# Analysis of the Transcriptional Unit Encoding the Genes for Rubredoxin (*rub*) and a Putative Rubredoxin Oxidoreductase (*rbo*) in Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough

MICHAEL J. BRUMLIK AND GERRIT VOORDOUW\*

Division of Biochemistry, Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta T2N 1N4, Canada

Received 28 March 1989/Accepted 6 June 1989

The nucleotide sequence of a 2.0-kilobase-pair EcoRI restriction fragment upstream from the gene (*rub*, 162 base pairs) encoding rubredoxin from *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough indicates that it is part of a larger transcriptional unit, containing an additional 378-base-pair open reading frame which terminates 16 nucleotides from the translational start of the *rub* gene and could encode a polypeptide of 14 kilodaltons (kDa). Northern (RNA) blotting of RNA isolated from both *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough and *Escherichia coli* TG2 transformed with plasmid pJK29, which contains both genes on a 1.1-kilobase-pair *SalI* insert, confirms that the genes for this 14-kDa polypeptide and rubredoxin are present on a single transcript of 680 nucleotides. Strong evidence that the 14-kDa polypeptide is also a redox protein is provided by the fact that its NH<sub>2</sub> terminus is homologous to desulforedoxin, which has been isolated from *D. gigas* as a small dimeric redox protein (36 amino acids per monomer), coordinating two iron atoms. Since rubredoxin is a potential redox partner for the 14-kDa protein, it has been tentatively named rubredoxin oxidoreductase, produced by the *rbo* gene. Southern blotting indicates that the *rbo-rub* operon is present in several species and strains of sulfate-reducing bacteria.

Rubredoxins are small, electron-carrying proteins (molecular mass, 6 kilodaltons [kDa]), which are found in the cytoplasm of several anaerobic bacteria, e.g., Desulfovibrio gigas (8), D. vulgaris Hildenborough (7), D. desulfuricans (15), Thermodesulfobacterium commune (24), Clostridium pasteurianum (36), Peptostreptococcus elsdenii (4), and Peptococcus aerogenes (3). The amino acid sequences of these rubredoxins have been determined (3, 4, 7, 8, 15, 36), and the three-dimensional structures of rubredoxins from C. pasteurianum, D. vulgaris, D. gigas, and D. desulfuricans, which has a very low molecular mass (only 5.2 kDa) have been solved (2, 13, 14, 32, 41-43). The redox center of rubredoxin consists of a single iron atom (redox potential, -50 to 0 mV), coordinated to four cysteinyl sulfurs. This redox potential is relatively high, since dissimilatory sulfate reduction by Desulfovibrio species requires electrons at -400 to -200 mV (17, 18, 23, 27), and it is not clear, therefore, which electron transfer reaction is catalyzed by rubredoxin. Several attempts have been made to define the redox partners of rubredoxin by biochemical experiments. By using the rubredoxin-mediated reduction of eucaryotic cytochrome c by NADH as an assay, an NADH-rubredoxin oxidoreductase was (partially) purified from D. gigas (16) and C. acetobutylicum (25). The Clostridium enzyme was found to have flavin adenine dinucleotide as the prosthetic group and to consist of a single subunit with a molecular mass of 41 kDa (25). The D. gigas enzyme shows specificity for rubredoxin from *D. gigas* ( $K_m = 6.2 \times 10^{-6}$  M) relative to rubredoxins from *D. vulgaris* ( $K_m = 5.3 \times 10^{-6}$  M) and *C. pasteurianum* ( $K_m = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$  M), which show 71 and 63% sequence identity, respectively, with *D. gigas* rubredoxin. The subunit molecular mass and nature of the cofactor have not been reported for the D. gigas enzyme, and it has not been isolated from D. vulgaris.

A different approach to the identification of possible redox

partners for rubredoxin, which makes use of the fact that the gene encoding rubredoxin (*rub*) from *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough was recently cloned from a  $\lambda$  library of the *D. vulgaris* chromosome and its nucleotide sequence was determined (37), is taken here. No typical promoter consensus sequence (29) was found in the region immediately upstream from the *rub* gene, which instead appears to contain the 3' end of another reading frame, indicating that the *rub* gene may be part of a larger transcriptional unit. Since at least one gene encoding a redox protein interacting with rubredoxin could be present on this larger transcript, the region upstream from the *rub* gene is characterized in detail in the present paper.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, vectors, and media. The bacterial strains, plasmids, and cloning vectors used in this work are described in Table 1. For the isolation of RNA, D. vulgaris Hildenborough was grown anaerobically in a medium made up from the following solutions, described by Pfennig et al. (26): solution 1 (1 liter, kept under 90%  $N_2$ -10% CO<sub>2</sub>), solution 2 (1 ml), solution 3 (1 ml), solution 4 (30 ml), solution 5 (3 ml), 15% (wt/vol) of sodium lactate (10 ml), solution 8 (1 ml), solution 9 (0.1 ml), and Wolin vitamins (10 ml) (44). This medium allows a high growth rate of D. vulgaris, and RNA can successfully be isolated from cells grown for 16 h at 30°C at a 5% (vol/vol) inoculum. Chromosomal DNA, isolated from 15 different species and strains of sulfate-reducing bacteria (see Table 2) after growth on Postgate medium C (27), was a gift from Helen Kent, AFRC Unit of Nitrogen Fixation, University of Sussex, Brighton, England. DNA from D. vulgaris Miyazaki was a gift from T. Yagi, Department of Chemistry, Shizuoka University, Shizuoka, Japan, and DNA from D. salexigens NCIMB 8365 was donated by D. W. S. Westlake, Department of Microbiology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada. Escherichia coli TG2 was grown in TY medium (22) containing 10 g of tryptone, 5

