ON THREE COMPLEMENTARY AMINO ACID POLYMERIZATION FACTORS FROM BACILLUS STEAROTHERMOPHILUS: SEPARATION OF A COMPLEX CONTAINING TWO OF THE FACTORS, GUANOSINE-5'-TRIPHOSPHATE AND AMINOACYL-TRANSFER RNA*

BY ARTHUR SKOULTCHI, YASUSHI ONO, HONG MO MOON,[†] AND PETER LENGYEL

DEPARTMENT OF MOLECULAR BIOPHYSICS, YALE UNIVERSITY

Communicated by Norman H. Giles, April 1, 1968

The addition of an aminoacyl residue to the peptide chain is a multistep process. This process can be studied in amino acid-incorporating systems in vitro, in which poly U directs the formation of polyphenylalanine.¹ Such systems have been prepared from microbial and mammalian cell extracts. In addition to poly U, they include phe-tRNA, ribosomes, GTP, salts, and a high-speed supernatant fraction containing amino acid polymerization factors. Two such complementary factors were found in microbial and mammalian extracts.²⁻⁵ The first of the two factors isolated from $E.$ coli, designated G , is stable, and splits GTP into GDP and orthophosphate in the presence of ribosomes.⁶ The second factor, designated T, purified from Pseudomonas fluorescens, was further separated into two components, Tu and Ts ⁷. The splitting of T into Tu and Ts and the determination of their individual functions are made difficult by the fact that Tu becomes very unstable upon separation from Ts.7

Recent studies on peptide chain elongation have focused upon those steps in the process which might take place prior to peptide bond formation. Using a Millipore filtration technique, it was established that T factor from E . coli binds GTP.8 Since heat inactivation of Tu activity (but not of Ts activity) in T factor also destroyed GTP binding, it was concluded that Tu is involved in this step.8 Using ^a gel filtration technique, the binding of GTP to T factor depended upon aminoacyl-tRNA.9 The results also suggested that aminoacyl-tRNA is bound to the T factor-GTP complex10 (see also ref. 11). A complex of aminoacyl-tRNA with an amino acid polymerization factor purified from rat liver was isolated by gel filtration; its formation, however, did not seem to depend on GTP.12 T factor was found to be also involved in the GTP-dependent binding of aminoacyl $tRNA$ to the ribosome-messenger complex^{13, 14} (see also refs. 3, 15).

In an attempt to obtain stable amino acid polymerization factors that might enable us to study their functions, we selected Bacillus stearothermophilus, a thermophilic organism, as their source. Earlier, a highly active and stable amino acid-incorporating system was prepared from this organism.¹⁶ We have now resolved the high-speed supernatant fraction of the cell extract into three complementary fractions designated as factors S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 . All three factors are required for the synthesis of polyphenylalanine, as directed by poly U in ^a system also containing washed E. coli ribosomes and E. coli phe-tRNA. The separated and partially purified factors can be stored frozen for at least six months without a substantial loss of activity. Using the gel filtration technique, we have obtained direct evidence for the involvement of both S_1 and S_3 in a complex including GTP and aminoacyl-tRNA. We have also found that both S_1 and $S₃$ are required for obtaining the maximal rate in the GTP-dependent binding of aminoacyl-tRNA to the messenger-ribosome complex. Some of these results were communicated earlier.¹⁷

Materials.—C¹⁴-L-phenylalanine (SA 360 μ c/ μ mole) was obtained from New England Nuclear Corp., Boston, Mass.; H³-GTP (SA 1120 μ c/ μ mole), H³-GDP (SA 1270 μ c/ μ mole), H³-ATP (SA 3780 μ c/ μ mole), and reconstituted H³-protein-hydrolysate from Schwarz BioResearch, Inc., Orangeburg, N.Y.; E. coli B tRNA, sodium salt, and E. coli B cells from General Biochemicals, Chagrin Falls, Ohio.

Methods.—Preparation of three amino acid polymerization factors: The details of the partial purification and properties of the three factors will be described elsewhere. The outline of the procedure was as follows: The high-speed supernatant fraction of the cell extract of Bacillus stearothermophilus was fractionated with (NH4)SO4. The material precipitating between 45 and 60% saturation was further fractionated with acetone. The precipitate formed upon the addition of 650 ml of acetone per liter of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ fraction was discarded and an additional 350 ml of acetone was added to the supernatant fraction. The resulting precipitate was resuspended and adsorbed to calcium phosphate gel. The active fraction was eluted with 30% saturated $(NH_4)_8SO_4$ (pH 8.5). This fraction was applied to DEAE-Sephadex (pH 6.4) and the three factors were separated by elution with a linear KCl concentration gradient between 0.15 and 0.55 M . S₁ and S₂ were further purified on DEAE-Sephadex (pH 7.4). S₃ was further purified on hydroxylapatite with a linear concentration gradient of potassium phosphate, between 0.01 and 0.15 M . S₁ and S_2 were purified 20-fold, S_3 100-fold. Each can be stored at -50° C for at least 6 months without substantial loss of activity. In all experiments these most highly purified factors were used. Protein was determined according to the method of Lowry et al.¹⁸

Preparation of ribosomes: Ribosomes from E. coli B cells harvested in early log phase were isolated according to methods given in reference 6.

Preparation of C^{14} -phe-tRNA: E. coli B tRNA was charged with C^{14} -phenylalanine (SA 120 μ c/ μ mole).

