The prgQ Gene of the Enterococcus faecalis Tetracycline Resistance Plasmid pCF10 Encodes a Peptide Inhibitor, iCF10

JIRO NAKAYAMA,¹ ROBERT E. RUHFEL,² GARY M. DUNNY,^{2*} AKIRA ISOGAI,¹† AND AKINORI SUZUKI¹

Department of Agricultural Chemistry, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan,¹ and Institute for Advanced Studies in Biological Process Technology and Department of Microbiology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

Received 17 June 1994/Returned for modification 22 August 1994/Accepted 16 September 1994

Conjugative transfer of the *Enterococcus faecalis* tetracycline resistance plasmid pCF10 is stimulated by a peptide pheromone, cCF10. Once a recipient strain acquires pCF10 and thus becomes a pheromone-responsive donor, cCF10 activity is no longer detected in culture filtrates. Here we show that pCF10 encodes a peptide inhibitor, iCF10, secreted by donor cells; this inhibitor antagonizes the cCF10 activity in culture filtrates. In order to detect and quantitate iCF10, we developed a reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography assay in which the inhibitor peptide elutes separately from the pheromone; this type of assay enabled us to determine that lack of pheromone activity in donor culture filtrates was due to secretion of a mixture of iCF10 and cCF10, rather than abolition of cCF10 secretion. The gene encoding iCF10, prgQ, is located on the EcoRI-C fragment of pCF10. The open reading frame comprising the $prgQ$ gene encodes a 23-amino-acid precursor that resembles a signal peptide. This precursor is cleaved to the mature heptapeptide iCF10 during the secretion process.

Certain plasmids of Enterococcus faecalis encode a mating response to specific peptide sex pheromones secreted by potential recipient cells $(3, 5)$. Two kinds of genetic functions have been identified which prevent the host donor cell from self-responding to endogenous pheromone. The traB gene of the plasmid pAD1 (1) has been identified as a "pheromone shutdown" gene whose effect is to reduce the amount of active pheromone secreted into the culture medium by pAD1-containing cells; it is not yet known whether the reduction is due to a block in synthesis or to another mechanism, such as degradation or intracellular sequestering. The second mechanism for blocking self-induction of donors is the secretion of a plasmid-encoded pheromone inhibitor, believed to act competitively against any pheromone that might escape the shutdown mechanism. Inhibitor peptides (iAD1 and iPD1, respectively) have been identified in culture filtrates of cells carrying pAD1 (11) and pPD1 (13). The sequence similarity between the pheromones and their respective inhibitors suggests that the inhibitors might be antagonists for the pheromones.

The transfer of the E . faecalis tetracycline resistance plasmid, pCF10, is induced by the peptide pheromone, cCF10, excreted from pCF10-free strains (7). The structure of cCF10 was determined to be a heptapeptide (12). Although little or no cCF10 activity is found in culture filtrates of pCF10 containing donor cells, inhibitor activity against cCF10 has not been detected previously. In order to determine conclusively whether pCF10-containing donor cells secrete an inhibitor or shut down extracellular pheromone production, we used highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC) to separate and quantitate E. faecalis peptide pheromones and inhibitors in culture filtrates. We also report here the identification and cloning of a gene from pCF10 called $prgQ$ (prg = pheromone

responsive gene) that encodes an inhibitory peptide, iCF10, and show that mature iCF10 is composed of seven amino acid residues and is found in culture filtrates from pCF10-containing donor cells.

(A preliminary report of this study was presented at the 1993 American Society for Microbiology General Meeting in Atlanta, Ga. [17].)

