SYNERGISTIC T CELL ACTIVATION VIA THE PHYSIOLOGICAL LIGANDS FOR CD2 AND THE T CELL RECEPTOR

By BARBARA E. BIERER,*[‡] ANDREW PETERSON,[§] JOAN C. GORGA,[∦] STEVEN H. HERRMANN,*[¶] AND STEVEN J. BURAKOFF* **

From the *Division of Pediatric Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts 02115; the [‡]Hematology Division, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02115; the [§]Department of Molecular Biology, Massachusettts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02114; the [§]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138; and the Departments of [‡]Medicine, [§]Genetics, [¶]Pathology, and ^{**}Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115

T cells may be activated by the antigen-specific T cell receptor (TCR)-CD3 complex interacting either with foreign antigen in association with self-major histocompatibility complex (MHC) proteins or by foreign MHC proteins. T cells may also be activated by the cell surface receptor CD2 (T11, LFA-2, the T cell erythrocyte receptor), a molecule of M_r 50,000 expressed on all human thymocytes and T cells. Pairs of mAbs directed against different epitopes on CD2 will stimulate T cells (1-3). While both the antigen-specific TCR and the CD2 pathways of T cell activation may be triggered independently, several lines of evidence suggest that they are functionally interrelated. Incubation of resting T cells with a nonstimulatory anti-CD3 mAb in the presence of an anti-CD2 mAb directed against a specific epitope (9-1 mAb) induces T cell stimulation (3). Modulation of the TCR-CD3 complex by incubation with an anti-CD3 mAb prevents subsequent activation by stimulatory pairs of anti-CD2 mAb (1, 2, 4-6). Whether CD3 expression is required for CD2-dependent activation or whether CD3 modulation induces a state of refractories to subsequent stimulation is not clear. Some (7), but not all (6), CD3-deficient mutant T cell lines may be stimulated by pairs of anti-CD2 mAb. On the other hand, while modulation of surface CD2 expression does not inhibit anti-CD3-induced calcium mobilization (5), incubation of T cells with certain anti-CD2 mAb inhibits T cell stimulation through the TCR-CD3 complex (8-11), suggesting that CD2 may regulate T cell responses.

A natural ligand for CD2 has been found to be lymphocyte function-associated antigen 3 (LFA-3),¹ a widely distributed cell surface glycoprotein of M_r

1145

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants CA-47718 (to S. Herrmann), and CA-34129 (to S. Burakoff), and by a grant from Hoechst AG (to A. Peterson). B. Bierer is a recipient of the Clinician Scientist Award from the American Heart Association. J. Gorga is a fellow of the Charles A. King Trust. Address correspondence to: Barbara E. Bierer, M. D., Division of Pediatric Oncology, Room 1634, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, 44 Binney Street, Boston, MA 02115.

¹ Abbreviations used in this paper: CD, cluster of differentiation; GAM, goat $F(ab')_2$ anti-mouse IgG; LFA, lymphocyte function-associated.

J. EXP. MED. © The Rockefeller University Press · 0022-1007/88/09/1145/17 \$2.00 Volume 168 September 1988 1145-1156

55,000-70,000. CD2 on the T cell participates in antigen-independent adhesion or conjugate formation by binding to LFA-3 on the stimulator or target cell (12-15). In addition to T cell adhesion, the CD2/LFA-3 interaction plays a role in T cell activation (16-18). Incubation of resting T cells with sheep erythrocytes, which bear an LFA-3 homologue, in the presence of one anti-CD2 mAb induces human T cell proliferation (16). Murine L cells transfected with and expressing human LFA-3 together with an anti-CD3 mAb or with suboptimal concentrations of phytohemag-glutinin stimulate proliferation of human peripheral blood T cells (17).

To investigate the interaction of the CD2 activation pathway with the antigenspecific TCR pathway, we have expressed a cDNA encoding the human CD2 molecule in a murine T cell hybridoma that responds to HLA-DR antigens. We have previously shown that this hybridoma produces IL-2 upon stimulation with pairs of anti-CD2 mAb, such as 9.6 and 9-1 mAb, or with 9-1 mAb in the presence of murine L cells expressing human LFA-3 (18), demonstrating that the CD2 pathway of activation is functional. CD2 expression markedly enhances IL-2 production in response to LFA-3⁺ antigen-bearing stimulator cells, and this stimulation is inhibited by anti-CD2 and anti-LFA-3 mAb.

