



Supplemental Figure 1: Comparison of the responses of the model network when different specific capacitance values are implemented. Propagation of DC signals and spikes between model cells connected by a junctional conductance (0.5 nS) between the soma of cell 1, (V_1) and mid-dendrite of cell 2 (V_2). **(A)** Simulations were run using a high value ($3 \mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$) of specific capacitance in order to compensate for the simplified structure of the model cells. Traces illustrate the propagation of DC signals generated by the sequential injection of a current step (-100 pA , 500 ms) in cell 1 and cell 2, respectively. Insets show the responses in the non injected cells at magnified scale. DC coupling coefficients (CC) are shown above the response in the non-injected cells. Notice that repeating the simulation using a more traditional value of specific capacitance ($1 \mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$) does not affect significantly the propagation of DC signals in the model, as shown in panel **(B)**. In contrast, propagation of spikes is depressed when using higher values of specific capacitance as shown by the comparison of the simulations run in panel **(C)** ($3 \mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$) and **(D)** ($1 \mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$). Spikes were evoked in the model cells by the sequential injection in cell 1 and 2 of a depolarizing current step (70 pA , 500 ms). The propagation obtained by implementing higher capacitance values are more conservative and result in a better match to experimentally determined values [see Price et al., 2005 (average DC CC reported was 0.094 ± 0.056) and Zsiros and Maccaferri, 2005 (reported spike CC was 0.005 ± 0.001)]