# Ecology of Thermophilic Fungi in Mushroom Compost, with Emphasis on Scytalidium thermophilum and Growth Stimulation of Agaricus bisporus Mycelium

GERBEN STRAATSMA,<sup>1\*</sup> ROBERT A. SAMSON,<sup>2</sup> TINEKE W. OLIJNSMA,<sup>1</sup> HUUB J. M. OP DEN CAMP,<sup>3</sup> JAN P. G. GERRITS,<sup>1</sup> AND LEO J. L. D. VAN GRIENSVEN<sup>1</sup>

Mushroom Exp. mental Station, Horst,<sup>1</sup> Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Baarn,<sup>2</sup> and Department of Microbiology, University of Nijmegen, Nijmegen,<sup>3</sup> The Netherlands

Received 20 September 1993/Accepted 11 November 1993

Twenty-two species of thermophilic fungi were isolated from mushroom compost. Scytalidium thermophilum was present in the compost ingredients, fresh straw, horse droppings, and drainage from compost and dominated the fungal biota of compost after preparation. Of 34 species of thermophilic fungi tested, 9 promoted mycelial growth of Agaricus bisporus on sterilized compost: Chaetomium thermophilum, an unidentified Chaetomium sp., Malbranchea sulfurea, Myriococcum thermophilum, S. thermophilum, Stilbella thermophila, Thielavia terrestris, and two unidentified basidiomycetes. These species will be considered for future experiments on inoculation and more controlled preparation of compost.

The white button mushroom, *Agaricus bisporus*, is cultivated on mushroom compost, a composted mixture of wheat straw, horse manure, chicken manure, and gypsum. Compost is prepared in a sequence of processes. After mixing and moistening of ingredients, the mixture is left for a short period to start self-heating. Then phase I is implemented; this consists of uncontrolled self-heating for 6 days in windrows in the open air. It is followed by phase II, controlled aerobic composting at  $45^{\circ}$ C for 6 days (18).

During preparation, microorganisms degrade about 40% of the dry matter of compost, the dry matter being of potential value for the nutrition of A. bisporus. However, only phase II compost, not the ingredient mixture nor phase I compost, is a selective substrate for A. bisporus (18). Thermophilic microorganisms in compost have been studied extensively (16). It remained difficult to state the dominating species among actinomycetes, other bacteria, and fungi. Using data of Sparling et al. (31), we estimated the biomass ratio of fungi to prokaryotes in compost after phase II to be 1.8:1. Wiegant (41) found ratios of 0.9:1 and 2.3:1 for conventional and experimental composts, respectively. Clearly fungi were abundant; this was also observed microscopically (1, 25). In Table 1 an overview of the fungi found in compost is presented. The course of fungal succession may be partially explained by the ecophysiological data available (7, 10, 14, 27-29). The typical pioneers Rhizomucor spp. and Aspergillus fumigatus have pH optima just below 7, and the temperature optimum of Aspergillus fumigatus is about 40°C. When self-heating and ammonification start and the pH reaches 9, the pioneers disappear. Talaromyces thermophilus and Thermomyces lanuginosus have relatively high pH and temperature optima. Their high thermal death points give a selective advantage in the period of maximum heat production. They do not degrade cellulose and have a moderate growth rate. Scytalidium thermophilum (synonyms, Humicola grisea var. thermoidea, Humicola insolens, and Torula thermophila [37]) and Chaetomium thermophilum grow fast and degrade cellulose strongly. However, S. thermophilum, in particular isolates of conidial type 2 with chains of conidia in the aerial mycelium (37), is the climax species. The number of CFU of *S. thermophilum* in fresh matter of phase II compost is about  $10^6 \text{ g}^{-1}$  (3, 6, 21, 23). The density of *S. thermophilum* in compost was found to be positively correlated with mushroom yield (35), and *S. thermophilum* strongly stimulated the extension rate of growth of mushroom mycelium (36).