Identification and cloning of $prgQ$, the putative structural gene for iCF1O. Kao et al. (10) reported the nucleotide sequence of the EcoRI-C fragment of pCF10. Two possible open reading frames (ORFs) are found in the region of pCF10 now designated prgQ, as shown in Fig. 1A. One, designated ORF ³ previously (10), is not preceded by ^a consensus ribosome binding sequence, and because its deduced amino acid sequence (upper line of amino acid sequence in Fig. 1A) is not similar to that of cCF10, it is unlikely to encode an inhibitor. The ORF corresponding to $prgQ$ is much shorter but is optimally preceded by a ribosome binding site and a potential -10 and -35 promoter sequence; recent results to be reported separately have confirmed that the latter sequence actually functions as ^a very strong promoter (2). This ORF encodes a peptide of 23 amino acids (lower line of amino acid sequence in Fig. 1A). The seven residues at the C-terminal end seemed likely to compose iCF10, considering the similarity to the sequence of cCF10 as shown in Fig. 1B. In addition, the organization of this region of pCF10 is very similar to that of a corresponding region of pAD1 which also encodes iAD1 (1, 3, 4, 14, 15, 20).

To confirm that the $prgQ$ gene actually encoded an inhibitor, we used PCR (18) to amplify a DNA segment containing $prgQ$. Primers corresponding to bases 1745 to 1760 and the inverse complement of bases 2339 to 2354 shown in Fig. 1A (as indicated by the boxes) were synthesized with an Applied Biosystems automated synthesizer. These primers were used for ³⁰ cycles of PCR (94°C, ³⁰ s; 50°C, ³⁰ s; and 72°C, ² min, for each cycle, and a 10-min final extension at 72°C). Following amplification, a product of the expected size $(\sim 582$ bp) was

^{*} Corresponding author. Electronic mail address: gary-d@mol bio.cbs.umn.edu.

^t Present address: Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Nara 630-01, Japan.

A yn legrigklvsgikfm 1601 TAATTTAACTCTTGCCTTATTTGTTTCAGGACAGAACCTATCTTAAACATTTTCTTCCTCCTAATATGTAAAGTATCAAAAAGTAGACCTAAAATTCGAT \sqrt{prgX} M L R C F Y R R G V N E N H S K K T I K I Y S C C M K T T L K K L S R Y I A V -35 -10 1801 TATTTTAGTTGAAAATATAATACTTAGATGTTAAGATGTTTTATAGGAGGGGTGTAAATGAAAACCACTCTAAAAAAACTATCAAGATATATAGCTGTT $prgQ$ N C N N L N I Y L I E K I I V T I K Q I K N R L P * \mathbf{T} \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{I} $\mathbf T$ \mathbf{L} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{I} 1901 GTAATTGCAATAACCTTAATATTTATCTGATAGAAAAAATCATAGTAACAATTAAACAATTAAGAACCGACTGCCATAGGACGGCAATCCTAGGGGCA 2001 GTTAAACAATTCATGGTATGACATGAACTCTACTCGGTTCTCGTTTGTTGCAACATTAGTTACAACGTATAGTATAACAATTTTTTATGTAAAATTCTAG 2101 ACTTTTTTAAACTCCTTTATTTGTCTAGGAAAAGTTTTTACAGTGAATTGTTTTTATTAGTTGTATAAATGTTGGAGCAGCGGGAATGTATACAGTTCA 2201 TGTATATGTTCCCCGCTTTTTTTGTTGTCTGTTAACTTGTTGAAAAAAATAAAAAAACCAAGTAACAAGCACGCTCATTTTCTAAAAATGACCCGCTTGTG 2301 GAATAATTCAATGCTTTCACGCATTGTTTGTACTGGAACTACTCGTAAGAGCCGTGCAAACAACGTGTTGCATGGCTCTTTTTCTACTTGGTTCAGAAAG M I E L K A T D L Q R I T C Q L T L F N R Q R F K L Y N G T 2401 TAGGTGAATCAATGAATTGAACTGAAAGCAACTGATTTGCAACGTATTACGTGTCAATTGACCTTGTTAATCGACAAAGATTTAAATTATACAACGGCAC $prgR$ B Leu-Val-Thr-Leu-Val-Phe-Val $CFT0:$ Ala-Ile-Thr-Leu-Ile-Phe-Ile iCF10:

