

**Table 3. Skeletochronological estimates of upper bounds of reproductive maturity (RM) and predictions of RM based on virus-to-whale scaling relationships.**

<b>Taxon</b>	<b>Estimated mass, kg</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>RM: virus-whale scaling, yr</b>	<b>RM: skeletochronological estimate, yr</b>
<i>Tenontosaurus</i>	243	1	4 (3, 5 PI)	8 (7, 8 CI)
	600	2	6 (4, 6 PI)	8 (7, 8 CI)
	1,034	3	6 (5, 9 PI)	8 (7, 8 CI)
<i>Allosaurus</i>	700	4	6 (5, 8 PI)	10 (7, 13 CI)
	952	1	6 (5, 8 PI)	10 (7, 13 CI)
	1,400	5	7 (5, 9 PI)	10 (7, 13 CI)
<i>Tyrannosaurus</i>	4,500	5	9 (7, 13 PI)	18 (14, 21 CI)
	5,654	6	10 (8, 13 PI)	18 (14, 21 CI)
	6,650	1	10 (8, 14 PI)	18 (14, 21 CI)
	10,200	4	12 (9, 16 PI)	18 (14, 21 CI)

Values in parentheses are nominal 95% prediction intervals (PI) or confidence intervals (CI).

#### References

1. Seebacher F (2001) *J Vertebr Paleontol* 21: 51-60.
2. Spotila JR, O'Connor MP, Dodson P, Paladino FV (1991) *Mod Geol* 16: 203-227.
3. Werning S (2005) Thesis (University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK).
4. Therrien F, Henderson DM (2007) *J Vertebr Paleontol* 27: 108-115.
5. Anderson JF, Hall-Martin A, Russell DA (1985) *J Zool* 207: 53-61.
6. Erickson GM, Makovicky PJ, Currie PJ, Norell MA, Yerby SA, Brochu CA (2004) *Nature* 430: 772-775.