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

TABLE 1. Bacterial strains and DNA vectors used in this study

Strain or vector	Genotype, phenotype, comments, reference
Strains	
D. vulgaris subsp. vulgaris	
Hildenborough <sup>a</sup>	NCIMB 8303; isolated from
e	clay soil near Hilden-
	borough, U.K. (27)
<i>E. coli</i> TG2 <sup><i>b</i></sup>	$\dots \Delta(lac-pro)$ supE thi hsdM
	hsdR recA F' (traD36
	$proAB^+$ $lacZ\Delta M15I^q$ );
	from T. J. Gibson.
Vectors	
pUC8, pUC9	Amp <sup>r</sup> (35)
pJK15	This study (Fig. 1)
pJK29	This study (Fig. 1)
pRbo1	This study (Fig. 1)

<sup>*a*</sup> Abbreviated as *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough. A bacteriophage  $\lambda$  gene library has been constructed for this organism (37).

pRub1.....This study (Fig. 1)

<sup>b</sup> Constructed from *E. coli* JM101 [ $\Delta$ (*lac-pro*) supE thi F' (traD36proAB<sup>+</sup> lacZ\DeltaM15 I<sup>q</sup>] by T. J. Gibson and M. D. Biggin, Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Medical Research Council Centre, Cambridge, U.K.

g of yeast extract, and 5 g of NaCl per liter of water at pH 7.4.

**Biochemical reagents.** All enzymes were obtained from Pharmacia, Inc., with the exception of calf alkaline phosphatase, which was from Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals. The radioisotopes [ $\alpha$ -<sup>35</sup>S]dATP (400 Ci/mmol; 10 mCi/ ml), [ $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P]dATP (3,000 Ci/mmol; 10 mCi/ml), and [ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P] ATP (3,000 Ci/mmol; 10 mCi/ml) were purchased from Amersham Corp. and were used for dideoxynucleotide sequencing, nick translation, and 3' and 5' end labeling, respectively. Ficoll 400 was purchased from Pharmacia, Inc. Polyvinylpyrrolidone (molecular weight 40,000), bovine serum albumin (fraction V), molecular biology grade sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), bakers' yeast tRNA, salmon sperm DNA (sodium salt), and dextran sulfate (molecular weight 500,000) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Lowand high-gelling-temperature (LGT and HGT) agarose were obtained from Bethesda Research Laboratories, Inc. Nitrocellulose and Hybond-N hybridization transfer membranes were obtained from Schleicher & Schuell, Inc., and Amersham, respectively. All other reagent grade chemicals were purchased from either Sigma or Fisher Scientific Co.

**DNA cloning.** Several recombinant bacteriophages carrying the *rub* gene were isolated from a  $\lambda$  library, as described previously (37). These clones cover 35 kilobase pairs (kb) of the *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough chromosome, and an *Eco*RI restriction map of a part of this region is shown in Fig. 1. A 2.0-kb *Eco*RI fragment and a 1.1-kb *Sal*I fragment (Fig. 1) were cloned into the *Eco*RI and *Sal*I sites of pUC8 (35), generating plasmids pJK15 and pJK29, respectively, which were purified as described elsewhere (40).

Shotgun nucleotide sequencing. The procedure followed for shotgun nucleotide sequencing was essentially that outlined by Bankier and Barrell (5). Plasmid pJK15 was sonicated, and the resulting fragments were end repaired and size fractionated by gel electrophoresis on 1% (wt/vol) LGT agarose. The 400- to 1,000-base-pair (bp) fraction was excised and isolated from the gel and ligated to the replicative form of M13mp8 (21), digested with SmaI and calf alkaline phosphatase (5). After being transfected into competent, CaCl<sub>2</sub>-treated E. coli TG2 cells, the ligation mixtures were spread onto TY plates with top agar, containing isopropylβ-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal) (5). Single-stranded DNA was isolated from white recombinant phage plaques by using a 1.5-ml minipreparation procedure (5). The purified DNAs  $(2 \mu l)$  were spotted on a nitrocellulose filter, which was subsequently baked at 80°C for 1 h under vacuum. The 2.0-kb EcoRI insert from pJK15 was isolated by LGT agarose gel electrophoresis, radiolabeled by nick translation



FIG. 1. Survey of DNA containing the *rub* gene of *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough. (a) Location of EcoRI (E) restriction sites as determined earlier (37). (b) Map of a 2,234-bp EcoRI-Sall region of DNA for which the nucleic acid sequence was determined. The location of restriction sites for EcoRI, Sall (S), and AvaI (A), the coding regions for the genes encoding rubredoxin (*rub*) and the putative rubredoxin oxidoreductase (*rbo*), and the location of promoter (Pr) and transcription terminator (Te) sequences, are indicated. The following plasmids (inserts) were constructed: 1, pJK15 (2.0 kb EE); 2, pJK29 (1.1 kb SS); 3, pRbo1 (746 bp AS) and 4, pRub1 (347 bp AS). The position of the nucleic acid sequence shown in Fig. 3 is indicated in region 5.

(20), and denatured by boiling. The filter was prehybridized in  $6 \times SSC$  (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate [pH 7.2])–10× Denhardt solution (20)–0.5% (wt/vol) SDS for 15 min at 68°C. The 2.0-kb DNA probe was then added to the prehybridization solution, and hybridization was continued for 16 h at 68°C. After hybridization, the filter was washed in  $6 \times SSC$ –0.5% (wt/vol) SDS at 68°C for 1 h. The filter was then dried and wrapped in Saran wrap, and positive clones were identified by autoradiography. These were then sequenced by the dideoxy-chain termination procedure developed by Sanger et al. (31), as detailed by Bankier and Barrell (5). The sequencing data were processed and analyzed by using the programs of Staden and McLachlan (33, 34).

Construction of gene-specific probes. The completed nucleotide sequence indicated the presence of a unique Aval site in the 19-bp region separating the *rbo* and *rub* genes (Fig. 1; see also Fig. 3). Plasmid pJK29 was digested with AvaI, and the digested DNA was end repaired with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I to generate blunt ends (20). Following inactivation of the polymerase at 68°C, pJK29 was further digested with SalI, resulting in the excision of both the *rbo* and *rub* genes on 746- and 347-bp fragments of DNA, respectively; these were isolated by electrophoresis on 1.5% (wt/vol) LGT agarose. The purified fragments were ligated into pUC8, previously digested with SalI and SmaI, to give plasmids pRbo1 and pRub1, respectively. The insert of pRbo1 was gel isolated following digestion with HindIII and EcoRI as a 767-bp rbo gene-specific probe, whereas the presence of an EcoRI site near the 3' end of the rub gene (Fig. 1; see also Fig. 3) allowed the isolation of a 162-bp rub gene-specific probe by digestion of pRub1 with EcoRI and gel electrophoresis.

