Duplication of the tuf Gene, Which Encodes Peptide Chain Elongation Factor Tu, Is Widespread in Gram-Negative Bacteria

DAVID FILER† AND ANTHONY V. FURANO*

Laboratory of Biochemical Pharmacology, National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases, Bethesda, Maryland 20205

Received 27 April 1981/Accepted 3 August 1981

The tuf gene which encodes peptide chain elongation factor Tu was found to be duplicated in nine enteric and four nonenteric gram-negative bacteria, but present only in one copy in two gram-positive genera. In two of the nonenteric gramnegative genera, Pseudomonas and Caulobacter, the duplicate tuf genes were found to be very close together on the chromosome, which contrasts with the situation in *Escherichia coli*, where they are more than 660 kilobases apart.

Naturally occurring, genetically stable duplications of protein-encoding genes are rare in procaryotes (18) . The persistence of the *tuf* gene duplication in Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium (8) even after the 10,000,000 or so years since their divergence (11) suggests that the tuf gene duplication serves an essential function for certain bacteria. We were therefore curious to learn how widespread the tuf gene duplication is among various procaryotes.

The following bacteria were examined: gramnegative enteric species- E . coli, Shigella dysenteriae, Serratia marcescens, Enterobacter aerogenes, Citrobacter freundii, Morganella ("Proteus") morganii, Providencia stuartii, Edwardsiella tarda, and Erwinia carotovora; gram-negative nonenteric species-Pseudomonas putida, Caulobacter crescentus, Myxococcus xanthus, and Rhodospirillum rubrum; gram-positive species-Bacillus subtilis and Micrococcus luteus. Bacterial DNAs were prepared from most of the bacteria as previously described (8). Samples of DNA from M. morganii, P. stuartii, E. tarda, and E. carotovora were generously supplied by Don J. Brenner, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Ga.

To determine the number of tuf genes in the various bacteria, we took advantage of our recent findings (7; unpublished data) that these procaryotes contain DNA sequences of almost perfect homology to different portions of the E . coli tuf gene. Thus, hybridization of E. coli tuf gene sequences to replicas (blots) of agarose gel electropherograms of restriction endonuclease digests of these DNAs reveals the number of restriction endonuclease fragments that contain tuf homologous sequences. This number would

t Present address: Institut Pasteur, 75724 Paris-Cedex 15, France.

be equivalent to the number of tuf genes in a given bacterial DNA if the endonuclease digestion went to completion and there were no sites for the endonuclease within the tuf gene. To control for the completion of endonuclease digestion, we included a small portion of bacteriophage λ DNA in the endonuclease reactions and used only those reactions that showed complete digestion of λ DNA. These digestion products were detected by hybridization with λ $[3³²P]DNA$ (Fig. 1, legend). To control for the second possibility, duplicate blots of a restriction endonuclease digest were hybridized with radioactive probes encoding either the N terminus or C terminus of EF-Tu. These portions of the tuf gene, referred to as N fragment or C fragment, are illustrated in Fig. ¹ and were obtained by cloning into the appropriate plasmid vector, the indicated tufA gene fragment that was purified from SmaI or SmaI plus EcoRI endonuclease digests of the defective λ , λ dfus-3 (12, 14). The detection of a particular radioactive band, using probes corresponding to either end of the tuf gene, would be most readily explained by the presence of an intact tuf gene in the particular band.

Two types of hybridization probes were prepared which gave identical results. For the first type, the entire C or N fragment was made radioactive in vitro with $\left[\alpha^{-32}P\right]TTP$ (250 to 400 mci/μ mol) by nick translation of the appropriate recombinant plasmid, using the method of Maniatis et al. (16). The plasmid DNA was prepared from chloramphenicol-treated cultures (4), using a modification of the method of Clewell and Helinski (5). The radioactive C or N fragments were excised from the plasmid vector by digestion with the appropriate restriction endonculease(s), separated from unincorporated