To further define the role of LFA-3 in antigen-dependent T cell activation, we have studied the ability of the purified ligands of CD2 and the TCR to stimulate the hybridoma. The HLA-DR-specific murine T cell hybridoma expressing CD2 produced IL-2 after stimulation with liposomes containing purified LFA-3 and HLA-DR, the physiological ligands for CD2 and the TCR, respectively, suggesting that complementary interactions between the TCR-CD3 complex and the CD2 pathway may regulate lymphocyte activation. We have also constructed a cytoplasmic deletion mutant of CD2, CD2 Δ B, to examine the role of the CD2/LFA-3 interaction. The behavior of hybridomas expressing CD2 Δ B allow a clear distinction to be made between the roles of adhesion and that of activation for the CD2/LFA-3 interaction. Deletion of the cytoplasmic domain of CD2 did not alter binding of LFA-3 but eliminated the ability of CD2 to increase the response of the hybridoma to liposomes containing both HLA-DR and LFA-3. These results suggest that the CD2/LFA-3 interaction not only plays a role in cell-cell adhesion but provides a stimulatory signal for T cell activation.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture. Cell lines were grown in DMEM (M. A. Bioproducts, Bethesda, MD) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FCS (Hazelton Research Products, Denver, PA), penicillin 100 U/ml (Gibco Laboratories, Grand Island, New York), streptomycin 100 μ g/ml (Gibco Laboratories), 10 mM Hepes (M. A. Bioproducts), and 2 mM glutamine (Gibco Laboratories). All assays for IL-2 were performed in RPMI-1640 (M. A. Bioproducts) supplemented as above and including 50 μ M 2-ME (Eastman Organic Chemicals, Rochester, NY).

Monoclonal Antibodies. Antibodies against LFA-3 (TS2/9) and CD2 (TS2/18) have been previously described (19), and were used as ascites fluid or purified antibody. Additional anti-CD2 mAb were obtained through the Third International Workshop on Leukocyte Typing (20). F23.1, murine IgG, specific for V β 8 variable region of the murine TCR (21); 100.30.3 rat IgG2b, specific for murine H-2k^k (22); and LB3.1, murine IgG1, specific for mono-morphic determinants of HLA-DR (23), were also used.

Production of CD2- and CD2 ΔB -expressing Cell Lines. The CD2-expressing T cell hybridomas were constructed as previously described (18). CD2 ΔB was constructed by cleavage of a CD2 cDNA with Ban II, treatment of the end with T4 DNA polymerase followed by liga-

tion to 12-mer Nhe I linkers (stop codon linkers; New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA). Digestion of the resulting plasmid with Hind III and Bam HI released an 800-bp fragment; this was then introduced into the retroviral vector MNCstuffer (A. Peterson, unpublished). This deletion removes the COOH-terminal 100 amino acids of CD2 and replaces them with a serine.

CD2 and CD2 Δ B producer lines were constructed, and infections were done as described (24). Briefly, the murine T cell hybridoma 155.16, produced by the fusion of the HAT-sensitive thymoma BW5147 with spleen cells from C57BL/6 mice primed with the human EBV-transformed cell line JY (24), was infected with defective retroviruses that impart resistance to G418 and either CD2 or CD2 Δ B expression to the host cell. Neomycin-resistant cell lines were screened for wild-type or mutant CD2 expression by indirect immunofluorescence.

Immunofluorescent Flow Cytometry. 5×10^5 hybridoma cells were washed twice with PBS containing 2% FCS and 0.02% sodium azide, and then incubated at 4°C in the dark for 30 min with saturating concentrations of mAb. Cells were washed as above and incubated with 5 µl FITC-conjugated goat F(ab')₂ anti-mouse IgG antibody (FITC-GAM) (Tago Inc., Burlingame, CA) at 4°C for 30 min. Cells were washed twice and incubated with 10 nM propidium iodide (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) in PBS. 5-10 × 10³ cells were analyzed on an Epic V (Coulter Electronics, Hialeah, FL) fluorescence-activated cell sorter, during which dead cells were excluded by propidium iodide fluorescence.

Purification of LFA-3. The purification of LFA-3 by affinity chromatography has been described (16). In brief, purified anti-LFA-3 mAb TS 2/9 was coupled to cyanogen biomide-activated Sepharose CL-4B (Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Piscataway, NJ) as described (16) to prepare the anti-LFA-3 affinity columns. JY cells were solubilized with 2% Triton X-100 in PBS and were filtered. LFA-3 was purified from the lysate using an anti-LFA-3 column, washed with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS, and eluted as described (16). The eluted protein was homogeneous as shown by SDS-PAGE and retained serological activity as demonstrated by dot blot analysis.

