Heterotrophic Nitrification by Alcaligenes faecalis: $NO₂$, $NO₃$, N_2O , and NO Production in Exponentially Growing Cultures

HANS PAPEN,* REGINA VON BERG, ILME HINKEL, BARBARA THOENE, AND HEINZ RENNENBERG

Fraunhofer-Institute for Atmospheric Environmental Research, Kreuzeckbahnstrasse 19, D-8100 Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Federal Republic of Germany

Received ²³ February 1989/Accepted 25 May 1989

Heterotrophic nitrification by *Alcaligenes faecalis* DSM 30030 was not restricted to media containing organic forms of nitrogen. In both peptone-meat extract and defined media with ammonium and citrate as the sole nitrogen and carbon sources, respectively, NO_2^- , NO_3^- , NO , and N_2O were produced under aerobic growth conditions. Heterotrophic nitrification was not attributable to old or dying cell populations. Production of $NO₂$, NO₃-, NO, and N₂O was detectable shortly after cultures started growth and proceeded exponentially during the logarithmic growth phase. NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ production rates were higher for cultures inoculated in media with pH values below ⁷ than for those in media at alkaline pH. Neither assimilatory nor dissimilatory nitrate or nitrite reductase activities were detectable in aerobic cultures.

Besides the broad spectrum of denitrifiers, autotrophic nitrifiers have attracted special scientific interest, since it was found that these microorganisms are able to produce NO and N_2O during nitrification and, therefore, may contribute significantly to the biogenic emissions of these trace gases into the atmosphere (2, 6, 8, 14, 18, 19, 30). Heterotrophic nitrification, first described in 1894 for a fungus (24), is thought to be restricted to old or stationary-phase bacterial cultures (for examples, see references ¹ and 22) and to be a minor component of the biogeochemical cycle of nitrogen (3, 4). However, heterotrophic nitrification may be an important process in heath and conifer forest soils (15, 17, 21, 25). In these studies however, it was not determined whether N_2O or NO is produced during heterotrophic nitrification in addition to nitrite or nitrate. The present experiments were conducted to identify microorganisms able to nitrify under aerobic heterotrophic growth conditions; characterize the growth phase, during which heterotrophic nitrification activities occur; and quantify the potential products of heterotrophic nitrification including $N₂O$, NO, and NO₂.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Alcaligenes faecalis DSM 30030, Alcaligenes eutrophus DSM 428, Pseudomonas aeruginosa DSM 50071, Arthrobacter simplex DSM 20130, Arthrobacter sp. DSM 312, and Arthrobacter sp. 917 were obtained from the Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen, Gottingen, Federal Republic of Germany. Stock cultures of the bacteria were maintained under aerobic conditions at 28°C on peptonemeat extract (PM) agar plates (5 g of peptone from meat [no. 7224; Merck, Darmstadt, Federal Republic of Germany], 3 g of extract of meat [no. 3979; Merck] per liter of aqua bidest., 2% [wt/vol] agar). The pH of the PM medium was adjusted to ⁷ with 0.1 N NaOH prior to autoclaving. For experiments on heterotrophic nitrification from organically bound nitrogen, PM medium was used but agar was omitted. In addition, ^a defined ammonium citrate (AC) medium was used. The basal medium contained the following components (molar): sodium citrate, 9.5×10^{-3} ; NH₄Cl, 9.35×10^{-3} ; KH₂PO₄, 1.47 \times 10⁻³; MgSO₄ · 7H₂O, 1.62 \times 10⁻⁴; CaCl₂ · 2H₂O, 1.36 \times 10^{-7} ; and FeSO₄ · 7H₂O (as EDTA complex), 3.6×10^{-5} .

The pH of the medium was adjusted to 6.5 with 5% $Na₂CO₃$ or ¹ N NaOH prior to autoclaving.

