# Histamine Receptors of the Microvascular Endothelium Revealed In Situ with a Histamine-Ferritin Conjugate: Characteristic High-affinity Binding Sites in Venules

CONSTANTINA HELTIANU, MAYA SIMIONESCU, and NICOLAE SIMIONESCU Institute of Cellular Biology and Pathology, Bucharest, Romania

ABSTRACT Histamine covalently bound to glutaraldehyde-activated ferritin was prepared as either monomers or as small aggregates of  $\sim 0.05$  to 0.15  $\mu$ m Diam, suitable for electron microscopic detection of histamine cellular binding sites. The histamine-ferritin conjugates (MF) maintain the histamine capability to induce the opening of endothelial junctions in venules. To investigate the distribution of histamine receptors in the vascular endothelium, monomers or aggregates of MF were perfused in situ (mice), and various vascular beds, particularly that of the diaphragm, were fixed and processed for electron microscopy . The conjugate was preferentially bound on restricted areas of luminal endothelial cell plasmalemma especially in regions rich in filaments, and near the junctions between endothelial cells. The density of histamine binding sites was characteristically high in venules; it occurred to a much lesser extent in arterioles, veins, and muscular arteries whereas capillaries and aorta showed the lowest values. A similar distribution was obtained after perfusion of  $H_1$  or  $H_2$  receptor agonists coupled to ferritin (2-pyridylethylamine-ferritin [PF], or 4-methylhistamine-ferritin [MF], respectively) . The binding specificity was assessed through control experiments with either native or activated ferritin or by competition with histamine. The findings suggest that histamine receptors are largely represented in the cell membrane of the vascular endothelium, particularly in venules. Experiments using specific  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  receptor agonists (PF and MF) and antagonists (mepyramine and cimetidine) indicate that the venular endothelium contains mainly  $H_2$  receptors.

Histamine participates in a number of physiological processes particularly those of the cardiovascular system (1, 5, 6, 9, 32, 34) and is largely involved in inflammatory and anaphylactic reaction (3, 4). As identified on a physiological and pharmacological basis, its effects are mediated by two types of receptors:  $H_1$  receptors, specifically inhibited by classical antihistamines such as mepyramine, and  $H_2$  receptors, blocked by antagonists such as cimetidine (8, 19, 35). The distribution and properties of histamine receptors (Hr) as defined for several cells, tissues, and organs, including blood vessels, is based primarily on the target responses to histamine either alone or associated with its agonists or antagonists (7, 11-15, 18, 20, 33, 42, 45).

Histamine receptors were demonstrated to be located on the plasma membrane of several mammalian cells (10, 21, 22, 28, 29, 43). So far, their presence has been detected by the binding of various cells to histamine which was either insolubilized on

albumin-treated agarose beads (28, 46, 47), or coupled to bovine serum albumin-fluorescein isothiocyanate (BSA-FITC)  $(12)$  or  $o$ -phtaldialdehyde  $(30)$ . An indirect detection of Hr was acheived by determining the  $[{}^3H]$ mepyramine binding (41).

The aim of the present study was  $(a)$  to produce an electronopaque, biologically active histamine conjugate, and (b) to localize at the cellular level, the histamine receptors of the microvascular endothelium.

The biologically active marker obtained was a histamineferritin conjugate (HF) which could be produced as either monomers or small aggregates . Results reported here show that, as detected by HF binding, Hr are present on the plasma membrane of the endothelial cells particularly in venules where a remarkable number of high-affinity binding sites render the venular endothelium a characteristically differentiated domain. This can explain the special involvement of venules in inflammation.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Materials**

REAGENTS: Chemicals were purchased as follows: histamine diphosphate from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO; ferritin, 6X crystallized, cadmium (removed from horse spleen) from Miles Laboratories Inc., Elkhart IN; glutaraldehyde, as <sup>a</sup> 25% solution purified according to P. J. Anderson from E. Merck, Darmstadt, Germany; [<sup>3</sup>H](G)-histamine from New England Nuclear, Boston, MA; Sephadex G25 and Sepharose 6B from Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Uppsala, Sweden. 2-Pyridylethylamine dihydrochloride, 4-methylhistamine dihydrochloride, mepyramine maleate, and cimetidine were a generous gift of Dr. C. Robin Ganellin from Smith, Kline, and French Laboratories LTD., Welwyn Garden City, England.

ANIMALS: <sup>164</sup> RAP mice, weighing <sup>20</sup> g each, were kept under similar standardized conditions of feeding and housing for 10 d before being used for these experiments.

#### **Methods**

PREPARATION OF HISTAMINE-FERRITIN CONJUGATE: Ferritin was activated according to the method of Kishida et al. (23) slightly modified in that a 340-fold molar excess of glutaraldehyde per amino group of apoferritin was used: 7 mg (0.1 ml) of ferritin in 1.7 ml of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.3 was mixed with 0.4 ml of 25% glutaraldehyde solution. The reaction was carried out at 22°C for 30 min, with stirring. The small amount of precipitate formed during the reaction was removed by centrifugation at  $20,000$  g for 10 min. The activated ferritin was separated from the unreacted glutaraldehyde by gel chromatography on a Sephadex G-25 coarse column  $(2.5 \times 90 \text{ cm})$  equilibrated and rapidly eluted with 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.3 at 4°C. The peak fractions of activated ferritin were collected and concentrated-at  $4^{\circ}$ C-to  $\sim$ 3 mg/ml of protein.