FIG. 1. Hybridization between radioactive probes encoding the N terminus or C terminus of EF -Tu and the DNA8 from various enteric bacteria. Schematic representations of the portion of the E. coli chromosome that contains the tufA and fus genes (top line) and of the cloned portions of the tufA gene (DNA fragment used) are shown. The arrows and triangles indicate the sites recognized by the restriction endonucleases Smal and EcoRI, respectively. The gene fragment designated C contains 600 nucleotides of the tufA gene encoding the COOH-terminal half of $EF-Tu$ as well as 500 nucleotides of flanking DNA (9). The fragment designated N contains 300 nucleotides that encode the NH_2 -terminal portion of EF -Tu plus about 65 bases between the fus and tufA genes as well as the coding sequence of the last 25 or so amino acids of EF-G (23 ; Furano, unpublished data). Also shown is an autoradiogram of the hybrids formed between different tufprobes and DNA fragments from different bacteria. Various bacterial DNAs (10 to 20 μ g) were mixed with about 0.4 μ g of bacteriophage λ DNA (see text) and digested in 0.1-ml reactions (1 h at 37°C) containing 10 to 20 U of any one of several restriction enzymes that had been obtained from commercial sources (Bethesda Research Laboratories or New England Biolabs). The reactions were carried out according to the supplier's recommendations, and the mixtures were then adjusted to 0.25% sodium dodecyl sulfate, ³⁰mM sodium EDTA, 5% glycerol, and 0.05% bromophenol blue, heated at 65° C for 5 min, and divided into several portions which were subjected to electrophoresis (14 to ¹⁶ h at 20 V [constant voltage] in a horizontal direction in a 1.2% agarose gel [0.4 by 13.5 by 14.5 cm]) in ⁴² mM This-acetate buffer, pH 8.0, containing ²⁰ mM sodium acetate and ¹ mM sodium EDTA. After staining in an 0.5- μ g/ml aqueous solution of ethidium bromide, the gel was treated (22) for the transfer of DNA to diazobenzyloxymethyl paper (1), using the method of transfer described by Mears et al. (17). After pretreatment of the paper (22), three separate strips, each containing any one of the bacterial DNAs, were hybridized separately with either [^{32}P]DNA or [^{32}P]RNA corresponding to either end of the tuf gene or with radioactive bacteriophage λ DNA that had been made radioactive by nick translation. The reactions

deoxynucleoside triphosphate by chromatography on Sephadex G-100, and then separated from the DNA of the vector by electrophoresis in 1% (wt/vol) gels of low-melting agarose (Bethesda Research Laboratories). The second type was RNA transcripts of the *tuf*-containing portion of the cloned tufA fragments. The transcripts were synthesized from the appropriate recombinant plasmid in vitro by using $\lceil \alpha - \frac{1}{n} \rceil$ 32 P]UTP (50 to 100 mCi/ μ mol) and purified by using methods described in detail earlier (7, 8) by hybridization to DNA of the defective λ bacteriophage, λ drif^d18. This DNA contains the tu/B gene (13, 15), and because none of the DNA that flanks the tufA gene is homologous to λ drif^d18 (8), this hybridization yields tuf transcripts that are essentially free of non-tuf sequences.

Figure 1 shows that all of the enteric bacterial DNAs digested with EcoRI contained two radioactive bands after hybridization with either the N fragment or C fragment probes. Two radioactive bands were also found in BamHI or HindIII digests. Such results can only be explained by duplicate tuf genes in these genomes.

Figure 2 shows that only one band was detected in EcoRI digests of the DNA from the nonenteric organisms, P. putida or C. crescentus. However, after digestion with BamHI or HindIII, two bands were detected by hybridization with either the N fragment or C fragment probe. Although only one tuf-containing EcoRI fragment is indicated for M. xanthus, a second must also be present unresolved from the upper region of the gel where we sometimes observe nonspecific binding of the probe, since we found that secondary digestion of EcoRI-digested M. xanthus DNA with BamHI reveals this tuf-containing fragment (data not shown) but does not alter the mobility of the EcoRI fragment indicated in Fig. 2. Our preparation of R. rubrum DNA inhibited EcoRI, but both BamHI and HindIII digests of this DNA contained two tufcontaining fragments.