For testing NO_2^- , NO_3^- , N_2O , and NO production during heterotrophic nitrification, mid-log cells of A. faecalis grown in PM or AC medium (28°C; ¹²⁰ rpm on ^a rotary shaker) were centrifuged (4°C, 20,000 \times g) and washed twice in PM or AC medium, respectively. Cells were suspended in the original volume of the respective medium, and 10 μ l of the suspension (about 10 to 35 μ g of protein) was inoculated into Fernbach flasks (total volume, 7.0 ml) containing 2.0 ml of medium. Flasks were stoppered with sterile serum rubber stoppers and incubated at 28°C on a rotary shaker at 120 rpm. The gas phase was analyzed for N_2O , NO, and O_2 every 60 min. Thereafter, portions (1 ml) of suspension were transferred to Eppendorf tubes and centrifuged at $13,000 \times g$ (Biofuge A; Heraeus-Christ GmbH). Supernatants and cell pellets were stored frozen $(-30^{\circ}C)$. After thawing, the supernatants were analyzed immediately for nitrite and nitrate concentrations; the pellets were analyzed for protein after suspension to the original volume in double distilled water. In addition, portions of the cultures were diluted in physiological sodium chloride solution and were analyzed for colony-forming ability after plating on PM agar. Colonies were counted after 24 and 48 h of incubation at 28°C. The measurement of protein increase and increase in viable cell numbers paralleled each other and, therefore, could equally be used to characterize growth.

 $NO₂$ was determined by the method of Snell and Snell (23). $\overline{NO_3}^-$ was quantified enzymatically by the nitrate reductase assay according to the instructions of the manufacturer (Boehringer GmbH, Mannheim, Federal Republic of Germany). In order to ensure the performance of these methods, NO_2^- and NO_3^- in the supernatants were also quantified by isocratic reversed-phase high-pressure liquid chromatography (column: ODS Hypersil RP 18, ⁴ mm by ²⁵⁰ mm; particle size: 5 μ M; flow rate: 1 ml of 0.005 M LOW-UV-PIC-A-Reagent [Waters Associates, Inc., Milford, Mass.] per min). Both methods gave identical results. In all experiments, uninoculated PM medium and AC medium served as controls. NO_2^- and NO_3^- contents of uninoculated media were below the limits of detection of the methods employed.

 N_2O and O_2 in cultures were determined by injecting

^{*} Corresponding author.

FIG. 1. Schematic drawing of the instrumental design for the determination of NO and NO, by the chemoluminescence detector including the two injection ports and the two sample loops. The gas samples were injected by gastight syringes through the septa of the injection ports into the sample loops (volume, 5 ml). By switching the magnetic valves, the sample could be eluted by the gas stream (compressed air) without any pressure buildup. Direct injection of samples into the continuous gas stream would result in a sudden pressure increase, the peak of which would simulate the presence of NO or NO₂. Abbreviations: CA, compressed air; FC, flow controller; IP, injection port with septum; MV, magnetic valve; PM, photomultiplier; MO-converter, molybdenum converter.

 100 - μ l portions of the gas phase with gastight syringes into a Perkin-Elmer Sigma 3B gas chromatograph equipped with a 63Ni electron capture detector and ^a PoraPLOT Q megabore column (GS-Q column, ³⁰ m by 0.53 mm; ict Handels GmbH, Frankfurt, Federal Republic of Germany). Chromatographic conditions were as follows: carrier gas, 4 ml of He per min; makeup gas, 30 ml of 95% Ar plus 5% $CH₄$ per min; injector temperature, 100°C; and detector temperature, 350°C. The column temperature was kept at 50°C for 4 min; then the temperature was increased to 100°C at a rate of 30°C per min. The final temperature (100°C) was held for 6 min before the oven was cooled down to 50° C. N₂O, CO₂, and O₂ could be separated and determined in the same run under these conditions. For standardization, $100-\mu l$ portions of appropriate dilutions of N_2O (99%; Messer Griesheim, Düsseldorf, Federal Republic of Germany) in ambient air were injected into the gas chromatograph. Integration of peaks and data analysis were performed with a Sigma 15 Chromatography Data Station (Perkin-Elmer).