The coupling was achieved by incubating 10 mg of activated ferritin (in 3.2 ml of sodium phosphate buffer) with 165 mg of histamine diphosphate (in 0.8 ml of sodium phosphate buffer) for 24 h, at 4°C, with gentle stirring. In some cases, at the end of this period, 4.3 mg of NaBH, were added to reduce the  $C = N$  bonds; the reaction was completed in 15 min (27, 46), and the small amount of resulting insoluble polymers was removed by centrifugation at 8,000 g for 5 min.

PREPARATION OF HF MONOMERS: The crude HF conjugate was separated from unbound histamine by gel filtration on a Sepharose 6B column ( $1.3 \times 80$ ) cm) equilibrated and eluted with 0.1 M Tris-HC1 buffer, pH 7.5, at 4°C. The peak fractions of HF conjugate were collected and concentrated-at 4°C-to  $-1.5-2$  mg/ml protein, and the purified product was stored at  $4^{\circ}$ C until used. The solution can be stored for a month at 4°C. The chromatographic separation of the HF monomers was also assessed by using  $[{}^3H]$ histamine under conditions identical to those previously mentioned (Fig. l). The unbound histamine could also be removed by HF dialysis at 4'C against more than 300 vol of Tris-HCl buffer, changed several times over 48 h.

PREPARATION OF HF AGGREGATES: The crude HF conjugate was dialyzed at 4°C against >300 vol of distilled water, changed several times over 48 h. The resulting opalescent suspension was stored at 4°C. (After extended storage,



FIGURE <sup>1</sup> Chromatographic separation of histamine-ferritin conjugate from unbound histamine, tested by using [<sup>3</sup>H]histamine; Sepharose 6B column (1.3  $\times$  80 cm), equilibrated and eluted with 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5, at 4°C. HF monomers eluted as a relatively sharp peak  $(A)$ , followed by free  $[{}^{3}H]$ histamine (peak B).



FIGURE 2 Electrophoretic pattern (PAGE) of native ferritin, crude HF conjugate, and HF monomers; the latter were obtained after gel chromatography of the crude HF conjugate on a Sepharose 6B column. Conditions of the electrophoretic run are given under Materials and Methods.

the solution should be thoroughly shaken). Before use, the suspension was dialyzed against an excess of 0.15 M NaCl and sonicated for <sup>10</sup> min. Aliquots of HF were examined with the electron microscope to determine their average diameter and size distribution.

# Control of the Purity of HF Conjugate

PAGE: Aliquots of HF conjugate (crude HF, and HF monomers [HFm]) were electrophoresed on slab gels containing 5% acrylamide in 0.6 M barbital buffer, pH 8.5 to 8.6 . Electrophoresis was carried out in the same buffer for 8 h at a field strength of 3.5 mA/cm. Gels were then fixed overnight in a mixture of 45% methanol and 45% acetic acid, subsequently stained for <sup>I</sup> h with 0.03% Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 in 24% (vol/vol) isopropanol, and 10% (vol/vol) acetic acid, followed by a final destaining wash in the same isopropanol-acetic acid solvent (40, 50) (Fig. 2) .

THIN-LAYER CHROMATOGRAPHY: The analysis of HF conjugates and their components was carried out on plastic plates precoated with Kieselgel 60 F<sub>254</sub>, 250  $\mu$ m thick (E. Merck). The developing solvent was a mixture of 96% ethanol (80 vol) and 25% ammonium hydroxyde (20 vol). For staining, 0.3% ninhydrin in *n*-butanol (wt/vol) solution was used  $(39)$  (Fig. 3).

ISOELECTRIC FOCUSING: The method was applied to small samples of ferritin, and HF monomers with or without NaBH, treatment. The procedure was performed in a polyacrylamide gel containing 4.5% acrylamide and 2% ampholyte pH 3.5 to <sup>10</sup> (LKB Ampholine, LKB, Bromma, Sweden). The anolyte was 1 M  $H_3PO_4$ , and the catholyte 1 M NaOH. An LKB power supply was used to generate 320 V at the beginning of the experiment; voltage was increased to a maximum of 870 V (12 W constant power). The determination of the electrofocusing point was done by using a stibium surface electrode . Gel fixation and staining was carried out by the standard procedure (2, 49)

ESTIMATION OF MOLAR RATIO: 33 mg of histamine diphosphate containing <sup>3</sup>H-histamine (final sp act:  $\sim$ 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> cpm/mg) in 1 ml of sodium phosphate buffer was coupled to 2 mg of concentrated, activated ferritin. The crude [<sup>3</sup>H]HF conjugate was separated from unbound ['H]histamine by gel filtration under the conditions mentioned above (Fig. 1). The fractions containing the conjugate were collected and the protein concentration of ['H]HF peak was determined by the absorbance at 440 nm using an extinction coefficient ( $E_{cm}^{1\%}$ ) of 11.6. The radioactivity in 0.5-nil aliquots from the collected fractions was determined in an L-100 C Beckman liquid scintillation counter (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Fullerton, CA). Aliquots from the two peaks ( $A$  and  $B$  in Fig. 1) demonstrated an equivalent quenching. The amount of histamine in the monomeric peak of conjugate  $(A)$ wascalculated from the amount of radioactivity present, based on the assumption that [<sup>3</sup>H]histamine reacted to the same extent as unlabeled histamine. Molar concentrations of histamine and ferritin were calculated on the basis of  $M_r$  of  $111$ and 440,000, respectively.