This study is part of a program aimed to develop a method(s) for the production of compost of constant high quality that does not emit ammonia and odor into the environment (8, 19, 20). In order to intervene in compost microbiology, we consider the artificial inoculation and controlled preparation of compost. Here we report the selection of isolates of *S. thermophilum* and of other thermophilic fungi for their ability to promote mycelial growth of *A. bisporus* in sterilized compost. Because isolates of *S. thermophilum* degenerate easily (26, 37), full dependence on cultures from collections was avoided. We surveyed composts and isolated many *S. thermophilum* cultures and as many species of thermophilic fungi as possible.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Substrate.** Ingredients for composting were straw-bedded horse manure, wheat straw, broiler chicken manure, gypsum, and drainage from compost or water. Ingredients and composts were obtained from a commercial compost yard/tunnel plant. Some composts were prepared in our tunnel pilot plant (20). Then the ingredient mixture or the preparation scheme for mixing ingredients for phase I and phase II was occasionally simplified, horse manure was omitted as an ingredient, and/or phase I was skipped in processing (19, 20). We used the term "young compost" for any substrate prior to phase II. We also surveyed about 20 samples of self-heated pigpen litter (39).

**Counting and isolation.** Either diluted compost suspensions or washed compost particles (6) were plated in yeast-glucose agar containing penicillin and streptomycin (both 50  $\mu$ g liter<sup>-1</sup>) (35). Plates were incubated at 45°C in the dark and were screened daily for up to 5 days. Occasionally subcultures were made for microscopic identification. To avoid contamination

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Mushroom Experimental Station, Postbus 6042, 5960 AA Horst, The Netherlands. Phone: (31) 4764 1944. Fax: (31) 4764 1567.

TABLE 1. Thermophilic fungi found in mushroom compost<sup>a</sup>

Fungus	Fungus Reference(s)	
Zygomycetes		
Absidia corymbifera	2, 6	+
Rhizomucor miehei	9	+
Rhizomucor pusillus	2-4, 6, 9, 12, 17, 21, 30	+
Ascomycetes		
Chaetomium thermophilum	2-4, 6, 9, 12, 17, 30	_
Corynascus thermophilus	15	+
Emericella nidulans	2, 4	+
Talaromyces emersonii		+
Talaromyces thermophilus	6, 9, 12	+
Thermoascus aurantiacus	3	+
Thermoascus aurantiacus var. levispora		+
Thermoascus crustaceus		+
Basidiomycete		
Coprinus cinereus		+
Hyphomycetes		
Aspergillus fumigatus	2–4, 6, 9, 12, 17, 21, 23, 30	+
Hormographiella aspergillata		+
Malbranchea sulfurea	6, 9	+
Paecilomyces variotii		+
Scytalidium thermophilum	2–4, 6, 9, 12, 17, 21, 23, 30	+
Stilbella thermophila	4, 12, 21, 30	+
Thermomyces lanuginosus	2-4, 6, 9, 12, 17, 21, 23, 30	+
Mycelia Sterilia	,	
Myriococcum thermophilum	3, 13	+
Miscellaneous <sup>c</sup>	, -	
Thielavia terrestris		*
Chaetomium sp.		+
Basidiomycete		*
Undescribed taxon		+

" The nomenclature of reference 5 was followed.

 $^{b}$  -, not found; +, isolated from compost (includes the eventual isolation from other substrates); \*, isolated from horse droppings, not found in compost.

<sup>c</sup> Species isolated from horse droppings or not fully identified.

of the laboratory and its attendant health risks, *Rhizomucor*, *Absidia*, and *Aspergillus* colonies were not examined further. Representative cultures were kept on agar slants at room temperature and were subcultured twice a year.