The amount of NO in the gas phases of cultures was determined after its conversion to $NO₂$ by $O₃$ with a NO- $NO₂-NO_x$ analyzer (chemoluminescence detector model 14B/E; Thermo Electron Corp., Waltham, Mass.). Portions (5 ml) were taken with gastight syringes and injected into sample loops (Fig. 1), from which the sample could be transferred without pressure buildup into the gas stream (flow rate of compressed air, 1 liter per min). $NO₂$ signals (from NO) were registered by a chart recorder. Gas phases of uninoculated media served as controls. NO concentrations were calculated on a peak area basis from a standard curve obtained by injection of 5-ml volumes of appropriate dilutions of standard NO gas (21 ppm [21 μ l/liter] in nitrogen; Messer Griesheim) into the instrument. $NO₂$ in the gas phases of cultures was either detected with ^a LUMINOX-NO₂ instrument (Scintrex Unisearch LMA-3, Ontario, Canada) or the same chemoluminescence detector used for the determination of NO with the molybdenum converter being connected to the gas stream.

For tests on the presence of nitrate reductase and nitrite reductase (NR and NiR, respectively) activities in aerobic cultures, which produced NO_2^- , NO_3^- , N_2O , and NO in PM or AC medium during the logarithmic growth phase, cells were harvested by centrifugation (20,000 \times g, 4[°]C), washed twice with the respective medium, and suspended in medium to an optical density at 680 nm of 0.140 (3×10^7 viable cells

per ml; 0.15 mg of protein per ml). In vivo tests were performed either in glass tubes (for aerobic incubations) or in 7-ml Fernbach flasks (for anaerobic incubations) in a shaking water bath at 28°C. The assay mixtures contained the following in a total volume of 2 ml: 0.9 ml of medium, ¹ ml of cell suspension, and 0.1 ml of either 60 mM $NO₃⁻$ for NR assay (final concentration, 3 mM) or 0.3 mM NO_2^2 for NiR (final concentration, 0.015 mM) assay. The reactions were started after 3 min of equilibration by addition of the $NO_3^$ or $NO₂$ ⁻ solution. For anaerobic incubations, the Fernbach flasks containing medium and cells were closed until gastight with serum rubber stoppers, evacuated, and flushed several times with pure nitrogen gas $(99.999\% \t N_2;$ Messer Griesheim). Anaerobic solutions of $NO₃⁻$ and $NO₂⁻$ were injected through the serum rubber stoppers in order to start the reactions. After 0, 20, 40, and 60 min of incubation, 0.3-ml samples were withdrawn and centrifuged, and the supernatant was analyzed for $NO₂$ ⁻ (23) produced from $NO₃⁻$ (NR) or $NO₂⁻$ consumed (NiR), respectively. Incubations without NO_3^- or NO_2^- were used as a measure of the values for NO_3^- and NO_2^- heterotrophically produced during the incubations to be subtracted from the values obtained in the assays for NR-NiR.

Protein content of whole cells was determined as described by Herbert et al. (9). Data shown in Table ¹ and Fig. 2 represent the means of three independent experiments with at least five replicates each.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Among the six bacterial strains tested, four were found to heterotrophically produce $NO₂⁻$ in significant amounts during growth on PM medium: A. faecalis DSM 30030, A. eutrophus DSM 428, P. aeruginosa DSM 50071, and Arthrobacter sp. DSM 312. Arthrobacter sp. DSM ⁹¹⁷ and Arthrobacter simplex DSM 20130 did not produce NO_2^- under the same conditions. The strains of A. faecalis, A. eutrophus, and P. aeruginosa were found to accumulate NO_2^- during exponential growth, whereas Arthrobacter sp. DSM ³¹² produced $NO₂$ ⁻ heterotrophically only after exponential growth. The amount of $NO₂⁻$ produced by A. faecalis DSM 30030 was six to ten times higher than the amount produced by the other strains tested regardless of whether heterotrophic nitrification took place during the exponential or stationary phase of growth. Therefore, heterotrophic nitrification was characterized with this microorganism.