RNA isolation and Northern blotting. RNA was isolated from cultures of D. vulgaris Hildenborough and E. coli TG2 by the hot-phenol extraction method (11) and stored as the ethanol precipitate at -20°C. Samples of RNA were collected by centrifugation, washed with 70% (vol/vol) ethanol, dried under vacuum, and redissolved in 20 µl of loading buffer, prepared as described elsewhere (10). For Northern (RNA) blotting, RNA samples were electrophoresed on gels containing 1.0% (wt/vol) HGT agarose in 1× MOPS buffer  $(10 \times \text{MOPS buffer is } 0.2 \text{ M } 3-N \text{-morpholinopropanesulfonic})$ acid, 10 mM EDTA, and 50 mM sodium acetate [pH 7.0]) containing 2% (vol/vol) formaldehyde and then blotted onto a Hybond-N hybridization transfer membrane. Following transfer, the membrane was exposed to shortwave UV light to cross-link the RNA to the filter. Blots were prehybridized for 4 h at 42°C in a solution containing 0.75 M NaCl, 0.075 M sodium citrate (pH 7.0), 50% (vol/vol) formamide, 1% (wt/ vol) SDS, 0.1% (wt/vol) Ficoll 400, 0.1% (wt/vol) polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.1% (wt/vol) bovine serum albumin, and 0.16 mg of boiled bakers' yeast tRNA per ml. Following prehybridization, the blots were hybridized at 42°C for 16 h in the solution described above, also containing 10% (wt/vol) dextran sulfate as a hybridization enhancer, with a nicktranslated probe derived from either plasmid pRbo1 or pRub1. Following hybridization, the blots were washed twice for 5 min at room temperature with 100 ml of 0.3 M NaCl-0.06 M Tris hydrochloride (pH 8.0)-0.002 M EDTA, then twice for 15 min at 60°C with 100 ml of the same solution with 0.5% (wt/vol) of SDS added, and, finally, twice for 15 min at room temperature with 0.003 M Tris base, after which the filters were dried and autoradiographed.

**S1 nuclease mapping.** A probe covering the region upstream from the *rbo* gene was prepared by digestion of

pJK29 with EcoRI and calf alkaline phosphatase and gel isolation of the 913-bp EcoRI fragment, containing the relevant SalI-EcoRI fragment. The probe was 5' end labeled by adding T4 polynucleotide kinase (1  $\mu$ l; 10 U/ $\mu$ l) and  $[\gamma^{-32}P]ATP$  (2.5 µl; 10 µCi/µl) in the presence of 50 mM Tris hydrochloride (pH 7.6)-10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>-3 mM dithiothreitol-0.1 mM EDTA in a total volume of 10 µl at room temperature for 1 h. The labeled probe was phenol extracted, ethanol precipitated, and dissolved in 20 µl of 80% (vol/vol) formamide-20 mM PIPES [piperazine-N,N'-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid)] (pH 6.5)-400 mM NaCl (hybridization buffer). RNA (100  $\mu$ g) was dissolved in 40  $\mu$ l of hybridization buffer and incubated with the probe at 44°C for 12 h. Following the addition of 240 µl of S1 nuclease buffer (300 mM NaCl, 18 mM sodium acetate [pH 4.6], 10 mM ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) and 2  $\mu$ l of S1 nuclease (384,000 U/ $\mu$ l), the hybridization solution was incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 10 µl of denatured bakers' yeast tRNA (1  $\mu g/\mu l$ ), and then phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation of the DNA-RNA hybrids for 1 h at  $-70^{\circ}$ C were carried out. The vacuum-dried samples were dissolved in 10 µl of formamide dye mixture, prepared as described by Bankier and Barrell (5), and heated at 80°C for 5 min before being loaded on either a 4 or 6% (wt/vol) denaturing acrylamide gel (5), which was run at 39 W for 2 to 3 h. A partial HinfI digest of plasmid pUC8, labeled by filling the ends with Klenow polymerase in the presence of dGTP, dCTP, dTTP, and  $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dATP, was used as a molecular size marker (1,613, 913, 517, 396, 140, 75, and 65 nucleotides).

Southern blotting. Samples of genomic DNA (3 to 10 µg) from 17 different species and strains of sulfate-reducing bacteria were digested with EcoRI, electrophoresed on a 0.7% (wt/vol) HGT agarose gel as described elsewhere (40), and blotted on Hybond-N hybridization transfer membrane. The DNA was bound to the membrane by UV cross-linking as described above, and the blots were prehybridized for 1 h at 42°C with a solution (pH 7.4) containing 50% (vol/vol) formamide, 1 M NaCl, 0.1% (wt/vol) sodium PP<sub>i</sub>, 0.2% (wt/vol) polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.2% (wt/vol) bovine serum albumin, 0.2% (wt/vol) Ficoll 400, 10% (wt/vol) dextran sulfate, and 0.175 mg of denatured salmon sperm DNA per ml. A nick-translated pRub1 probe (20) was then added, and hybridization was continued for 16 h. The Southern blots were washed similarly to the Northern blots, dried, and autoradiographed. The pRub1 probe was removed by washing with 0.5 M NaOH-1.5 M NaCl for 30 min and neutralizing for 30 min with 1 M Tris hydrochloride (pH 8.0)-1.5 M NaCl. Removal of the probe was confirmed by autoradiography, after which the blots were rehybridized with the nick-translated pRbo1 probe.

