# Characterization of  $5-HT_3$  and 'atypical'  $5-HT$  receptors mediating guinea-pig ileal contractions in vitro

# 'R.M. Eglen, S.R. Swank, L.K.M. Walsh & R.L. Whiting

Institute of Pharmacology, Syntex Research, <sup>3401</sup> Hillview Ave., Palo Alto, CA 94304, U.S.A.

<sup>1</sup> Neuronal 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) receptors mediating contraction of guinea-pig ileal segments have been characterized in vitro by the use of methysergide to block  $5-HT_1$ -like and  $5-HT_2$  receptors. Concentration-response curves to 5-HT were biphasic (first phase, defined as those responses occurring between 1 nm and 0.32  $\mu$ m 5-HT,  $-\log EC_{50} = 7.15 \pm 0.08$ ; second phase, defined as these responses occurring between  $0.32 \mu$ M and  $32 \mu$ M 5-HT,  $-\log EC_{50} = 5.32 \pm 0.03$  but monophasic to 5methoxytryptamine  $(-\log EC_{50} = 7.0 \pm 0.08)$  and 2 methyl 5-HT  $(-\log EC_{50} = 5.2 \pm 0.13)$ . The maximal response of the first phase to 5-HT and the maximal response to 5-methoxytryptamine were  $30 \pm 4\%$  and  $35 \pm 5\%$  respectively of the maximum response to the second phase of the 5-HT concentration-effect curve (set at 100%). In contrast, the maximal response to 2-methyl-5-HT equalled that obtained with 5-HT (second phase).

The responses comprising the second phase of the concentration-effect curve to 5-HT were antagonized by  $1 \mu$ M ICS 205-930, ondansetron, granisetron, quipazine, N-methyl-quipazine and (R,S)-zacopride and the following  $pK_B$  values, with 5-HT as the agonist, were obtained at the 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor: ICS 205-930 7.61  $\pm$  0.05, ondansetron 6.90  $\pm$  0.04, granisetron 7.90  $\pm$  0.04, (S)-zacopride 8.11  $\pm$  0.06, (R,S)zacopride 7.64  $\pm$  0.11, and (R)-zacopride 7.27  $\pm$  0.06.

3 Under conditions of 5-HT<sub>1</sub>-like, 5-HT<sub>2</sub> and 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor blockade, the following rank order of agonism was observed:  $5-HT > 5$ -methoxytryptamine = renzapride > (S)-zacopride > (R,S)-zacopride > 5-carboxamidotryptamine > BRL 24682 > (R)-zacopride > metoclopramide > 2-methyl-5-HT > sulpride. 8-Dihydroxydiphenylaminotetralin (8-OHDPAT), GR 43175, N,N-dipropyl-5-carbopiride. 8-Dihydroxydiphenylaminotetralin (8-OHDPAT), GR 43175, N,N-dipropyl-5-carboxamidotryptamine, ondansetron, ICS 205-930, granisetron, quipazine and N-methyl-quipazine were inactive as agonists and antagonists. Relative to 5-HT, (R,S)-zacopride acted as a partial agonist (intrinsic activity,  $\alpha = 0.80$ ;  $-\log EC_{50} = 6.3 \pm 0.12$ ;  $-\log K_A = 6.1 \pm 0.03$ ) as did (R)-zacopride ( $\alpha = 0.4$ ,  $-\log$ EC<sub>50</sub> 5.7  $\pm$  0.08,  $-\log K_A = 5.5 \pm 0.11$ ). (S)-zacopride acted as a full agonist ( $-\log$  EC<sub>50</sub> = 6.9  $\pm$  0.03). ICS 205-930 (3 $\mu$ M) antagonized competitively responses to 5-HT, 5 methoxytryptamine, (R,S)- and (S)zacopride and 5-carboxamidotryptamine yielding  $-\log K_B$  estimates ranging from 6.1-6.5.

4 It is concluded that two different 5-HT receptors mediate excitatory neuronal responses in the guineapig ileum. 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptors mediate the second phase of the biphasic concentration-response curve, whereas a receptor with properties distinct from the  $5-HT_1$ -like,  $5-HT_2$  and  $5-HT_3$  subtypes mediates the initial phase of the concentration-response curve. This receptor, which exhibits a close similarity to the 5-HT4 subtype is: (1) stimulated by 5-methoxytryptamine but not 2-methyl-5-HT; (2) stimulated selectively by certain substituted benzamides; (3) recognizes the optical isomers of zacopride and (4) is blocked by relatively high concentrations ICS 205-930 (pK<sub>B</sub> = 6.0-6.5) but not ondansetron, granisetron, quipazine or N-methyl-quipazine.

## Introduction

Gaddum & Picarelli (1957) showed that directly and indirectly mediated responses to 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) receptors evoked contractions of guinea-pig ileum. They termed these receptors D and M, respectively. The indirect responses are sensitive to atropine and involve the release of acetylcholine (Clarke et al., 1989). 5-HT receptors have been most recently classified as  $5-HT_1$ -like,  $5-HT_2$  and  $5-HT_3$  (Bradley et al., 1986). The 5-HT<sub>3</sub> subtype, which corresponds to the M receptor (see Clarke et al., 1989 for discussion), is selectively antagonized in the guinea-pig ileum by ICS 205-930 (pA<sub>2</sub> = 8.0, Richardson et al., 1985), renzapride (BRL 24924;  $pA_2 =$ 7.3, Sanger, 1987), granisetron (BRL 43694; p $A_2 = 7.8$ , Sanger & Nelson, 1989), zacopride (pA<sub>2</sub> = 8.5, Smith *et al.*, 1988) and ondansetron (GR 38032F;  $p\overline{A}_2 = 7.0$ , Butler *et al.*, 1988).

Studies on guinea-pig isolated longitudinal ileal muscle strips (Kilbinger & Pfeuffer-Freidrich, 1985; Buchheit et al., 1985) have revealed a biphasic concentration-response curve to 5-HT which is sensitive to tetrodotoxin (TTX) and it has been suggested that two different 5-HT receptors may modulate excitatory neuronal activity. The second component of the biphasic concentration-response curve to 5-HT is due to stimulation of  $5-HT<sub>3</sub>$  receptors but the receptor mediating the

initial, high potency, phase of the curve has not been extensively characterized. The initial phase, however, has been shown to be insensitive to blockade by ICS 205-930 (up to  $1 \mu$ M), granisetron and ondansetron (Buchheit et al., 1985; Sanger & Nelson, 1989; Butler et al., 1985) confirming the lack of involvement of  $5-HT_3$  receptors. Similar experiments undertaken in the presence of methysergide (Costa & Furness, 1976; Buchheit et al., 1985) indicate that the responses at the initial, high potency phase appear to be unrelated to stimulation of 5-HT<sub>1</sub>-like or 5-HT<sub>2</sub> receptors.

Taken together the data on the unstimulated ileum (Buchheit et al., 1985) suggest that a 5-HT receptor which acts to modulate excitatory neuronal activity, is present in the ileum but is distinct from  $5-HT_1$ -like,  $5-HT_2$  or  $5-HT_3$  subtypes as currently defined (Bradley et al., 1986; Myelcharane, 1989). The aim of the present study was to examine this 'orphan' 5-HT receptor on the isolated, quiescent ileum of the guinea-pig.

#### **Methods**

Portions of ileum (dissected 1.5cm distal to the ileocaecal junction) were removed from male, Dunkin-Hartley guineapigs (350-400 g) which had been killed by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  asphyxiation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Author for correspondence.

