Malate Dehydrogenases from Actinomycetes: Structural Comparison of Thermoactinomyces Enzyme with Other Actinomycete and Bacillus Enzymes

KEITH SMITH, TRICHUR K. SUNDARAM,* AND MARTIN KERNICK

Department of Biochemistry and Applied Molecular Biology, University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology, Manchester M60 JQD, England

Received 5 July 1983/Accepted 28 October 1983

Malate dehydrogenases from bacteria belonging to the genus Thermoactinomyces are tetrameric, like those from Bacillus spp., and exhibit a high degree of structural homology to Bacillus malate dehydrogenase as judged by immunological cross-reactivity. Malate dehydrogenases from other actinomycetes are dimers and do not cross-react with antibodies to Bacillus malate dehydrogenase.

Malate dehydrogenase (L-malate:NAD' oxidoreductase EC 1.1.1.37) occurs as a dimeric molecule (molecular weight, ca. 65,000) in most organisms and as a tetramer twice as large in some gram-positive bacteria, notably Bacillus spp. $(8, 14)$. We have observed earlier that, as judged by its tetrameric structure and immunological cross-reactivity, malate dehydrogenase from Thermoactinomyces sacchari bears a strong structural resemblance to Bacillus malate dehydrogenase. Malate dehydrogenase from another actinomycete, Thermomonospora fusca, however, was found to be a dimer and does not cross-react with antibodies to the Bacillus enzymes (14). This structural similarity between the malate dehydrogenases from Thermoactinomyces sacchari and Bacillus spp. appeared to be another biochemical manifestation of a phylogenetic relationship between the two bacterial genera. We therefore undertook ^a more detailed study of malate dehydrogenases from actinomycetes and present here the results.

Malate dehydrogenases were isolated in pure state from the bacteria listed in Table ¹ by the method described earlier (11). The course of purification from the six actinomycetes is summarized in Table 2. As with several bacteria studied previously, our method, in which the enzyme is selectively eluted as a ternary complex with $NAD⁺$ and L -malate (11), produces pure malate dehydrogenase in a single step in high yield. The purity of the malate dehydrogenases was checked by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of the enzyme preparations in the native and denatured states. The specific activity of pure malate dehydrogenase from Streptomyces lividans, a mesophile, is appreciably higher than those of the other malate dehydrogenases, which are all from thermophiles (Table 2).

The sedimentation coefficients of the malate dehydrogenases, which were determined by sucrose density gradient centrifugation, are presented in Table 3. All these enzymes belong to one of two classes characterized by sedimentation coefficients of ca. 6.5S and 4.5S. A previous study (14) established that the malate dehydrogenases from Bacillus caldotenax and Thermoactinomyces sacchari are tetramers having a molecular weight of ca. 130,000 and that the malate dehydrogenase from Thermomonospora fusca is a dimer with a molecular weight of ca. 65,000. The subunit molecular weights of the malate dehydrogenases previously examined

are ca. 33,000 (14). In the present investigation, the subunits of the malate dehydrogenases from the six actinomycetes had a similar size, with the molecular weights ranging between 32,800 and 34,100. It may therefore be assumed that a sedimentation coefficient approximating to 4.5S identifies the malate dehydrogenase as a dimer with a molecular weight of ca. 65,000 and that a sedimentation coefficient approximating to 6.5S shows the enzyme to be a tetramer with a molecular weight of ca. 130,000. By this criterion, the malate dehydrogenases from all the actinomycetes used in this study, except Thermoactinomyces spp., are dimers, and those from Thermoactinomyces spp., like the enzymes from Bacillus spp., are tetramers.

We purifed ¹² bacterial malate dehydrogenases by adsorption to, and elution from, Procion Red HE3B immobilized on agarose. The tetrameric enzyme forms are eluted by ¹⁰ mM L -malate in conjunction with $NAD⁺$ at a concentration of less than 0.2 mM, whereas the dimeric forms require ^a higher $NAD⁺ concentration for elution. It is therefore possible to$ predict the molecular size and subunit structure of a malate dehydrogenase reasonably well from its elution behavior.