#### RESULTS

Nucleotide sequence upstream from *rub*. The nucleotide sequence of the 2.0-kb EcoRI fragment, containing the region upstream from the *rub* gene, was determined by the random cloning and dideoxy sequencing procedure of Bankier and Barrell (5), and these data were combined with the sequence of the *rub* gene (37) to obtain a sequence of 2,234 nucleotides (nt) extending from an EcoRI to a Sall site (Fig. 1b). An identification of possible coding regions with the aid of the codon probability method of Staden and McLachlan (34) in this 2.2-kb sequence is shown in Fig. 2. Apart from the *rub* gene, this analysis indicates the presence of two other plausible genes in frames a and b. The amino acid sequences derived from these possible genes were compared



FIG. 2. Statistical analysis of the 2,234-bp region outlined in Fig. 1b. (a to c) The probability that a stretch of sequence (25 bases) is coding is calculated and plotted for each of the three reading frames a, b, and c (36). A codon usage table, which includes all codons of structural genes from *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, was used as the standard in the calculation. Methionine (ATG) start codons are indicated on the base line, and stop codons are indicated at the half level of each frame. The coding regions for a putative rubredoxin oxidoreductase (*rbo*), a putative *D. vulgaris* chemoreceptor (*dcr*), and rubredoxin (*rub*) are indicated by the calculation as shown in frames a to c, respectively. (d and e) Search for *E. coli* promoters. Sequences with homology to the consensus -35 (TTGACA) and -10 (TATAAT) *E. coli* promoter sequence are indicated in frames d and e, respectively. The scale is in base pairs.

with sequences in the Bionet data base, which indicated unique and extensive homologies with, respectively, desulforedoxin of D. gigas (9) and the aspartate and serine chemoreceptors of Salmonella typhimurium (30) and E. coli (6), respectively. The amino acid sequence that can be derived from the sequenced portion of the dcr gene (nt 1 to 1158) lacks approximately 140 NH<sub>2</sub>-terminal amino acids, and further nucleic acid sequencing is required for the completion of the sequence of the putative Desulfovibrio chemoreceptor protein. The homology of the dcr gene product with these two known chemotactically active proteins will therefore not be further discussed here, allowing a focus on the gene immediately preceding the rub gene. In view of the homology with desulforedoxin, as discussed below, the upstream gene appears to also encode a redox protein and is tentatively referred to as rubredoxin oxidoreductase, the product of the rbo gene.

The nucleotide sequence of the *rub* gene and its upstream region (nt 1301 to 2140) is shown in Fig. 3. Each nucleotide was determined four times on average, at least once on each strand. The gene encoding rubredoxin (nt 1896 to 2057) and the putative *rbo* gene predicted by the codon probability calculations in Fig. 2a (nt 1499 to 1879) have been translated into amino acid sequences. Each gene is preceded by a plausible ribosome-binding site. A search for *E. coli* promoters (Fig. 2d and e) indicates the presence of a promoter consensus sequence (-35, -10) upstream from these two genes, and a potential promoter has been indicated in Fig. 3. A hairpin-loop-forming structure of nine G  $\cdot$  C base pairs, which may serve as a transcription terminator, is present immediately downstream from the *rub* gene. Thus, an analysis of the nucleic acid sequence indicates that the two genes may form an operon. Direct experimental evidence for the presence of both genes on a single transcript is presented below.

Northern blotting and S1 nuclease mapping. Northern blots of RNA isolated from both D. vulgaris and E. coli TG2(pJK29) indicate that a single transcript of approximately 680 nucleotides hybridizes with both the pRbo1 and the pRub1 probes (Fig. 4). The 1.1-kb insert of pJK29 is in the correct orientation for transcription of the *rbo* and *rub* genes from the E. coli lac promoter present on the pUC vector. However, a transcript originating from the lac promoter and containing both the rbo and rub genes would be approximately 1,100 nt long, and this size is not consistent with the data in Fig. 4C. The observation of similarly sized transcripts in D. vulgaris and E. coli indicates that the D. vulgaris promoter controlling the transcription of these two genes functions in E. coli and may thus resemble the E. coli consensus. The observed size of the transcript is sufficiently large to accommodate both the rbo and the rub genes. Assuming that the transcript terminates at position 2110, on the 3' side of the hairpin indicated in Fig. 3, the transcriptional start site is placed in the vicinity of position 1430, which is 70 nt upstream from the translational start of the rbo gene. The transcriptional start site was next defined more precisely by S1 nuclease mapping. Use of the 913-bp probe gives rise to a major protected fragment of  $600 \pm 50$  nt (average of three experiments), while minor protected fragments of variable, smaller sizes are also observed (Fig. 5). The 600-nt fragment ends at nt 2041 (Fig. 3), indicating a transcriptional start site at nt 1441  $\pm$  50. The presence of a promoter sequence with reasonable homology to the E. coli consensus at nt 1355 to 1380 (Fig. 3) suggests a potential start