Whole segments of ileum were gently flushed intraluminally with warm Tyrode solution (pH 7.4,  $37^{\circ}$ C) and prepared by the method described by Edinburgh Staff (1968). The composition of the Tyrode solution was (mM): NaCl 136.9, KCI 2.7, MgCl<sub>2</sub> .  $6H_2O$  1.2, Na $H_2PO_4$  1.2, glucose 10.0, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> 25.0 and CaCl<sub>2</sub>  $\cdot$  6H<sub>2</sub>O 2.5. It was continually gassed with 5%  $O_2$ /95%  $CO_2$ . Methysergide was added at a concentration of  $1 \mu$ M as described by Smith et al. (1988) in order to exclude any potential effects of  $5-HT_1$ -like or  $5-HT_2$  receptor stimulation. Portions (1.5 cm) of intact ileum were placed in Tyrode solution under 1.0 g tension and allowed to equilibrate for 60 min. During this period the bathing solution was replaced every 15 min. Preliminary experiments (R.M. Eglen, unpublished observations) showed that the tissues responded with an initial contracture when exposed to methysergide. The contracture did not persist and the baseline tension was reattained within 5 min. The inclusion of  $1 \mu$ M methysergide did not significantly affect the potency of 5-HT, a finding which is in agreement with that of Buchheit et al. (1985). However, all experiments were conducted in the presence of methysergide because Costa & Furness (1972) have demonstrated the converse.

Ileal responses were measured by determining changes in isometric tension (mg), with a Hugo Sachs K30 force transducer and a Graphtec-Watanabe Linearecorder WR3101.

In all experiments, tissues were exposed to 50 mm KCl for 3 min to obtain an estimate of the maximal size of contraction of the preparation  $(4.81 \pm 0.06 \text{ g}, \text{ mean } \pm \text{ s.e.} \text{mean}, n = 103)$ . The tissues were washed and allowed 15 min to re-attain baseline tension. Concentration-response curves were then constructed, in a non-cumulative fashion, to 5-HT (1 nm- $32 \mu$ M) with an agonist exposure period of 30s on a 5 min dose-cycle. After the final agonist exposure, the tissues were washed and left for 60 min. During this 60 min recovery period, the bathing solution was replaced every 15min after which another agonist concentration-response curve was constructed.

In studies with antagonists, the ileum was equilibrated with a particular antagonist during the 60 min recovery period, and the antagonist was retained in the bath during construction of the subsequent concentration-response curve. Only one antagonist was exposed to each ileal segment, and parallel control studies were always undertaken to correct for changes in sensitivity of the tissue to 5-HT.

To obtain an accurate estimation of the dissociation constants of antagonists at the  $5-HT<sub>3</sub>$  receptors, the technique of Fozard (1985) was employed. The preparations were placed in  $10 \mu$ M 5-methoxytryptamine for the duration of the experiment, which selectively removed the initial component of the 5-HT concentration-response curve, the remaining 5-HT responses being mediated through  $5-HT_3$  receptors. Preliminary experiments showed that inclusion of 5-methoxytryptamine did not affect responses of the preparation to carbachol, histamine, substance P, 1,1-dimethyl-4-phenylpiperazinium iodide (DMPP) or KCI (data not shown).

In separate studies, the effect of catecholamine depletion on 5-HT responses was assessed in animals that had been pretreated with reserpine  $(5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}, i.p.)$  18h before they were killed, a procedure that has been previously shown to remove the responses to tyramine (Eglen & Whiting, 1989).

### Analysis of results

The methods used to determine agonist potencies  $(-\log$  $EC_{50}$ ) were similar to those described by Buchheit et al. (1985). The potencies from monophasic concentrationresponse curves were characterized by non-linear iterative fitting procedures (Parker & Waud, 1971) calculated with RS1 software (BBN Software Products Corp., Cambridge, MA, U.S.A.). Biphasic concentration-response curves were characterized as follows: the maxima for each phase of the curve were determined graphically, and the  $-\log EC_{50}$  values were calculated with respect to the two phases, defined as follows.

The  $-\log EC_{50}$  values determined at the initial phase (defined as those responses occurring between 1 nm and  $0.32 \mu$ m 5-HT) were denoted as  $-\log EC_1$  and the maximal response denoted as max<sub>1</sub>. The  $-\log$  EC<sub>50</sub> value determined at the second phase (defined as those responses occurring between  $0.32 \mu$ M 5-HT and 32  $\mu$ M 5-HT) was denoted as  $-\log EC_2$  and the maximal response as  $max<sub>2</sub>$ .

In some experiments, the dissociation constants for partial agonists were calculated according to the method of Barlow et al. (1967). Equiactive concentrations of 5-HT and the partial agonist were plotted in a double reciprocal fashion, with the former values plotted on the ordinate scale and latter values plotted on the abscissae. The intercept with the ordinate scale and slope of the resulting straight line were determined by linear regression. The dissociation constant (expressed as the  $-log K_A$ ) was calculated from the relationship:

$$
-\log K_{\rm A} = -\log \left( \frac{\text{slope}}{\text{intercept}} \right)
$$

#### Antagonists

The antagonist dissociation constants were determined in two ways. Firstly, where the concentration-response curves were dextrally shifted in parallel, with no reduction in maxium response, the  $pA_2$  values were calculated by the method of Arunlakshana & Schild (1959). The slope and the intercept of the resulting straight line with the abscissae were determined by linear regression. Secondly, in experiments where a single concentration of antagonist was used, the method employed was that described by Furchgott (1972). The  $-\log K_B$  values were calculated according to the following relationship:

$$
-\log K_{\rm B} = -\log\left(\frac{\text{[antagonist]}}{\text{dose-ratio} - 1}\right).
$$

### **Statistics**

Statistical differences were assessed by Student's  $t$  test, with  $P < 0.05$  being considered as significant; all values quoted are the mean  $\pm$  s.e.mean from 6-10 experiments.

# Drugs used

The following compounds were synthesized in the Institute of Chemistry, Syntex Research:  $(\pm)$ -pindolol, atenolol, BRL 24682 (4-amino-N(N-methyl-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]-5-chloro-2 methoxy benzamide), renzapride (BRL 24924  $(t+)$ -endo-4amino-5-chloro-2-methoxy-N-(1 -azabicyclo[3.3.1]non-4-yl) benzamide), granisetron (BRL 43694; N-endo-9-methyl-9 azabicyclo [3.3.1] non-3-yl)-1-methyl-indazole-3-carbexamide), N,N-dipropyl-5-carboxamidotryptamine, ondansetron (GR 38032F, 1,2,3,9-tetrahydro-3- [(methyl-imidazol-1-yl)methyl]-9-methyl-4H-carbazol-4-one), GR <sup>43175</sup> (3-[2-dimethyl-amino]ethyl-N-methyl-1H-indole-5 methane- sulphonamide), N-methylquipazine, rauwolscine, (R,S)-zacopride, (S)-zacopride and (R)-zacopride. Reserpine (Serpasil) was purchased from Ciba-Geigy. Methysergide was generously donated by Sandoz, Research Institute, N.J., U.S.A. ICS 205-930 ((3a-tropanyl)-IH-indole-3-carboxylic acid ester), 8-OHDPAT (8-dihydroxydiphenylaminotetralin), sulpiride, metoclopramide and quipazine were purchased from Research Biochemicals Ltd. 5-Methoxytryptamine obtained from Aldrich, and the remaining compounds were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. Ltd.

