constriction response induced by zymosan. In contrast, morphine, ibuprofen and indomethacin had similar potencies against zymosan, kaolin and acetic acid-induced abdominal constriction.

5 Although zymosan, acetic acid and kaolin all produce qualitatively similar responses, it is appears that they achieve this by different mechanisms. The extent to which BK is involved as <sup>a</sup> mediator differs between the various types of abdominal constriction assay.

Keywords: Analgesia; bradykinin antagonist; BK<sub>2</sub> receptor; abdominal constriction; indomethacin; ibuprofen

# Introduction

Injection of bradykinin (BK) or its application to a blister base causes pain in human volunteers (Whalley et al., 1987). Moreover, significant levels of BK are found at sites of inflammation (Melmon et al., 1967). This has led to speculation that BK antagonists may be useful for the relief of inflammatory pain. However, the extent to which BK contributes to the pain associated with pathological conditions remains to be determined. Although there are significant amounts of BK in inflammatory exudates, other mediators such as prostaglandin  $E_2$  (PGE<sub>2</sub>) and PGI<sub>2</sub> are also present. The relative contribution of these mediators to pain and hyperalgesia remains largely unknown.

In animal models it had been established that BK is involved in the pain and hyperalgesia caused by a variety of irritant substances. The hyperalgesia which follows the injection of sodium urate crystals into the rat paw is attenuated by the  $BK_2$  receptor antagonists D-Arg- $[Hyp^3,D-Phe']BK$ (NPC 567) and D-Arg- $[Hyp^3, Thi^{5,8}]$ , D-Phe<sup>7</sup>]BK (NPC 349). These same compounds have also been reported to reduce the abdominal constriction response induced by intraperitoneal injection of 0.6% acetic acid (Steranka et al., 1987). However, the low potency of these antagonists, and their susceptibility to peptidases has severely limited further investigation of their antinociceptive efficacy.

Recently a much more potent and stable  $BK<sub>2</sub>$  receptor antagonist has become available. In radiogland binding studies D-Arg-[Hyp<sup>3</sup>,Thi<sup>3</sup>,D-Tic<sup>2</sup>,Oic<sup>8</sup>]BK (Hoe 140) was<br>reported to displace BK with a K<sub>i</sub> value of  $8 \times 10^{-10}$  M (Hock et al., 1991). In isolated tissue assays, Hoe 140 antagonized the action of BK at the BK<sub>2</sub>-receptor with  $pA_2$  values ranging from 9.3 in the rat uterus to 8.1 in the guinea-pig ileum (Lembeck et al., 1991; Hock et al., 1991). The compound was reported to be devoid of activity at the  $BK<sub>1</sub>$  receptor (Hock et al., 1991). When administered to rats, Hoe <sup>140</sup> inhibited

the hypotensive response to intravenous BK for up to <sup>5</sup> h (Wirth et al., 1991). This compound therefore presents the first opportunity to evaluate in detail the involvement of BK in animal models of antinociceptive activity.

The aim of this study was to determine the antinociceptive activity of Hoe <sup>140</sup> in the abdominal constriction model. A range of stimuli were employed. The BK-induced response was used to determine the dose of Hoe 140 required to antagonize BK receptors in vivo. Other studies employed kaolin, which is reported to activate the kallikrein-kinin system (Fujiyoshi et al., 1989b,c), and zymosan which activates the complement cascade and induces PGI<sub>2</sub> synthesis (Rampart et al., 1981; Doherty et al., 1987). The precise mechanism by which dilute acetic acid induces the abdominal constriction response has yet to be elucidated. However, in common with zymosan and kaolin, intraperitoneal injection of acetic acid induces the synthesis of cyclo-oxygenase products, and the resulting abdominal constriction response is attenuated by cyclo-oxygenase inhibitors (Doherty et al., 1987; Fujiyoshi et al., 1989a; Deraedt et al., 1980). A preliminary account of this work has already been published (Heapy et al., 1991).