-35 GGGACACATCTTTCCGCTCATGGGGCTGGGC GGCGCCATTCCGCCTTCAGACCACCGAC GG D L V C C G E P M GG D L V C C G E P M GGCGCGACCTCGTGGGGGGGGACCCATC 1400 1590 1590 1600 1590 1590 1600 1710 1720 1710 1720 1710 1720 1710 1720 1710 1720 1710 1720 1710 1720 1710 1720 1710 1720 1720 1600 1720 1720 1720 1720 1720 1720 1720 172	GCATACTGTGCCTGAAAAGTGAATCACCCCC 1310 1320 1330 1330 GCTGTACACAGGTATAAACAGGTATTTCCG 1410 1450 1450 1450 1410 1450 1450 1450 1410 1410 1450 1450 1550 1560 1400 1500 1570 1560 1500 1500 1570 1500 1500 1500 1690 1500 1690 1690 1690 1690 1690 1690 1690 1910 1920 1930 1910 1920 1930 EcoRI FIG. 3. Nucleotide sequence of the <i>rbo-rub</i>	GCATACTIGTIGCCTGAAAAGTGAATCACCCC 1310 1320 1330 GCTGTACACAGGTATTAAACAGGTATTTCCC 1410 1440 1455 1410 1440 1455 M I V E V L H A G G AACATCGTCGAAGTCCTGCAAGGGTAGGCGGG 1550 1560 1570 1550 1560 1570 1550 1560 1570 1680 1571 1690 1691 1690 1691 1690 1691 1690 1691 1690 1691 1690 1691 1690 1691 1690 1691 1690 1691 1690 1691 1910 1920 1931 AAAAGTACGTAAGGCGAAGCCGGAATTCGG 1790 1800 1810 1910 1920 1931 2050 2050 EcoR1
-10 Lageccadarradgarradgarracrcgraaaaa Lageccadgargadgadgadgadgadgadgadgadgadgadgadgadgadg	-35 -35 -36 -36 -36 -36 -36 -36 -36 -36	-35-10CGGAACATCTTTCCGCTCATGGGGCGCAGACTAGGCCCCAGATTACTCGTAAAAAD13401350135013501360135013501350135013501350135013501350149014901490149014901460146014601460146014601460146014601460159017001710172017201730174017001710172017201730174017001710172017201720174017401720174017001710172017201720172017201720172017201720172017201720
	-35 GGGACACATCTTTCCGCTCATGGGGCTGGGAC 1360 1350 136 1360 1480 1470 148 G D L V C C G E P M GG CGGCCTCGTGCGGGGGGGACCCCAT 1580 1590 1590 160 1710 172 1700 1710 172 1710 172 1700 1710 172 1720 184 1710 1950 184 1720 1950 196 1950 196 1950 1950 207 0 2060 2070 208 0 160 195 172 10 195 172 10 195 172 10 195 172 10 195 172 10 195 195 195 195 195 195 195 195 195 195	-35 CGGACATCTTTCCGCTCATGGGCTGGAC GGCGGCCATTCCGCTTTCAGACCACGA GGCGGCCATTCCGCCTTTCAGACCACCGA 1480 1480 1470 1480 1480 1480 1480 1480 1480 1480 1480 1480 1480 1480 1480 1480 160 1710 1710 1710 1710 1710 1710 172 172 1730 172 1730 1740

5000 BRUMLIK AND VOORDOUW



FIG. 4. Northern blotting of total RNA isolated from D. vulgaris Hildenborough and E. coli TG2(pJK29). (A) Increasing amounts of D. vulgaris RNA (25, 50, 75, and 100 µg) were loaded in lanes 1 to 4, respectively. The blot was hybridized to the pRbo1 probe and autoradiographed for 5 days at  $-70^{\circ}$ C. The size markers, 25S rRNA (3,566 bases), 16S rRNA (1,776 bases), and 5S rRNA (120 bases), were visualized by fluorography of the blot following transfer of the ethidium bromide-stained gel. (B) The same blot as in panel A was incubated for 2 min in boiling distilled water to dissociate the pRbo1 probe. Following autoradiography to confirm the dissociation of this probe, the blot was rehybridized to the pRub1 probe. Autoradiography was carried out for 7 days at -70°C. (C) D. vulgaris RNA (25 µg) and RNA isolated from E. coli TG2 transformed with plasmid pJK29 (100  $\mu$ g) were loaded in lanes 5 and 6, respectively. Following electrophoresis, the blot was incubated with the pRbo1 probe. Autoradiography was performed for 14 days at  $-70^{\circ}$ C. Use of the pRub1 probe gave identical results (not shown).

site in the vicinity of nt 1390, which is within the range predicted by the S1 nuclease mapping results. The smaller (<600-nt) fragments do not map to the same position and are presumed to be artifacts arising from preferential S1 nuclease cleavage (12).

**Southern blotting.** Southern blots of chromosomal DNA digested with *Eco*RI from 17 different species and strains of sulfate-reducing bacteria, including *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, were hybridized with the inserts from plasmids pRub1 and pRbo1 as probes. The results obtained are shown in Fig. 6A and B, respectively, as well as in Table 2. These results are discussed in more detail below.

#### DISCUSSION

Homology of the *rbo* gene product with desulforedoxin. Desulforedoxin is a small redox protein, which has been isolated from *D. gigas* but has so far not been found in other sulfate-reducing bacteria. Its polypeptide chain is only 36 amino acids long and has been determined by protein sequencing (9). As discussed by Le Gall et al. (18), the protein is isolated as a dimer (molecular mass, 7.9 kDa; 3.9 kDa per subunit) with two bound Fe atoms as redox centres and has rubredoxinlike spectroscopic properties. The product of the *rbo* gene (378 nt) is much larger than desulforedoxin (126 amino acids; molecular mass, 14 kDa). A comparison of the two amino acid sequences shows that the homology resides



FIG. 5. S1 nuclease mapping of the transcriptional start site of the *rbo-rub* operon. Lanes: 1, 913-nt probe control; 2, *D. vulgaris* RNA (100  $\mu$ g) hybridized with the 913-nt probe and treated with S1 nuclease; a major protected fragment of 600 nt is indicated. The size of this fragment was derived from the positions of pUC8  $\times$  *Hin*fI markers (not shown). Autoradiography was performed for 4 weeks at  $-70^{\circ}$ C.

entirely at the NH<sub>2</sub> terminus (Fig. 7). Thus, of the first 36 amino acids of the rbo gene product, 19 are identical to desulforedoxin, whereas conservative amino acid changes are frequently found in the nonidentical positions. The four cysteine residues (C-9, C-12, C-28, and C-29), which have been proposed to coordinate to the iron (18), are among the conserved amino acid residues. Another interesting region of homology comprises the three glycine residues (G-22, G-23, G-24), which must represent an important flexible (e.g., loop) region of the molecule. The high degree of homology indicates that at its NH<sub>2</sub> terminus, the rbo gene product must have a 4-kDa desulforedoxin domain, which has been fused to a larger polypeptide of 10 kDa. This situation is very reminiscent to that in [Fe] hydrogenase of D. vulgaris Hildenborough, which is known to have three iron-sulfur clusters as prosthetic groups coordinated by cysteine residues of the large (46-kDa) subunit. The NH<sub>2</sub> terminus of this large subunit is homologous to bacterial 8Fe-8S ferredoxin (38), indicating that two electron-transferring 4Fe-4S clusters are likely to be present in this part of the molecule in a structure resembling that of ferredoxin (1), while a third unique hydrogen-binding cluster could be coordinated by some of the 10 cysteine residues found in the 40-kDa COOH-terminal part of the molecule. It was proposed, therefore, that the hydrogenase gene originated from a