# Tests for the Biological Activity of HF Conjugate

CONTRACTION OF SMOOTH MUSCLE: It has been shown by Black et al. (8) that histamine stimulates the contraction of smooth muscle from various organs, such as ileum, uterus, and stomach. Such biological effect was assessed for HF through Vane's method using the fundal portion of the rat stomach (44). The fundal strip was suspended in a 10-ml bath containing Krebs' bicarbonate solution (composition in mM: NaCl, 118.4; KCl, 4.7; CaCl<sub>2</sub>2H<sub>2</sub>O, 1.9; NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 25.0; MgSO<sub>7</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O, 1.2; KM<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.1; glucose, 11.7) warmed up at 37°C and gassed with 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and 95%  $O<sub>2</sub>$ . After a 30-min stabilization period, the following compounds were tested by adding one of them, once every 10-15 min, to the organ bath: histamine diphosphate prepared immediately before use (5  $\mu$ l; 2.7  $\times$  $10^{-3}$ M as base), HF conjugate (50  $\mu$ l; 0.5 mg protein), native ferritin (50  $\mu$ l; 0.5 mg protein) and NaCl (50  $\mu$ l; 0.15 M). The last two were used as controls. The contractions were recorded on a polygraph and allowed to develop for 2-3 min until restoring to the base level. After each test, the organ bath was washed out by overflow (Fig. 4).

VENULAR LEAKAGE: As shown by Majno et al. (24-26) the topical or systemic administration of histamine is followed by vascular leakage characteristically restricted to venules. The capability of HF to induce a histaminelike effect was assessed through two lines of experiments testing: (a) HF monomers' capability to induce the extravasation of previously injected carbon black particles, and  $(b)$  extravasation at the level of venules of some of the intravascularly administered HF aggregates (HFa).

(a) Under general anesthesia, RAP mice were given an i.v. injection of  $0.1$ ml/100 g body weight carbon black (Pelikan Special Ink Black Cl1/1431A Günter Wagner, Pelikan Werke, Hanover, Germany). After 2 min, a HF monomers solution (0 .15 mg bound histamine/ <sup>100</sup> g body weight) was administered intravenously. 30 min later, the diaphragm was fixed in situ with 5% formaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M HCI-Na arsenate buffer, pH 7.2 . Under the dissecting microscope, the diaphragm was screened for black vascular "tattoo" and the labeled regions were photographed for further measurements (38) .

(b) From experiments in which HF aggregates were injected (see Experimental Procedure), regions of diaphragm containing well identified bipolar micro-



FIGURE <sup>3</sup> Kieselgel thin-layer chromatogram for assessing the absence of unbound histamine in the HF conjugates  $(4 \text{ and } 5)$ . Developing solvent: 96% ethanol (80 vol) and 25% ammonium hydroxyde (20 vol); staining: 0.3% ninhydrin in n-butanol (wt/vol).



FIGURE 4 Response of the fundal strip of the rat stomach to histamine  $(H)$  and histamine-ferritin  $(HF)$  added to the organ bath in the following concentrations:  $H 2.7 \times 10^{-7}$  M as base (5 µl); HF, 0.5 mg protein (50  $\mu$ l). Native ferritin (F), 0.5 mg protein (50  $\mu$ l) or NaCl, 0.15 M (50  $\mu$ l) were used as controls. Arrowheads indicate the timepoint of washing out of the drug with Krebs' bicarbonate solution

vascular fields (BMFs) were collected, processed for electron microscopy, and examined for opened endothelial junctions (36, 37).

# Experimental Procedure

Mice were anesthetized with chloral hydrate (50 mg/ <sup>100</sup> g body weight) given intraperitoneally; the abdominal aorta was cannulated with a  $# 7405$  polyethylene tubing (I.D. 0.38 mm; Clay Adams-Becton Dickinson & Co., Sharon, MA) connected to a Harvard infusion pump securing a 2-3 ml/min perfusion flow. The caudal vena cava was incised and used as outlet. Blood was washed out by perfusion of  $\sim$ 20 ml of Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 1 mM Ca<sup>2+</sup> and supplemented with 14 mM glucose. Small HFa or HFm (20 mg protein/100 g body weight) were perfused for 3 min. The unbound conjugate was washed out of vessels with  $\sim$ 10 ml of the same buffer. Fixation was carried out for <sup>5</sup> min by perfusion of 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M HCI-Na arsenate buffer, pH 7.2 . All solutions used were prewarmed to 37°C. The observations were focused primarily on the diaphragm at the level of which sequential vascular segments (arteries, arterioles, capillaries, venules, and veins) can be reliably identified under the dissecting microscope. Diaphragm was collected, photographed, and BMFs were excised and further processed for electron microscopy as indicated in references 36-38.

#### Controls

The specificity of HF binding was assessed by perfusmg the vasculature with one of the following:  $(a)$  native ferritin;  $(b)$  activated ferritin (both solutions were made in 0.15 M NaCl, at a concentration of 20 mg protein/100 g body weight, and were given under the same conditions used for the basic experiments);  $(c)$ competitive inhibition with histamine: a solution of  $4 \times 10^{-5}$  M/100 g body weight was made in 0.15 M NaCl and perfused for 4 min before the administration of HF conjugate.

# Characterization of  $H_1$  and/or  $H_2$  Receptors Using Ferritin-Conjugated Agonists

 $H_1$  and  $H_2$  receptor agonists were used to produce two conjugates (as small aggregates  $\leq 0.1 \mu m$ ): 2-pyridylethylamine-ferritin (PF), and 4-methylhistamineferritin (MF). The coupling was achieved by incubating activated ferritin with one of the two drugs, using the method described for the HF aggregates (see above). The two conjugates stored at 4°C preserved their properties for up to 3 wk. As demonstrated by thin-layer chromatography, the suspensions of PF and MF did not contain detectable amounts of free 2-pyridylethylamme and 4 methylhistamine, respectively.