# **Methods**

All studies were conducted on groups of 10 female mice of the Alderley Park strain weighing between 18 and 25 g. Each experiment was repeated on at least 3 separate occasions. Drugs were dissolved in saline, to which a small amount of  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  was added in the case of indomethacin and ibuprofen (final pH 7.0-7.4). All compounds were administered subcutaneously in a volume of  $10 \text{ ml kg}^{-1}$  30 min before challenge with the constriction-inducing agent.

The abdominal constriction response was induced by injecting 0.4 ml of either acetic acid (0.25% v/v), kaolin  $(7.5 \text{ mg m} \text{m}^{-1})$ , zymosan  $(2.4 \text{ mg m} \text{m}^{-1})$  or bradykinin  $(25 \mu g)$  $ml^{-1}$ ). When BK was used in combination with PGE<sub>2</sub>, mice were injected with 0.2 ml PGE<sub>2</sub> (0.5  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>) 15 min prior to

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Author for correspondence.

# a 0.2 ml challenge with BK  $(5 \mu g \text{ ml}^{-1})$ .

With the exception of groups challenged with BK, the responses were counted over a 15 min period by a trained observer who pressed the appropriate key on a microcomputer each time a response occurred. The computer recorded the time at which each response was logged, allowing subsequent analysis of the time course of the response.  $ED_{50}$  values (dose to reduce the number of responses to 50% of control) were calculated by linear regression from the data accumulated over the full 15 min observation period.

Because the response to BK was transient, the BK-treated groups were observed for 30 <sup>s</sup> following challenge, and the number of mice responding during this period was recorded. ED50 values (dose which protected 50% of animals) were calculated by the method of Litchfield & Wilcoxon (1949).

#### Materials

D-Arg-[Hyp<sup>3</sup>,Thi<sup>5</sup>,D-Tic<sup>7</sup>Oic<sup>8</sup>]BK (Hoe 140) was synthesized by Dr R. Cotton (ICI Pharmaceuticals). Other materials were<br>obtained from commercial sources: morphine from obtained from commercial sources: Macfarlan-Smith, Edinburgh; zymosan, PGE<sub>2</sub>, bradykinin, indomethacin and ibuprofen from Sigma, Poole, England.

# **Results**

# Antagonism of bradykinin by Hoe 140

To determine the doses of Hoe 140 required to antagonize the actions of BK in vivo, the ability of the compound to inhibit BK-induced abdominal constriction responses was determined. Two protocols were employed. In the first, responses were induced by the intraperitoneal injection of  $10 \mu$ g BK. In the second, mice were pretreated with  $PGE_2$ (100 ng, i.p.) <sup>15</sup> min prior to BK injection. The effect of the  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  was to sensitize the mice, thus allowing the dose of BK to be reduced ten fold to  $1 \mu g$ .

Animals given  $PGE_2$  (100 ng, i.p.) alone did not exhibit the abdominal constriction response (data not shown). In both assays Hoe 140 produced a dose-related inhibition and completely abolished the response at a dose of 30  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> (Figure la, Table 1).

## Antinociceptive tests

Antinociceptive activity was measured in three abdominal constriction models employing acetic acid, zymosan and kaolin as stimuli. Preliminary experiments were conducted to select concentrations of the three algesic agents which produced similar levels of response. At the chosen concentrations, the mean number of responses per 15 min observation period was  $15.6 \pm 0.8$  for zymosan,  $18.5 \pm 0.9$  for acetic acid and  $18.3 \pm 0.7$  for kaolin. Further confirmation that the three agents produce stimuli of similar intensity is provided



Figure <sup>1</sup> Dose-response curves: (a) Inhibition by Hoe 140 of the percentage of mice exhibiting an abdominal constriction response after 10  $\mu$ g bradykinin (BK)/mouse ( $\blacktriangle$ ), or 1  $\mu$ g BK/mouse in mice pretreated 15 min earlier with 100 ng  $PGE_2$  ( $\blacksquare$ ). (b, c, d) Inhibition by Hoe 140 ( $\blacksquare$ ), indomethacin ( $\spadesuit$ ), ibuprofen ( $\Box$ ) and morphine  $(\triangle)$  of the number of abdominal constriction responses induced by (b) 0.25% acetic acid. (c) kaolin and (d) zymosan. All compounds were injected subcutaneously 30 min before challenge. Data points represent the mean of <sup>3</sup> experiments, each of which employed groups of 10 mice; vertical bars show s.e.mean.

by the finding that morphine had similar potency in each assay (Figure 1).