Thermophilic fungi tested. The selection of isolates was started with 34 species, i.e., the 22 species mentioned in Table 1, our isolates from self-heated pigpen litter (Chaetomium thermophilum, Mortierella wolfii, Rhizopus rhizopodiformis, Thermoascus thermophilus, and a [second] basidiomycete), and Corynascus sepedonium CBS 223.81, Humicola hyalothermophila CBS 454.80, Melanocarpus albomyces CBS 747.70, Scytalidium indonesiacum CBS 259.81, Thermomyces stellatus CBS 272.61, Thielavia heterothallica CBS 117.65, and Thielavia terricola CBS 313.31. Of 20 species, 2 isolates were tested; of Rhizomucor miehei, Rhizomucor pusillus, Rhizopus rhizopodiformis, Thermoascus thermophilus, the two unidentified basidiomycetes, and the above-mentioned species from the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS) collection, only one isolate was tested. For S. thermophilum, testing began with 42 of our isolates supplied with cultures from collections: ATCC 16453, 16454, and 16463; IMI 121649 and 131012; and CBS 147.64, 183.64, 183.81, 184.64, 225.63, 226.63, and 227.63.

Growth promotion of *A. bisporus*. Dried and ground (10-mm mesh) phase II compost was wetted, sterilized, and incubated

for 3 to 5 days at  $45^{\circ}$ C with the thermophilic fungus to be tested. Growth tests with *A. bisporus* were done either in tubes or in dishes at  $24^{\circ}$ C to determine the mycelium extension rate (35) or the duration of the adaptation period of growth (36).

**Presentation of data.** Calculations were done with data from independent experiments; their number is indicated by n. Means and pooled standard deviations were calculated by analysis of variance by using GENSTAT 5 (24). Least significant differences between pairs of means depend on n. Since n varied, numerous least-significant-difference values can be calculated from standard deviations. For convenience we indicated significant differences where appropriate.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Survey. The species of thermophilic fungi isolated (Table 1) represent most of the known thermophilic taxa. The identification of some isolates producing only sterile mycelium proved to be problematic. Some resembled *Chaetomium* spp., whereas others showed a basidiomycetous affinity. Isolates which belong to an undescribed taxon are to be investigated further. Fast-growing species were very common, and after 1 day of incubation, counting was possible only if the number of colonies per dilution plate was as small as 20. Therefore high dilutions were used. Macroscopically, young cultures of S. thermophilum resembled Chaetomium thermophilum; both were fast growing. Rhizomucor spp. and Absidia corymbifera were also very similar and fast growing. Colonies of Talaromyces thermophilus resembled Thermomyces lanuginosus; both had a moderate growth rate and appeared after 2 or 3 days of incubation.

Straw and drainage of compost were dominated by fastgrowing and rapidly sporulating fungi, such as Aspergillus fumigatus, Rhizomucor spp., and Absidia corymbifera. Talaromyces thermophilus and Thermomyces lanuginosus were present at moderate densities, and Corynascus thermophilus and S. thermophilum were present at low densities. During phase I, total counts decreased (Table 2). Most species almost disappeared, but S. thermophilum was not much affected and seemed the exclusive species after phase II composting. After incubation of samples of phase II compost for 2 h at 70°C, S. thermophilum was hardly found in plate dilutions; however Talaromyces thermophilus and Thermomyces lanuginosus appeared, indicating that they were usually overlooked. Fungi recovered from washed phase II compost particles were almost exclusively S. thermophilum. Cultures were mostly of spore type 2 (37), having aerial conidia in long chains. In some cases, mixed cultures of S. thermophilum and a slow-growing vellowgreen unidentified Chaetomium sp. developed. Then the colonies of S. thermophilum were yellowish in the center. The Chaetomium sp. could not be isolated from these mixed cultures but was occasionally isolated from particles remaining free of S. thermophilum. Chaetomium sp. was not isolated from compost from tunnels of a commercial compost yard. This may be caused by a larger temperature gradient which developed in the compost from bottom to top in our experimental tunnels compared with commercial tunnels. In the top of the compost S. thermophilum was suppressed and Chaetomium sp. was particularly abundant. If phase II composting had been started with ingredient mixtures that had hardly self-heated, recovery of S. thermophilum from washed particles was sometimes low. The normal count for compost from commercial tunnels was 100% (35).