FIG. 1. (A) Nucleotide sequence of the region of pCF10 encoding iCF10. The nucleotide sequence and the nucleotide number are from reference 9. Two different ORFs are encoded in a sequence between prgX and prgR. The start codons of various genes are indicated by arrows. The sequences used to generate the PCR primers for $\frac{\rho}{Q}$ amplification are indicated by the boxes. The -10 and -35 regions of the $\frac{\rho}{Q}$ promoter are indicated by the overlines. Possible ribosome binding sequences are shown by bold letters. A pair of dashed arrows show a inverted repeat sequence as a putative downstream transcription terminator. The dashed line highlights the iCF10 amino acid sequence. (B) Comparison between cCF10 and iCF10. Identical residues between cCF10 and iCF10 are noted by open letters.

detected by agarose gel electrophoresis (not shown). The PCR product was cloned into the E_{CO} RV site of pWM401 (21) by blunt-end ligation, and the resulting plasmid, pMSP5011, was transformed into Escherichia coli DH5a and E. faecalis OG1RF. Pheromone and inhibitor activities present in culture filtrates of these strains, as well as those of $OGPRF(pCF10)$, were separated and analyzed as described below.

Production of cCF10 and iCF10 by donor cells. Culture filtrates from OG1RF(pCF10) did not show iCF10 activity, suggesting the possibility that the OG1RF(pCF10) might produce an amount of cCF10 which masked the iCF10 activity. To test this possibility, culture filtrates were subjected to reversephase HPLC to achieve physical separation of iCF10 from cCF10. The column was eluted with a gradient of acetonitrile, and the resulting fractions were tested for either iCF10 or cCF10 activity. The cCF10 activity was assayed by observing self-clumping of OG1RF(pCF10) cells exposed to pheromone $(6, 12)$, and iCF10 activity was determined by inhibition of cCF10-inducible self-clumping (see the legend to Fig. 2). A single peak consisting of three successive fractions with retention times ranging from 20.0 to 21.5 min showed the cCF10 activity and a second peak comprising two fractions with 27.0to 28.0-min retention times showed iCF10 activity. A chemically synthesized peptide possessing the iCF10 sequence as deduced from the nucleotide sequence of $prgQ$ eluted in a single peak of optical density at 220 nm at 27.6 min and showed iCF10 activity at concentrations greater than 0.8 ng/ml $(10^{-9}$ M), a value higher than observed with either $iAD1$ (0.25 ng/ml [11]) or iPD1 (0.08 ng/ml [13]). Synthetic cCF10 eluted from the same column at 21 min. These results indicated that $OGIRF(pCF10)$ excretes $iCF10$ as a heptapeptide with the sequence H-Ala-Ile-Thr-Leu-Ile-Phe-Ile-OH. They also suggested that the apparent lack of either iCF10 or cCF10 activity in crude culture filtrates of this strain is because such filtrates actually contain a mixture of the two peptides. As shown in Fig. 1B, three of seven residues are conserved between iCF10 and $cCF10.$

Antagonism cCF10 by iCF10. Table 1 shows the minimum concentration of iCF10 required for inhibition of various amounts of cCF10. The concentration of iCF10 required for inhibition varied directly in proportion to the concentration of cCF10 used in the assays. This result suggests that iCF10 is a competitive inhibitor of cCF10. The ratio of iCF10/cCF10 required for inhibition is 8 to 16. In contrast, the corresponding ratio in the iAD1/cAD1 system is 2 (4, 11).

Quantitation of the amount of cCF10 and iCF10 in culture filtrates of various strains. By the HPLC method described in Fig. 2, the absolute amount of both cCF10 and iCF10 in culture broth could be measured independently. Table 2 shows the concentrations of cCF10 and iCF10 in 3-h (early log phase) cultures from various strains. These values were estimated by comparing the pheromone or inhibitor activity of the separated fractions with that of the corresponding synthetic peptides. OG1RF(pCF10) produced 640 pg of iCF10 per ml. This result

TABLE 1. Minimum amount of iCF10 required for inhibition of self-clumping induced by various amounts of cCF10

Concn (pg/100 μ l)		iCF10/cCF10
cCF10 ^a	iCF10 ^b	ratio
10	80	8
20	320	16
40	640	16
80	640	8
160	1,280	8
320	2,560	8
640	5,120	8