Figures 1 and 2 summarize the results of immunotitration experiments. The titration profiles from enzyme neutralization tests (Fig. 1A and 2A) and those from experiments in which the antigen-antibody complex was sedimented in association with protein A (Fig. 1B and 2B) were similar except that the enzyme removal from the supernatant fraction in the latter experiments was more efficient than enzyme inactivation in the former experiments. This presumably is because complexes in which antibodies have combined with parts of the enzyme molecule not essential for enzyme activity may contribute to the residual enzyme activity determined in titration experiments in which they are not sedimented along with the protein A adsorbent. Antibodies to the malate dehydrogenase from B. caldotenax strongly cross-reacted with the enzymes from Bacillus subtilis and the two thermoactinomycetes (Fig. 1). These four malate dehydrogenases were all shown to be tetrameric by the results of sedimentation experiments (Table 3). No crossreaction was observed (data not shown) between antibodies to the B. caldotenax enzyme and the malate dehydrogenases from Thermomonospora fusca, Thermomonospora chromogena, Micropolyspora faeni, and S. lividans, which were observed to be dimeric by the sedimentation criterion. Antibodies to Thermomonospora chromogena malate dehy-

* Corresponding author.

TABLE 1. Bacteial strains used

^a Growth temperatures were: S. lividans, 30° C; B. subtilis, 37° C; B. caldotenax, 65°C; all other bacteria, 55°C.

drogenase, a dimer, showed significant cross-reaction with enzyme, seen notably in Bacillus spp. (8, 14). It is therefore the dimeric malate dehydrogenases from *Thermomonospora* especially significant that antibodies to t the dimeric malate dehydrogenases from *Thermomonospora* especially significant that antibodies to the tetrameric malate *fusca* and *S*. *lividans* (Fig. 2) but not with tetrameric enzyme dehydrogenase from *B*. *caldoten* forms from B. caldotenax, Thermoactinomyces sacchari, the enzymes from the thermoactinomycetes but not with the and Thermoactinomyces candidus or with the dimeric en-
malate dehydrogenases from the other actinomycetes incl and *Thermoactinomyces candidus* or with the dimeric en-
zyme from *M. faeni* (data not shown). The most important ed in this study (Fig. 1). The lack of cross-reaction between conclusion from these observations is that the malate dehy-
drogenases from the thermoactinomycetes bear strong ho-
malate dehydrogenase and the malate dehydrogenases from drogenases from the thermoactinomycetes bear strong ho-
malate dehydrogenase and the malate dehydrogenase on the basis of Bacillus spp. and the thermoactinomycetes further under-

genera considered to belong to the group of actinomycetes dimeric malate dehydrogenase from S. lividans interacts establishes that the dehydrogenase from Thermoactino-
with antibodies to the Thermomonospora chromogena enestablishes that the dehydrogenase from *Thermoactino*-
myces spp. is distinctive in its larger molecular size and zyme but that the enzyme from *M. faeni*, also a dimer, does myces spp. is distinctive in its larger molecular size and zyme but that the enzyme from M. faeni, also a dimer, does tetrameric subunit structure from the smaller, dimeric ma-
tetrameric subunit structure from the smalle tetrameric subunit structure from the smaller, dimeric ma-
late dehydrogenase from the other three genera. The tetra-
genus *Streptomyces* is more closely related to the genus late dehydrogenase from the other three genera. The tetra-
meric malate dehydrogenase is the less common form of this Thermomonospora than to the genus Micropolyspora. In the

fusca and S. lividans (Fig. 2) but not with tetrameric enzyme dehydrogenase from B. caldotenax cross-react strongly with forms from B. caldotenax, Thermoactinomyces sacchari, the enzymes from the thermoactinomycetes but no zyme from *M. faeni* (data not shown). The most important ed in this study (Fig. 1). The lack of cross-reaction between conclusion from these observations is that the malate dehy-
entibodies to the dimeric *Thermomonospora* mology to the Bacillus malate dehydrogenase on the basis of Bacillus spp. and the thermoactinomycetes further under-
innes the similarity between the genera Bacillus and Ther-
lines the similarity between the genera Bacill imunological cross-reactivity.
The present study of malate dehydrogenases from four *moactinomyces*. It may be somewhat surprising that the The present study of malate dehydrogenases from four *moactinomyces*. It may be somewhat surprising that the genera considered to belong to the group of actinomycetes dimeric malate dehydrogenase from S. lividans interacts Thermomonospora than to the genus Micropolyspora. In the

^a The purification was based on the method published earlier (11). Any variation from this method was in the concentration of NAD⁺ in the buffers used to wash the Procion Red HE3B column and to elute the enzyme from the column. These concentrations were: Thermomonospora fusca enzyme, 0.2 mM; Thermomonospora chromogena enzyme, 0.25 mM; Thermoactinomyces sacchari enzyme, 0.17 mM; Thermoactinomyces candidus enzyme, 0.19 mM; M. faeni enzyme, 0.25 mM; and S. lividans enzyme, 0.25 mM.