### Results

# Studies conducted in the presence of  $5-HT_1$ -like and 5-H $T<sub>2</sub>$  receptor blockade

The contractile responses to 5-HT were rapid in onset and accompanied by a fade phenomenon, particularly at high



Figure 1 Recordings of representative responses to hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) in the segments of whole ileum from guinea-pig. Shown are responses to 5-HT in the absence (a) or presence (b) of  $0.1 \mu$ M ICS 205-930. Methysergide (1 $\mu$ M) was present throughout each experiment. Concentrations of 5-HT were added, at points indicated by the closed triangles, in 3 fold molar incremental increases in concentration. The exposure period was 30 s.

 $(> 1 \mu M)$  concentrations (Figure 1a). The concentrationresponse curve to 5-HT was biphasic, consisting of an initial phase occurring between 5-HT concentrations of 3.2nm and  $0.32 \mu$ M and a second phase which occurred between 1  $\mu$ M and 32  $\mu$ M 5-HT. The  $-\log EC_1$  value for 5-HT was 7.15  $\pm$  0.08, and the  $-\log EC_2$  value was  $5.32 \pm 0.03$ . The maximal responses at each phase were:  $max_1 = 33.4 \pm 0.02\%$ ;  $max_2 =$ 100%. No evidence of desensitization was evident with <sup>a</sup> 60 min recovery period between consecutive 5-HT concentration-response curves. In time control experiments, the first concentration-response curves exhibited a mean -log EC<sub>1</sub> of 7.20  $\pm$  0.11 and a mean  $-\log$  EC<sub>2</sub> of 5.35  $\pm$  0.08, whereas the second concentration-response curve exhibited a  $-\log$  EC<sub>1</sub> of 7.23  $\pm$  0.06 and a  $-\log$  EC<sub>2</sub> of 5.29  $\pm$  0.11 (Figure 2). The maximal responses of either phase were also similar in the two curves.

The data obtained with 5-HT in the absence and presence of various antagonists are summarised in Tables <sup>1</sup> and 2. The effect of tetrodotoxin, which inhibits neuronal depolarization by blocking sodium channels (Gershon, 1967) and morphine,



Figure 2 Concentration-response curves to 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-  $HT$ ) in segments of whole ileum: ( $\bigcirc$ ) initial concentration-response curve;  $($ **)** second curve to 5-HT, after an interval of 60 min. Values are mean, from 6-10 preparations with the vertical bars indicating s.e.mean.

which inhibits acetylcholine release (Ganatra et al., 1979), were studied. In the presence of tetrodotoxin  $(1 \mu M)$ , the responses to 5-HT in the initial phase of the curve were abolished (Table 1). However, the second phase of the concentration-response curve was shifted slightly to the right but the maximum response was greatly reduced (Table 2). Similar results were

Table 1 Effect of antagonists on 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) responses occurring in the initial phase of the concentration-response curve

	Control		$+$ Antagonist	
<b>Antagonist</b>	$-\log EC_1$	$%$ max,	$-\log EC_1$	$%$ max,
$TTX(1 \mu M)$	$7.52 \pm 0.03$	$36 + 3$	abolished	
Morphine $(10 \mu M)$	$7.38 \pm 0.11$	$22 + 4$	abolished	
Atropine $(0.1 \mu M)$	$7.51 \pm 0.06$	$43 + 3$	$7.35 \pm 0.10$	$12 + 2^b$
Atropine $(1 \mu M)$	$7.62 + 0.08$	$25 \pm 8$	abolished	
Physostigmine $(0.1 \mu M)$	$7.83 \pm 0.11$	$28 + 5$	$7.52 \pm 0.18$ <sup>*</sup>	$90 + 18^b$
Propranolol $(1 \mu M)$	$7.48 + 0.09$	$38 + 4$	$7.35 + 0.13$	$35 + 2$
Atenolol $(1 \mu M)$	$7.53 + 0.13$	$35 + 5$	$7.52 + 0.09$	$36 + 7$
Pindolol $(1 \mu M)$	7.61 $\pm$ 0.04	$36 + 2$	$7.59 \pm 0.11$	$38 + 4$
ICI 118551 $(1 \mu M)$	$7.55 + 0.07$	$38 + 7$	$7.62 + 0.14$	$40 + 5$
Phenoxybenzamine $(0.1 \mu\text{m})^c$	$7.54 \pm 0.06$	$38 + 5$	abolished	
Phentolamine $(1 \mu M)$	$7.52 + 0.09$	$38 + 9$	$7.43 + 0.11$	$34 \pm 8$
Prazosin $(1 \mu M)$	$7.55 \pm 0.04$	$35 \pm 4$	7.48 $\pm$ 0.03	$34 + 5$
Rauwolscine $(1 \mu M)$	$7.35 + 0.08$	$34 \pm 5$	$6.85 \pm 0.04$ <sup>*</sup>	$25 + 3^b$
Ondansetron $(1 \mu M)$	7.49 $\pm$ 0.07	$36 + 4$	7.54 $\pm$ 0.13	$38 + 5$
Granisetron $(1 \mu M)$	$7.58 \pm 0.09$	$34 + 8$	$7.62 \pm 0.11$	$37 + 6$
ICS 205-930 $(1 \mu M)$	$7.53 + 0.11$	$32 \pm 6$	$7.44 + 0.04$	$28 + 4$
Quipazine $(1 \mu M)$	$7.46 + 0.08$	$37 + 9$	$7.38 + 0.12$	$35 \pm 6$
N-methylquipazine $(1 \mu M)$	$7.69 \pm 0.04$	$38 + 7$	$7.59 \pm 0.11$	$36 + 9$
$(R, S)$ -zacopride (1 $\mu$ M)	$7.78 \pm 0.11$	$35 \pm 4$	$7.32 \pm 0.14$	$8 \pm 2^b$

Values are mean  $\pm$  s.e.mean,  $n = 6-10$ .

\* Significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) from control  $-\log EC_1$  value.

<sup>b</sup> Significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) from control % max<sub>1</sub> value.

<sup>c</sup> Phenoxybenzamine was allowed 60min to equilibrate and the second curve to 5-HT was constructed in the presence of phenoxybenzamine.

Table 2 Effect of antagonists on 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) responses occurring in the second phase of the concentration-response curve

	Control		+ Antagonist	
<b>Antagonist</b>	$-\log EC$ ,	$%$ max,	$-\log EC$ ,	$%$ max,
TTX $(1 \mu M)$	$5.81 + 0.06$	100	$5.61 \pm 0.11$ <sup>*</sup>	$12 + 2^b$
Morphine $(10 \mu M)$	$5.73 + 0.08$	100	$5.24 + 0.08$ <sup>a</sup>	$38 + 4^b$
Atropine $(0.1 \mu M)$	$5.68 + 0.11$	100	$5.18 \pm 0.12$ <sup>*</sup>	$34 + 8^b$
Atropine $(1 \mu M)$	$5.75 \pm 0.03$	100	$5.32 \pm 0.11^*$	$18 + 4^b$
Physostigmine $(0.1 \mu M)$	$5.52 + 0.04$	100	$5.43 + 0.17$	$141 + 19b$
Propranolol $(1 \mu M)$	$5.69 \pm 0.08$	100	$5.63 \pm 0.05$	100
Atenolol $(1 \mu M)$	$5.71 + 0.11$	100	$5.73 + 0.08$	100
Pindolol $(1 \mu M)$	$5.75 \pm 0.04$	100	$5.69 \pm 0.14$	100
ICI 118551 (1 $\mu$ m)	$5.77 + 0.07$	100	$5.81 + 0.11$	100
Phenoxybenzamine $(0.1 \mu M)^c$	$5.72 + 0.08$	100	$5.75 \pm 0.09$	$30 + 4^b$
Phentolamine $(1 \mu M)$	$5.73 \pm 0.04$	100	$5.88 + 0.06$	100
Prazosin $(1 \mu M)$	$5.68 \pm 0.11$	100	$5.73 + 0.04$	100
Rauwolscine $(1 \mu M)$	$5.63 \pm 0.04$	100	$5.59 + 0.08$	100
Ondansetron $(1 \mu M)$	$5.64 \pm 0.14$	100	abolished <sup>*</sup>	
Granisetron $(1 \mu M)$	$5.66 \pm 0.18$	100	abolished <sup>*</sup>	
ICS 205-930 (0.1 $\mu$ m)	$5.73 \pm 0.08$	100	abolished <sup>*</sup>	
Quipazine $(1 \mu M)$	$5.78 \pm 0.12$	100	abolished <sup>*</sup>	
N-methyl-quipazine $(1 \mu M)$	$5.81 \pm 0.18$	100	abolished <sup>*</sup>	
$(R, S)$ -zacopride (1 $\mu$ M)	$5.68 + 0.07$	100	abolished <sup>*</sup>	

Values are mean  $\pm$  s.e.mean,  $n = 6-10$ .

' Significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) from control  $-\log EC_2$  value.

<sup>b</sup> Significantly different  $(P < 0.05)$  from control % max<sub>2</sub> value.

¢ Phenoxybenzamine was allowed 60 min to equilibrate and the second curve to 5-HT was constructed in the presence of phenoxybenzamine.

seen with morphine (10 $\mu$ M) in that the initial phase of the concentration-response curve to 5-HT was abolished (Table 1), whereas the second phase was shifted to the right and the maximum was reduced (Table 2).

Pre-exposure of the tissues to  $0.1 \mu$ M phenoxybenzamine for a 15min period (when followed by a 45min washout period) was without significant effect on either component of the concentration-response curve to 5-HT (data not shown). However, when phenoxybenzamine  $(0.1 \mu\text{M})$  was equilibrated with the tissue for 60 min and a second concentrationresponse curve was constructed in its presence, the initial portion of the curve was abolished and the second phase reduced by 70%. In order to assess the effect of phenoxybenzamine on direct muscarinic receptor stimulation, identical experiments were performed with the muscarinic agonist carbachol instead of 5-HT. A parallel dextral shift in the concentration-response curve to carbachol was obtained (control  $-\log$  EC<sub>50</sub> = 6.52  $\pm$  0.04; plus phenoxybenzamine  $-\log$  EC<sub>50</sub> = 5.53  $\pm$  0.08).

Since phenoxybenzamine also possesses  $\alpha$ -adrenoceptor antagonist activity, further experiments were undertaken in which different  $\alpha$ -adrenoceptor antagonists were used: phentolamine (a non-selective adrenoceptor antagonist), prazosin (an  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptor selective antagonist) and rauwolscine (an  $\alpha_2$ -adrenoceptor selective agonist). There was no significant effect on either phase of the concentration-response curve to 5-HT established in the presence of either  $1 \mu$ M phentolamine or prazosin (Table 1). In the presence of  $1 \mu$ M rauwolscine, however, the initial phase of the 5-HT curve was slightly but significantly shifted to the right. The maximal response was also reduced whereas the second phase was unaffected (Tables 1 and 2). The effects of the  $\beta$ -adrenoceptor antagonists, propranolol and pindolol (non-selective  $\beta$ -adrenoceptor antagonists), atenolol  $(\beta_1$ -adrenoceptor selective) and ICI 118551 ( $\beta_2$ -adrenoceptor selective) were also studied on the 5-HT responses. At  $1 \mu$ M there was no significant effect of these compounds on either phase of the 5-HT concentrationresponse curve (Tables <sup>1</sup> and 2).

Atropine  $(0.1 \mu M)$  markedly affected the concentrationresponse curve to 5-HT, in that both phases were shifted dextrally and the maximal responsed were reduced (Figure 3). Atropine at  $1 \mu$ M completely abolished the initial component and markedly reduced the second component. Conversely, physostigmine (0.1  $\mu$ M) potentiated the maxima of both phases of the concentration-response curve to 5-HT (Figure 4). The potency of 5-HT on the initial phase was slightly but significantly reduced, whilst no effect was seen on the second phase (Tables <sup>1</sup> and 2).

The concentration-response curve to the selective  $5-HT_3$ agonist, 2-methyl-5-HT was uniphasic  $(-log EC_{50} = 5.4)$  $+$  0.03), and the maximum response was not significantly different from that attained with  $10 \mu$ M 5-HT. The concentrationresponse curve to 5-methoxytryptamine was also uniphasic  $(-\log$  EC<sub>50</sub> = 7.1  $\pm$  0.08), and the maximum response  $(35 + 5%)$  was not significantly different from that attained by  $1 \mu$ M 5-HT. The responses to 5-methoxytryptamine were abolished in the presence of 0.1  $\mu$ M atropine.

Maximal responses to 2 methyl 5-HT were reduced by  $80 \pm 5$ %. Conversely, in the presence of physostigmine, the maxima, although not the potency, of responses to 5 methoxytryptamine were enhanced to  $63 \pm 8\%$  of the 5-HT (10  $\mu$ M)



Figure 3 Concentration-response curves to 5-hydroxytryptamine (5- HT) in the absence ( $\bigcirc$ ) or presence of 0.1  $\mu$ M ( $\bigcirc$ ) atropine. Values are mean, from 6-10 preparations, with vertical bars indicating s.e.mean.



Figure 4 Concentration-response curves to 5-hydroxytryptamine (5- HT) in the absence ( $\bigcirc$ ) and presence ( $\bigcirc$ ) of 0.1  $\mu$ M physostigmine. Values are mean, from 6-10 preparations, with vertical bars indicating s.e.mean.

maximal response. Furthermore, the maximal response to 2 methyl 5-HT was increased to  $113 \pm 4\%$  of the maximal 5-HT  $(10 \,\mu\text{m})$  response by physostigmine. Ondansetron, quipazine, N-methyl quipazine and granisetron did not affect the responses to 5-HT over the initial component of the concentration-response curve, whereas they antagonized the second phase of the curve. These data are shown in Tables <sup>1</sup> and 2. Similar results were observed in the presence of  $0.1 \mu$ M ICS 205-930. However, at higher concentrations of ICS 205-930 ( $>1 \mu$ M), the initial phase of the curve to 5-HT was dextrally shifted in a non-parallel fashion.

The effects of  $(R, S)$ -zacopride  $(10 \text{ nm}-1 \mu)$  were similar to ICS 205-930, in that the second component of the concentration-response curve was abolished, and, in addition, concentration-dependent reductions in the initial portion of the 5-HT concentration-response curve were also observed (Figure 5). During the 60min exposure of the tissues to zacopride (0.1-10 $\mu$ M), a contracture of the tissues was observed which was maximal after 5 min and declined to baseline tension levels after 40 min. This effect was not observed



Figure 5 Concentration-response curves to 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) in the absence ( $\bigcirc$ ) and presence ( $\bigcirc$ ) of 0.1  $\mu$ M (R,S)-zacopride. Values are mean, from 6-10 preparations, with vertical bars indicating s.e.mean.

with either ICS 205-930, ondansetron, quipazine, Nmethylquipazine or granisetron at the concentrations studied  $(1 \text{ nm}-10 \mu\text{M})$  (Table 3). The effects seen with (R,S)-zacopride may not represent receptor blockade, but desensitization since (R,S)-zacopride acts as an agonist at the site mediating the initial component (see below).