In many cases, the hybridization signal with

the C fragment was more intense than with the N fragment. With *M. xanthus*, the N fragment bands were too weak to be reproduced photographically. These results are consistent with our previous findings (7), which showed that sequences homologous to the C terminus of the E. coli tuf gene are the most highly conserved tuf sequences.

We could not detect more than one restriction fragment that contained tuf sequences in the two gram-positive genera that we examined, B. subtilis and M. luteus. We used six different restriction endonucleases: EcoRI, HindIII, BamHI, PstI, SaII, and BgIII. Some of the data for B. subtilis are shown in Fig. 2. This result with B. subtilis was consistent with the genetic evidence of Smith and Paress (20), showing that B. subtilis contains only one tuf gene.

The presence of the tuf homologous sequences of C. crescentus and P. putida on a single EcoRI endonuclease fragment suggested that the duplicate tuf genes in these organisms could either be located very close together or, less likely, on EcoRI fragments of identical length in each of these bacterial genomes. Each tuf-containing EcoRI fragment is long enough to accommodate two 1.2-kilobase tuf genes, which is the size of the tuf genes in $E.$ coli $(2, 9, 23)$, 3 kilobases in P. putida, and 18 kilobases in C. crescentus. In both cases, the tuf genes are presumably separated by a HindIII site. Thus, digestion by HindIII should convert the single tuf-containing EcoRI fragment to two tuf-containing fragments, the combined size of which should not be more than the size of the single EcoRI fragment from which they were derived.

As Figure 3 shows, digestion by HindIII reduced the size of the single 3-kilobase EcoRI fragment of Pseudomonas to two smaller fragments of about 1.5 kilobases and 1.8 kilobases each. In the case of C. crescentus, the size of the 18-kilobase tuf-containing fragment was reduced to two fragments of about 2.1 and 9 kilobases each.

Although there is no easy way to prove that

with radioactive RNA were incubated at 65°C in 1% glycine (1), 2× SSC, 0.1% (wt/vol) sodium dodecyl sulfate, and 1% (vol/vol) phenol. When DNA was used, the reactions were incubated at 60°C in $2 \times$ SSC, 0.25% (wt/ vol) sodium dodecyl sulfate, 1% glycine, 5× Denhardt solution without bovine serum albumin (6), and 100 μ g of sonicated salmon sperm DNA per ml. After 18 h, the strips were washed three times with 200 ml of $2\times$ SSC-0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate at the hybridization temperature, dried, and exposed to X-ray film at -70° C with an intensifying screen. The autoradiograms shown here are of the bacterial DNAs that had been digested with EcoRI. The hybridizations with E. coli, S. marcescens, E. aerogenes, C. freundii, P. morganii (first two strips), and E. carotovora were carried out by using RNA transcripts, whereas those with S. dysenteriae, the third P. stuartii strip, and E. tarda were carried out with a nick-translated probe. Some of the dark marks at the top of the strips shown here and in Fig. 2 are registration or reference marks applied to the X-rays that were not completely cropped from the photograph. The lower (tufA) and upper (tufB) tuf bands in the E. coli tracks are about 4.3 and 9.3 kilobases, respectively.

FIG. 2. Hybridization between radioactive tuf sequences and the DNAs from various nonenteric bacteria. These experiments were carried out as described in the legend to Fig. 1, using a nick-translated probe. As indicated in Fig. 3, the single EcoRI fragment in the P. putida lanes is about 3 kilobases, whereas the single EcoRI fragment in the C. crescentus lanes is about 18 kilobases.