FIG. 6. Southern blotting of *Eco*RI-digested chromosomal DNA (5 to 10  $\mu$ g) from 17 species and strains of sulfate-reducing bacteria. Lanes: 1, *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough; 2, *D. vulgaris* Wandle; 3, *D. vulgaris* Brockhurst Hill; 4, *D. vulgaris* Groningen; 5, *D. vulgaris* Miyazaki; 6, *D. vulgaris* subsp. oxamicus Monticello; 7, *D. desulfuricans* Norway; 8, *D. desulfuricans* Teddington R; 9, *D. desulfuricans* El Agheila Z; 10, *D. desulfuricans* Berre Sol; 11, *D. desulfuricans* Canet 41; 12, *D. gigas*; 13, *D. salexigens* British Guiana; 14, *D. salexigens* California; 15, *D. salexigens*; 16, *D. africanus* Walvis Bay; 17, *D. africanus* Bhengazi. (A) The blots were hybridized with the pRub1 probe (containing part of the rubredoxin gene from *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough) and subjected to autoradiography for 8 days at  $-70^{\circ}$ C. The probe was then dissociated from the blots by washing in 0.5 M NaOH–1.5 M NaCl and neutralized by washing with 0.5 M Tris hydrochloride (pH 7.4)–1.5 M NaCl. Complete dissociation of the pRub1 probe was confirmed by autoradiography. (B) The blots were then hybridized with the pRub1 probe (containing the gene of a putative rubredoxin oxidoreductase from *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough) and subjected to autoradiography. (B) The blots were then hybridized with the pRub1 probe (sociations) of molecular size markers (bacteriophage  $\lambda$  DNA digested with *Hind*III) are indicated in kilobases.

TABLE 2. Hybridization of EcoRI-digested chromosomal DNA
from 17 different sulfate-reducing bacteria with an <i>rub</i> -specific
and an rbo-specific probe from D. vulgaris Hildenborough

Species	Strain	NCIMB no.	Size hybrie fragr (kb)	e of dizing nent for:
			rub	rbo
D. vulgaris subsp.	Hildenborough	8303	2.0	2.0
vulgaris	Wandle	8306	2.0	2.0
5	Brockhurst Hill	8306	2.0	2.0
	Groningen	11779	15.4	15.4
	Miyazaki		0.5	3.9
D. vulgaris subsp. oxamicus	Monticello 2	9442	0.5	1.8
D. desulfuricans subsp.	Norway 4	8310		
desulfuricans	Teddington R <sup>a</sup>	8312		7.8
	El Agheila Z	8318		
	Berre Sol	8388	0.5	2.8
	Canet 41	8393	0.5	
D. gigas		9332	1.2	
D. salexigens	British Guiana	8403		
	California 43:63	8364		
		8365		
D. africanus	Walvis Bay	8397	7.1	
	Bhengazi	8401	0.4	3.0

<sup>a</sup> Reclassified as D. vulgaris (28).

fusion of genes encoding an electron-transferring ferredoxin and a larger hydrogen-binding polypeptide (38). In the present case, the desulforedoxin domain coincides precisely with the 36  $NH_2$ -terminal amino acids.

Another example of a redox protein that could have arisen by gene fusion is rubrerythrin, which was recently isolated (19) and shown to contain both rubredoxinlike and hemerythrinlike redox centres. The putative *rbo* gene product is distinct from rubrerythrin in its molecular mass (14 versus 22 kDa) and amino acid composition. Also, preliminary protein sequence data on rubrerythrin (D. M. Kurtz, Jr., and J. Le Gall, personal communication) indicate that this protein does not resemble the *rbo* gene product.

Two possible modes of coordination of the two iron atoms by the eight cysteines of a desulforedoxin dimer have been considered by Le Gall et al. (18): the four cysteines of a single subunit could coordinate to the same iron, in which case dimer formation is achieved by noncovalent interactions of the two subunits, or cysteines from both subunits (e.g., C-9, C-12, and C-28 from one and C-29 from the other) could contribute to the coordination of the two irons, causing a partially covalent connection of the two subunits via the two Fe redox centres. In view of its homology with desulforedoxin, the functional form of the rbo gene product is also proposed to be a dimer. Only two additional cysteine residues (C-103 and C-115) are present in the remainder of the sequence of the rbo gene product (Fig. 7), which is insufficient for the coordination of a third Fe-containing redox centre (e.g., the coordination of a single Fe, a single

5		10	15
profasn-gln-tyr-glu	-ile-tyr-lys-cys-	ile-his <mark>-cys-gly</mark> -asn	-ile-
ala-asn-glu-gly-asp	-val-tyr-lys-cys-	glu-leu-cys-gly-gln	-val-
20	)	25	30
val-glu-val-leu-his	-ala <mark>fgly-gly-gly-</mark>	asp <del>leu-val-cys-cys</del>	-gly-
val-lys-val-leu-glu	-glu <del>-gly-gly-gly</del> -	thr <del>leu-val-cys-cys</del>	-gly-
35 glu-pro-met-lys-leu glu-asp-met-val-lys	5 1-met-lys-glu-gly- 8-gln	40 thr-ser-asp-gly-ala	45 -lys-
50	)	55	60
glu-lys-his-val-pro	o-val-ile-glu-lys-	thr-ala-asn-gly-tyr	-lys-
65	5	70	75
val-thr-val-gly-se	r-val-ala-his-pro-	met-glu-glu-lys-his	-trp
80	)	85	90
ile-glu-trp-ile-glu	1-leu-val-ala-asp-	gly-val-ser-tyr-lys	-lys-
99	5	100	105
phe-leu-lys-pro-gly	y-asp-ala-pro-glu-	ala-glu-phe-cys-ile	-lys-
110	)	115	120
ala-asp-lys-val-val	1-ala-arg-glu-tyr-	cys-asn-leu-his-gly	-his-
129 trp-lys-ala-glu-ala	5 a		

FIG. 7. Comparison of the amino acid sequence of the *rbo* gene product (Fig. 3) with that of desulforedoxin (9). Regions of sequence identity are highlighted by boxes.

