Fermentation of Methanol in the Sheep Rumen

ARJAN POL^{1*} AND DANIEL I. DEMEYER²

Department of Animal Nutrition, Veterinary Faculty, State University of Utrecht, Yalelaan 16, NL-3584 CM Utrecht, The Netherlands,¹ and Onderzoekscentrum voor Voeding, State University of Ghent, B-9230 Melle, Belgium²

Received 24 August 1987/Accepted 8 December 1987

Sheep fed a hay-concentrate diet were adapted to pectin administration and ruminal infusion of methanol. Both treatments resulted in a strong increase in the rate of methanogenesis from methanol. Quantitative data show that methanol was exclusively converted into methane. Treatments did not influence ruminal volatile fatty acid percentages.

Methanol is produced in the rumen as a result of the hydrolysis of methyl esters from pectins, which are abundant polysaccharides in plants. In vitro incubations pointed to subsequent ruminal fermentation (3, 13), probably into methane (3). Moreover, Methanosarcina spp. which may use methanol as a methanogenic substrate have been isolated from ruminal contents in several studies (1, 6, 9, 10).

However, Genthner et al. (6) isolated Eubacterium limosum from a sheep rumen and showed that this bacterium converted methanol mainly into acetate and butyrate. Conclusive quantitative data which ascertain the importance of Methanosarcina spp. or E . limosum in the rumen are lacking, however.

Therefore, we performed experiments to determine the ultimate fate of methanol in the rumen of hay-concentratefed sheep before and after adaptation to methanol infusion and to pectin administration.

Sheep were fed concentrates (100 g) and hay (400 g) twice daily. Sheep 15 received 100 g of pectin via its fistula just after the morning feed. Sheep 16 was continuously infused with ^a solution of methanol (1 M) at ^a rate of ¹⁹ ml/h. A 500-ml volume of ruminal contents was obtained before the morning feed, mixed, and filtered through gauze (1-mm pore diameter), and 40-ml portions were anaerobically (flushing with $CO₂$) dispensed in incubation flasks containing 10 ml of Burroughs saline (10% [vol/vol]) (2). Methanol was added by syringe through a septum after a preincubation period of about 10 min. Incubations were performed in a shaking water bath at 39°C. For zero-rate determinations, single gas samples were analyzed hourly during the first 5 h. For endpoint determinations, the analysis was done in duplicate.

Methanosarcina sp. was enriched on a medium with acetate as the sole organic substrate under an atmosphere of 80% N₂-20% CO₂ (11) and was subsequently isolated in agar roll tubes with the same medium; yeast extract, tryptone soy broth, and fatty acids were omitted from the medium.

Methane, hydrogen, and volatile fatty acids were determined as described previously (5). Methanol was analyzed as described by Van der Meijden et al. (12). Ruminal fluid volumes of sheep 15 and 16 were estimated to be 8 to 11 liters after a single injection of polyethylene glycol, analyzed as described by Decuypere et al. (4). The degree of esterification of pectin was 48%, which was determined as described by Katan and Van de Bovenkamp (7).

Five sheep (no. 2, 3, 14, 15, and 16) were used to determine the basal ruminal rate of methanogenesis from methanol. This was estimated by the difference between the zero rates of methane production with and without addition of methanol (1,230 μ mol) to in vitro incubations. Virtually linear curves were obtained during several hours when ruminal fluid was used from animals which had been fasted for 24 h. All sheep showed rather low rates of methanogenesis from methanol. For sheep 2, 15, and 16 the maximal amounts of methane produced as a result of methanol addition were not obtained until after 2 days, and the corresponding molar ratios of methane produced to methanol added $(CH_{4}/CH_{3}OH)$ were calculated (Table 1).

Infusion of methanol into the rumen of sheep 16 during a period of over a month resulted in a strong increase in the rate of methanogenesis from methanol, which was measured from day 5 on (Table 1). The maximal in vitro rates obtained, about 2 mmol/liter per h, could account for fermentation of the infused amount of methanol (19 mmol/h) in the rumen.

Pectin administration (about 540 mmol/day) to sheep 15 during the same period had the same effect, although apparently to a lesser extent. In this respect it should be noted that the theoretical maximum amount of methanol formed in the rumen from added pectin is about 260 mmol, which is about half the amount infused in sheep 16 and will therefore support less growth of methanol-fermenting organisms. The low value at day 37 may be explained by some residual ruminal pectin, resulting in the high background methanogenesis observed. The $CH₄/CH₃OH$ ratios for sheep 15 and 16 after 5 days of adaptation were determined after 24-h incubations (Table 1).

After 9 days of adaptation, methanol utilization in sheep 16 was followed in vitro for 5 h (Fig. 1). Exhaustion of the limiting amount of methanol $(370 \mu \text{mol})$ coincided with slowing down of methanogenesis. The ratio of methane produced to methanol consumed was 0.77. This ratio and the ratios obtained as described above indicate a quantitative conversion of methanol into methane according to the stoichiometry $4CH_3OH \rightarrow 3CH_4 + CO_2 + 2H_2O$, as has been established for Methanosarcina cultures on methanol. The low partial pressure of H_2 in the present incubations (25 Pa) is comparable with that in the rumen and obviously does not lead to a direct reduction of methanol, as observed for *Methanosarcina* cultures under high $H₂$ pressure (8) and which would result in a ratio of about 1. The fact that 2-bromoethanesulfonic acid (150 μ M), a specific inhibitor of methanogenesis, led to a complete inhibition of methanol consumption within ¹ h after its addition (results not shown) further sustains the conclusion from the present results that methanol is exclusively converted into methane in the rumens of the sheep examined.

