sets of statistics are shown: the discriminant function parameters are shown for the first three canonical functions, standardized such that the overall mean score and the pooled within-group variances are both equal to one; and for each variable, the mean value is shown for animals assigned to each of the four clusters. The final column shows the "F-to-remove" value, representing a measure of the relative impact that removing each variable would have on the analysis results. Note that the letter codes listed with each variable are provided to facilitate cross-referencing with Table S3, where the raw data for this analysis are presented. Two of the parameters listed in Table S3 (E: surface interval for unsuccessful dives; and K: variance in per-item handling time) were dropped from this

Function 3

0.024

1.362

-0.934

-0.209

-0.840

0.032

-0.829

1.802

1.184

-0.379

-0.442

0.117

Within-Cluster Mean Values

Cluster 2

0.571

64.23

81.28

72.42

29.33

78.18

1.348

0.955

75.28

0.645

5.370

3.619

Cluster 3

0.723

57.00

42.79

56.14

25.14

35.50

1.619

1.299

33.20

0.189

3.221

2.140

Cluster 4 F-to-remove

1.56

4.21

4.57

1.08

3.95

4.95

6.92

3.51

3.83

1.17

3.1

0.77

0.837

68.38

71.25

58.34

27.95

58.90

4.163

3.960

21.98

1.358

2.186

1.780

Cluster 1

0.596

46.15

54.50

41.26

27.10

48.31

1.213

0.577

46.72

0.289

4.844

2.582

analysis because they failed to contributed significantly to classification (F-to-remove value < 0.5).

Function 1

-0.222

-1.359

0.265

0.827

0.621

0.168

-1.538

0.958

0.089

0.086

0.408

0.351

Behavioral variable

В

D

proportion of dives resulting in prey

surface interval, successful dives (s)

variance in surface interval duration

number of prev items per dive

variance in number of prey items

mean per-item handling time (s)

frequency of tool use (items per dive)

duration of unsuccessful dives (s)

variance in dive duration

mean prey size (cm)

variance in prey size

duration of successful dives (s)

Standardized Canonical Functions

Function 2

0.643

-0.502

-0.843

-0.121

0.315

-0.782

-0.957

0.318

0.769

-0.409

-0.470

-0.150

Table 4. Summary statistics from a linear discriminant analysis conducted to determine the efficacy with which 45 individual sea

otters could be reliably classified into one of four foraging modes on the basis of a suite of observational behavioural variables. Two