Preparation of HLA-DR and LFA-3 Liposomes. For preparation of HLA-DR, JY membranes were solubilized with 4% Nonidet P-40 as described (26). The HLA-DR antigen was immunoaffinity purified by passage of solubilized membranes through preclearing columns of Sepharose CL-4B, normal rabbit serum coupled to Sepharose CL-4B, and protein A-Sepharose, followed by an anti-HLA-DR column made by coupling the mAb LB3.1 (23) to protein A-Sepharose. The column was eluted with 50 mM glycine/0.1% sodium deoxycholate, pH 11.5. The fractions were neutralized with 2 M glycine, pH 2, dialyzed and concentrated by ultrafiltration. The purified, detergent-solubilized HLA-DR (40 μ g), purified LFA-3 (20 μ g), and purified HLA-DR (40 μ g) with LFA-3 (20 μ g) (DR + LFA-3) were each combined with detergent-solubilized JY membrane lipids (800 μ g) from a chloroform/methanol extraction. Liposomes were formed by removal of the detergent by dialysis, as described previously (23). The resulting liposomes were pelleted by centrifugation at 175,000 g for 1 h and resuspended in Tris-buffered saline.

Stimulation of IL-2 Production by T Cell Hybridomas. 5×10^4 T cell hybridomas were incubated with irradiated (100 cGy from a cesium source) JY stimulator cells or liposomes as indicated, with or without mAb, in 0.6 ml RPMI-1640 complete media in 48-well flat-bottom plates (Costar, Cambridge, MA). Cells were incubated for 24 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in air. Culture supernatants were harvested, frozen, thawed, titrated by serial twofold dilutions, and assayed for the presence of IL-2 by their ability to support the proliferation of an IL-2 dependent murine T cell line, CTLL-20 (27). Proliferation was assessed by the incorporation of [³H]thymidine in a 4-h pulse after a 20-h incubation. Results are expressed relative to the [³H]thymidine incorporation of CTLL-20 cultured with a standard rat Con A supernatant, in which half-maximal incorporation is defined as 100 U IL-2/ml.

Results

T Cell Hybridomas Expressing CD2 Respond to Antigen Stimulation and to Liposomes Containing HLA-DR and LFA-3. A murine T cell hybridoma, 155.16, was produced by the fusion of the murine AKR thymoma BW5147 with C57BL/6 spleen cells stimulated with the human EBV-transformed JY cell line and screened for antigen-specific

IL-2 production. The parent cell line 155.16, which produced IL-2 in response to stimulation with HLA-DR antigens (24), was infected with a defective retrovirus that imparts resistance to G418 and surface expression of the human CD2 molecule as described (18). We have previously demonstrated that murine T cell hybridomas expressing CD2 responded to pairs of anti-CD2 mAb such as 9.6 plus 9-1 mAb, or to the combination of 9-1 mAb and either sheep erythrocytes or murine L cells expressing human LFA-3 (18). This suggests that CD2 expressed by the murine hybridoma was functional and that LFA-3 may replace the signal provided by 9.6 mAb binding.

Expression of the CD2 molecule in the murine hybridoma 155.16 markedly enhanced IL-2 production in comparison to the parent hybridoma in response to antigenspecific stimulation with the HLA-DR⁺ JY cell line (Fig. 1). While there was clonal variability in responsiveness, the CD2⁺ hybridomas produced an average of 10-fold (range 3-30-fold) more IL-2 than the parent cell line to the same number of JY stimulator cells (data not shown). CD2⁺ hybridomas that express equivalent levels of CD2 and the TCR, as assessed by indirect immunoflourescence, were compared (data not shown). In response to JY cell stimulation, 16.CD2-15 produced approximately 10-20-fold more IL-2, and 16.CD2-43 produced three- to sixfold more IL-2, compared with the parent line. Stimulation of the CD2⁺ hybridomas, but not the parent, was inhibited by mAb directed against either CD2, expressed on the hybridoma, or LFA-3, expressed on the JY stimulator cells, suggesting that the CD2/LFA-3 interaction augmented the antigen-dependent response (Fig. 1).

To define the role of LFA-3 in antigen-dependent T cell stimulation, we investigated whether purified LFA-3 and HLA-DR proteins incorporated into liposomes would stimulate the CD2⁺ murine T cell hybridomas. LFA-3 and HLA-DR antigens were immunoaffinity purified from JY cells and the purified proteins were incorporated into liposomes. Neither the parent nor the CD2⁺ hybridomas produced IL-2 when liposomes containing only purified HLA-DR antigens were added (Table I). However, the CD2⁺ hybridomas, 16.CD2-15 and 16.CD2-18, but not the parent hybridoma, produced IL-2 in response to incubation with liposomes containing both LFA-3 and HLA-DR antigens incorporated into the same liposome (DR + LFA-3-liposomes) (Table I). LFA-3 liposomes alone did not stimulate IL-2 production. Purified HLA-A2 incorporated into liposomes with HLA-DR antigens



FIGURE 1. Inhibition of antigen-specific stimulation of IL-2 production by the murine T cell hybridoma 155.16 and the CD2⁺ hybridomas 16.CD2-15 and 16.CD2-43. 5×10^4 hybridoma cells were cultured with irradiated (100 cGy from a cesium source) JY stimulator cells, as described in Materials and Methods, in the presence or absence of mAb. The anti-CD2 mAb TS2/18 and anti-LFA-3 mAb TS2/9 were used at a 1:1,200 dilution of ascites fluid. The anti-H2k^k mAb 100.30.3 was used at a 10% final concentration of culture supernatant. All mAb concentrations were saturating. Data are presented as units of IL-2 activity per milliliter described in Materials and Methods.