In the presence of  $10 \mu$ M 5-methoxytryptamine and  $1 \mu$ M methysergide, the concentration-response curve to 5-HT was uniphasic with no evidence of an initial component. Under these conditions,  $pA_2$  values versus 5-HT were obtained with ICS 205-930, ondansetron, granisetron, (R,S)-zacopride, (R) zacopride and (S)-zacopride (Table 4). All compounds exhibited Schild slopes which were not significantly different from unity, with the exception of (R,S)-zacopride. At concentrations of granisetron above  $0.32 \mu$ M, the concentrationresponse curves to 5-HT were shifted dextrally in a non-parallel fashion, and were accompanied by a depression in the maximum. When the unity constraint was imposed, the following rank order of dissociation constants was found: (S) zacopride > granisetron >  $(R, S)$ -zacopride = ICS 205-930 >  $(R)$ -zacopride > ondansetron (Table 4).

**Table 3** Potencies  $(-log EC_{50})$  and maximal responses (% max) relative to 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) of agonist eliciting contractile responses of guinea-pig ileum

Agonist	$-\log EC_{50}$	$%$ max
5-HT	$7.5 + 0.08$	1.0
5-methoxytryptamine	$7.0 \pm 0.03$	1.0
Renzapride	$7.0 + 0.06$	0.8
(S)-zacopride	$6.9 \pm 0.03$	1.0
$(R, S)$ -zacopride	$6.3 \pm 0.12$	0.8
(R)-zacopride	$5.7 + 0.08$	0.4
5-carboxamidotryptamine	$6.1 \pm 0.12$	0.6
<b>BRL 24682</b>	$5.9 + 0.11$	04
Metoclopramide	$5.5 + 0.12$	0.6
Sulpiride	>4.0	
2-methyl-5-HT	inactive	
N, N, -dipropyl-5-	inactive	
carboxamidotryptamine		
GR 43175	inactive	
<b>B-OHDPAT</b>	inactive	
Ondansetron	inactive	
<b>ICS 205-930</b>	inactive	
Granisetron	inactive	
Quipazine	inactive	
N-methyl-quipazine	inactive	

Values are mean  $\pm$  s.e.mean,  $n = 4-8$ . All agonists studied between  $1 \text{ nm}-10 \mu\text{M}$ . All studies conducted in the presence of  $1 \mu$ M methysergide and  $1 \mu$ M ondansetron to exclude 5-HT<sub>1</sub>-like, 5-HT<sub>2</sub> and 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor function.

Table 4  $pA_2$  values and Schild slopes of antagonists at  $5-HT<sub>3</sub>$  receptors in guinea-pig ileum

<b>Antagonist</b>	$pA$ ,	Slope	$pK_{\bf n}$
<b>ICS 205-930</b>	$7.62 + 0.10$	$0.98 + 0.12$	$7.61 + 0.05$
Ondansetron	$6.95 + 0.10$	$0.88 + 0.11$	$6.91 + 0.04$
Granisetron <sup>*</sup>	$7.84 + 0.07$	$1.09 + 0.08$	$7.90 + 0.04$
$(R, S)$ -zacopride	$7.86 + 0.24$	$1.23 + 0.15^{\circ}$	$7.64 + 0.11$
(R)-zacopride	$7.15 + 0.09$	$1.18 + 0.14$	$7.27 + 0.06$
(S)-zacopride	$7.96 \pm 0.14$	$1.15 + 0.16$	$8.11 + 0.06$

Values are mean  $\pm$  s.e.mean,  $n = 8$ -16. All experiments were conducted in the presence of  $10 \mu$ M 5-methoxytryptamine in order to desensitize selectively the initial phase of the 5-HT concentration-response curve.  $pK_B$  values are those calculated after imposing the unity constraint.

<sup>a</sup> At concentrations of granisetron above 0.32  $\mu$ m, the maximum and slope of the concentration-response curves were reduced. Consequently, the  $pA_2$  values were calculated with concentrations up to and including  $0.32 \mu \text{m}$ . <sup>b</sup> Significantly different from unity.

**Table 5** Equilibrium dissociation constants  $(-\log K_B)$  for ICS 205-930 (3 $\mu$ M) at 5-HT receptors mediating ileal contractions in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M methysergide and ondansetron (1  $\mu$ M)

<b>Agonist</b>	$-log K_{R}$
$5-HT$	$6.31 + 0.12$
5-Methoxytryptamine	$6.02 + 0.09$
(S)-zacopride	$6.26 \pm 0.13$
(R,S)-zacopride	$6.52 + 0.14$
5-Carboxamidotryptamine	$6.10 \pm 0.05$

Values are mean  $+$  s.e.mean,  $n = 4-8$ .

## Studies conducted in the presence of  $5-HT_1$ -like,  $5-HT_2$ and  $5-HT<sub>3</sub>$  receptor blockade

In order to characterize further the initial phase of the concentration-response curve to 5-HT, the preparations were placed in Tyrode solution containing both  $1 \mu$ M methysergide and  $1 \mu$ M ondansetron, to exclude responses at 5-HT<sub>1</sub>-like, 5-HT<sub>2</sub> and 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptors (Buchheit et al., 1985; Richardson et al., 1985). Under these conditions the concentrationresponse curve to 5-HT was uniphasic (Figure lb). The potency  $(-\log EC_{50} = 7.2 \pm 0.12)$  and maximal response  $(34.5 \pm 6\%)$  to 5-HT were not significantly different from the potency and maximal response to 5-HT at receptors mediating the initial phase of the concentration-response curve, when determined in the absence of ondansetron. The responses to 5-HT were highly reproducible, with no evidence of desensitization occurring between 2 consecutive concentration-response curves when established after a 60min interval (curve 1,  $-\log$  EC<sub>50</sub> = 7.2  $\pm$  0.05; curve 2,  $-\log$  $EC_{50} = 7.3 \pm 0.08$ . The maximum responses of the two curves were not significantly different).

The responses to 2-methyl 5-HT were abolished in the presence of both methysergide  $(1 \mu M)$  and ondansetron  $(1 \mu M)$ whereas responses to 5-methoxytryptamine were not significantly affected  $(-\log EC_{50} = 7.1 \pm 0.08;$  maximum = 31  $\pm$  4%). The potencies of 5-HT, 5-methoxytryptamine and 2methyl 5-HT were also unaffected following reserpine pretreatment in terms of both  $EC_{50}$  and maximal responses at both phases of the concentration-response curve (data not shown).

The potencies ( $-\log EC_{50}$  values) and intrinsic activities, relative to the maximum response to 5-HT (% maximum response) of a number of agonists at receptors mediating the contractile response in the presence of  $1 \mu$ M ondansetron and  $1 \mu$ M methysergide, are shown in Table 3. The rank order of agonist potency was  $5-HT > 5$ -methoxytryptamine = (S)zacopride = renzapride >  $(R, S)$ -zacopride > 5-carboxamidotryptamine > BRL 24682 > (R)-zacopride > metoclopramide  $\geq$  sulpiride = N,N,dipropyl-5-carboxamidotryptamine  $8$ -OHDPAT = GR  $43175 = 0$ . Only 5-HT, 5-methoxytryptamine and (S)-zacopride acted as full agonists. None of the 'inactive' compounds antagonized responses to 5-HT after equilibration for 60 min at a concentration of 1  $\mu$ M. As (R,S)zacopride and (R-zacopride acted as partial agonists (Table 4), the dissociation constants  $(-\log K_A)$  were calculated by the method of Barlow *et al.* (1967). The  $-\log K_A$  values for **(R,S)-** and **(R)-zacopride** were  $6.1 \pm 0.08$  and  $5.5 \pm 0.11$ , respectively. The responses to (R,S)-zacopride and its isomers were abolished in the presence of  $0.1 \mu$ M atropine, whereas the maximal response was significantly potentiated in the presence of 0.1  $\mu$ M physostigmine (98  $\pm$  4%). Atropine (0.1  $\mu$ M) also abolished the contractile responses to all the other 'active' agonists (data not shown).