Medium and substrate	Concn	Production of ^b :			
			Nitrite Nitrate	N,O	Growth ^{<i>h</i>}
Complex medium					
PМ	$5 g$, $3 g/l$ iter	$\,{}^+$	$\,{}^+$	\div	$\,{}^+$
Peptone	5 g/liter	$\,{}^+$		$\ddot{}$	$+$
Meat extract	3 g/liter	$\ddot{}$		$+$	$^{+}$
Substrate on defined median ^c					
$NH4Cl + citrate$	$9.35, 9.5$ mM	$^{+}$	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$	$\,^+$
Citrate	$9.5 \text{ }\mathrm{mM}$				
NH ₄ Cl	9.35 mM				
(NH_4) ₂ HPO ₄	3.8 mM	$^{+}$	ND ^d	$+$	$+$
$(NH_4)_2SO_4$	3.8 mM	$^{+}$	ND	$^{+}$	$\,^+$
Urea	$8.3 \text{ }\mathrm{mM}$	$+$	ND.	$+$	$+$
Arginine	$9.5 \text{ }\mathrm{mM}$		ND		$^{+}$
Kreatine	$2.7 \text{ }\mathrm{mM}$		ND		
Arginine	9.5 mM		ND		
Glutamic acid	3.3 mM		ND.		$\,^+$
Glutamine	$9.9 \text{ }\mathrm{mM}$		ND		

TABLE 1. Media and substrates for heterotrophic nitrification (nitrite, nitrate, and N_2O production) by A. faecalis DSM ³⁰⁰³⁰ under aerobic growth conditions"

 A . faecalis was grown under aerobic conditions in the media listed above at an initial pH of 6.5 and at 28°C on ^a rotary shaker (120 rpm). Mid-log cultures were analyzed for NO_2^- , NO_3^- , and N_2O production and growth. b Results are indicated as positive $(+)$ or negative $(-)$.

 ϵ AC medium was used as defined medium. (NH₄), HPO₄, (NH₄), SO₄, urea, and arginine were used as substrates in place of $N\tilde{H}_4C1$ on defined medium. Kreatine, arginine, glutamic acid, and glutamine were used as substrates in place of NH₄Cl and citrate on defined medium.

" ND, Not determined.

Analysis of the dependence of $NO₂⁻$ production on the initial pH in PM medium showed that specific activities were highest at pH 6.5 with 5.34 μ mol of NO₂⁻ produced per h per mg of protein and that they rapidly declined at more acidic (pH 5.6) or more alkaline (pH 7.1, 8.5) levels. Therefore, most of the further experiments were conducted with an initial pH of 6.5 in the media.

Heterotrophic production of $NO₂⁻$ and other possible products of heterotrophic nitrification by A. faecalis was first analyzed in a qualitative way testing different media with different substrates (Table 1). Under aerobic conditions, A. faecalis was found to produce $NO₂⁻$, $NO₃⁻$, and N₂O not only on PM medium but also on a defined medium (AC medium) containing citrate as the sole source of carbon and NH4Cl as the sole source of nitrogen. Apparently, heterotrophic nitrification was not restricted to media containing nitrogen in organic form but could also have been achieved with ammonium.

When $NH₄Cl$ or citrate was omitted from the AC medium, growth or heterotrophic nitrification activity was not observed (Table 1). Attempts to grow A. faecalis like a chemolithotrophic nitrifier in the defined medium (without citrate but with carbonate as the carbon source) failed but were successful with a Nitrosomonas europaea strain as a control (data not shown). When cells of A. faecalis were incubated for up to 10 weeks in this medium, no $NO₂$ or $NO₃$ ⁻ could be detected. Therefore, contamination of A. faecalis stock cultures with autotrophic nitrifiers could be excluded. From these experiments, it is also clear that EDTA present in AC medium could not be used as ^a nitrogen or carbon source by A. faecalis.