² Concentration of cCF10 in the microtiter plate well.

 b Concentration of iCF10 in the well required to block response to cCF10.</sup>

is consistent with the observation that iCF10 activity was not detected in crude culture filtrates of OGlRF(pCF10); iCF10 showed no activity at concentrations lower than 800 pg/ml. OGlRF(pMSP5010) produced much more iCF10 than OGlRF(pCF10). This might be caused by the difference in copy number; pWM401 is ^a multicopy plasmid (21), while pCF10 is of lower copy number (7). The amount of cCF10 in OGlRF(pCF10) culture filtrates was same as that of plasmidfree OG1RF, indicating that pCF10 does not abolish secretion of pheromone into the culture medium by its host cell. In addition, E. coli DH5 α cells carrying pMSP5011 secrete an amount of iCF10 into the culture fluid sufficient to cause an eightfold reduction in the pheromone titer of synthetic cCF10, whereas the corresponding culture fluids of isogenic cells carrying only the vector have no inhibitor activity. We also used site-directed mutagenesis to change the $prgQ$ sequence to one encoding cCF10 rather than iCF10 in the same shuttle vector system, and we found that crude culture filtrates of either E. faecalis OG1RF or E. coli DH5 α carrying this mutated prgQ derivative have pheromone activity but no inhibitor activity. A similar experiment in which PCR amplification of $prgQ$ was carried out with a primer that resulted in an adenine-forthymine substitution in the final codon of the $prgQ$ ORF, thus changing the C-terminal Ile to Asn, generated a prgQ derivative that encoded neither pheromone nor inhibitor activity. Taken together, these results strongly indicate that the expression of $prgQ$ is responsible for the observed inhibitor activity in cells carrying either wild-type pCF10 or the cloned prgQ gene.

The data presented here demonstrate that the *prgQ* gene of pCF10 encodes a heptapeptide, iCF10, with inhibitory activity against the chromosomally encoded peptide pheromone, cCF10. The fact that E. coli transformed with the cloned $prqQ$ gene excreted iCF10 suggests that the prgQ promoter is functional in this host and that the processing system that generates iCF10 from the precursor is not specific for this peptide. It is possible that the 16-amino-acid fragment removed from the precursor of iCF10 might function as a signal peptide. However, the six-amino-acid hydrophobic region of this peptide is likely to be too short to span the membrane, since a length of seven or more amino acids has been shown to be required for this function in E . *coli* $(9, 19)$ and the corresponding region in signal peptides of gram-positive organisms is even longer (8). Thus, the entire precursor might act as a signal peptide in traversing the membrane, with subsequent cleavage by a membrane-associated or extracellular protease to generate the mature inhibitor.

It has been consistently observed that acquisition of a plasmid encoding a response to a particular pheromone results in a decrease in the pheromone activity secreted by E. faecalis

FIG. 2. Separation of iCF10 and cCF10 by reverse-phase HPLC. HPLC was performed on a SSC-ODS-262 column (0.6 by 10 cm; Senshukagaku) with a gradient of acetonitrile (dotted line) in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid at a flow rate of ¹ ml/min. (A) Chromatography of chemically synthesized iCF10 and cCF10. (B) Chromatography of partially purified culture filtrate from OG1RF(pCF10). Five-hour culture filtrates (10 ml from cultures grown in Oxoid Nutrient Broth No. ² supplemented with 0.2% glucose and 0.1 M Tris-HCI, pH 7.7) of E. faecalis OGlRF(pCF1O) was passed through an Amberlite XAD-7 column (1 ml; Rohm and Haas) and eluted with ⁵ ml of 80% ethanol. The eluate was evaporated and then redissolved in 400 μ l of 50% dimethylsulfoxide, and $100 \mu l$ of this solution was applied to HPLC. Fractions of the eluate were collected every 0.5 min. Each fraction was bioassayed for iCF1O and cCF10 activity by the microtiter dilution method described in reference 5. iCF1O activity was assayed in the presence of 100 pg of cCF1O per ml. In both assays, visible clumping of $OGPRF(pCF10)$ cells after 2 h of incubation with the pheromone/ inhibitor preparations was used as an indicator of pheromone activity. The vertical open bar shows cCF1O activity, and the closed bar shows iCF1O activity.