TABLE I HLA-DR + LFA-3-containing Liposomes Stimulate IL-2 Production by C_{i}

$D2^+$	Hyl	brid	omas
$D2^{\star}$	Hyl	brid	omas

	IL-2 production			
Stimulant	155.16	16.CD2-15	16.CD2-18	
		U/ml		
None	<10	<10	<10	
JY cells	165	1,660	445	
HLA-DR liposomes	<10	<10	<10	
LFA-3 liposomes	<10	<10	<10	
[DR + LFA-3]-liposomes (3 µl)	<10	1,910	510	
[DR + LFA-3]-liposomes (1 µl)	<10	1,480	215	

 5×10^4 hybridoma cells were cultured for 24 h with irradiated JY cells (10⁴ cells/ well), HLA-DR, LFA-3, or [DR + LFA-3]-containing liposomes (3 or 1 µl) as indicated. Culture supernatants were assayed for the presence of IL-2 as described in Materials and Methods. Liposomes were also prepared as described in Materials and Methods.

did not stimulate IL-2 production (data not shown). Thus, stimulation appeared to require the presence of both HLA-DR, the ligand for the TCR, and LFA-3.

Monoclonal antibody inhibition of the response of 16.CD2-15 to [DR + LFA-3]-liposome stimulation was compared with that of JY cell stimulation (Fig. 2). Both anti-CD2 (TS2/18) and anti-LFA-3 (TS2/9) mAb substantially inhibited IL-2 production in response to both stimuli. Similarly, mAb directed against monomorphic determinants of HLA-DR (LB3.1) inhibited both stimulations. However, mAb directed against murine MHC class I antigen H-2kk (100.30.3) did not inhibit IL-2 production. These data demonstrated that stimulation of IL-2 production was dependent upon expression of CD2 on the T cell, and upon the LFA-3 and HLA-DR antigens expressed on the stimulator cell or in liposomes.



FIGURE 2. Inhibition of antigen and [DR + LFA-3]-liposome stimulation of IL-2 production. 5×10^4 CD2⁺ hybridoma cells (16.CD2-15) were cultured with 10⁵ irradiated (100 cGy from a cesium source) JY stimulator cells (A), or 3μ [DR + LFA-3]-liposomes (B), as described in Materials and Methods, in the presence or absence of mAb, as indicated. The anti-CD2 mAb TS2/18 and anti-LFA-3 mAb TS2/9 were used at a 1:1,200 dilution of ascites fluid. The anti-HLA-DR mAb LB3.1 and anti-H-2k^k mAb 100.30.3 were used at a 5% final concentration of culture supernatant. Data are presented as units of IL-2 activity per milliliter as described in Materials and Methods. Note difference in scale of horizontal axis.

Expression and Function of $CD2\Delta B$ Molecules in the Murine T Cell Hybridoma. The CD2/LFA-3 interaction may participate in antigen-dependent T cell stimulation by increasing adhesion of the stimulator cell to the T cell and/or by providing a signal required for T cell activation. LFA-3 incorporated into [DR + LFA-3]-liposomes may allow adhesion to CD2 on the T cell, promoting the interaction of the TCR with HLA-DR antigens. To determine whether the CD2/LFA-3 interaction participates in cell-cell adhesion and provides an activation signal, we have constructed a cytoplasmic deletion cDNA mutant of CD2, CD2 ΔB , in which the COOH-terminal 100 amino acids of CD2 have been replaced with a serine (Fig. 3). 155.16 was infected with a defective retrovirus that imparts resistance to G418 and surface expression of $CD2\Delta B$. G418-resistant cell lines were analyzed by indirect immunofluorescence for CD2ΔB expression. Eight cell lines that expressed the murine TCR and mutant CD2 ΔB molecules at levels equivalent to the wild-type CD2⁺ hybridomas were chosen for further study, an example of which is shown in Fig. 4. The expression of the murine TCR, assessed by indirect immunofluorescence using F23.1, an mAb specific for the V β 8 region of the TCR, was equivalent on the parent hybridoma, the $CD2^+$ hybridoma 16.CD2-15, and the hybridoma expressing the deletion mutant 16.CD2 Δ B-7 (Fig. 4). Indirect immunofluorescence of the CD2⁺ and $CD2\Delta B^+$ hybridomas using a panel of anti-CD2 mAb was equivalent (Fig. 4 and data not shown). The expression of the LFA-1 molecule was equivalent on all cell lines (data not shown).