Heterotrophic nitrification activity was strictly dependent on the presence of a carbon source (other than $CO₂$) and a nitrogen source in the medium (Table 1). $NH₄Cl$ could be replaced by other inorganic nitrogen sources such as $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$ and $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ or by urea as an organic compound. With arginine, growth was obtained but $NO_2^$ and N₂O production were undetected. Replacing citrate plus ammonium chloride with substrates, which theoretically could serve as carbon and nitrogen sources for heterotrophic nitrification activity (kreatine, arginine, glutamic acid, and glutamine) did not result in growth of cells, with the exception of glutamic acid. NO_2^- or N_2O production was not observed under these conditions. On the other hand, with PM medium, $NO₃⁻$ was produced by the cultures in addition to NO_2^- and N_2O . Cultures grown on peptone or meat extract medium alone produced $NO₂$ and N₂O but failed to produce any nitrate under the conditions employed (Table 1).

In order to identify possible correlations among growth, growth phase, and the release of products of heterotrophic nitrification under aerobic conditions, cultures were grown on PM medium (Fig. 2) and analyzed every hour for protein, $NO₂$, and $NO₃$ content in the media and N₂O and NO content in the gas phases of the cultures. Both $NO₂⁻$ and $NO₃$ ⁻ could be detected in experiments with PM medium (Fig. 2) approximately 2 h after the cells had started to grow (approximately 12 h after inoculation). The production of NO_2 ⁻ and NO_3 ⁻ proceeded continuously during the exponential growth phase in a logarithmic way. The gaseous nitrogen oxides N_2O and NO were also produced exponentially during the growth of the cultures, although obviously aerobic conditions prevailed (Fig. 2). These results clearly show that heterotrophic NO_2^- and NO_3^- production by A. faecalis took place during active growth of the cultures and cannot be attributed to stationary-phase cultures or dying cell populations. The main product of heterotrophic nitrifi-

FIG. 2. Heterotrophic nitrification by A. faecalis DSM ³⁰⁰³⁰ under aerobic conditions in PM medium. Semilogarithmic plots of $NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, NO, and N₂O produced during growth (protein) in PM$ medium. In addition, the oxygen concentration in the flasks is shown.

cation by A. faecalis after ²⁰ ^h of incubation in PM medium was NO_2^- (1,200 nmol per culture), followed by NO_3^- (630 nmol per culture) and about equal amounts of $N₂O$ (9.3 nmol per culture) and NO (8.3 nmol per culture). Mean specific activities (in micromoles per hour per milligram of protein) of NO_2^- , NO_3^- , N_2O , and NO production were, respectively, the following: 5.34, 2.60, 0.029, and 0.023. These activities correspond to a ratio of $NO₂⁻:NO₃⁻:N₂O:NO$ of 1:0.49:0.0054:0.0043. In experiments on PM medium, trace amounts of $NO₂$ could also be detected in the gas phases during active growth of the bacteria (data not shown).

When cultures of A. faecalis were grown in the defined AC medium, similar results to those with PM medium were obtained: N₂O and NO were produced by heterotrophic nitrifying cultures under aerobic conditions during the whole phase of exponential growth. After 19 h, the cultures had accumulated (per culture) 900 nmol of $NO₂⁻$, 560 nmol of $NO₃⁻$, 109 nmol of N₂O, and 0.7 nmol of NO. These values are 1.1 (NO_2^-) , 1.3 (NO_3^-) , and 12 (NO) times lower than those obtained in the PM medium with the exception of N_2O , which was produced in amounts ¹² times higher in AC medium than those in PM medium. Mean specific activities (in micromoles per hour per milligram of protein) of $NO₂⁻$, $NO₃$, $N₂O$, and NO productions were the following: 2.79, 1.11, 0.59, and 0.014. These activities correspond to a ratio of nitrite:nitrate: $N₂O$:NO production of 1:0.39:0.21:0.005.

In order to show whether denitrification enzymes were present, cultures actively producing $NO₂⁻$, $NO₃⁻$, $N₂O$, and NO in PM and AC media were analyzed aerobically and anaerobically for NR and NiR activities. All attempts to find any dissimilatory (and assimilatory) NR and NiR activities within 40 min of aerobic and anaerobic incubations were unsuccessful (data not shown). Anaerobic incubations were performed for up to 40 min, as incubation times of 60 min and more caused the induction of both NiR and NR in A. faecalis. This induction is connected with the ability of A. faecalis to grow as a denitrifier under anaerobic conditions in the presence of NO_2^- or NO_3^- as respiratory electron acceptors (for examples, see references 2 and 11).