## Antinociceptive activity of Hoe 140

Hoe 140 produced potent inhibition of the acetic acid induced-abdominal constriction response (Figure lb). However, the bradykinin antagonist was unable to inhibit the response completely. The highest dose tested  $(1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ produced a maximum inhibition of 60-70%. In contrast, morphine was able to abolish the response. An unexpected finding was the difference between the two cyclo-oxygenase

Table 1 Potencies of Hoe 140 and standard antinociceptive agents in abdominal constriction models

		$ED_{50}$ (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> , s.c.) and 95% confidence interval			
	Hoe 140	<b>Morphine</b>	Indomethacin	<b>Ibuprofen</b>	
Zymosan	NA	0.17 $(0.12 - 0.25)$	0.52 $(0.31 - 0.78)$	13.4 $(6.3 - 22.4)$	
Acetic acid	0.016 <sup>a</sup> $(0.008 - 0.024)$	0.33 $(0.21 - 0.53)$	0.47 $(0.18 - 2.51)$	3.8 $(1.1 - 7.9)$	
Kaolin	$0.0027$ <sup>*</sup> $(0.002 - 0.004)$	0.27 $(0.20 - 0.35)$	0.86 $(0.49 - 1.66)$	12.3 $(6.9 - 20.8)$	
<b>Bradykinin</b>	0.0019 $(0.001 - 0.004)$				
<b>Bradykinin</b> $+$ PGE <sub>2</sub>	0.0037 $(0.003 - 0.005)$				

 ${}^{\circ}ED_{25}$  values quoted.

inhibitors. Whilst ibuprofen was able to abolish the response, indomethacin appeared capable of inhibiting it by only 70%.

Similar findings were obtained when kaolin was used as the stimulus (Figure Ic). Only a portion of the response (60%) was sensitive to Hoe 140, whilst both ibuprofen and morphine produced complete inhibition. As in the acetic acid assay, a small portion of the response appeared to be insensitive to indomethacin.

In marked contrast to the other assays, Hoe 140 was completely without effect against zymosan-induced abdominal constriction (Figure id). Morphine, indomethacin and ibuprofen all abolished the response at doses similar to those which were effective in the other assays.

## Time course studies

In addition to measuring the total number of responses during the 15 min observation period, the time at which each response occurred was also recorded. The time courses following injection of acetic acid (Figure 2), kaolin (Figure 3) and zymosan (not shown) were broadly similar. The response to each agent peaked 4 to 5 min after injection, and slowly declined thereafter. The rate at which the acetic acid response decayed was somewhat slower than with the other two agents, and the response appeared to be biphasic, with an early peak occurring 4 min after injection followed by a less intense but more sustained response between 8 and 13 min.

Hoe 140 reduced both the early and late parts of the acetic acid response, but even at the highest dose the compound was unable to suppress completely either component (Figure 2a). In contrast, when kaolin was used to induce the response



Figure 2 Time course for the inhibition of acetic acid-induced abdominal constriction response by (a) Hoe 140, (b) indomethacin, (c) morphine and (d) ibuprofen. (a)  $(\blacksquare)$  Vehicle;  $(\blacklozenge)$  Hoe 140  $0.03$  mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, ( $\blacktriangle$ )  $0.1$  mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, ( $\square$ )  $0.3$  mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and ( $\diamond$ ) 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. (b) ( $\blacksquare$ ) Vehicle; ( $\blacklozenge$ ) indomethacin 0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, ( $\blacktriangle$ ) 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and ( $\square$ ) 3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. (c) ( $\square$ ) Vehicle; ( $\Leftrightarrow$ ) morphine 0.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, ( $\blacktriangle$ )  $1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  and  $(\Box)$   $3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ . (d)  $(\Box)$  Vehicle;  $(\Diamond)$  ibuprofen  $3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ , ( $\blacktriangle$ )  $10 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ , ( $\square$ )  $30 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ , ( $\diamond$ )  $100 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ .



Figure 3 Time course for the inhibition of kaolin-induced abdominal constriction response by (a) Hoe 140, (b) indomethacin, (c) morphine and (d) ibuprofen. (a) Vehicle  $(\blacksquare)$ ;  $(\blacklozenge)$  Hoe 140 0.01 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, ( $\triangle$ ) 0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, ( $\square$ ) 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. (b) ( $\square$ ) Vehicle; ( $\blacklozenge$ ) indomethacin  $0.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ , ( $\triangle$ )  $1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  and ( $\square$ )  $3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ . (c) (1) Vehicle; ( $\blacklozenge$ ) morphine 0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, ( $\blacktriangle$ ) 0.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, ( $\square$ )  $1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ . (d) ( $\blacksquare$ ) Vehicle; ( $\blacklozenge$ ) ibuprofen  $3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ , ( $\blacktriangle$ )  $10 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ , ( $\Box$ )  $30 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ , and ( $\diamond$ )  $100 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ .

(Figure 3a), Hoe 140 abolished the effect at early time points, but was without any significant effect from 7 min onwards.

The difference in efficacy between indomethacin and ibuprofen is also apparent in the time course data. In both the acetic acid and the kaolin assays the cyclo-oxygenase inhibitors were less effective against the early part of the response than against the later component. However, whilst the early response was inhibited by higher doses of ibuprofen, a significant proportion of the response appeared to be completely resistant to indomethacin.

### **Discussion**

The first part of this study clearly demonstrates that Hoe 140 is a potent antagonist of bradykinin following subcutaneous administration to the mouse. This confirms and extends earlier findings in the dog, guinea-pig and rat (Wirth et al., 1991; Lembeck et al., 1991, Bao et al., 1991). Hoe <sup>140</sup> therefore represents a valuable tool with which to investigate the role of bradykinin in other in vivo assays.

Earlier studies using BK antagonists such as D-Arg-  $[Hyp<sup>3</sup>,D-Phe<sup>7</sup>]BK$  and D-Arg- $[Hyp<sup>3</sup>,Thi<sup>5,8</sup>,D-Phe<sup>7</sup>]BK$  have revealed that BK antagonists display antinociceptive activity against BK-induced and urate-induced hyperalgesia of the rat paw (Steranka et al., 1988) and in an acetic acid-induced abdominal constriction assay (Steranka et al., 1987). In the latter study, these compounds failed to inhibit totally the response to acetic acid. Given the relatively low potency and short duration of action of these early antagonists, it was impossible to determine whether this remaining response was due to mediators other than BK, or due to the poor pharmacokinetics of the antagonists used. The present study clarifies this point. At doses thirty times greater than were required to abolish BK-induced responses, Hoe 140 produced only 70% inhibition of the acetic acid-induced response. This clearly demonstrates that BK is <sup>a</sup> major component, but not the only mediator of the acetic acid response. However, there is little evidence from the time course study of any temporal separation between the components of the acetic acid response.

The effects seen against kaolin were qualitatively similar to those obtained with acetic acid. The proportion of the response that was sensitive to Hoe 140 was similar to both assays. It has previously been reported that the kaolininduced abdominal constriction response is abolished by soyabean trypsin inhibitor, a non-specific kallikrein inhibitor (Fujiyoshi et al., 1989c). This would imply that the response is almost entirely due to BK. The present finding that a significant proportion of the response is insensitive to a potent antagonist at the  $BK_2$  receptor could be interpreted as suggesting the action of BK involves both  $BK_1$  and  $BK_2$ receptors. Hoe 140 is not an antagonist at the  $BK<sub>1</sub>$  site (Hock et al., 1991). Alternatively, additional mediators could contribute to the response. Data from the time course study, which show that Hoe 140 abolished the kaolin response only at early time points  $(< 6$  min), confirm that this response consists of more than one component.