2Fe-2S cluster, or a single 4Fe-4S cluster requires four cysteines). However, a third redox centre could be present in the 14-kDa *rbo* gene product, if one assumes that the two subunits can donate both cysteine ligands to the same coordination site. The *rbo* gene product appears quite different from the NADH-rubredoxin oxidoreductase purified from *C. acetobutylicum* which was discussed in the Introduction. The subunit molecular mass is different (41 kDa for the *Clostridium* enzyme), and the search of the Bionet data base did not reveal homologies of the *rbo* gene product with flavin-binding proteins.

In analogy with the [Fe] hydrogenase gene, one could suggest that the *rbo* gene has arisen by fusion of the gene for desulforedoxin with that encoding a 10-kDa redox protein containing a single redox centre. The observation that this gene forms a single operon with the gene encoding rubredoxin could mean that the two proteins are redox partners, but definitive proof for this proposal must await the purification and characterization of this novel redox protein.

Distribution of the rbo gene in sulfate-reducing bacteria. The *rub* and *rbo* genes are present on a similarly sized *Eco*RI fragment (Fig. 6) and may thus form an operon as in Fig. 3 in D. vulgaris Wandle, Brockhurst Hill, and Groningen. This is no surprise for the first two strains, which are practically identical to the Hildenborough strain (39). However, the Groningen strain is quite distinct from Hildenborough and was shown to lack a gene for [Fe] hydrogenase and to have only a low degree of homology in its gene for cytochrome  $c_3$ (39). Four species of sulfate-reducing bacteria have homologous rub and rbo genes on different restriction fragments (Table 2; Fig. 6). This could indicate a separation of the two genes in these species or the presence of an additional EcoRI site in their rbo-rub operon. Finally, a homologous rub gene in the absence of a detectable, homologous rbo gene is found in three, whereas the converse is found in a single one of the species of sulfate-reducing bacteria examined. D. gigas, which has a rubredoxin with 71% sequence identity (37 identical residues in a total of 52) with the protein from D. vulgaris Hildenborough (36), the pRub1 probe appears sufficiently homologous for the detection of the rub gene on a 1.2-kb EcoRI fragment (Fig. 6A, lane 12; Table 2). However, the 53% identity of *D. gigas* desulforedoxin with the N terminus of the *D. vulgaris rbo* gene product (Fig. 7; 19 identical residues in a total of 36) appears insufficient for the detection of the desulforedoxin gene in *D. gigas* (Fig. 6B, lane 12). The data in Fig. 6 should therefore not be interpreted in terms of the presence or absence of a gene encoding a desulforedoxinlike polypeptide in species that fail to hybridize with the pRbo1 probe. They indicate that the *rbo* gene is present in nine other species of sulfate-reducing bacteria and is spatially linked to the *rub* gene in at least four species. The *rbo* gene product could thus function as a redox protein in some but not all species of sulfate-reducing bacteria.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.J.B. acknowledges the support of Graduate Teaching and Graduate Research Assistantships from the University of Calgary. This work was supported by an establishment grant from the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research and an operating grant from the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada to G.V.

### LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Adman, E. T., L. C. Sieker, and L. H. Jensen. 1973. The structure of a bacterial ferredoxin. J. Biol. Chem. 248:3987–3996.
- 2. Adman, E. T., L. C. Sieker, L. H. Jensen, M. Bruschi, and J. Le Gall. 1977. A structural model of rubredoxin from *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* at 2 Å resolution. J. Mol. Biol. 112:113–120.
- Bachmayer, H., A. M. Benson, K. T. Yasunobu, W. T. Garrard, and H. R. Whiteley. 1968. Non-heme iron proteins. IV. Structural studies of *Micrococcus aerogenes* (*Peptococcus aerogenes*) rubredoxin. Biochemistry 7:968–996.
- Bachmayer, H., J. L. Peel, K. T. Yasunobu, and S. G. Mayhew. 1968. Non-heme iron proteins. V. The amino acid sequence of rubredoxin from *Peptostreptococcus elsdenii*. J. Biol. Chem. 243:1024–1032.
- Bankier, A. T., and B. G. Barrell. 1983. Shotgun DNA sequencing, p. 1-34. *In* R. A. Flavell (ed.), Techniques in the life sciences, B5. Nucleic acid biochemistry. Elsevier Scientific Publishers Ireland Ltd., Shannon, Ireland.
- Boyd, A., K. Kendall, and M. Simon. 1983. Structure of the serine chemoreceptor in *Escherichia coli*. Nature (London) 301:623–626.
- Bruschi, M. 1976. Non-heme iron proteins: the amino acid sequence of rubredoxin from *Desulfovibrio vulgaris*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 434:4–17.
- 8. Bruschi, M. 1976. The amino acid sequence of rubredoxin from the sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio gigas*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **70**:615–621.
- Bruschi, M., I. Moura, J. Le Gall, A. V. Xavier, and L. C. Sieker. 1979. The amino acid sequence of desulforedoxin, a new type of non heme iron protein from *Desulfovibrio gigas*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 90:596–605.
- 10. Davis, L. G., J. F. Battey, and M. P. Dibner. 1986. Basic methods in molecular biology, p. 143–146. Elsevier Science Publishing Co. Ltd., Amsterdam.
- Ebina, Y., and A. Nakazawa. 1983. Cyclic AMP-dependent initiation and ρ-dependent termination of colicin E1 gene transcription. J. Biol. Chem. 258:7072-7078.
- Favaloro, J., R. Treisman, and R. Kamen. 1980. Transcription maps of polyoma virus-specific RNA: analysis by 2-dimensional nuclease S<sub>1</sub> gel mapping. Methods Enzymol. 65:718-749.
- Frey, M., L. Sieker, F. Payan, R. Haser, M. Bruschi, G. Pepe, and J. Le Gall. 1987. Rubredoxin from *Desulfovibrio gigas*. A molecular model of the oxidized form of 1.4 Å resolution. J. Mol. Biol. 197:525-541.
- Herriott, J. R., L. C. Sieker, L. H. Jensen, and W. Lovenberg. 1970. Structure of rubredoxin: an X-ray study to 2.5 Å resolution. J. Mol. Biol. 50:391–406.