In separate experiments, the responses to 5-HT, methoxytryptamine, (S)-zacopride, (R,S)-zacopride and 5carboxamidotryptamine were studied in the absence and presence of a high concentration of ICS 205-930 (3  $\mu$ M: relative to its equilibrium dissociation constant at  $5-HT<sub>3</sub>$  receptor; see above). To eliminate completely the possibility of an interaction at 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptors,  $1 \mu$ M ondansetron was also present throughout the experiment. The concentration-response curves to the agonists were shifted dextrally in a parallel fashion by ICS 205-930. It can be seen that the  $-\log K_B$ values of ICS 205-930 obtained with these agonists were very similar (Table 5). In order to study the specificity of action of ICS 205-930, the effect of  $10 \mu$ M ICS 205-930 against responses to carbachol and DMPP was studied. Responses to these agonists were not significantly affected either in terms of potency or maxima (carbachol control,  $-\log$  EC<sub>50</sub> = 6.9  $\pm$  0.06; in the presence of 10  $\mu$ M ICS 205-930,  $-\log$  EC<sub>50</sub> = 7.1  $\pm$  0.11; DMPP control,  $-\log$  EC<sub>50</sub> = 5.6  $\pm$  0.11; in the presence of 10  $\mu$ M ICS 205-930,  $-\log \overline{EC}_{50} = 5.6 \pm 0.14$ ).

### **Discussion**

The present study has characterized the receptors mediating the indirectly mediated contractile response to the neuronally mediated effects of 5-HT in isolated segments of guinea-pig ileum. This preparation has been shown to exhibit a biphasic concentration-response curve to 5-HT (Fozard, 1985; Buchheit et al., 1985; Butler et al., 1988; Sanger & Nelson, 1989). The second portion of the curve is mediated by stimulation of 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptors (Richardson et al., 1985; Butler et al., 1988; Cohen et al., 1988), whereas the receptor mediating the initial phase of the concentration-response curve remains to be characterized.

The data obtained in the first series of experiments (Tables <sup>1</sup> and 2), in which both phases of the concentration-response curve to 5-HT were studied, are in good agreement with previous results (Buchheit et al., 1985; Butler et al., 1988; Sanger & Nelson, 1989). However, the lack of effect of methysergide while in agreement with data obtained by Buchheit et al. (1985) contrasted with that of Costa & Furness (1972) in which an inhibitory effect of methysergide was seen. The receptors mediating both phases of the biphasic receptors mediating both phases of the biphasic concentration-effect curve appear to be neuronally located, in view of their high sensitivity to the sodium channel blocker, tetrodotoxin. The sensitivity of the 5-HT responses to morphine is in agreement with previous studies (Paton, 1957; Schaumann, 1957; Ganatra et al., 1979) and is due to inhibition acetylcholine release. Pre-exposure of the preparations to phenoxybenzamine (0.1  $\mu$ M) for a brief period (15 min), followed by subsequent washout, did not affect responses to 5-HT over either phase. However, in the maintained presence of phenoxybenzamine responses to 5-HT over the second phase responses to 5-HT were reduced by 70% whilst the responses over the initial phase were abolished. A reasonable explanation for the effect of phenoxybenzamine is that alkylation of postjunctional muscarinic receptors occurred which decreased the indirect effects of 5-HT. The ability of phenoxybenzamine, under similar conditions to those used in the 5-HT studies, to antagonize responses to carbachol is in agreement with this hypothesis.

The lack of effect of the  $\alpha$ -adrenoceptor antagonists prazosin and phentolamine on the 5-HT response suggest that these effects were not due to  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptor blockade. A small, but significant, inhibitory effect was observed with rauwolscine. In addition to its well characterized  $\alpha_2$ -adrenoceptor antagonist properties this antagonist has been shown (Kaumann, 1983; Clinschmidt et al., 1985) to antagonize 5-HT responses in the bovine coronary artery and rat stomach fundus. The 5-HT responses of both phases were unaffected in the presence of either propranolol, pindolol, atenolol or ICI 118551, suggesting that  $\beta_1$ - or  $\beta_2$ -adrenoceptors do not participate in the responses to 5-HT. Therefore, the receptors mediating both phases of the concentration-effect curve to 5-HT may differ from those shown to mediate positive chronotropic responses in guinea-pig atria (Eglen & Whiting, 1989). In this latter preparation 5-HT responses are non-competitively antagonized by pindolol and atenolol.

The present studies on the second component of the 5-HT concentration effect curve are in accord with the literature in that this component appears to be mediated by  $5-HT<sub>3</sub>$  receptor stimulation. The 5-HT<sub>3</sub> antagonists (ICS 205-930, ondansetron, granisetron, quipazine and N-methyl-quipazine) all antagonized the second phase of the concentrationresponse curve to 5-HT as well as responses to the  $5-HT<sub>3</sub>$ agonist, 2-methyl 5-HT. Conversely, the inclusion of 5 methoxytryptamine in the Tyrode solution selectively abolished the initial phase of the concentration-response curve to 5-HT in agreement with a previous report (Fozard, 1985). The curve to 5-HT became monophasic in the presence of 5 methoxytryptamine, allowing unambiguous estimations of the effect of antagonists at the remaining  $5-HT<sub>3</sub>$  receptors. The  $pA_2$  values obtained under these conditions for ICS 205-930, ondansetron, granisetron, (R,S)-zacopride and its constituent enantiomers were in good agreement with other values in guinea-pig ileum reported in the literature (see Introduction for references and Fozard, 1988 for review). The present study shows (S)-zacopride to about 10 fold greater than (R)-zacopride, in terms of affinity at the  $5-HT_3$  receptor (8.11 versus 7.27, respectively). These values are similar to the  $pA_2$  estimate for (R,S)-zacopride in guinea-pig ileum (Smith et al., 1988) but the isometric ratio is considerably larger than that reported in binding studies in guinea-pig ileum with [3H]-zacopride (R/S ratio = 1.4; Pinkus et al., 1989a). Isometric ratios for zacopride (R/S) of 28, 42, 6 and 12 were obtained in rabbit ileum, vagus nerve, rat ileum and rat brain respectively (Pinkus et al., 1989a,b).

The data are in agreement with the hypothesis that the biphasic nature of the concentration-response curve to 5-HT is mediated through two distinct receptors, the second phase being mediated by a  $5-HT_3$  receptor. The finding that the initial phase of the concentration-effect curve to 5-HT is unaffected by ondansetron, granisetron, quipazine and N-methyl quipazine show that, in this respect, they are more selective  $5-\text{HT}_3$  antagonists than either (R,S)-zacopride or ICS 205-930. Higher concentrations (0.1  $\mu$ M-10  $\mu$ M) of these latter two compounds also inhibited the initial phase of the 5-HT concentration-response curve suggesting effects independent of an interaction at the  $5-HT_3$  receptor.

Stimulation of the receptor mediating the initial phase of the concentration-response curve appears to be due exclusively to an enhancement of acetylcholine release. The antagonism of the response by atropine, morphine and phenoxybenzamine and its potentiation by physostigmine is in support of this proposal and with previous reports (Buchheit et al., 1985; Sanger & Nelson, 1989). The second,  $5-HT_3$ receptor-mediated phase of the concentration-response curve also involves the release of acetylcholine, in view of the sensitivity of 5-HT and 2-methyl 5-HT responses to atropine, physostigmine and phenoxybenzamine (this study; Cohen et al., 1985; Fox & Morton, 1989). A residual component of the 5-HT<sub>3</sub>-mediated response, which was observed in the presence of atropine (this study), may involve the release of a second neurotransmitter. Buchheit et al. (1965) have proposed this to be substance P, although this has been disputed.