Enzyme activity unit (U) is the amount of enzyme causing the oxidation of 1 μ mol of NADH per min at 30°C in the assay system (11). c Previously (15), the specific activities of pure *Thermomonospora fusca* and *Thermoactinomyces sacchari* enzymes were reported to be 8.6 and 23.3, respectively. These low values were obtained because the concentration of oxaloacetate that was used in the enzyme assays is highly inhibitory. In this assay system, ^a strong activation of these enzyme forms by KCl was reported (14). We now find that the activation is weaker when an optimal concentration of oxaloacetate is used in the assays.

FIG. 1. Immunotitration of malate dehydrogenases against anti-B. caldotenax malate dehydrogenase immunoglobulin. (A) Each purified malate dehydrogenase (20 μ l, 0.02 U) was incubated with the amount of immunoglobulin shown, 0.07μ mol of NADH, and sodium,potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) in a volume of 0.45 ml for 30 min at 30°C. The residual enzyme activity was then determined after the addition of 0.05 ml of 6.6 mM oxaloacetate. The protein concentration of the immunoglobulin preparation was 7.5 mg/ml. Malate dehydrogenases titrated were: \Box , B. caldotenax; \blacktriangle , $B.$ subtilis; \bullet , Thermoactinomyces candidus; and \blacksquare , Thermoactinomyces sacchari. (B) Each malate dehydrogenase (20 μ l, 0.01 U) was incubated for 30 min at 30°C with the amount of immunoglobulin shown and NET buffer (150 mM NaCl, ⁵ mM EDTA, ⁵⁰ mM Trishydrochloride [pH 7.5], 0.02% sodium azide, and 0.05% Triton X-100) in ^a volume of 0.2 ml. Protein A adsorbent (0.2 ml) was added, and the incubation was continued at room temperature for 10 min. The protein A adsorbent and the immunoglobulin G complexed with it were spun down, and 0.2 ml of the supernatant fraction was assayed in a 1-ml system for malate dehydrogenase (11). The protein concentration of the immunoglobulin preparation was 3 mg/ml. Enzymes and symbols as in (A). The protein A adsorbent was prepared as follows: Formalin-fixed Staphylococcus aureus cells were washed with NET buffer containing Triton X-100 at ^a total concentration of 0.5% and then were suspended in NET buffer (containing 0.05% Triton X-100) to a concentration of 10% (vol/vol).

current state of flux of actinomycete classification (12), it must remain an open question whether this finding has any significance. In this study and previously (14), no appreciable immunological cross-reaction between the tetrameric Bacillus malate dehydrogenase and dimeric malate dehydrogenase from any organism has been observed. Thus, the

TABLE 3. Sedimentation coefficients of malate dehydrogenases^a

Bacterial source of malate dehydrogenase	Sedimentation coefficient (S)
	6.7
	6.5
Thermonomospora chromogena ,	4.6
	4.5
Thermoactinomyces candidus $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	6.7
	6.7
	4.5
	4.4

^a Sedimentation coefficients were determined from the positions of the malate dehydrogenases after centrifugation in a sucrose density gradient, relative to the positions of marker proteins. The enzymes (50 μ g of protein) were centrifuged at 58,000 rpm (450,000 \times g_{av}) through a continuous, linear, sucrose density gradient between 15 and 30% (wt/vol) in a volume of 3.6 ml, buffered with 10 mM Tris-hydrochloride (pH 7.2), at 4°C for ²⁰ h.

dimeric and the tetrameric forms of this enzyme are distinct and stable structural entities. The significance of this divergence in evolutionary terms, however, is not clear. Immunological cross-reactivity is now widely regarded as a good indicator of structural resemblance. The high degree of structural homology that the Thermoactinomyces malate dehydrogenase bears to the Bacillus enzyme, as shown by this study and others, betokens at the molecular level a phylogenetic link between these two bacterial genera. This accords with recent thinking (4), based initially on the base composition of the DNA of thermoactinomycetes (3, 9) and on their ability to form heat-stable endospores (5), that these bacteria resemble Bacillus spp. more than they resemble the other actinomycetes. Further indications of this interesting relationship between the genera Thermoactinomyces and Bacillus have emerged from studies of other molecular parameters, e.g., rRNA oligonucleotide sequences (12), menaquinone composition (2), and partial sequences of proteinases (1, 13). Thus, the morphological property of hyphal growth, which thermoactinomycetes share with the other actinomycetes, may not have a fundamental significance from an evolutionary standpoint. It is reasonable to suppose that phylogenetically there is a basic kinship be-

FIG. 2. Immunotitration of malate dehydrogenases against anti-Thermomonospora chromogena malate dehydrogenase immunoglobulin. (A) Experimental details as given in Fig. 1A. The protein concentration of the immunoglobulin preparation was 6.8 mg/ml. Malate dehydrogenases titrated were: O, Thermomonospora chromogena; **I**, S. lividans; and \bullet , Thermomonospora fusca. (B) Experimental details as outlined in Fig. 1B. The protein concentration of the immunoglobulin preparation was 2.7 mg/ml. Enzymes and symbols as in (A).

tween the genera Thermoactinomyces and Bacillus and that the organisms belonging to the former genus acquired the property of hyphal growth, perhaps during a process of convergent evolution with the other actinomycetes.