- Hormel, S., K. A. Walsh, B. C. Prickril, K. Titani, J. Le Gall, and L. C. Sieker. 1986. Amino acid sequence of rubredoxin from *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* strain 27774. FEBS Lett. 201:147-150.
- Le Gall, J. 1968. Purification partielle et étude de la NAD: rubrédoxine oxydo-réductase de D. gigas. Ann. Inst. Pasteur (Paris) 114:109–115.
- Le Gall, J., D. V. DerVartanian, and Harry D. Peck, Jr. 1979. Flavoproteins, iron proteins, and hemoproteins as electrontransfer components of the sulfate-reducing bacteria. Curr. Top. Bioenerg. 9:237-265.
- Le Gall, J., J. J. G. Moura, H. D. Peck, Jr., and A. V. Xavier. 1982. Hydrogenase and other iron-sulfur proteins from sulfatereducing and methane forming bacteria, p. 177-248. *In* T. G. Spiro (ed.), Iron-sulfur proteins, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- Le Gall, J., B. C. Prickril, I. Moura, A. V. Xavier, J. J. G. Moura, and B.-H. Huynh. 1988. Isolation and characterization of rubrerythrin, a non-heme iron protein from *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* that contains rubredoxin centers and a hemerythrin-like binuclear iron cluster. Biochemistry 27:1636–1642.
- Maniatis, T., E. F. Fritsch, and J. Sambrook. 1982. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory. Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Messing, J., and J. Viera. 1982. A new pair of M13 vectors for selecting either DNA strand of double-digest restriction fragments. Gene 19:269-276.
- 22. Miller, J. H. 1977. Experiments in molecular genetics, 3rd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Odom, J. M., and H. D. Peck, Jr. 1984. Hydrogenase, electron transfer proteins, and energy coupling in the sulfate-reducing bacteria *Desulfovibrio*. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 38:551–592.
- Papavassilia, P., and E. C. Hatchikian. 1985. Isolation and characterization of a rubredoxin and a two-(4Fe-4S) ferredoxin from *Thermodesulfobacterium commune*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 810:1-11.
- Petitdemange, H., R. Marczak, H. Blusson, and R. Gay. 1979. Isolation and properties of reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide-rubredoxin oxidoreductase of *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 91:1258–1265.
- Pfennig, N., F. Widdel, and H. T. Trüper. 1981. Dissimilatory sulphate-reducing bacteria, p. 931–932. In M. P. Starr (ed.), The prokaryotes, vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 27. **Postgate, J. R.** 1984. The sulphate-reducing bacteria, 2nd ed., p. 1–82. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Postgate, J. R., H. M. Kent, and R. L. Robson. 1986. DNA from diazotrophic *Desulfovibrio* strains is homologous to *Klebsiella pneumoniae* structural *nif* DNA and can be chromosomal or

plasmid-borne. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 33:159-163.

- 29. Rosenberg, M., and D. Court. 1979. Regulatory sequences involved in the promotion and termination of RNA transcription. Annu. Rev. Genet. 13:319–353.
- Russo, A. F., and D. E. Koshland, Jr. 1983. Separation of signal transduction and adaption functions of the aspartate receptor in bacterial sensing. Science 220:1016–1020.
- Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A. R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463-5467.
- 32. Sieker, L. C., R. E. Stenkamp, L. H. Jensen, B. Prickril, and J. Le Gall. 1986. Structure of rubredoxin from the bacterium Desulfovibrio desulfuricans. FEBS Lett. 208:73-76.
- Staden, R. 1984. Graphical methods to determine the function of nucleic acid sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 12:521–538.
- Staden, R., and A. D. McLachlan. 1982. Codon preference and its use in identifying protein coding regions in long DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 10:141–156.
- Viera, J., and J. Messing. 1982. The pUC plasmid, an M13mp7 derived system for insertion mutagenesis and sequencing with synthetic universal primers. Gene 19:259–268.
- Vogel, H., M. Bruschi, and J. Le Gall. 1977. Phylogenetic studies of two rubredoxins from sulfate reducing bacteria. J. Mol. Evol. 9:111-119.
- Voordouw, G. 1988. Cloning of genes encoding redox proteins of known amino acid sequence from a library of the *Desulfovibrio* vulgaris (Hildenborough) genome. Gene 69:75–83.
- Voordouw, G., and S. Brenner. 1985. Nucleotide sequence of the gene encoding the hydrogenase from *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* (Hildenborough). Eur. J. Biochem. 148:515-520.
- Voordouw, G., H. M. Kent, and J. R. Postgate. 1987. Identification of the genes for hydrogenase and cytochrome c<sub>3</sub> in *Desulfovibrio*. Can. J. Microbiol. 33:1006–1010.
- Voordouw, G., J. E. Walker, and S. Brenner. 1985. Cloning of the gene encoding the hydrogenase from *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* (Hildenborough) and the determination of the NH<sub>2</sub>-terminal sequence. Eur. J. Biochem. 148:509–514.
- Watenpaugh, K. D., T. N. Margulis, L. C. Sieker, and L. H. Jensen. 1978. Water structure in a protein crystal: rubredoxin at 1.2 Å resolution. J. Mol. Biol. 122:175–190.
- Watenpaugh, K. D., L. C. Sieker, and L. H. Jensen. 1979. The structure of rubredoxin at 1.2 Å resolution. J. Mol. Biol. 131:509-522.
- Watenpaugh, K. D., L. C. Sieker, and L. H. Jensen. 1980. Crystallographic refinement of rubredoxin at 1.2 Å resolution. J. Mol. Biol. 138:615-633.
- Wolin, E. A., M. J. Wolin, and R. F. Wolfe. 1963. Formation of methane by bacterial extracts. J. Biol. Chem. 238:2882–2886.