cells (1, 3, 5). However, it has not been possible in previous studies to determine conclusively whether this decrease was due to a reduction in pheromone synthesis or secretion, to increased inhibitor secretion, or to both. The development of a quantitative HPLC method to separate pheromones and inhibitors was important in sorting out these phenomena in the pCF10 system, as well as in resolving some apparent discrep-

TABLE 2. Amount of iCF10 and cCF10 in culture filtrates from various strains

Strain	Concn (pq/ml)	
	cCF10 ^a	iCF10 ^b
OG1RF	16	ND ^c
OG1RF(pCF10)	16	640
OG1RF(pWM401)	16	ND ^c
OG1RF(pMSP5011)	16	15,360

^a Estimated concentration of cCF10 in culture filtrate based on comparison of pheromone titer in culture filtrates with the titer of synthetic pheromone at various concentrations.

 b Estimated concentration of iCF10 in culture filtrate based on comparison of</sup> the inhibitor titer of culture filtrates with that of synthetic inhibitor at various concentrations.

 c ND = none detected; limit of detection is 80 pg/ml in this assay.

ancies between the pCF10 inhibitor/pheromone system and those associated with other E . faecalis plasmids. Because pCF10-containing donor cells excrete a mixture of iCF10 and cCF10, and the specific activity of iCF10 is lower than that of iPD1 or iAD1, iCF10 activity was not initially detected in culture filtrates in spite of the fact that these cells actually excrete amounts of inhibitor similar to that produced by cells carrying pPD1 or pAD1. Recently, An et al. cloned ^a pheromone shutdown gene coded by pAD1, designated traB, and found that TraB protein is highly homologous to PrgY protein encoded on pCF10 (16). However, the results of the present study show that pCF10 does not shut down the production of extracellular cCF10. Further investigation of the expression and genetic functions of $prqY$ are required to explain these differences.

We thank Bettina Leonard for helpful discussions.

This work was supported by a Grant in Aid for Scientific Research to J.N. from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture of Japan and by PHS grant GM49530 to G.M.D. from the NIH.

REFERENCES

- 1. An, F. Y., and D. B. Clewell. 1994. Characterization of the determinant (traB) encoding sex pheromone shutdown by the hemolysin/bacteriocin plasmid pAD1 in Enterococcus faecalis. Plasmid 31:215-221.
- 2. Chung, J. W., and G. M. Dunny. Transcriptional analysis of a region of the pheromone-inducible plasmid pCF1O containing genes for positive regulation of transfer functions. Submitted for publication.
- 3. Clewell, D. B. 1993. Sex pheromones and the plasmid-encoded mating response in Enterococcus faecalis, p. 349-367. In D. B. Clewell (ed.), Bacterial conjugation. Plenum Press, New York.
- 4. Clewell, D. B., L. T. Pontius, F. Y. An, Y. Ike, A. Suzuki, and J. Nakayama. 1990. Nucleotide sequence of the sex pheromone inhibitor (iAD1) determinant of Enterococcus faecalis conjugative plasmid pAD1. Plasmid 24:156-161.
- 5. Dunny, G. M. 1990. Genetic functions and cell-cell interactions in the pheromone-inducible plasmid transfer system of Enterococcus faecalis. Mol. Microbiol. 4:689-696.
- 6. Dunny, G. M., R. A. Craig, R. L. Carron, and D. B. Clewell. 1979. Plasmid transfer in Streptococcus faecalis: production of multiple

pheromones by recipients. Plasmid 2:454-465.