The results presented here show that $NO₂$ ⁻ was produced heterotrophically from organic substrates present in PM medium during the growth of A. faecalis DSM 30030, A. eutrophus DSM 428, and P. aeruginosa DSM 50071. The bacterial strains differed markedly in their heterotrophic $NO₂$ -producing capabilities during exponential growth in PM medium, with A. faecalis showing the highest activities of NO_2^- production (5.34 μ mol/mg of protein per h). However, this may be the consequence of the medium applied and may differ with other media and substrates. Arthrobacter sp. DSM ³¹² was also able to heterotrophically produce $NO₂$ ⁻ in PM medium but only when active growth had already ceased. These observations suggest that heterotrophic nitrification can occur during the stationary phase of growth (1, 15, 22, 26) but also takes place in exponentially growing cultures, depending on the microorganism.

Heterotrophic NO_2^- production during active growth has been described for Arthrobacter sp. 9006 (29) but only occurred in a mineral medium when acetate was added along with tryptone and yeast extract. Furthermore, in contrast to these results with strains of A. faecalis, A. eutrophus, P. aeruginosa, and Arthrobacter sp., there was no heterotrophic NO_2^- production in a complex medium containing peptone or meat extract alone. On the other hand, the presence of organically bound nitrogen was not essential for heterotrophic nitrification activity by A. faecalis DSM 30030

but also occurred in ^a defined AC medium with ammonium chloride as the sole source of inorganic nitrogen (Table 1).

With the data presented in Fig. 2, it was calculated that in PM medium (pH 6.5) A. faecalis accumulated NO_2^- to about ¹⁰ mg of N per liter. Similar results were obtained with Arthrobacter sp. 9006 accumulating $NO₂$ in the presence of acetate (pH 7.4) up to 15 mg of N per liter (29). A. faecalis produced NO_2^- more efficiently at acidic pHs than at neutral or alkaline pHs, while other nitrifying heterotrophs are thought to produce hydroxylamine, NO_2^- , NO_3^- , or other oxidized nitrogen compounds only when grown on neutral or alkaline media (10, 26-28). Recent experiments with several fungi and two Bacillus spp., however, isolated from a podzolic brown earth (pH 3.5) showed significant rates of heterotrophic nitrification (17). These findings as well as the data presented here support the view that nitrification can be performed by certain heterotrophs under acidic conditions and may, therefore, be of greater significance in nature, e.g., in acidic soils than hitherto presumed (17).

In PM (Fig. 2) as well as in AC medium, A. faecalis DSM 30030 produced NO_3^- in addition to NO_2^- as a product of heterotrophic nitrification during exponential growth. $NO₃$ production was about 50% of NO_2^- production. Apparently, heterotrophic nitrification by A. *faecalis* differs basically from chemolithotrophic nitrifiers, which during active growth either produce NO_2^- (ammonia oxidizers) or NO_3^- (nitrite oxidizers). It is believed that $NO₃⁻$ is produced by fewer heterotrophically nitrifying microorganisms than NO_2^- (7). To our knowledge, the presence of both NO_2^- and $NO₃$ ⁻ in media as products of heterotrophic nitrification by the same microorganism has been described only for an Arthrobacter sp. (27) and Aspergillus flavus CMC 5 (10) ; however, in these studies heterotrophic nitrification was observed under conditions when active growth had already ceased.