To characterize the  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  receptors, five groups of experiments (using 2-<sup>3</sup> mice for each experiment) were carried out, in which one of the following substances was administered under the same conditions as described for the basic procedure: (a) perfusion of MF; (b) perfusion of PF; (c) perfusion of cimetidine before the administration of HFa; (d) perfusion of cimetidine before MF administration; (e) perfusion of mepyramine before administration of PF . HFa, MF, and, PF were given at a concentration of 20 mg protein/100 g body weight. Mepyramine maleate (as specific H, receptor antagonist) was prepared in isotonic saline and perfused before PF (experiment e) at a concentration of  $4 \times 10^{-5}$  M/ 100 g body weight (as base) for 2 min. Cimetidine (as specific  $H_2$  receptor antagonist) was prepared by disolving <sup>252</sup> mg in <sup>1</sup> .10 ml of <sup>1</sup> MHCI, neutralized with 2.0 ml of 0.1 M NaOH, and brought to the desired dilution with isotonic saline: the solution was perfused before HFa (experiment  $c$ ) and MF (experiment d) at a concentration of  $4 \times 10^{-1}$  M/100 g body weight for 2 min.

#### Tissue Processing for Electron Microscopy

Aorta, vena cava, renal artery, and the diaphragm were fixed in situ by perfusion of 2% glutaraldehyde in 0. <sup>l</sup> M HCI-Na arsenate buffer, pH 7.2, warmed to 37°C . After 10 min, large vessels as well as bipolar microvascular fields of the diaphragm (36-38) were excised under the dissecting microscope and further immersed in the same fixative for an additional 80 min, and subsequently processed for electron microscopy as indicated in reference 36. Sections cut with a diamond knife on an American Optical Ultracut microtome (American Optical Corp., Buffalo, NY) or Reichert Ultramicrotome were stained with citrate and examined with a Philips 400 electron microscope operating at 80 kV. Microvessels were identified according to the criteria used in reference 36.

# RESULTS HF Conjugates

Electrophoretic analysis showed that the native ferritin and the crude HF conjugate are resolved in three similar bands,

which in the case of the former compound were named  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ , and considered to correspond to apoferritin monomer, dimer, and trimer, respectively (48). HF monomers chromatographically separated on Sepharose 6B (peak  $A$  in Fig. 1), and subsequently checked by electron microscope, appeared to consist of two analogous components (Fig. 2): a fast moving component ( $\alpha$ -band) containing >90% of the protein is represented by HF monomers, and a slower moving constituent ( $\beta$ -band) containing <10% of protein is formed by HF dimers.

As indicated by the chromatographic analysis of the crude  $[$ <sup>3</sup>H]HF conjugate, the molar ratio of  $[$ <sup>3</sup>H]histamine to ferritin in this conjugate is  $~60:1$  to 80:1. Ferritin activation with more than a 340-fold molar excess of glutaraldehyde' did not increase the histamine/ferritin molar ratio.

As demonstrated by thin-layer chromatography, the solution of HF conjugates did not contain a detectable amount of free histamine (Fig. 3). As compared to the pI 4.6 of native ferritin, the isoelectric point of HF monomers is  $5.3-5.5$  when they have not been treated with NaBH4: such treatment brings the pI to 6.8.

HF conjugates, in either monomeric or aggregate form, stored at 4°C could be used for at least 2 wk without significant loss of bound histamine or biological effects.<sup>2</sup>

HF aggregates produced by exhaustive dialysis against water, and sonicated for 10 min, represent a relatively heterogeneous population of small clusters ranging from  $\sim$ 0.05-0.15  $\mu$ m; they sediment easily at 3,000 g. The availability of these small aggregates allowed a much larger sampling of vessels labeled by HF conjugate, especially those vascular segments provided with high-affinity binding sites for histamine. The HF aggregates obtained only by dialysis against distilled water presented large diameters ranging from  $\sim$ 0.2-0.5  $\mu$ m. By perfusion of such HF large aggregates, one could produce false labeling due to artifactual plugging of microvessels; to avoid this, the HFa suspension was again dialyzed against 0.15 M NaCl and sonicated for 10 min before use.

## Biological Activity of HF Conjugate

HF conjugate maintained its capability to induce <sup>a</sup> histaminelike effect, namely the contraction of smooth muscle from rat stomach (Fig. 4). The preparation was sensitive neither to native ferritin nor to 0.15 M NaCl added to the organ bath.

At high doses, both HF monomers and HF aggregates maintained their capability to induce venular leakage at the level of which open endothelial junctions allowed extravasation of carbon black or HF aggregates . The subsequent intramural deposits developed preferentially in venules of 15- to 30- $\mu$ m Diam. In electron microscopic preparations, the affected venules showed typical focal separations of endothelial junctions through which the injected HF aggregates gained access to subendothelial spaces (Fig. 5). In control experiments, after their systemic injection, neither the native ferritin nor activated ferritin induced detectable vascular leakage.



FIGURE 5 Mouse diaphragm, 3 min after perfusion of HF aggregates  $(a)$ : muscular venule displaying focal opening of an endothelial junction (\*) with HF aggregates (arrows) sequestrated within the subendothelial space (ss), against the basal lamina (bl).  $e_1$ , and  $e_2$ , the adjoining endothelial cells,  $l$ , lumen.  $\times$  90,000.