- 7. Dunny, G. M., C. Funk, and J. Adsit. 1981. Direct stimulation of the transfer of antibiotic resistance by sex pheromones in Streptococcus faecalis. Plasmid 6:270-278.
- 8. Heijne, G. V., and L. Abrahmsen. 1989. Species-specific variation in signal peptide design. FEBS Lett. 244:439-446.
- 9. Hikita, C., and S. Mizushima. 1992. Effects of total hydrophobicity and length of the hydrophobic domain of a signal peptide on in vitro translocation efficiency. J. Biol. Chem. 267:4882-4888.
- 10. Kao, S. M., S. B. Olmsted, A. S. Viksnins, J. C. Gallo, and G. M. Dunny. 1991. Molecular and genetic analysis of a region of plasmid pCF10 containing positive control genes and structural genes encoding surface proteins involved in pheromone-inducible conjugation in Enterococcus faecalis. J. Bacteriol. 173:7650-7664.
- 11. Mori, M., A. Isogai, Y. Sakagami, M. Fujino, C. Kitada, D. B. Clewell, and A. Suzuki. 1986. Isolation and structure of the Streptococcus faecalis sex pheromone inhibitor, iAD1, that is excreted by the donor strain harboring plasmid pAD1. Agric. Biol. Chem. 50:539-541.
- 12. Mori, M., Y. Sakagami, Y. Ishii, A. Isogai, C. Kitada, M. Fujino, J. C. Adsit, and G. M. Dunny. 1988. Structure of cCF10, a peptide sex pheromone which induces conjugative transfer of the Streptococcus faecalis tetracycline resistance plasmid, pCF10. J. Biol. Chem. 263:14574-14578.
- 13. Mori, M., H. Tanaka, Y. Sakagami, A. Isogai, M. Fujino, C. Kitada, D. B. Clewell, and A. Suzuki. 1987. Isolation and structure of the sex pheromone inhibitor, iPD1, excreted by Streptococcus faecalis donor strains harboring plasmid pPD1. J. Bacteriol. 169: 1747-1749.
- 14. Pontius, L. T., and D. B. Clewell. 1992. Conjugative transfer of Enterococcus faecalis plasmid pAD1: nucleotide sequence and transcriptional fusion analysis of a region involved in positive regulation. J. Bacteriol. 174:3152-3160.
- 15. Pontius, L. T., and D. B. Clewell. 1992. Regulation of the pADI-encoded sex pheromone response in Enterococcus faecalis: nucleotide sequence analysis of traA. J. Bacteriol. 174:1821-1827.
- 16. Ruhfel, R. E., D. A. Manias, and G. M. Dunny. 1993. Cloning and characterization of a region of the Enterococcus faecalis conjugative plasmid, pCF10, encoding a sex pheromone binding function. J. Bacteriol. 175:5253-5259.
- 17. Ruhfel, R., J. Nakayama, A. Suzuki, and G. Dunny. 1993. The pheromone-inducible plasmid pCF10 encodes a 7 amino acid peptide inhibitor, abstr. H209. Abstr. 93rd Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol. 1993, Atlanta, Ga.
- 18. Saiki, R. K., D. H. Gelfand, S. Stoffel, S. J. Scharf, R. Higuchi, G. T. Horn, K. B. Mullis, and H. A. Erlich. 1988. Primer-directed enzymatic amplification of DNA with ^a thermostable DNA polymerase. Science 239:487-494.
- 19. Shen, L. M., J.-I. Lee, S. Cheng, H. Jutte, A. Kuhn, and R. E. Dalbey. 1991. Use of site-directed mutagenesis to define the limits of sequence variation tolerated for processing of the M13 procoat protein by the Escherichia coli leader peptidase. Biochemistry 30:11775-11781.
- 20. Tanimoto, K. A., F. Y. An, and D. B. Clewell. 1993. Characterization of the traC determinant in the Enterococcus faecalis hemolysin/bacteriocin plasmid pAD1: binding of sex pheromone. J. Bacteriol. 175:5260-5264.
- 21. Wirth, R., F. Y. An, and D. B. Clewell. 1987. Highly efficient cloning system for Streptococcus faecalis: protoplast transformation, shuttle vectors, and applications, p. 25-27. In J. J. Ferretti and R. Curtiss III (ed.), Streptococcal genetics. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.