Investigations on the identification of products of heterotrophic nitrification concentrated on hydroxylamine, NO_2^- , and $NO₃⁻$, but N₂O and NO as products of heterotrophic nitrification have not been reported (16, 27). The present experiments with A. faecalis DSM 30030 show that, during aerobic heterotrophic nitrification, N_2O and NO are also produced. The production of these gases paralleled those of NO_2^- and NO_3^- during logarithmic growth of the cultures in both PM (Fig. 2) and AC media. Since enzymes of denitrification, aerobic denitrification (2, 20), and assimilatory NO_3 ⁻ and NO_2 ⁻ reduction could not be detected, we carefully conclude that these enzymes were not responsible for the production of N_2O or NO in this study. These observations are consistent with the finding of a strain of A. faecalis capable of heterotrophic nitrification lacking dissimilatory NR and NiR activities when incubated under aerobic conditions with carbon sources other than pyruvicoxime (5). Further research will clarify whether other enzyme systems may catalyze the production of $N₂O$ and NO under conditions of aerobic heterotrophic nitrification by A. faecalis DSM 30030. Whether the $NO₂$ observed in the gas phase of cultures was caused by heterotrophic nitrification activity of the bacteria or by chemodenitrification could not be decided from the experiments performed.

These experiments suggest that, besides denitrification and autotrophic nitrification (2, 6, 8, 14, 18, 19, 30), heterotrophic nitrification has to be considered as a potential source for gaseous atmospheric nitrogen oxides of biogenic origin. In comparison to chemolithotrophic nitrifiers (12-14), nitrification rates of heterotrophs are described to be 3 orders of magnitude lower (16). Obviously, this is not the

case with A. faecalis, the specific $NO₂$ ⁻ production activity of which (5.34 μ mol/mg of protein per h) was found in this study to be comparable to that of N. europaea (about 3 to 6 μ mol/mg of protein per h, calculated from data presented earlier [14]). On the other hand, one has to realize that in view of the greater numbers of different fungal and bacterial genera capable of heterotrophic nitrification (17, 28), the total nitrification activity caused by heterotrophs may even exceed that caused by chemolithotrophic nitrifiers in certain environments. This seems to be the case especially with respect to nitrous oxide production activity: e.g., for N. europaea grown in air on 11.6 mM NH_4^+ , it was calculated that the total N_2O N formed as a percentage of the NO_2^- N formed was 0.09% (14). With A. faecalis DSM 30030 under aerobic conditions in AC medium and with comparable ammonium concentrations (9.5 mM NH₄Cl), N₂O N was 12.1% of the NO_2^- N produced; in PM medium, it was 0.65%. Therefore, the amount of N_2O produced during heterotrophic nitrification may be ² orders of magnitude higher than with autotrophic ammonia oxidizers under comparable conditions. Further experiments will have to show whether heterotrophic nitrification is also an important factor in the biogenic emission of gaseous nitrogen oxides under field conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to Konrad Eiblmeier for performing the NO, measurements with the LUMINOX instrument and to Peter Schroder for his ideas on the construction of the injection ports and sample loops of the NO_x instrument.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Alexander, M. 1977. Introduction to soil microbiology. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- 2. Anderson, I. C., and J. S. Levine. 1986. Relative rates of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide production by nitrifiers, denitrifiers, and nitrate respirers. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 51:938-945.
- 3. Brady, N. C. 1984. The nature and properties of soils, p. 283-302. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York.
- 4. Brown, C. M. 1988. Nitrate metabolism by aquatic bacteria, p. 367-388. In B. Austin (ed.), Methods in aquatic bacteriology. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- 5. Castignetti, D., and T. C. Hollocher. 1982. Nitrogen redox metabolism of a heterotrophic, nitrifying-denitrifying Alcaligenes sp. from soil. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 44:923-928.
- 6. Davidson, E. A., and W. T. Swank. 1986. Environmental parameters regulating gaseous nitrogen losses from two forested ecosystems via nitrification and denitrification. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 52:1287-1292.
- 7. Doxtader, K. G., and M. Alexander. 1966. Nitrification by heterotrophic soil microorganisms. Soil Sci. Am. Proc. 30: 351-355.
- 8. Goreau, T. J., W. A. Kaplan, S. C. Wofsy, M. B. McElroy, F. W. Valois, and S. W. Watson. 1980. Production of NO₂⁻ and $N₂O$ by nitrifying bacteria at reduced concentrations of oxygen. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 40:526-532.
- 9. Herbert, D., P. J. Phipps, and R. E. Strange. 1971. Chemical

analysis of microbial cells, p. 209-344. In J. R. Norris and D. W. Ribbons (ed.), Methods in microbiology, vol. 5B. Academic Press, Inc., New York.