The inclusion of  $1 \mu$ M ondansetron in the Tyrode solution, to inhibit 5-HT<sub>3</sub> function, enabled the effects of agonists to be selectively measured at the 5-HT receptor mediating the initial<br>phase of the curve. The responses to 5-HT, 5of the curve. The responses to  $5-HT$ ,  $5-HT$ ,  $5-HT$ ,  $5-HT$ methoxytryptamine, (S)-zacopride, (R,S)-zacopride and carboxamidotryptamine were competitively antagonized by ICS 205-930 with similar  $-\log K_B$  values, indicating that these agonists interacted at the same receptor site. The concentration of ICS 205-930 required to elicit a significant dextral shift ( $3 \mu$ M), whilst relatively high, was specific in that no effect was observed directly at muscarinic or nicotinic receptors, as judged by the lack of effect on the responses to carbachol and DMPP.

The 5-HT receptor mediating the initial phase of the biphasic concentration-effect curve recognized optical isomers of zacopride i.e., (S)-zacopride was more potent than (R)-zacopride as an agonist. This stereoselectivity was the same for antagonism of the 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor. In terms of affinity,  $(R, S)$ -

zacopride was approximately 100 fold more selective for ileal 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptors (pA<sub>2</sub> = 7.9-8.5; Smith et al., 1988; Cohen et al., 1989; this study), in comparison to the receptor mediating the initial phase of the response curve to 5-HT. The structurally related benzamides, BRL 24682 and metoclopramide were weaker agonists whilst other  $5-HT_3$  antagonists, ondansetron, ICS 205-930, granisetron, quipazine and N-methyl-quipazine were inactive as agonists. These data indicate that the agonist actions of (R,S-zacopride, renzapride, BRL 24682 and metoclopramide were independent of their  $5-HT_3$  antagonist properties. In addition, 5-methoxytryptamine, a potent agonist at receptors mediating the initial phase, is devoid of 5-HT<sub>3</sub> agonist and antagonist properties (Fozard, 1985; this study).

Taken together these data further suggest that the site mediating the first phase of the biphasic curve to 5-HT is distinct from the  $5-HT_3$  receptor. The differential affinity of ICS 205-930 at the 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor (7.6) and at the former site (6.3) is in accordance with this hypothesis. Since this site was also insensitive to methysergide it appeared to be different from  $5-HT_1$ -like and  $5-HT_2$  receptors. The contractile response to 5-carboxamidotryptamine, but not to N,N-dipropyl-5-carboxamidotryptamine, observed at the receptors mediating the initial phase, also suggests that the receptor is dissimilar to the  $5-HT<sub>1</sub>$ -like receptor. This postulate is also consistent with the lack of contractile responses with the 5-HT<sub>1</sub>-like and 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> agonists, GR <sup>43175</sup> and 8-OHDPAT, respectively.

The pharmacology of the receptor mediating the initial phase of the response to 5-HT is similar to the pharmacology of the 5-HT receptor which mediates an increase in the 'twitch' response of the field-stimulated guinea-pig ileum (Sanger, 1987; Craig & Clarke, 1989). This latter response is insensitive to 5-HT<sub>1</sub>-like, 5-HT<sub>2</sub> and 5-HT<sub>3</sub> antagonists, but sensitive to high concentrations  $(0.3 \mu \text{M}$  and greater) of ICS 205-930 (Craig & Clarke, 1989). It should be noted that whilst the  $-\log K_B$  values for ICS 205-930 observed in the present study were similar to those reported by Craig & Clarke (1989), the potency of 5-HT at receptors mediating the contractile response in the unstimulated ileum was less than in the fieldstimulated ileum ( $-\log$  EC<sub>50</sub> values: present study, = 7.3; field stimulated tissue, = 8.5; Craig & Clarke, 1989). Potent responses to 5-HT, equivalent to the first phase of the biphasic concentration-response to 5-HT, have also been obtained in the field-stimulated guinea-pig ileum (Sanger, 1987; Craig & Clarke, 1989). 'Twitch' responses to electrical stimulation were enhanced by low concentrations  $(1 \text{ nm}-1 \mu \text{M})$  of 5-HT (Kilbinger & Pfeuffer-Friedrich, 1985; Sanger, 1987; Craig & Clarke, 1989) and by  $5-HT_3$  antagonists of the substituted benzamide class, including renzapride (Sanger, 1987), zacopride (Craig & Clarke, 1989) and cisapride (Neya et al., 1985; Schuurkes et al., 1985). No enhancement was obtained with ICS 205-930 (Sanger, 1987) or granisetron (Sanger & Nelson, 1989). (R,S)-zacopride was a partial agonist at receptors in the quiescent ileum, but a full agonist in the field-stimulated ileum. These differences may reflect differences in effective receptor reserves between the two preparations rather than receptor differences per se.

The receptor mediating the contractile responses of the first phase is clearly not a 5-HT<sub>1</sub>-like, 5-HT<sub>2</sub> or 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor, when defined by the criteria of Bradley et al. (1986). It most resembles the putative  $5-HT_4$  receptor recently described by Dumuis et al. (1988, 1989) which is present in both guinea-pig hippocampus and mouse colliculi neuronal culture. It should be noted that the term  $5-HT_4$  receptor has not been officially recognized by the Serotonin Club Receptor Nomeclature Committee (July 1990). The stimulation of this latter receptor leads to an increase in adenylate cyclase activity, a response which is insensitive to  $5-HT_1$ -like,  $5-HT_2$  or  $5-HT_3$  ligands, but is antagonized by high concentrations of ICS 205-930 (pK<sub>i</sub> values = 6.0 and 6.3 in mouse embryo colliculi neuronal cultures and guinea-pig hippocampus slices, respectively; Dumuis et al., 1988). In addition, 5-methoxytryptamine (Dumuis et al., 1988) and renzapride (Dumuis et al., 1989) are also potent agonists in these preparations. Since the receptor mediating the initial phase of the responses to 5-HT in the quiescent ileum also exhibits this profile it is our contention that  $5-HT_4$ receptors also mediate this response.

In conclusion, indirect excitatory responses to 5-HT in whole segments of guinea-pig ileum appear to be mediated by two distinct receptors. The pharmacological profiles of these receptors suggest stimulation of  $5-HT_4$  and  $5-HT_3$  subtypes.