# HF Binding on the Luminal Aspect of the Microvascular Endothelium

The existence of BMFs in the mouse diaphragm allowed the examination of the distribution of histamine receptors in wellidentified sequential microvascular segments: i.e. arterioles, capillaries, and venules (36) .

HF MONOMERS: In specimens obtained from animals perfused with HFm, the conjugate was found on restricted areas of the luminal front of endothelial cell plasmalemma. The conjugate appeared as monomers and, occasionally, as dimers (Figs . <sup>6</sup> and 7) . The HF binding occurred mostly on those regions of the endothelial cells rich in filaments, especially the parajunctional areas (Fig.  $8a, b$ ). Occasionally, the HF monomers were found associated with plasmalemmal vesicles and coated pits, a process that was not significantly influenced either by the histamine antagonists or by histamine. In the BMFs of the diaphragm, HF binding was particularly prominent in venules, specially postcapillary (pericytic) venules, to a much lesser extent in arterioles and almost inconspicuous in capillaries. Aorta, veins, and muscular arteries from random specimens showed <sup>a</sup> low HF binding affinity.

HF AGGREGATES: In experiments with small aggregates, the electron microscope examination of thin sections from the arteriolar and venular level of BMFs specimens revealed the same localization of HFa as observed in the HFm experiments. The small aggregates appeared bound particularly to those parts of the venular endothelial cells which contained a relatively large number of cytoplasmic filaments or were located next to the parajunctional areas (Fig. 9) . In specimens in which relatively large aggregates (0.2 to 0.5  $\mu$ m Diam) have been used, the binding of the latter to venular endothelium produced a sharp decoration which could be detected by light microscope examination of cross sections (Fig. 11). The density of such labeling, however, was not always sufficient to render these vessels visible with the dissecting microscope. In cases in which such decoration occurred, it was frequently due to a combination of specific binding and, in addition, a partial plugging of the vessel lumen by large aggregates . For this reason we focused

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The excess of glutaraldehyde used for ferritin activation prevents the formation of ferritin-ferritin polymers during the first step of the reaction (23).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The stability of the HF conjugate may be explained by the extensive cross-linkage introduced by glutaraldehyde, as a result of stable Michael-type additions formed between amino groups and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -unsaturated aldehydes (23, 31).

our observations on experiments with HF monomers and HF small aggregates.

## Control Experiments

Neither the native ferritin nor the activated ferritin bound specifically to endothelial cell plasmalemma. Preliminary estimates indicated that the number of HF monomers (or dimers) bound to the luminal aspect of vascular endothelium is  $\sim$ 12to 15-fold more numerous than the nonspecific attachment of native or activated ferritin. Artifactual aggregates of native or activated ferritin did not bind conspicuously to the endothelial cell membrane. Previous perfusion with  $4 \times 10^{-5}$  M histamine resulted in the inhibition of HF conjugate binding to venular (high-affinity sites) and arteriolar (low-affinity sites) endothelium

## Characterization of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  Receptors

The binding of PF and MF conjugates (as small aggregates) on endothelial cell membrane of different microvessels (in BMFs) was recorded as the average number of binding sites per square micrometer (Table I). PF and MF distribution was found to mimic the localization of HF conjugate on the luminal front of the endothelial cell plasmalemma: postcapillary (pericytic) venules presented characteristic high-affinity sites for the two specific  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  receptor agonists (Fig. 10). In venules, MF binding (6.6  $\pm$  0.94) was approximately twice as intensive

as PF binding  $(3.1 \pm 1.38)$ . The percentage of MF and PF binding, out of the sum of MF and PF binding, was 68.0 and 31 .9, respectively, presumably corresponding to the fractional distribution of  $H_2$  and  $H_1$  receptors in venular endothelium.

Cimetidine ( $H_2$  receptor antagonist) perfused before HFa administration, inhibited almost completely the binding of the latter to the venular (and arteriolar) endothelium. When given before MF perfusion, cimetidine abolished completely the binding of this histamine agonist conjugate to the venular endothelium. The same results were also obtained when mepyramine was used before PF perfusion.

These finding support the idea that, at least in mouse diaphragm, the response of venular endothelium is mediated by both types of receptors, the  $H_2$  receptors being predominant.

#### **DISCUSSION**

HF conjugate results from the coupling of the amino group of histamine to the glutaraldehyde-activated amino residues of ferritin. In the conjugate, histamine preserves its vasoactive activity probably by conversion to a secondary amine.

HF binding capacity cannot be ascribed to its slightly modified pI (5.6 as compared to 4.6 for the native ferritin) because, on the one hand, at this pI, ferritin itself does not bind to the endothelium, and on the other hand, it has been shown that both free histamine and histamine bound to a carrier display equipotent vasoactive effects independent of the charge characteristics of the conjugate (46, 47).



FicUREs <sup>6</sup> and 7 Postcapillary (pericytic) venules of mouse diaphragm, <sup>3</sup> min after perfusion of HF monomers . The latter (arrowheads) are bound to the luminal aspect of the endothelial cell, especially in its parajunctional zone (Fig. 6) as well as on the peripheral area (Fig. 7). Occasionally, HF monomers are taken up by plasmalemmal vesicles (v). bl, basal lamina, e, endothelial cell, j, intercellular junction, l, lumen, p, pericyte. Fig. 6:  $\times$  123,000; Fig. 7:  $\times$  94,000.



FIGURE 8 Venules of the mouse diaphragm, 3 min after perfusion of HF monomers. The conjugate is bound to a relatively high density on the luminal endothelial cell membrane in the parajunctional area (arrowheads) of both pericytic (a) and muscular venules (b). bl, basal lamina, e, endothelial cell, j, endothelial junction, l, lumen. (a)  $\times$  125,000; (b)  $\times$  138,000.

