Supplementary Table 4. Cost of individual aspects of the intervention.

Intervention	Group	Number of patients reviewed	Total cost⁴, £	Cost per	Cost per patient reviewed, £	Saving per consultation telephone-option vs face-to-face only, £
Cost of the full	Telephone option			p,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
review service ^a	(n = 598)	397	3982.23	6.66	10.03	
TOVIOW SOLVICE	Face-to-face only	001	0302.20	0.00	10.00	
	(n = 654)	352	4485.50	6.86	12.74	2.71
	Usual care	002	1100.00	0.00	12.7	2
	(n = 557)	282	3340.41	6.00	11.85	
Cost of the recall	Telephone option					
and booked	(n = 598)	276	3402.75	5.69	12.33	
review service ^b	Face-to-face only					
	(n = 654)	324	4458.82	6.82	13.76	1.43
	Usual care					
	(n = 557)	256	3322.98	5.97	12.98	
Cost of providing	Telephone-option					
opportunistic calls		110	579.47	1.80	5.27	
to non-responders ^c Face-to-face only						
	(n = 330)	6	26.67	0.08	4.45	-0.82
	Usual care					
	(n = 301)	5	17.43	0.06	3.49	

The cost of the full review service delivered according to allocation. Cost of recall administration, plus nursing costs for providing booked reviews. Cost of those who did not attended (DNAs) is included, but not abortive calls. Cost of providing the opportunistic telephone reviews to non-responders. This includes abortive calls, but no recall administrative costs. Costs calculated as in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. Cost is expressed per patient targeted: that is, the whole active asthma population for the full review service and recall and booked component of the service, but only the non-responders were targeted by the opportunistic telephone calls. Cost is expressed per patient reviewed as a result of that component of the service: that is, all patients reviewed for the full review service, responders for the recall and booked component of the service, and those reviewed opportunistically for the opportunistic telephone service.

Pinnock H, Adlem L, Gaskin S, et al. Accessibility, clinical effectiveness, and practice costs of providing a telephone option for routine asthma reviews: phase IV controlled implementation study. Br J Gen Pract 2007; 57(542): 714–722. ©British Journal of General Practice