Eight hybridomas expressing the CD2 Δ B molecule were analyzed. All eight CD2 Δ B⁺ cell lines were able to produce equivalent amounts of IL-2 as the wild type CD2⁺ hybridomas upon stimulation with phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate and the calcium ionophore ionomycin (data not shown). No CD2 Δ B⁺ hybridoma responded to combinations of stimulatory pairs of anti-CD2 mAb, such as 9.6 or 9-1 (Table II and data not shown). Four CD2 Δ B⁺ T cell hybridomas showed no enhanced responsiveness to JY stimulation, and four deletion mutants showed a slight enhancement of IL-2 production (1.5-2-fold) in comparison to the parent 155.16 (Table II and data not shown). Of the eight CD2 Δ B⁺ hybridomas, the most responsive cell line, 16.CD2 Δ B-7, was analyzed for LFA-3 adhesion. 16.CD2 Δ B-7 retains the ability to bind purified LFA-3 comparably to the wild type CD2⁺ hybridoma. Scatchard analysis of the binding of a soluble form of LFA-3 to the hybridomas expressing CD2 and the CD2 Δ B mutants showed that both had a K_d of 30 nM (A. Peterson, manuscript in preparation).



FIGURE 3. Schematic diagram of CD2 and CD2 ΔB . Beneath the diagram of the CD2 molecule, the amino acids of the cytoplasmic domain of the CD2 and CD2 ΔB molecules are shown. The COOH-terminal 100 amino acids of CD2 are replaced by a serine in the CD2 ΔB molecule. TM, transmembrane.



Log Fluorescence Intensity -----

FIGURE 4. Expression of the murine TCR and human CD2 molecules by the murine T cell hybridomas. Representative flow cytometric histograms are shown for the parent hybridoma 155.16, the CD2⁺ hybridoma 16.CD2-15, and the CD2 Δ B⁺ hybridoma 16.CD2 Δ B-7. Hybridomas were stained with saturating concentrations of mAb directed against the TCR (F23.1) and CD2 (9.6, 9.1) molecules, followed by incubation with FITC-GAM as described in Materials and Methods. The negative controls were cells stained with FITC-GAM alone.

Since the hybridoma 16.CD2 Δ B-7 was able to bind LFA-3 but unable to be stimulated by pairs of anti-CD2 mAb, stimulation with [DR + LFA-3]-liposomes was then assessed. Unlike the hybridomas expressing the wild type CD2 protein, the CD2 Δ B⁺ did not respond to [DR + LFA-3]-liposomes (Table III), demonstrating

TABLE II							
Stimulation of $CD2^+$ and $CD2\Delta B^+$ Hybridomas							
Exp. 1		IL-2 pr	oduction				
Stimulant	155.16	16.CD2-15	16.CD2-18	16.CD2∆B-7	16.CD2∆B-14		
			U/ml				
None	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10		
JY cells	410	>2,000	1,230	815	160		
9.6 + 9-1 mAb	<10	825	240	<10	<10		
MT110 + 9-1 mAb	<10	1,440	415	<10	<10		
Exp. 2							
Stimulant	155.16	16.CD2-15	16.CD2-43	16.CD2∆B-7	16.CD2∆B-8		
None	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10		
JY cells	100	>2,000	420	210	150		
MT110 + 9-1	<10	905	300	<10	<10		
MT910 + 9-1	<10	890	220	<10	<10		

 5×10^4 hybridoma cells were cultured for 24 h with irradiated JY cells (10^4 cells/well), or with mAb as indicated. 9.6, MT110, MT910, and 9-1 mAb were used at a final concentration of 1 µg/ml. Incubation of the hydridomas with each anti-CD2 mAb alone did not stimulate IL-2 production (data not shown). Culture supernatants were assayed for the presence of IL-2 as described in Materials and Methods.

T Cell Hybridomas					
Stimulant	IL-2 production				
	155.16	16.CD2-15	16.CD2-43	16.CD2∆B-7	
	U/ml				
None	<10	<10	<10	<10	
JY cells	260	7,395	1,210	540	
DR liposomes	<10	12	<10	<10	
LFA-3 liposomes	<10	<10	<10	<10	

TABLE III Stimulation by LFA-3 and HLA-DR Liposomes of Murine T Cell Hybridomas

 5×10^4 hybridoma cells were cultured for 24 h with liposomes (5 µl) or JY cells (10^5 cells/well) as indicated. Cultured supernatants were assayed for the presence of IL-2 as described in Materials and Methods. HLA-DR, LFA-3, and [DR + LFA-3]-liposomes were also prepared as described in Materials and Methods.

7.810

505

<10

< 10

DR + LFA-3-liposomes

that adhesion of LFA-3 to CD2 alone was insufficient for activation, and that the cytoplasmic domain was required for LFA-3 stimulation through the CD2 molecule.