- 10. Hirsch, P., L. Overrein, and M. Alexander. 1961. Formation of nitrite and nitrate by actinomycetes and fungi. J. Bacteriol. 82:442-448.
- 11. Hochstein, L. I., and G. A. Tomlinson. 1988. The enzymes associated with denitrification. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 42:231- 261.
- 12. Hynes, R. K., and R. Knowles. 1978. Inhibition by acetylene of ammonia oxidation in Nitrosomonas europaea. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 4:319-321.
- 13. Hynes, R. K., and R. Knowles. 1982. Effect of acetylene on autotrophic and heterotrophic nitrification. Can. J. Microbiol. 28:334-340.
- 14. Hynes, R. K., and R. Knowles. 1984. Production of nitrous oxide by Nitrosomonas europaea: effects of acetylene, pH, and oxygen. Can. J. Microbiol. 30:1397-1404.
- Killham, K. 1987. Heterotrophic nitrification. Soc. Gen. Microbiol. 20:117-126.
- 16. Knowles, R. 1985. Microbial transformations as sources and sinks for nitrogen oxides, p. 411-426. In J. A. Brierley (ed.), Plantary ecology. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., Inc., New York.
- 17. Lang, E., and G. Jagnow. 1986. Fungi of a forest soil nitrifying at low pH values. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 38:257-265.
- 18. Lipschultz, F., 0. C. Zafiriou, F. C. Wofsy, M. B. McElroy, F. W. Valois, and S. W. Watson. 1981. Production of NO and N,O by soil nitrifying bacteria. Nature (London) 294:641-643.
- 19. Martikainen, P. J. 1985. Nitrous oxide emission associated with autotrophic ammonium oxidation in acid coniferous forest soil. AppI. Environ. Microbiol. 50:1519-1525.
- 20. Robertson, L. A., and J. G. Kuenen. 1984. Aerobic denitrification: a controversy revived. Arch. Microbiol. 139:351-354.
- 21. Schimel, J. P., M. K. Firestone, and K. S. Killham. 1984. Identification of heterotrophic nitrification in an sierran forest soil. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 48:802-806.
- 22. Schmidt, E. L. 1960. Nitrate formation by Aspergillus flavus in pure and mixed culture natural environments. Trans. Int. Conf. Soil Sci. 2:600-605.
- 23. Snell, F. D., and T. C. Snell. 1949. Colorimetric methods of analysis, vol. 3, p. 804-805. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., Inc., New York.
- 24. Stutzer, H., and R. Hartleb. 1894. Über Nitratbildung. Zentralbl. Bakteriol. Parasitenkd. lnfektionskr. Hyg. Abt. ¹ Orig. Reihe A 22:701.
- Van de Dijk, S. J., and S. R. Troelstra. 1980. Heterotrophic nitrification in a heath soil demonstrated by an in-situ method. Plant Soil 57:11-21.
- 26. Verstraete, W. 1975. Heterotrophic nitrification in soils and aqueous media. Biol. Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR 4:515-530.
- Verstraete, W., and M. Alexander. 1972. Heterotrophic nitrification by Arthrobacter sp. J. Bacteriol. 110:955-961.
- 28. Verstraete, W., and M. Alexander. 1972. Mechanism of nitrification by Arthrobacter sp. J. Bacteriol. 110:962-967.
- 29. Witzel, K.-P., and H. J. Overbeck. 1979. Heterotrophic nitrification by Arthrobacter sp. (strain 9006) as influenced by different cultural conditions, growth state and acetate metabolism. Arch. Microbiol. 122:137-143.
- 30. Yoshida, T., and M. Alexander. 1970. Nitrous oxide formation by Nitrosomonas europaea and heterotrophic microorganisms. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 34:880-882.