We gratefully acknowledge the support provided by the Royal Society of Great Britain through equipment grants.

We thank Alan McCarthy for making us fully appreciate the relationship between the genera Bacillus and Thermoactinomyces and for many stimulating discussions. B. caldotenax cells were supplied to us by the Centre for Applied Microbiology and Research, Porton Down, under a block grant scheme financed by the Science and Engineering Research Council and the Medical Research Council, Great Britain.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Baudys, M., V. Kostka, K. Gruner, G. Hausdorf, and W. E. Hohne. 1982. Amino acid sequence of the small cyanogen bromide peptide of thermitase, a thermostable serine protease from Thermoactinomyces vulgaris. Relation to subtilisins. Int. J. Pept. Protein Res. 19:32-39.
- 2. Collins, M. D., G. C. Mackillop, and T. Cross. 1982. Menaquinone composition of members of the genus Thermoactinomyces. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 13:151-153
- 3. Craveri, R., P. L. Manachini, and N. Pacini. 1966. Deoxyribonucleic acid base composition of actinomycetes with different temperature requirements growth. Ann. Microbiol. Enzimol. 16:115-117.
- 4. Cross, T. 1981-1982. What is an actinomycete?, p. 77-81. In H. A. Lechevalier (ed.), The actinomycetes, vol. 16. Waksman Institute of Microbiology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick.
- 5. Cross, T., P. D. Walker, and G. W. Gould. 1968. Thermophilic actinomycetes producing resistant endospores. Nature (London) 220:352-354.
- 6. Heinen, U. J., and W. Heinen. 1972. Characteristics and properties of a caldo-active bacterium producing extracellular en-
- 7. Lomovskaya, N. D., N. M. Mkrtumian, N. L. Gostimskaya, and V. N. Danilenko. 1972. Characterization of temperate actinophage ϕ C31 isolated from Streptomyces coelicolor A3(2). J. Virol. 9:258-262.
- 8. Murphey, W. H., G. B. Kitto, J. Everse, and N. 0. Kaplan. 1967. Malate dehydrogenases. I. A survey of molecular size measured by gel filtration. Biochemistry 6:603-609.
- Priest, F. G. 1981. DNA homology in the genus Bacillus, p. 33-57. In R. C. W. Berkeley and M. Goodfellow (ed.), The aerobic endospore-forming bacteria: classification and identification. Academic Press, Inc., London.
- 10. Sargeant, K., D. N. East, A. R. Whitaker and R. Elsworth. 1971. Production of Bacillus stearothermophilus NCA ¹⁵⁰³ for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. J. Gen. Microbiol. 65:iii.
- 11. Smith, K., T. K. Sundaram, M. Kernick, and A. E. Wilkinson. 1982. Purification of bacterial malate dehydrogenases by selective elution from a triazinyl dye affinity column. Bipchim. Biophys. Acta 708:17-25.
- 12. Stackebrandt, E., and C. R. Woese. 1981. Towards a phylogeny of the actinomycetes and related organisms. Curr. Microbiol. 5:197-202.
- 13. Stepanov, V. M., G. G. Chestukhina, G. N. Rudenskaya, A. S. Epremyan, A. L. Osterman, 0. M. Khodova, and L. P. Belyanova. 1981. A new subfamily of microbial serine proteinases: structural similarities of Bacillus thuringiensis and Thermoactinomyces vulgaris extracellular serine proteinases. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 100;1680-1687.
- 14. Sundaram, T. K., I. P. Wright, and A. E. Wilkinson. 1980. Malate dehydrogenase from thermophilic and mesophilic bacteria. Molecular size, subunit structure, amino acid composition, immunochemical homology and catalytic activity. Biochemistry 19:2017-2022.
- 15. Wright, I. P., and T. K. Sundaram. 1979. Simple efficient methods for the isolation of malate dehydrogenase from thermophilic and mesophilic bacteria. Biochem. J. 177:441-448.