Discussion

In this report, we have demonstrated that murine T cell hybridomas expressing the human CD2 molecule produce IL-2 in response to stimulation with purified LFA-3 and HLA-DR incorporated into liposomes. LFA-3 may participate in antigendependent T cell stimulation via CD2 by promoting adhesion of the target or stimulator cell to the T cell and/or by providing an activating signal to the T cell. In immune interactions, adhesion preceeds antigen recognition and T cell activation, and these functions are therefore complementary. Stimulation of CD2⁺ hybridomas by liposomes containing both LFA-3 and HLA-DR proteins would be consistent with either role, since LFA-3 adheres to CD2 on the T cell and may allow the TCR to interact with HLA-DR antigens more efficiently. Like antigen stimulation, the [DR + LFA-3]-liposome stimulation of the CD2⁺ hybridomas may be inhibited by mAb directed against CD2, LFA-3, or HLA-DR.

The $CD2\Delta B^+$ hybridomas expressing a cytoplasmic deletion mutant of CD2 bind LFA-3 comparably to wild-type CD2, but are ineffective in allowing stimulation with [DR + LFA-3]-liposomes. The K_d of CD2 ΔB expressed in the hybridoma for LFA-3 is the same as that of wild-type CD2. Furthermore, the CD2 ΔB and wild-type CD2 molecules transfected into COS cells both promote adhesion to sheep erythrocytes, which express the sheep homologue of LFA-3 (A. Peterson, unpublished). If adhesion alone were responsible for CD2-mediated stimulation of the hybridoma's response to antigen, then this mutant should be as effective as wild-type CD2. However, no response is elicited by [DR + LFA-3]-liposomes. Thus, in this system, it appears that a predominant effect of the CD2/LFA-3 interaction is to provide an intracellular signal that synergizes with the signal provided by stimulation of the TCR-CD3 complex. This conclusion is supported by the response of the CD2 ΔB^+ hybridomas to incubation with intact JY cells, in which there was, at most, a slight enhancement of IL-2 production compared to the parent hybridoma. This enhancement could be attributed to the more limited role of adhesion.

We have previously shown that a hybridoma expressing a point mutant of CD2, Q51L CD2, with a single amino acid substitution at position 51 of glutamine for leucine, did not react with the 9.6 mAb, but had retained binding to and could be stimulated to produce IL-2 to other anti-CD2 mAb with 9-1 mAb (18). The Q51L CD2⁺ hybridomas did not respond to sheep erythrocytes nor murine L cells transfected with and expressing human LFA-3 plus 9-1 mAb (18), demonstrating that the Q51L CD2 molecule is unable to use LFA-3 for activation. Unlike the hybridomas expressing the wild type CD2 molecule, the Q51L CD2⁺ hybridomas did not exhibit enhanced IL-2 production in response to antigen-specific JY stimulation (18). These findings support the conclusion that the inability of Q51L CD2⁺ hybridomas to demonstrate an enhanced response to JY cell stimulation is due to the inability to bind LFA-3 expressed on the stimulator cell. The Q51L CD2⁺ hybridoma did not respond to stimulation with [DR + LFA-3]-liposomes (data not shown). These data provide further evidence that the enhanced response observed with the wild type CD2⁺ hybridoma is specific and depends upon the ability of LFA-3 to bind to CD2.

We (18) and others (16) have shown that LFA-3 binding to CD2, in the presence of one anti-CD2 mAb, induces antigen-independent T cell activation. Furthermore, the CD2/LFA-3 interaction may affect T cell stimulation via the TCR-CD3 pathway. Resting human T cells may be stimulated to proliferate by one anti-CD3 mAb in the presence of murine L cells expressing human LFA-3 (17) or by an anti-CD2 mAb (9-1) (3). Two signals are necessary to stimulate T cells by the CD2 pathway. T cell function may be triggered by pairs of anti-CD2 mAb (1-3), or by one anti-CD2 mAb in the presence of either an anti-CD3 mAb (3) or LFA-3 (16, 18). LFA-3 is widely expressed on nucleated cells (9); however, the interaction of CD2 with LFA-3 alone is insufficient for stimulation. Given the necessity for antigen to regulate the T cell immune response in the periphery, the second signal for T cell stimulation may be provided not through CD2, but through the TCR interacting with specific antigen. The CD2/LFA-3 interaction may provide an accessory function to augment a weak antigen-driven response. In this report, we have shown that T cells may be activated by purified LFA-3 binding to CD2 and the TCR interacting with its ligand, and that these signals are synergistic for the T cell. These findings extend previous data on the biological role of the interaction of LFA-3 with CD2, and of the interrelationship of CD2 and the TCR. The signal provided by binding LFA-3 to CD2 alone is insufficient for activation but may contribute to antigen-dependent T cell activation. A second signal, here provided by the TCR interacting with its ligand, is required for T cell activation. Whether a quaternary complex formed among CD2 and the TCR-CD3 complex on the T cell, and LFA-3 and antigen/MHC on the stimulator cell, allows for potentiation of these signals remains to be determined.