^{*} Corresponding author.

^a Days of adaptation.

 b Maximal amount of methane formed in the incubation.</sup>

Ratio of methane formed to methanol added in the incubation (see the text), except for sheep 16 at day 9, where the methanol used is expressed.

Sheep 15 received pectin.

^e Sheep 16 was infused with methanol.

The organism most probably involved in this conversion is a Methanosarcina species which could be enriched and isolated on a medium with acetate as the sole growth substrate. Identification was based on its morphology and its fluorescence under UV light. The methanogen formed clumps of cells typical for Methanosarcina sp. which settled down very quickly. This made accurate counting and representative sampling from ruminal contents impossible and may be at least partly responsible for wide variations in the rates of methanogenesis observed. Unfortunately, the organism was lost before being studied further.

Any influence of methanol conversion on ruminal fermentation could not be detected from molar percentages of

FIG. 1. Methane formation (----) and methanol utilization (----) in incubations of ruminal fluid of sheep 16 after 9 days of adaptation. Symbols for additions: \Box , none; \odot , 1,230 μ mol of methanol; \triangle , 370 μ mol of methanol. In the later incubation methanol was measured \blacksquare).

volatile fatty acids, which ranged between normal values: 72.1 to 78.4, 13.9 to 17.6, and 6.7 to 11.9 for acetate, propionate, and butyrate, respectively. An effect might have been expected if substantial secondary fermentation of acetate into methane by Methanosarcina sp. took place as observed by Rowe et al. (10), or if acetogenic methanolutilizing organisms like E . *limosum* were involved as suggested by Genthner et al. (6). This should have resulted in an increased butyrate percentage.

The results of this study and studies in which Methanosarcina spp. were enumerated (6, 9) suggest that these species are the predominant methanol-utilizing bacterium under normal feeding conditions. The aberrant nature of molasses diets may explain the great variability in the ruminal methanol-utilizing microbial population on these diets. While Rowe et al. (10) found Methanosarcina sp. as a large population $(10^9/\text{ml})$, Genthner et al. (6) and, very recently, Vicini et al. (14) found E. limosum in much higher numbers (10⁸ to 10⁹/ml and 10⁵ to 10⁶/ml, respectively) than *Methanosarcina* sp. (0 and $10³$ to $10⁴/ml$, respectively).

We acknowledge the financial support of the European Communities Commission, Brussels, for this investigation.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Beyer, W. H. 1952. Methane fermentation in the rumen of cattle. Nature (London) 170:576-577.
- 2. Burroughs, W., N. Frank, P. Gerlaugh, and R. M. Bethke. 1950. Preliminary observations on factors influencing cellulose digestion by rumen microorganisms. J. Nutr. 40:9-24.
- 3. Czerkawski, J. W., and G. Breckenridge. 1972. Fermentation of various glycolytic intermediates and other compounds by rumen microorganisms with particular reference to methane production. Br. J. Nutr. 27:131-146.
- 4. Decuypere, J. A., A. Meeusen, and H. K. Henderickx. 1981. Influence of the partial replacement of milk protein by soybean protein isolates with different physical properties on the performance and nitrogen digestibility of early weaned pigs. J. Anim. Sci. 53:1011-1018.
- 5. Demeyer, D. I., and H. K. Henderickx. 1967. The effect of C18 and saturated fatty acids on methane production in vitro by mixed rumen bacteria. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 137:484-497.
- 6. Genthner, B. R. S., C. L. Davis, and M. P. Bryant. 1981. Features of rumen and sewage sludge strains of Eubacterium limosum, a methanol- and H_2 -CO₂-utilizing species. Appl. En-

viron. Microbiol. 42:12-19.

- 7. Katan, M. B., and P. van de Bovenkamp. 1981. Determination of total dietary fiber by difference and of pectin by colorimetry or copper titration, p. 217-239. In W. P. T. James and 0. Theander (ed.), The analysis of dietary fiber in food. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
- 8. Muller, V., M. Blaut, and G. Gottschalk. 1986. Utilization of methanol plus hydrogen by Methanosarcina barkeri for methanogenesis and growth. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 52:269-274.
- 9. Patterson, J. A., and R. B. Hespell. 1979. Trimethylamine and methylamine as growth substrates for rumen bacteria and Methanosarcina barkeri. Curr. Microbiol. 3:79-83.
- 10. Rowe, J. B., M. L. Loughnan, J. V. Nolan, and R. A. Leng. 1979. Secondary fermentation in the rumen of a sheep given a diet based on molasses. Br. J. Nutr. 41:393-397.
- 11. Van Bruggen, J. J. A., K. B. Zwart, R. M. van Assema, C. K. Stumm, and G. D. Vogels. 1984. Methanobacterium formicicum, an endosymbiont of the anaerobic ciliate Methopus striatus McMurrich. Arch. Microbiol. 139:1-7.
- 12. Van der Meijden, P., H. J. Heythuysen, H. T. Sliepenbeek, F. P. Houwen, C. van der Drift, and G. D. Vogels. 1983. Activation and inactivation of methanol: 2-mercaptoethanesulfonic acid methyltransferase from Methanosarcina barkeri. J. Bacteriol. 153:6-11.
- 13. Vantcheva, Z. M., K. Pradhan, and R. W. Hemken. 1970. Rumen methanol in vivo and in vitro. J. Dairy Sci. 53:1511- 1514.
- 14. Vicini, J. L., W. J. Brulla, C. L. Davis, and M. P. Bryant. 1987. Quin's oval and other microbiotica in the rumens of molassesfed sheep. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 53:1273-1276.