Of particular interest is the finding that Hoe 140 had no effect against the zymosan-induced response. Nevertheless, in all three assays the response was inhibited by cyclooxygenase inhibitors. The most obvious interpretation is that a cyclo-oxygenase product is the final common mediator produced by all stimuli, but in the kaolin and acetic acid models, prostanoid synthesis is, at least in part, secondary to kinin generation. The prostanoid synthesis induced by zymosan is independent of the kinin system. This hypothesis is consistent with earlier observations that acetic acid, kaolin and zymosan all induce the release of prostanoids into the peritoneal cavity (Deraedt et al., 1980; Doherty et al., 1987; Fujiyoshi et al., 1989a). It has also been shown that kinin

## References

- BAO, G., QADRI, F., STAUSS, B., STAUSS, H., GOHLKE, P. & UNGER, T. (1991). Hoe 140, a new highly potent and long-acting bradykinin antagonist in conscious rats. Eur. J. Pharmacol., 200, 179- 182.
- DAMAS, J., BOURDON, V., REMACLE-VOLON, G. & ADAM, A. (1990). Kinins and peritoneal exudates induced by carrageenin and zymosan in rats. Br. J. Pharmacol., 101, 418-422.
- DERAEDT, R., JOUQUEY, S., DELEVALLEE, F. & FLAHAUT, M. (1980). Release of prostaglandins E and F in an algogenic reaction and its inhibition. Eur. J. Pharmacol., 61, 17-24.
- DOHERTY, N.S., BEAVER, T.H., CHAN, K.Y., COUTANT, J.E. & WES-TRICH, G.L. (1987). The role of prostaglandins in the nociceptive response induced by intraperitoneal injection of zymosan in mice.
- Br. J. Pharmacol., 91, 39-47. DUNN, P.M. & RANG, H.P. (1990). Bradykinin-induced depolarization of primary afferent nerve terminals in the neonatal rat spinal cord in vitro. Br. J. Pharmacol., 100, 656-660.
- FERREIRA, S.H., MONCADA, S. & VANE, J.R. (1973). Prostaglandins and the mechanism of analgesia produced by aspirin-like drugs.
- Br. J. Pharmacol., 49, 86-97. FUJIYOSHI, T., DOZEN, M., IKEDA, K. & OH-ISHI, S. (1989a). Involvement of prostaglandins in kaolin-induced writhing reaction in mice. J. Pharmacobio-Dyn,, 12, 476-482.
- FUJIYOSHI, T., HAYASHI, I. & OH-ISHI, S. (1989b). Kaolin-induced writhing response in mice: Activation of the plasma kallikreinkinin system by kaolin. *J. Pharmacobio-Dyn.*, 12, 483–487.
- FUJIYOSHI, T., KUWASHIMA, M., IIDA, H. & UEMATSU, T. (1989c). A new writhing model of factor XII activator-induced pain for assessment of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents. I. Kaolininduced writhing in mice. J. Pharmacobio-Dyn., 12, 132-136.
- FUJIYOSHI, T., DOZEN, M., IIDA, H., IKEDA, K., HAYASHI, I. & OH-ISHI, S. (1990). Demonstration of kinin release in the peritoneal exudate of kaolin-induced writhing in mice. Jpn. J. Pharmacol., 53, 255-258.

levels in the peritoneal cavity increase following injection of kaolin (Fujiyoshi et al., 1990), but do not increase in response to zymosan (Damas et al., 1990). Furthermore, Ferreira et al. (1973) have demonstrated that BK is <sup>a</sup> potent stimulus for prostaglandin release.

An interesting finding was the apparent difference in antinociceptive efficacy between two cyclo-oxygenase inhibitors, ibuprofen and indomethacin. This finding is in accord with an earlier study by Luttinger (1985) in which ibuprofen was shown to increase the reaction time of mice in response to dipping their tails in water at  $45^{\circ}$ C, whereas indomethacin was without effect. Since both indomethacin and ibuprofen are assumed to share a common mode of action, there is no simple explanation for these findings.