Summary

T cells may be activated either by the antigen-specific T cell receptor (TCR)-CD3 complex or the cell surface receptor CD2. A natural ligand for CD2 has been found to be lymphocyte function-associated antigen 3 (LFA-3), a widely distributed cell surface glycoprotein. To investigate the interaction of these two pathways, we have expressed the cDNA encoding the human CD2 molecule in a murine T cell hybridoma that produces IL-2 in response to HLA-DR antigens. Expression of the CD2 molecule

cule markedly enhances IL-2 production in response to LFA-3⁺ antigen-bearing stimulator cells, and this stimulation is inhibited by anti-CD2 and anti-LFA-3 mAb. To further define the role of LFA-3 in antigen-dependent T cell activation, we have studied the ability of the purified ligands of CD2 and the TCR to stimulate the hybridoma. Neither liposomes containing purified HLA-DR antigens nor liposomes containing purified LFA-3 were able to stimulate the parent or the CD2⁺ hybridoma. However, liposomes containing both purified LFA-3 and HLA-DR, the physiological ligands for CD2 and the TCR, respectively, stimulate IL-2 production by the CD2⁺ but not the parent hybridoma, suggesting that complementary interactions between the TCR-CD3 complex and the CD2 pathway may regulate lymphocyte activation.

To determine whether the CD2/LFA-3 interaction participates in cell-cell adhesion and provides an activation signal, we have constructed a cytoplasmic deletion mutant of CD2, CD2 Δ B, in which the COOH-terminal 100 amino acids of CD2 have been replaced with a serine. Hybridomas expressing the CD2 Δ B molecule were examined. Deletion of the cytoplasmic domain of CD2 did not alter binding of LFA-3 but eliminated the ability of CD2 to increase the response of the hybridoma to liposomes containing both HLA-DR and LFA-3, demonstrating that adhesion of LFA-3 to CD2 alone was insufficient for activation, and that the cytoplasmic domain was required for LFA-3 stimulation through the CD2 molecule. T cells may be activated by purified LFA-3 binding to CD2 and the TCR interacting with its ligand, and these signals appear to be synergistic for the T cell. These results suggest that the CD2/LFA-3 interaction not only plays a role in cell-cell adhesion but provides a stimulatory signal for T cell activation.

Received for publication 13 April 1988.

References

- Meuer, S. C., R. E. Hussey, M. Fabbi, D. Fox, O. Acuto, K. A. Fitzgerald, J. C. Hodgdon, J. P. Protentis, S. F. Schlossman, and E. L. Reinherz. 1984. An alternative pathway of T-cell activation: a functional role for the 50 kd T11 sheep erythrocyte receptor protein. *Cell.* 36:897.
- Brottier, P., L. Boumsell, C. Gelin, and A. Bernhard. 1985. T cell activation via CD2 (T, gp50) molecules: accessory cells are required to trigger T cell activation via CD2-D66 plus CD2-9.6/T11 (1) epitopes. J. Immunol. 135:1624.
- Yang, S. Y., S. Chouaib, and B. Dupont. 1986. A common pathway for T lymphocyte activation involving both the CD3-Ti complex and CD2 sheep erythrocyte receptor determinants. J. Immunol. 137:1097.
- 4. Moretta, A., D. Olive, A. Poggi, G. Pantaleo, C. Mawas, and L. Moretta. 1986. Modulation of surface T11 molecules induced by monoclonal antibodies: analysis of the functional relationship between antigen-dependent and antigen-independent pathways of human T cell activation. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 16:1427.
- Pantaleo, G., D. Olive, A. Poggi, T. Pozzari, L. Moretta, and A. Moretta. 1987. Antibodyinduced modulation of the CD3/T cell receptor complex causes T cell refractoriness by inhibiting the early metabolic steps involved in T cell activation. J. Exp. Med. 166:619.
- Breitmeyer, J. B., J. F. Daley, H. B. Levine, and S. F. Schlossman. 1987. The T 11 (CD2) molecule is functionally linked to the T3/Ti T cell receptor in the majority of T cells. J. Immunol. 139:2899.