Thielavia terrestris was isolated only from horse droppings. Coprinus cinereus, Emericella nidulans, Malbranchea sulfurea, Paecilomyces variotii, Stilbella thermophila, Thermoascus au-

Source of fungi	Log <sub>10</sub> CFU g <sup>-1a</sup>	S. thermophilum frequency	n	S. thermophilum recovery $(\%)^{a,b}$	n
Wheat straw	3.4	Infrequent	6	ND <sup>c</sup>	
Drainage from compost	3.9	Infrequent	2	ND	
Horse droppings	2.3	Infrequent	3	ND	
Ingredient mixtures, start self-heating	3.3	Half	26	28	3
End phase I	2.4	Abundant	12	3	8
End phase II	6.5	Exclusive	5	90	54

TABLE 2. Numbers of thermophilic fungi in mushroom compost at its several stages of preparation

" Standard deviations of CFU counts and of recovery are 1.00 and 17.3, respectively. Compared with data of ingredient mixtures, CFU counts and recovery were significantly lower at the end of phase I and higher at the end of phase II.

<sup>b</sup> Counts of fungal recovery from washed particles are restricted to S. thermophilum.

<sup>c</sup> ND, not determined.

rantiacus, Thermoascus crustaceus, and the undescribed taxon were very rarely found on straw or substrate mixtures starting to self-heat. Myriococcum thermophilum was isolated only once from compost. Chaetomium thermophilum was reported to be quite common in mushroom compost (Table 1), but we did not find it. However, we found Chaetomium thermophilum in samples of self-heated pigpen litter. These samples also provided us with additional isolates of Malbranchea sulfurea and Myriococcum thermophilum and with Mortierella wolfii, Rhizopus rhizopodiformis, Thermoascus thermophilus, and a basidio mycetous isolate. Some isolates of Chaetomium thermophilum and most isolates of Thermoascus aurantiacus died in our collection. La Touche (22) had observed the same for Chaetomium thermophilum and advised keeping it on straw; we maintained it successfully on compost agar.

Our survey provided us with valuable isolates of thermophilic fungi. Important ones were included in the CBS collection. *S. thermophilum* was very common. It has also been found in other composts (40), soil (38), and air (11). It grows very fast, and even CFU numbers below our detection limit of 1 g<sup>-1</sup> are sufficient for rapid colonization of the substrate under favorable growth conditions. This means that when inoculum experiments with selected isolates are performed, adequate pasteurization of compost is required.

**Growth promotion of** *A. bisporus.* On sterilized compost in tubes, *A. bisporus* grew at a rate of  $3.2 \text{ mm day}^{-1}$  (Table 3). On

nonsterilized phase II compost, in which S. thermophilum was dominant, growth occurred at 8.6 mm day<sup>-1</sup> (35). All 54 isolates of S. thermophilum tested promoted growth of A. bisporus to rates of about 7 mm day<sup>-1</sup> (37). Of 33 other species, 10 promoted growth of A. bisporus to rates above 5 mm day<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3). This finding indicates some specificity of the growth-promoting factor(s). Chaetomium thermophilum, Chaetomium sp., Malbranchea sulfurea, Myriococcum thermophilum, and one of the unidentified basidiomycetes induced rates above 6 mm day<sup>-1</sup>, like S. thermophilum. A. bisporus was also grown in dishes, and the effect of 21 isolates of S. thermophilum was tested. Isolate 15.8 caused an irregular start of growth. As a result, the period of growth adaptation lasted 13 days (36). Isolates IMI 131012, CBS 184.64, and CBS 227.63, as well as isolates 15.1 and M7.5.1, both belonging to a subtype of spore type 2 which also has short terminal chains of aerial conidia (37), caused regular growth, and the adaptation period was up to 3 days shorter (data not shown; differences just below significance).

The 10 species and the above-mentioned *S. thermophilum* isolates seemed interesting for inoculation for more controlled preparation of the substrate for *A. bisporus*. The two basidio-mycetes grow very slowly and hence will need too much time to colonize compost. The fast-growing species, *S. thermophilum*, *Chaetomium thermophilum*, and *Myriococcum thermophilum*, appear the most promising.