The results of this study demonstrate that kinins play a major role in the abdominal constriction response induced by acetic acid and kaolin, but are minimally involved in the response to zymosan. Since cyclo-oxygenase inhibitors were effective in all three assays, one interpretation of these findings is that BK antagonists will prove to be less effective in the treatment of pain than the non-steroidal antiinflammatory agents. On the other hand, it is known that kinins are present in synovial fluid from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Moreover, the concentrations of BK found in patients are comparable to those which have been shown to activate nociceptors (Dunn & Rang, 1990). On this basis it could reasonably be argued that kinins must at least contribute to arthritic pain. Thus a  $BK<sub>2</sub>$  receptor antagonist may prove to be an effective treatment for inflammatory pain, and the predictive value of the zymosan abdominal constriction assay would be called into question. The data available at present do not allow either of these possibilities to be dismissed.

Given the wide range of mediators produced at inflammatory sites, it is difficult to know whether removing the action of just one of these, BK, will provide a significant therapeutic benefit. It is likely that this question will only be answered when <sup>a</sup> BK antagonist is evaluated in man.

- HEAPY, C.G., FARMER, S.C. & SHAW, J.S. (1991). The inhibitory effects of HOE <sup>140</sup> in mouse abdominal constriction assays. Br. J. Pharmacol., 104, 455P.
- HOCK, F.J., WIRTH, K., ALBUS, U., LINZ, W., GERHARDS, H.J., WIEMER, G., HENKE, ST., BREIPOHL, G. KONIG, W., KNOLLE, J. & SCHOLKENS, B.A. (1991). Hoe 140 a new potent and long acting bradykinin antagonist: in vitro studies. Br. J. Pharmacol., 102, 769-773.
- LEMBECK, F., GRIESBACHER, T., ECKHARDT, M., HENKE, S., BREIPOHL, G. & KNOLLE, J. (1991). New, long-acting, potent bradykinin antagonists. Br. J. Pharmacol., 102, 297-304.
- LITCHFIELD, J.T. & WILCOXON, F. (1949). A simplified method of evaluating dose-effect experiments. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 96, 99-121.
- LUTTINGER, D. (1985). Determination of antinociceptive efficacy of drugs in mice using different water temperatures in a tailimmersion test. J. Pharmacol. Methods, 13, 351-357.
- MELMON, K.L., WEBSTER, M.E., GOLDFINGER, S.E. & SEEG-MILLER, J.E. (1967). The presence of a kinin in inflammatory effusions from arthritides of varying etiologies. Arth. Rheum., 10,  $13 - 20$ .
- RAMPART, M., BULT, H. & HERMAN, A.G. (1981). Effect of complement activation on the biosynthesis of prostacyclin (PGI2) by rabbit peritoneum in vitro. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn., 253, 327-329.
- STERANKA, L.R., DE HAAS, C.J., VAVREK, R.J., STEWART, J.M. ENNA, S.J. & SNYDER, S.H. (1987). Antinociceptive effects of bradykinin antagonists Eur. J. Pharmacol., 136, 261-262.
- STERANKA, L.R., MANNING, D.C., DE HAAS, C.J., FERKANY, J.W., BOROSKY, S.A., CONNOR, J.R., VAVREK, R.J., STEWART, J.M. & SNYDER, S.H. (1988). Bradykinin as a pain mediator: Receptors are localised to sensory neurones, and antagonists have analgesic actions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 85, 3245-3249.
- WHALLEY, E.T., CLEGG, S., STEWART, J.M. & VAVREK, R.J. (1987). The effect of kinin agonists and antagonists on the pain response of the human blister base. Naunyn-Schmiederbergs Arch. Pharmacol., 336, 652-655.
- WIRTH, K., HOCK, F.J., ALBUS, U., LINZ, W., ALPERMANN, H.G., ANAGNOSTOPOULOS, H., HENKE, ST., BREIPOHL, G., KONIG, W., KNOLLE, J. & SCHOLKENS, B.A. (1991). Hoe 140 a new potent and long acting bradykinin antagonist: in vivo studies. Br. J. Pharmacol., 102, 774-777.

(Received July 8, 1992 Revised August 21, 1992 Accepted September 11, 1992)