FIGURE 9 Pericytic venule of <sup>a</sup> mouse diaphragm, 3 min after perfusion of HFa: aggregates of relatively small size (a) are bound to the endothelial cell membrane, often corresponding to cell regions containing filaments (arrowhead). bl, basal lamina, e, endothelium,  $1$ , lumen.  $\times$  116,000.

FIGURE 10 Pericytic venule in a BMF of mouse diaphragm, 3 min after perfusion of 4-methylhistamine-ferritin conjugate: small aggregates of the conjugate (arrowheads), bound at relatively high density, on the luminal endothelial cell membrane. bl, basal lamina, I, lumen,  $ps$ , perivascular space,  $m$ , muscle, e, endothelium.  $\times$  104,000.



FicURE 11 Thick section across the venular region of <sup>a</sup> BMF examined with the light microscope . HF aggregates (arrowheads) label specifically the luminal endothelial surface of the venule  $(v)$ , whereas the capillary lumina  $(c)$  are unlabeled.  $\times$  1,075.

The fact that HF preserves the biological activity of the histamine suggests that the imidazol portion of the moleculewhich is critical for recognition by receptor, particularly  $H_2$ receptor<sup>3</sup>—was not changed by the coupling.

The results obtained indicate that the luminal aspect of vascular endothelium contains membrane receptors for histamine. They are especially well-represented in venules (both pericytic and muscular), at the level of which the aggregate area of histamine receptors represents a highly differentiated domain of the plasma-lemma. Hr are preferentially localized in cell regions rich in filaments, including the parajunctional zones. This might confirm Majno's original assumption that the opening of the venular junctions induced by histamine is due to the contraction of intracytoplasmic filaments (24-26). The possible implication of other factors (e.g. the contraction of pericytes and smooth muscle cells) has to be demonstrated . The search for Hr on the abluminal front of vascular endothelium (presumably the first to "see" the histamine released by mast cells) will show whether the high density of Hr detected on the luminal plasmalemma results at least in part from their migration in the plane of the membrane, with subsequent aggregation at the sites of histamine stimuli.

Despite the large representation of HF binding sites in the venules of various vascular beds, the distribution observed in mouse diaphragm may not represent a ubiquitous pattern; the

TABLE <sup>I</sup> Specific Binding of HFa, MF, and Pf in the Microvessels (BMFs) of the Mouse Diaphragm

Conjugate	Total	<b>Arterioles</b>	Capillaries	Venules
(as aggre- gates)		(%)	(%)	(%)
НF	$17.7 \pm 1.24$	$1.2 \pm 0.66*$ (6.8)	$4.5 \pm 0.85$ (25.4)	$12.0 \pm 2.20$ (67.8)
MF	$11.5 \pm 0.52$	$1.4 \pm 0.25$ (12.2)	$3.5 \pm 0.38$ (30.4)	$6.6 \pm 0.94$ (57.4)
РF	$6.4 \pm 0.82$	1.3 (20.3)	$2.0 \pm 0.26$ (31.3)	$3.1 \pm 1.38$ (48.4)
$MF + PF$	$17.9 \pm 0.67$	2.7 (15.1)	$5.5 \pm 0.32$ (30.7)	$9.7 \pm 1.16$ (54.2)

Measurements were made on 6 to 9 BMFs for each experimental condition . In each BMF, the average number of vascular profiles examined were: 2-4 arterioles, 4-12 capillaries, and 6-12 venules. In each vascular profile, the endothelial cell surface (luminal front) examined was in the range of 0.3-3 .0  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>. The binding was expressed as the average number of histamine binding sites per square micrometer.

\* Mean  $\pm$  SEM; in brackets, the percentage of binding in a given type of vessel, out of the total recorded for the microvascular bed of the BMF

 $\ddagger$  The values represent the sum of the binding figures recorded separately in MF and PF experiments (see Materials and Methods)

particulars of the detailed Hr distribution in other vasculature is now under investigation.

At least as revealed by the present findings, the receptormediated control of arterioles by histamine could be related to the endothelium but only by low-affinity sites.

The marked chemical distinction between  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  receptor agonists (9, 13) and the considerable receptor selectivity of their antagonists (8, 33, 35) allows a reliable identification of the type of Hr present in vascular endothelium. Our results indicate that histamine effects on the cardiovascular system are mediated by both types of Hr; in venules the prevailing type is the  $H_2$  receptors. The mechanism of the cooperation between  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  receptors and the related cyclic AMP or GMP changes during the biphasic histamine effects on venules is still unclear. However, our observations indicate that histamine action on venular endothelium is receptor-mediated and that the  $H<sub>2</sub>$  receptors appear to be an important component of the inflammatory condition. The opening of endothelial junctions in venules, as a prerequisite of extravasation and diapedesis, may be a complex process in which histamine participates by inducing the contraction of filaments (probably followed by relaxation) (4) which represent a common denominator in endothelial cells, pericytes, and smooth muscle cells.

Perfusion of specific histamine receptor antagonists impeded the binding and the subsequent formation of plugs of HF large aggregates. These findings support the idea that this kind of massive decoration implies an initial specific binding of HF conjugate. A procedure for the controlled decoration of vascular segments in mouse diaphragm (as a biological or pharmacological test for assessing the antihistaminic activity of different drugs) is now under investigation (16, 17).

Preliminary accounts of some of the findings reported in this paper were presented at the Second International Congress on Cell Biology, West Berlin, August 1980, and at the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Cell Biology, Cincinatti, OH., November 1980, and published in abstract form in Eur. J. Cell Biol. (16), and J. Cell Biol. (17).