TABLE 3. Growth rates of A. bisporus on sterilized compost inoculated with different thermophilic fungi

Species	Isolate designation	Source	Mycelial extension rate $K_r$ (mm/day)"	n
None (sterile control)	_		3.2	44
Chaetomium thermophilum	209.3	Pigpen litter	6.1	2
	T49.6.5	Pigpen litter	6.9	2
Chaetomium sp.	M4.7	Mushroom compost	7.9	3
	98.5	Mushroom compost	6.9	2
Corynascus sepedonium	CBS 223.81	1	6.4	2
Malbranchea sulfurea	T20.1	Mushroom compost	7.1	2
	T49.9.1	Pigpen litter	6.4	2
Myriococcum thermophilum	82.2.9 (CBS 208.89)	Mushroom compost	7.1	3
	T49.6.6	Pigpen litter	6.3	2
Scytalidium thermophilum	15.8 (CBS 671.88)	Mushroom compost	7.2	39
Stilbella thermophila	82.4.3a	Mushroom compost	5.1	2
	200.3	Mushroom compost	5.4	3
Thielavia heterothallica	CBS 117.65	1	5.6	2
Thielavia terrestris	T104.1.2	Horse droppings	5.3	2
	244.3.7	Horse droppings	5.0	2
Basidiomycete 1	244.3.8	Horse droppings	6.9	2
Basidiomycete 2	242.2.1	Mushroom compost	5.8	3

" Standard deviation of K, is 0.84. All isolates caused a significantly higher K, than the control. However, values below 6 were significantly below 7.2, the value obtained by treatment with S. thermophilum isolate 15.8.

Tests on promotion of A. bisporus growth with actinomycetes and other bacteria have been negative (35). However, after incubation of samples of phase II compost for 10 min at 100°C, for the selective inactivation of fungi, fast growth of A. bisporus was found. Subculturing this substrate into sterilized compost also resulted in fast growth of A. bisporus, although the adaptation period was extended by 6 days. After seven "generations" of subculturing, the growth-promoting effect was still present. However, none of the prokaryotes isolated thus far from these substrates was growth promoting. Stanek (33) found that A. bisporus growth on a substrate incubated with a mixture of a Pseudomonas sp. and a Streptomyces sp. was satisfactory, while incubations with the species alone were not.

The growth-promoting species are not pioneers of compost. Probably they are all cellulolytic. However, *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Corynascus thermophilus* are cellulolytic but do not promote growth. Growth promotion is limited to the rate of extension of *A. bisporus* mycelium, the specific rate of biomass increase not being affected. We failed in our attempt to further elucidate the growth-promoting effect (34). Stanek (32) reported that filtrates of fungal, actinomycetal, and other bacterial cultures stimulated biomass growth of *A. bisporus* mycelium. Recently, the involvement of CO<sub>2</sub> has been reported (42).

The selected species will be further investigated for inoculation and controlled preparation of compost.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. A. M. Voermans and T. Greutink, Research Institute for Pig Husbandry, Rosmalen, The Netherlands, for samples of self-heated pig-pen litter, and K. B. Uljé, Alphen aan de Rijn, The Netherlands, and J. Guarro, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, for identifying *Coprinus cinereus* and *Hormographiella aspergillata*, respectively.