### References

- ARUNLAKSHANA, 0. & SCHILD, M.O. (1959). Some quantitative uses of drug antagonists. Br. J. Pharmacol. Chemother., 14, 48-58.
- BARLOW, R.B., SCOTT, N.C. & STEPHENSON, R.P. (1967). An attempt to study the effects of chemical structure on the affinity and efficacy of compounds related to acetylcholine. Br. J. Pharmacol., 21, 509-522.
- BRADLEY, P.B., ENGEL, G., FENUIK, W., FOZARD, J.R., HUMPHREY, P.P.A., MIDDLEMISS, E.J., MYLECHARANE, E.J., RICHARDSON, B.P. & SAXENA, P.R. (1986). Proposals for the classification and nomenclature of functional receptors for 5-hydroxytryptamine. Neuropharmacol., 25, 563-576.
- BUTLER, A., HILL, J.M., JORDAN, C.C. & TYERS, M.B. (1988). Pharmacological properties of GR 38032F, a novel antagonist at 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptors. Br. J. Pharmacol., 94, 397–412.
- BUCHHEIT, K-H., ENGEL, G., MUTSCHLER, E. & RICHARDSON, B. (1985). Study of the contractile effect of 5-hydroxytryptamine (5- HT) in the isolated longitudinal muscle from guinea-pig ileum. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmacol., 329, 36-41.
- CLARKE, D.E., CRAIG, D.A. & FOZARD, J.R. (1989). The  $5-HT<sub>4</sub>$  receptor: naughty but nice. Trends Pharmacol. Sci., 10, 385-386.
- CLINSCHMIDT, B.V., REISS, D.R., PETTIBORE, J. & ROBINSON, J.L. (1985). Characterization of 5-hydroxytryptamine receptors in the rat stomach fundus. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 235, 696-708.
- CRAIG, D.A. & CLARKE, D.E. (1989). 5-hydroxytryptamine and cholinergic mechanisms in guinea-pig ileum. Br. J. Pharmacol., 96, 247P.
- COHEN, M.L., BLOOMQUIST, W., GIDDA, J.S. & LACEFIELD, W. (1989). Comparison of the 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonist properties of ICS 205-930, GR 38032F and zacopride. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 248, 197-201.
- COSTA, M. & FURNESS, J.B. (1972). The sites of action of 5 hydroxytryptamine in nerve-muscle preparations from the guineapig small intestine and colon. Br. J. Pharmacol., 65, 237-248.
- DUMUIS, A., BOUHELAL, R., SEBBEN, M., CORY, R. & BOCKAERT, J. (1988). A non-classical 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor positively coupled with adenylate cyclase in the central nervous system. Mol. Pharmacol., 34, 880-887.
- DUMUIS, A., SEBBEN, M. & BOCHAERT, J. (1989). BRL 24924: A potent agonist at a non-classical 5-HT receptor possibly coupled with adenylate cyclase in colliculi neurons. Eur. J. Pharmacol., 168, 381-384.
- EDINBURGH STAFF. (1968). Pharmacological Experiments on Isolated Preparations. 2nd edition, pp. 58-69. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone.
- EGLEN, R.M. & WHITING, R.L. (1989). Comparison of the positive chronotropic response to 5-hydroxytryptamine with adrenoceptor agonists on the guinea-pig atria in vitro. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol., 13, 45-51.
- FOX, A.J. & MORTON, I.K.M. (1989). Activities of some 5-HT analogues in 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor mediated release of  $[^3H]$ -ACh in guineapig ileum. Br. J. Pharmacol., 96, 53P.
- FOZARD, J.R. (1985). 5-Methoxytryptamine (5-MeOT) discriminates between excitatory neuronal 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) receptors in the guinea-pig ileum. J. Pharmacol., (Paris), 16, 498.
- FOZARD, J.R. (1989). The development and early clinical evaluation of selective  $5HT_3$  receptor antagonists. In The Peripheral Actions of 5-Hydroxytryptamine. ed. Fozard, J.R., pp. 354-375. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- FURCHGOTT, R.F. (1966). The use of  $\beta$ -haloalkylamines in the differentiation of receptors and in the determination of dissociation constants of receptor agonist-complexes. In Adv. Drug Res., Vol. 3, ed. Harper, N.J. & Simmonds, A.B. pp. 21-55. New York: Academic Press.

Definitive evidence for this hypothesis, however, awaits the development of potent and selective  $5-HT<sub>4</sub>$  receptor antagonists.

The authors wish to thank Miss C.A. Machado for assistance in the  $pA<sub>2</sub>$  studies and Dr D.E. Clarke for his advice in the preparation of the manuscript.

- GADDUM, J.H. & PICARELLI, Z.P. (1957). Two kinds of tryptamine receptor. Br. J. Pharmacol. Chemother., 12, 323-328.
- GANATRA, V.M., DHUMAL, V.R., BHATT, J.D. & SACHDEV, K.S. (1979). Antagonism of some contractile responses to prostaglandins by cyproheptadine. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther., 240, 203-213.
- GERSHON, M.D. (1967). Effects of tetrodotoxin on innervated smooth muscle preparations. Br. J. Pharmacol., 29, 259-279.
- KAUMANN, A.J. (1983). Yohimbine and rauwolscine inhibit 5 hydroxytryptamine-induced contraction of large coronary arteries of calf through blockade of  $5-HT_2$  receptors. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmacol., 323, 149-154.
- KILBINGER, H. & PFEUFFER-FREIDERICH, I. (1985). Two types of receptors for 5-hydroxytryptamine on the cholinergic nerves of the
- guinea-pig myenteric plexus. Br. J. Pharmacol., 81, 529–539.<br>MYELCHARANE, E.J. (1989). The classification of 5hydroxytryptamine receptors. Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol., 16, 517-522.
- NEYA, T., IVAN, N., MIZUTANI, M., YAMASATO, T., TAKAKI, M. & NAKAYAMA, S. (1985). The effect of cisapride on neural 5-HT receptors in guinea-pig isolated ileum. Eur. J. Pharmacol., 106, 221-222.
- PARKER, P.B. & WAUD, D.R. (1971). Pharmacological estimates of drug-receptor dissociation constants. Statistical evaluation. I. Agonists. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 177, 1-12.
- PATON, W.D.M. (1957). The action of morphine and related substances on contraction and on acetylcholine output of coaxially stimulated guinea-pig ileum. Br. J. Pharmacol. Chemother., 12, 119-127.
- PINKUS, L., GORDON, J., SARBIN, N. & BAREFOOT, D. (1989a). Characterization of  $(R +, S -)$  [<sup>3</sup>H]zacopride binding: inhibition by  $R + (AHR - 4964)$  and  $S - (AHR - 4964)$  isomers and  $5-HT<sub>3</sub>$  antagonists. Proceedings of International Symposium on Serotonin: from Cell Biology to Pharmacology and Therapeutics. Florence, March 19-April 1, p. 72. Abstract.
- PINKUS, L.M., SARBIN, N.S., BAREFOOT, D.S. & GORDON, J.C. (1989b). Association of  $[^3H]$ zacopride with 5-HT<sub>3</sub> binding sites. Eur. J. Pharmacol., 168, 355-362.
- RICHARDSON, B.P., ENGEL, G., DONATSCH, P. & STADLER, P.A. (1985). Identification of serotonin M-receptor subtypes and their specific blockade by a new class of drugs. Nature, 316, 126-131.
- SANGER, G.J. (1987). Increased gut cholinergic activity and antagonism of 5-hydroxytrylamine M-receptors by BRL 24924: <sup>a</sup> potential clinical importance of BRL 24924. Br. J. Pharmacol., 91, 77-87.
- SANGER, G.J. & NELSON, D.R. (1989). Selective and functional 5-hydroxytryptamine<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonism by BRL 43694 (granisetron). Eur. J. Pharmacol., 159, 113-124.
- SCHAUMANN, W. (1957). Inhibition by morphine of the release of acetylcholine from the intestine of the guinea-pig. Br. J. Pharmacol. Chemother., 12, 115-118.
- SCHUURKES, J.A.J., VAN NEUTEN, J.M., VAN DAELE, P.G.M., REYNT-JENS, AJ. & JANSSEN, P.A.J. (1985). Motor stimulating properties of cisapride on isolated gastrointestinal preparations of the guinea-pig. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 234, 775-783.
- SMITH, W.L., SANCILIO, L.F., OBWERA-ATEPO, J.B., NAYLOR, R.J. & LAMBERT, L. (1988). Zacopride: a potent  $5-HT_3$  antagonist. J. Pharm. Pharmacol., 40, 301-302.

(Received December 4, 1989 Revised May 28, 1990 Accepted June 22, 1990)