- Moretta, A., A. Poggi, D. Olive, C. Bottino, C. Fortis, G. Pantaleo, and L. Moretta. 1987. Selection and characterization of T-cell variants lacking molecules involved in Tcell activation (T3, T-cell receptor, T44, and T11): analysis of the functional relationship among different pathways of activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 84:1654.
- 8. Palacios, R., and O. Martinez-Maza. 1982. Is the E receptor on human T lymphocytes a "negative signal receptor?" J. Immunol. 12:2479.
- Krensky, A. M., F. Sanchez-Madrid, E. Robbins, J. A. Nagy, T. A. Springer, and S. J. Burakoff. 1983. The functional significance, distribution, and structure of LFA-1, LFA-2, and LFA-3: cell surface antigens associated with CTL-target interactions. J. Immunol. 131:611.
- 10. Martin, P. J., G. Longton, J. A. Ledbetter, W. Newman, M. P. Braun, P. G. Beatty, and J. A. Hansen. 1983. Identification and functional characterization of two distinct epitopes of the human T cell surface protein Tp50. J. Immunol. 131:180.
- 11. Yssel, H., J. P. Aubry, R. de Waal Malefijt, J. E. de Vries, and H. Spits. 1987. Regulation by anti-CD2 monoclonal antibody of the activation of a human T cell clone induced by anti-CD3 or anti-T cell receptor antibodies. J. Immunol. 139:2850.
- Shaw, S., G. E. G. Luce, R. Quinnones, R. E. Gress, T. A. Springer, and M. E. Sanders. 1986. Two antigen-independent adhesion pathways used by human cytotoxic T cell clones. *Nature (Lond.)*. 323:262.
- Selvaraj, P., M. L. Plunkett, M. Dustin, M. E. Sanders, S. Shaw, and T. A. Springer. 1987. The T lymphocyte glycoprotein CD2 binds the cell surface ligand LFA-3. *Nature* (Lond.). 326:400.
- Dustin, M. L., M. E. Sanders, S. Shaw, and T. A. Springer. 1987. Purified lymphocyte function-associated antigen 3 binds to CD2 and mediates T lymphocyte adhesion. J. Exp. Med. 165:677.
- Takai, Y., M. Reed, S. J. Burakoff, and S. Herrmann. 1987. Direct evidence for a receptorligand interaction between the T cell surface antigen CD2 and lymphocyte function-associated antigen 3. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 84:6864.
- Hunig, T., G. Tiefenthaler, K. -H. Meyer zum Buschenfelde, and S. C. Meuer. 1987. Alternative pathway activation of T cells by binding of CD2 to its cell-surface ligand. *Nature (Lond.).* 326:298.
- 17. Bierer, B. E., J. Barbosa, S. Herrmann, and S. J. Burakoff. 1988. The interaction of CD2 with its ligand, LFA-3, in human T cell proliferation. J. Immunol. 140:3358.
- 18. Bierer, B. E., A. Peterson, J. Barbosa, B. Seed, and S. J. Burakoff. 1988. Expression of CD2 and an epitope loss CD2 mutant to define the role of lymphocyte function-associated antigen-3 (LFA-3) in T cell activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 85:1194.
- Sanchez-Madrid, F., A. M. Krensky, C. F. Ware, E. Robbins, J. L. Stominger, S. J. Burakoff, and T. A. Springer. 1982. Three distinct antigens associated with human T lympocyte-mediated cytolysis: LFA-1, LFA-2, and LFA-3. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 79:7489.
- 20. A. J. McMichael, editor. 1987. White cell differentiation antigens. In Leukocyte Typing III. Oxford University Press. Oxford, UK.
- 21. Staerz, U., H. G. Rammensee, J. A. Benedetto, and M. J. Bevan. 1985. Characterization of a murine monoclonal antibody specific for an allotypic determinant on T cell antigen receptor. J. Immunol. 134:3994.
- 22. Lemke, H., G. J. Hämmerling, and U. Hämmerling. 1979. Fine specificity analysis with monoclonal antibodies of antigens controlled by the major histocompatibility complex and by the Qa/TL region in mice. *Immunol. Rev.* 47:175.
- 23. Gorga, J. C., P. J. Knudsen, J. A. Foran, J. L. Strominger, and S. J. Burakoff. 1986. Immunochemically purified DR antigens in liposomes stimulate xenogeneic cytolytic T cells in secondary in vitro cultures. *Cell. Immunol.* 103:160.

- Sleckman, B. P., A. Peterson, W. K. Jones, J. A. Foran, J. L. Greenstein, B. Seed, and S. J. Burakoff. 1987. Expression and function of CD4 in a murine T-cell hybridoma. *Nature (Lond.)*. 328:351.
- 25. Peterson, A., and B. Seed. 1987. Monoclonal antibody and ligand binding sites of the T cell erythrocyte receptor (CD2). *Nature (Lond.).* 329:842.
- 26. Gorga, J. C., V. Horejsi, D. R. Johnson, R. Raghupathy, and J. L. Strominger. 1987. Purification and characterization of class II histocompatibility antigens from a homozygous human B cell line. 1987. J. Biol. Chem. 262:16087.
- 27. Gillis, S., M. M. Ferm, W. Ou, and K. A. Smith. 1978. T cell growth factor: parameters of production and a quantitative microassay for activity. J. Immunol. 120:2027.