The authors are particularly indebted to Dr. C. Robin Ganellin of the Smith, Kline, and French Laboratories Ltd., England, for the generous supply of 2-pyridylethylamine dihydrochloride, 4-methylhistamine dihydrochloride, mepyramine maleate, and cimetidine used in these studies.

 $3$  A tautomeric ring system capable of proton exchange is a requirement for  $H_2$  but not for  $H_1$  receptor stimulants (19).

The excellent technical assistance of M. Misici, N. Mitroaica, F. Georgescu, C. Stancu, V. Ionescu, and D. Constantinescu is gratefully acknowledged.

This project was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health grant HL-26343, and by funds provided by the Louis and Arthur Lucian Award for Research in Circulatory Diseases given to Nicolae Simionescu and Maya Simionescu .

Reprint requests should be addressed to N. Simionescu, Institute of Cellular Biology and Pathology, 8, B. P. Hasdeu Street, Bucharest-79691, Romania

Received for publication 19 October 1981.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Altura, B. M. 1978. Pharmacology of venular smooth muscle. New insights. Microvasc.<br>Res. 16:91-117
- Res. 16:91-117.<br>2. Arosio, P., T. G. Adelman, and J. W. Drysdale. 1978. On ferritin heterogeneity. Further.<br>2. Arosio, P. G. Marshall and J. P. J. Cl. 2014. 1978. Arthur Adelman. evidence for heteropolymers. J. Biol. Chem. 253:4451-4458.
- 3. Arturson, G. 1979. Microvascular permeability to macromolecules in thermal injury. Acta Physiol Scand. Suppl. 463 :111-122.
- 4. Barer, G. R., C. J. Emery, F. H. Mohammed, and I. P. Mungall. 1978. H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> histamine actions on lung vessels; their relevance to hypoxic vasoconstriction.  $Q$ . J. Exp. Physiol. 63:
- 157-169.<br>5. Beaven, M. A. 1976. Histamine. New Engl. J. Med. 294:30-36 and 320-325.
- 6. Berner, P. F., J. Disalvo, and A. Schwartz. 1980. Differential inhibitory effects of the ionophore R02-2985 (X537 A) on contractile responses to potassium and histamine in coronary artery smooth muscle. J. Pharmacol. Ex
- 
- 8. Black, J. M., W. A. M. Duncan, C. J. Durant, C. R. Ganellin, and E. M. Parsons. 1972. **Definition and antagonism of histamine Harry-receptors.** Nature (Lond.). 236:385-390.<br>9. Black, J. W. 1979. Histamine receptors. Proc A. N. Richards Symp. 5:3-16.<br>10. Buonassisi, V., and J. C. Venter. 1976. Hormone and n
- 
- 
- established vascular endothelial cell line. Proc. Nail. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 73:1612-1616.<br>11. Chipman, P., and W. E. Glover. 1976. Histamine H<sub>2</sub>-receptors in the human peripheral
- circulation. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 56:494-496.<br>
12. Dey, S. K., C. Villanueva, and N. I. Abdou. 1979. Histamine receptors on rabbit blastocyst<br>
2. Dey, S. K., C. Willanueva, and N. E. Parsons. 1975. Chemical differentiation
- 
- human skin blood vessels and their possible clinical significance. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 4:657P.
- 15. Guth, P. H., T. L. Moler, and E. Smith. 1980.  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  histamine receptors in rat gastric submucosal arterioles. Microvasc. Res. 19:320-328.
- 16. Heltianu, C., M. Simionescu, and N. Simionescu. 1980. Histamine receptors of the vascular endothelium revealed in situ with a histamine-ferritin conjugate: particularly high affinity sites in venules. Eur. J. Cell Biol. 22:257 (Abstr.).
- 17. Heltianu, C., M. Simionescu, and N. Simionescu. 1980. Identification of histamine receptors by using a histamine-ferritin conjugate; characteristic high affinity binding sites in venular endothelium. J. Cell Biol. 87(2, Pt. 2):156 a (Abstr.).
- 18. Hiley, C. R., H. Wilson, and M. S. Yates. 1978. Identification of *ß*-adrenoceptors and histamine receptors in the cat nasal vasculature. Acta Otolaryngol. 85:444-448.
- 19. Hirschowitz, B. I. 1979. H<sub>2</sub>-histamine receptors. Ann. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 19:203-244.
- 244. 20. Ichikawa, J., and B. M. Brenner. 1979. Mechanisms of action of histamine and histamine
- antagonists on the glomerular microcirculation in the rat. Circ. Res. 45:737-745.<br>21. Johnson, C. L., H. Weinstein, and J. P. Green. 1979. Studies on histamine H<sub>2</sub> receptors coupled to cardiac adenylate cyclase. Effects of guanylnucleotides and structural requirements for agonist activity. Biochim. Biophys. Acia. 587:155-168.
- 22. Karnushina, I. L., J. M. Palacios, G. Barbin, E. Dux, F. Joo, and J. C. Schwartz. 1980. Studies on a capillary-rich fraction isolated from brain: histaminic components and

characterization of the histamine receptors linked to adenylate cyclase. J. Neurochem. 34: 1201-1208