the tuf homologous sequences that we have detected are part of genes that encode a protein which is the functional counterpart of EF-Tu, we referred to such sequences as tuf genes. This assumption seems warranted in the case of the *Enterobacteriaceae*, where in the degree of sequence homology to the E . coli tuf gene is very high (7, 8), including the relative location of restriction endonuclease restriction sites within the gene (8; unpublished data). Furthermore, even genera such as Bacillus and Caulobacter. which are evolutionarily very distant from each other and from E. coli, contain an EF-Tu molecule which, when complexed with their respective elongation factor Ts, can substitute for the E. coli EF-Tu:EF-Ts to form an active replicase for the E. coli phage $Q\beta$ (21; L. Stringfellow and T. Blumenthal, personal communication). Although it is possible that the *tuf* homologous sequences encode non-EF-Tu proteins in these procaryotes, it seems reasonable at present to refer to the tuf homologous sequences as tuf genes.

The finding that the duplication of the tuf gene is widespread among the gram-negative genera suggests that for these bacteria, the tuf gene duplication serves an important function. This suggestion is supported by the fact that in

FIG. 3. Cleavage of the tuf gene-containing EcoRI fragment from P. putida or C. crescentus DNA by HindIII. These reactions were carried out as described in the text except that after the incubation with EcoRI was completed, a portion of the mixture was incubated with HindIII for an additional ¹ h at 37°C. That portion of each reaction that did not receive HindIII was also incubated for an additional ^I h. At the end of the reaction, the DNA was concentrated by precipitation with 2 volumes of ethanol. The DNA pellets were washed with 95% ethanol, dried, dissolved in the agarose gel sample buffer (see legend to Fig. 1), heated at 65° C, and the subjected to electrophoresis. The electrophoretic position and size (in kilobases) of some of the tuf-containing fragments are indicated by the arrows. The sizes were determined by comparison to the mobility of the restriction fragments of λ DNA that were detected by hybridization with radioactive λ DNA in a separate hybridization reaction (see legend to Fig. 1). P and C denote P. putida and C. crescentus, respectively, and E and H stand for EcoRI and HindIII, respectively. Sequences corresponding to the C fragment shown in Fig. 1 were used as the hybridization probe.

E. coli, the tufA and tu/B genes are almost identical (2, 23). However, only one tuf gene was present in the two gram-positive genera that we examined. Although this is too small a sample

to make any generalizations, it would be interesting to know whether a single tuf gene is typical of gram-positive genera which may have diverged from the gram-negative genera early in the phylogenetic history of procaryotes (11).

While this work was in progress, our attention was called to the following correlation: in B. subtilis, the strA locus, which is genetically linked to the single tuf gene, is also linked to the rif locus. In $E.$ coli, one of the tuf genes (tufA) is linked to rpsL (formerly strA), and the other $(tufB)$ is linked to rif, but the rpsL and rif loci are genetically unlinked, being more than 660 kilobases apart (3, 19; I. Smith, personal communication). In P. putida, where the two tuf genes are very close together, the str and rif loci are also linked (10). If, as has been proposed (11), Pseudomonas spp. represent an intermediate state between the evolutionary events that separate genera such as Bacillus and the Enterobacteriaceae, then a single translocation event might have caused the transposition of one of the tuf genes and a part of the nearby chromosome.