#### REFERENCES

- Atkey, P. T., and D. A. Wood. 1983. An electron microscope study of wheat straw composted as a substrate for the cultivation of the edible mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*). J. Appl. Bacteriol. 55:293– 304.
- Basuki, T. 1981. Ecology and productivity of the padi straw mushroom (*Volvariella volvacea* (Bull. ex Fr.) Sing.). Ph.D. thesis. University of Wales, Aberystwyth.
- 3. Bilai, V. T. 1984. Thermophilic micromycete species from mushroom composts. Mikrobiol. Zh. (Kiev) 46:35-38. (In Russian.)
- Cailleux, R. 1973. Mycoflore du compost destiné à la culture du champignon de couche. Rev. Mycol. 37:14–35.
- Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures. 1990. CBS list of cultures, 32nd ed. Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Baarn, The Netherlands.
- 6. Chang, Y., and H. J. Hudson. 1967. The fungi of wheat straw compost. I Ecological studies. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 50:649–666.
- Chapman, E. S. 1974. Effect of temperature on growth rate of seven thermophilic fungi. Mycologia 66:542–546.
- Derikx, P. J. L., F. H. M. Simons, H. J. M. Op den Camp, C. Van der Drift, L. J. L. D. Van Griensven, and G. D. Vogels. 1991. Evolution of volatile sulfur compounds during laboratory-scale incubations and indoor preparation of compost used as a substrate in mushroom cultivation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 57:563–567.
- 9. Eicker, A. 1977. Thermophilic fungi associated with the cultivation of *Agaricus bisporus*. J. S. Afr. Bot. 43:193–207.
- Evans, H. C. 1971. Thermophilous fungi of coal spoil tips. II. Occurrence, distribution and temperature relationships. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 57:255-266.
- 11. Evans, H. C. 1972. Thermophilous fungi isolated from the air. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 59:516-519.
- Fergus, C. L. 1964. Thermophilic and thermotolerant molds and actinomycetes of mushroom compost during peak heating. Mycologia 56:267–284.
- 13. Fergus, C. L. 1971. The temperature relationships and thermal

resistance of a new thermophilic *Papulaspora* from mushroom compost. Mycologia **63**:426–431.

- Fergus, C. L., and R. M. Amelung. 1971. The heat resistance of some thermophilic fungi on mushroom compost. Mycologia 63: 675–679.
- Fergus, C. L., and J. W. Sinden. 1969. A new thermophilic fungus from mushroom compost: *Thielavia thermophila* spec. nov. Can. J. Bot. 47:1635–1637.
- Fermor, T. R., P. E. Randle, and J. F. Smith. 1985. Compost as a substrate and its preparation, p. 81–109. *In* P. B. Flegg, D. M. Spencer, and D. A. Wood (ed.), The biology and technology of the cultivated mushroom. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, United Kingdom.
- Fermor, T. R., J. F. Smith, and D. M. Spencer. 1979. The microflora of experimental mushroom composts. J. Hortic. Sci. 54:137-147.
- Gerrits, J. P. G. 1988. Nutrition and compost, p. 29-72. In L. J. L. D. Van Griensven (ed.), The cultivation of mushrooms. Darlington Mushroom Laboratories, Rustington, United Kingdom.
- Gerrits, J. P. G. 1992. Trends in composting. Mushroom J. 508:46–51.
- Gerrits, J. P. G., and L. J. L. D. Van Griensven. 1990. New developments in indoor composting (tunnel process). Mushroom J. 205:21-29.
- 21. Hayes, W. A. 1969. Microbiological changes in composting wheat straw/horse manure mixtures. Mushroom Sci. 7:173–186.
- 22. La Touche, C. J. 1950. On a thermophile species of *Chaetomium*. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 33:94–104.
- Olivier, J. M., and J. Guillaumes. 1976. Étude écologique des composts de champignonnières. I. Évolution de la microflore pendant l'incubation. Ann. Phytopathol. 8:283-301.
- 24. Payne, R. W., P. W. Lane, A. E. Ainsley, K. E. Bicknel, P. G. N. Digsby, S. A. Harding, P. K. Leech, H. R. Simpson, A. D. Todd, P. J. Verrier, R. P. White, J. C. Gower, G. Tunnicliffe Wilson, and L. J. Paterson. 1987. Genstat 5 reference manual. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Reisinger, O., O. Desbiens, and G. M. Olah. 1979. Transformation du substrat organique utilise pour la culture industrielle d'Agaricus bisporus. Etude ultrastructurale preliminaire et hypotheses de travail. Mushroom Sci. 10(1):287-302.
- Rodrígues, E. C., A. A. Pizzirani-Kleiner, Y. Tanaka, and J. A. Jorge. 1991. Cytogenetic and biochemical aspects of the cellulolytic fungus *Humicola* sp. Mycol. Res. 95:169–177.
- Rosenberg, S. L. 1975. Temperature and pH optima for 21 species of thermophilic and thermotolerant fungi. Can. J. Microbiol. 21:1535–1540.
- 28. **Rosenberg, S. L.** 1978. Cellulose and lignocellulose degradation by thermophilic and thermotolerant fungi. Mycologia **70**:1–13.
- Satyanarayana, T., B. N. Johri, and J. Klein. 1992. Biotechnological potential of thermophilic fungi, p. 729–761. *In D. K. Arora,* R. P. Elander, and K. G. Mukerji (ed.), Handbook of applied mycology, vol. 4. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
- Seal, K. J., and H. O. W. Eggins. 1976. The upgrading of agricultural wastes by thermophilic fungi, p. 58-78. *In* G. G. Birch, K. J. Parker, and J. T. Worgan (ed.), Food from waste. Applied Science Publishers, London.
- 31. Sparling, G. P., T. R. Fermor, and D. A. Wood. 1982. Measurement of the microbial biomass in composted wheat straw, and the possible contribution of the biomass to the nutrition of *Agaricus bisporus*. Soil Biol. Biochem. 14:609–611.
- Stanek, M. 1969. Die Wirkung der Zellulosezersetzenden Mikroorganismen auf das Wachstum des Champignons. Mushroom Sci. 7:161–172.
- Stanek, M. 1972. Microorganisms inhabiting mushroom compost during fermentation. Mushroom Sci. 8:797–811.
- 34. Straatsma, G., G. Di Lena, T. W. Olijnsma, H. J. M. Op den Camp, and L. J. L. D. Van Griensven. 1993. Laboratory media for measuring growth parameters of Agaricus bisporus mycelium as influenced by Scytalidium thermophilum. Cultivated Mushroom Res. Newsl. 1:1-6.
- Straatsma, G., J. P. G. Gerrits, M. P. A. M. Augustijn, H. J. M. Op den Camp, G. D. Vogels, and L. J. L. D. Van Griensven. 1989.