- 23. Kishida, J., B. R. Olsen, R. A. Berg, and D. J. Prockop. 1975. Two improved methods for
- preparing ferritin-protein conjugates for electron microscopy. J. Cell Biol. 64:331-339.<br>24. Majno, G., and G. E. Palade. 1961. Studies on inflammation. I. The effect of histamine and serotonin on vascular permeability: an electron microscopic study. J. Biophys. Biohem. Cytol. 11:571-606.
- 25. Majno, G., V. Gilmore, and M. Leventhal. 1967. On the mechanism of vascular leakage caused by histamine-type mediators. Circ. Res. 21:833-847
- <sup>26</sup> Majno, G., M. S. Stephen, and M. Leventhal. <sup>1969</sup> Endothelial contraction induced by histamine-type mediators. J. Cell Biol. 42:647-672.
- 27. Maylié-Pfenninger, M. F., J. D. Jamieson. 1979. Distribution of cell surface saccharid.<br>on pancreatic cells. I. General method for preparation and purification of lectins and lectin-ferritin conjugates. J. Cell Biol. 8
- 28. Melmon, K. L., H. R. Bourne, Y. Weinstein, and M. Sela. 1972. Receptors for histamine can be detected on the surface of selected leukocytes. Science (Wash. D. C.). 177:707-709.
- 29. Osband, M., and R. McCaffrey . 1979. Solubilization, separation, and partial characterization of histamine  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  receptors from calf thymocyte membranes. J. Biol. Chem. 254:9970-9972
- 30. Owman, C., R. Hakanson, and F. Sundler. 1972. Fluorescence histochemistry of histamine, glucagon, and secretin: microscopic and microfluorimetric analysis of o-phtaldialdehydeinduced fluorophores. In: Proc. IVth Internat. Congr. Histochem. Cytochem. (Kyoto). 191-192.
- 31. Payne, J. W. 1973. Polymerization of protein with glutaraldehyde. Soluble molecularweight markers. Biochem. J. 135:867-873
- 
- 32. Reite, O. B. 1972. Comparative physiology of histamine. *Physiol. Rev.* 52:778-819.<br>33. Reinhardt, D., and E. Ritter. 1979. Hypothermia-induced potentiation of histamine H<sub>2</sub>. receptor mediated relaxation and cyclic AMP increase in the isolated mesenteric artery of
- the rabbit. Agents Actions. 9:9-14.<br>34. Schayer, R. W. 1962. Evidence that induced histamine is an intrinsic regulator of the<br>action of the structure of t microcirculatory system. Amer. J. Physiol. 202:66-72.
- 35. Schwaiger, M., and E. D. Jacobson. 1979. Peripheral vascular H<sub>2</sub> receptors to histamine. Circ. Shock. <sup>6</sup> :213-221 .
- Simionescu, N., M. Simionescu, and G. E. Palade. 1978. Structural basis of permeability in sequential segments of the microvasculature of the diaphragm. I. Bipolar microvascular fields. Microvasc. Res. 15:1-16.
- Simionescu, N., M. Simionescu, and G. E. Palade. 1978. Structural basis of permeability in sequential segments of the microvasculature. II. Pathways followed by microperoxidase across the endothelium. Microvasc. Res. 15:17-36.
- 38. Simionescu, N., M. Simionescu, and G. E. Palade. 1978. Open junctions in the endothelium of the postcapillary venules of the diaphragm. J. Cell Biol. 79:27-44.<br>39. Stahl, E., and P. J. Schorn. 1969. Amines and tar bases. In: Thin-layer chromatography.
- A Laboratory Handbook. E. Stahl, editor. Springer-Verlag, NY. 494-506.
- 40. Suran, A. A., and H. Tarver. 1965. Heterogeneity of horse spleen ferritin and apoferritin. Comparison of electrophoretic and chromatographic fractions. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 11:399-406
- 41. Tran, V. T., S. L. Chang, and H. Snyder. 1978. Histamine H<sub>1</sub> receptors identified in mammalian brain membranes with (<sup>3</sup>H) mepyramine. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 70: 6290-6294.
- 42. Türker, R. K., 1973. Presence of histamine  $H_2$ -receptors in the guinea-pig pulmonary vascular bed. Pharmacology. 9:306-311.
- 43. Uchida, M., and K. Takagi. 1977. Purification of histamine receptors. (III) Characterization of receptor-rich membrane fraction of small intestinal smooth muscle of the cat. Jpn. J. Pharmacol. 7:1-7.
- 44. Vane, J. R. 1957. A sensitive method for the assay of 5-hydroxytryptamine. Br. J. Pharmacol. 12:344-349.
- Pharmacol. 12:344-349 . <sup>45</sup> . Wahl, M., and W. Kuschinsky 1979. The dilating effect of histamine on pial arteries of cats and its mediation by  $H_2$  receptors. Circ. Res.  $44.161-165$ .
- <sup>46</sup> . Weinstein, Y., K . L. Melmon, H . R Boume, and M Sela. <sup>1973</sup> . Specific leukocyte hormones for small endogenous hormones. Detection by cell binding to insolubilized hormone preparations. J. Clin. Invest. 62:1349-1361.
- 47. Weinstein, Y., T. Poon, H. R. Bourne, and K. L. Melmon. 1975. A new class of drugconjugates of vasoactive amines to complex or simple carriers have pharmacologic activity Clin. Res. 23:358A
- 48. Williams, M. A., and P. M. Harrison. 1968. Electron-microscopic and chemical studies of oligomers in horse ferritin. Biochem. J. 110:265-280.
- 49. Winter, A., K. Ek, and U. B. Andersson. 1977. Analytical electrofocusing in thin layer of polyacrylamide gels. LKB Application note 250. 1–13.<br>50. Zamiri, J., and J. Mason. 1968. Electrophoresis of ferritin. Nature (Lond.). 217:258–259
-