We thank Don J. Brenner, Enteric Section, Centers for Disease Control, for his advice on the selection of the Enterobacteriaceae and for kindly providing DNA samples. We also thank Issar Smith (The Public Health Research Institute of the City of New York) for useful discussions.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Alwine, J., D. J. Kemp, and G. R. Stark. 1977. Method for detection of specific RNAs in agarose gels by transfer to diazobenzyloxymethyl paper and hybridization with DNA probes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 74:5350- 5354.
- 2. An, G., and J. D. Friesen. 1980. The nucleotide sequence of tufB and four nearby tRNA structural genes of Escherichia coli. Gene 12:33-39.
- 3. Bachmann, B. J., and K. B. Low. 1980. Linkage map of Escherichia coli K-12, edition 6. Microbiol. Rev. 44:1- 56.
- 4. Clewell, D. B. 1972. Nature of ColEl plasmid replication in Escherichia coli in the presence of chloramphenicol. J. Bacteriol. 110:667-676.
- 5. Clewell, D. B., and D. R. Helinski. 1970. Properties of a supercoiled deoxyribonucleic acid-protein relaxation complex and strand specificity of the relaxation event. Biochemistry 9:4428-4440.
- 6. Denhardt, D. T. 1966. A membrane-filter technique for the detection of complementary DNA. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 23:641-646.
- 7. Filer, D., and A. V. Furano. 1980. Portions of the gene encoding elongation factor Tu are highly conserved in prokaryotes. J. Biol. Chem. 255:728-734.
- 8. Furano, A. V. 1978. Direct demonstration of duplicate tuf genes in enteric bacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 75:3104-3108.
- 9. Furano, A. V., and M. Sullivan. 1978. The peptide chain elongation factor genes tufA and fus of Escherichia coli are intimately related physically. J. Biol. Chem. 253: 8351-8354.
- 10. Holloway, B. W., V. Krishnapillai, and A. F. Morgan. 1979. Chromosomal genetics of Pseudomonas. Microbiol. Rev. 43:73-102.
- 11. Hori, H., and S. Osawa. 1979. Evolutionary change in

5S RNA secondary structure and ^a phylogenetic tree of ⁵⁴ 5S RNA species. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 76: 381-385.

- 12. Jaskunas, S. R., A. M. Fallon, and M. Normura. 1977. Identification and organization of ribosomal protein genes of Escherichia coli carried by λ fus2 transducing phage. J. Biol. Chem. 252:7323-7336.
- 13. Jaskunas, S. R., L. Lindahl, M. Nomura, and R. R. Burgess. 1975. Identification of two copies of the gene for elongation factor EF-Tu in E. coli. Nature (London) 257:458-462.
- 14. Lindahl, L., L. Post, J. Zengel, S. F. Gilbert, W. A. Strycharz, and M. Nomura. 1977. Mapping of ribosomal protein genes by in vitro protein synthesis using DNA fragments of λf us transducing phage DNA as templates. J. Biol. Chem. 252:7365-7383.
- 15. Lindahl, L., M. Yamamoto, M. Nomura, J. B. Kirschbaum, B. Allet, and J. D. Rochaix. 1977. Mapping of a cluster of genes for components of the transcriptional and translational machineries of Escherichia coli. J. Mol. Biol. 109:23-47.
- 16. Maniatis, T., A. Jeffrey, and D. G. Kleid. 1975. Nucleotide sequence of the rightward operator of phage A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 72:1184-1188.
- 17. Mears, J. G., F. Ramirez, D. Leibowitz, F. Nakamura, A. Bloom, F. Konotey-Ahulu, and A. Bank. 1978. Changes in restricted human cellular DNA fragments

containing globin gene sequences in thalassemias and related disorders. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 75:1222- 1226.

- 18. Riley, M., and A. Anilionis. 1978. Evolution of the bacterial genome. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 32:519-560.
- 19. Smith, I., E. Dubnau, G. Williams, K. Cabane, and P. Paress. 1980. Genetics of the translational apparatus in Bacillus subtilis, p. 341-361. In S. Osawa (ed.), RNA polymerase, tRNA, and ribosomes. University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo.
- 20. Smith, I., and P. Paress. 1978. Genetic and biochemical characterization of kirromycin resistance mutations in Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 135:1107-1117.
- 21. Stringfellow, L. A., J. Douglass, and T. Blumenthal. 1980. Protein synthesis elongation factors Tu and Tu-Ts from Caulobacter crescentus: sensitivity to kirromycin and activity in $Q\beta$ replicase. J. Bacteriol. 143: 389-395.
- 22. Wahl, G. M., M. Stern, and G. R. Stark. 1979. Efficient transfer of large DNA fragments from agarose gels to diazobenzyloxymethyl paper and rapid hybridization by using dextran sulfate. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 76: 3683-3687.
- 23. Yokota, T., H. Sugisaki, M. Takanami, and Y. Kaziro. 1980. The nucleotide sequence of the cloned tufA gene of Escherichia coli. Gene 12:25-31.