Population dynamics of *Scytalidium thermophilum* in mushroom compost and stimulatory effects on growth rate and yield of *Agaricus bisporus*. J. Gen. Microbiol. **135**:751–759.

- 36. Straatsma, G., J. P. G. Gerrits, T. M. Gerrits, H. J. M. Op den Camp, and L. J. L. D. Van Griensven. 1991. Growth kinetics of Agaricus bisporus mycelium on solid substrate (mushroom compost). J. Gen. Microbiol. 137:1471-1477.
- Straatsma, G., and R. A. Samson. 1993. Taxonomy of Scytalidium thermophilum, an important thermophilic fungus in mushroom compost. Mycol. Res. 97:321–328.
- Tansey, M. R., and M. E. Jack. 1977. Growth of thermophilic and thermotolerant fungi in soil in situ and in vitro. Mycologia 69:563-578.
- 39. Voermans, J. A. M., and C. N. Huysman. 1990. Decomposition of

pig manure by using additives in combination with deep litter of sawdust and shavings, p. 20–24. *In* D. D. Schulte (ed.), Agricultural and food processing waste. American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, Mich.

- Von Klopotek, A. 1962. Über das Vorkommen und Verhalten von Schimmelpilzen bei der Kompostierung städtischer Abfallstoffe. Antonie Leeuwenhoek 28:141–160.
- 41. Wiegant, W. M. 1992. A simple method to estimate the biomass of thermophilic fungi in composts. Biotechnol. Tech. 5:421–426.
- Wiegant, W. M., J. Wery, E. T. Buitenhuis, and J. A. M. De Bont. 1992. Growth-promoting effect of thermophilic fungi on the mycelium of the edible mushroom *Agaricus bisporus*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58:2654–2659.