E. coli K12 tRNA, enriched 16-fold for phenylalanine acceptor activity, was charged with C¹⁴-phenylalanine (SA 360 μ c/ μ mole).

Preparation of H^3 -aminoacyl-C¹⁴-tRNA: E. coli K12 AB 1861, a uracil-requiring strain, was grown on a medium including 46 μ moles/ml of C¹⁴-uracil (SA 1.3 μ c/ μ mole). C¹⁴tRNA $(2 \times 10^5 \text{ dpm/A}_{260}$ unit) was prepared by phenol extraction of the high-speed supernatant fraction of the cell extract. After discharging in 0.2 M tris-HCl (pH 9.0) at 37° C for 90 min, the C¹⁴-tRNA was charged with a reconstituted H³-protein-hydrolysate. After charging, the preparation contained 1.8×10^5 dpm C¹⁴ and 8×10^5 dpm H^3/A_{260} unit.

All aminoacyl-tRNA was passed through Sephadex G-25 in order to remove lowmolecular-weight materials.

Assay of polyphenylalanine formation: One ml of the reaction mixture contained the following components in μ moles (unless otherwise indicated): tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 40; NH4Cl, 160; DTT, 10; Mg acetate, 10; GTP, 2; poly U, ⁴⁰ ug; washed E. coli B ribosomes, 23 A₂₆₀ units; 24.8 A₂₆₀ units of E. coli B C¹⁴-phe-tRNA (12,000 cpm) carrying 664 $\mu\mu$ moles of phenylalanyl residues; S₁, S₂, and S₃ as indicated. The total volume of the reaction mixture was 0.125 ml. All components except GTP were mixed at 0°C and the reaction was started by adding GTP. The incubation period was ¹⁰ min at ³⁰'C. The reaction was terminated with 5% TCA solution, and the hot TCA-insoluble material was filtered on Millipore filters and counted.

Assay for complex formation among S_1 , S_3 , GTP , and aminoacyl-tRNA: One ml of the reaction mixture contained the following components in μ moles (unless otherwise indicated): tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 40; NH₄Cl, 160; DTT, 10; Mg acetate, 10; H³-GTP, 0.005 (SA 1120 μ c/ μ mole); 0.39 A₂₆₀ units of C¹⁴-phe-tRNA (50,000 cpm, enriched 16-fold for phenylalanine acceptor activity), carrying 130 $\mu\mu$ moles of phenylalanyl residues; S₁ and S_3 as indicated. The total volume of the reaction mixture was 0.2 ml. All components except GTP were mixed at 0° C, and the reaction was started with GTP. The incubation period was 5 min at 30° C. The reaction was terminated by cooling at 0° C and the reaction mixture immediately applied to a 0.64×37 -cm Sephadex G-100 column (total vol, 10 ml; void vol, 4 ml), which had been equilibrated with a solution of which ¹ ml contained the following components in μ moles: tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 40; NH₄Cl, 160; Mg acetate, 10; DTT, 1. The column was eluted with the same solution at a flow rate of 6 ml/hr. Several identical columns were used simultaneously. The volume of the fractions varied between 0.40 and 0.45 ml. Aliquots of 0.3 ml from each fraction were counted for radioactivity in 10 ml of Bray's solution¹⁹ in a scintillation counter. All operations with the column were performed at 4° C.

Assay of C^{14} -phe-tRNA binding to ribosomes: One ml of the reaction mixture contained the following components in μ moles (unless otherwise indicated): tris-HCl, (pH 7.4), 40; NH₄Cl, 160; DTT, 10; Mg acetate, 7.5; GTP, 0.002; poly U, 40 μ g; 5.76 A₂₆₀ units of washed ribosomes; $20.8 A_{260}$ units of C¹⁴-phe-tRNA (16,000 cpm) carrying 632 $\mu\mu$ moles of phenylalanyl residues; S₁ and S₃ as indicated. The total volume of the reaction mixture was 0.125 ml. All components except ribosomes and poly U were incubated for 5 min at 30° C. At this time, ribosomes and poly U were added and the incubation was continued at 30°C for varying lengths of time as indicated. The reaction was terminated by adding 1.25 ml of an ice-cold solution, 1 ml of which contained 40 μ moles of tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 160 μ moles of NH₄Cl, and 7.5 μ moles of Mg acetate. Immediately thereafter, the reaction mixture was filtered through Millipore filters as described by Nirenberg and Leder.²⁰ The filters were counted in a gas-flow planchet counter.

Results.-The strict dependence of polyphenylalanine formation upon factors $S_1, S_2,$ and S_3 is demonstrated in Figure 1. In each of the three experiments shown, various amounts of one single factor were added to a phenylalanine-polymerizing system containing a large amount of the other two complementary factors. That S_3 corresponds to the unstable Tu factor from E. coli is suggested by the following results. A partially purified fraction from $E.$ coli (having Tu, Ts, and G activity) was heated under conditions required to destroy the activity of Tu, but not of Ts or G. This heated fraction could replace S_1 and S_2 , but not S_3 in polyphenylalanine synthesis. That S_2 corresponds to factor G (from E. coli),

FIG. 1.-Requirement for three complementary factors in polyphenylalanine formation. Effects of increasing amounts of S₁ (A), S₂ (B), and S₃ (C) on polyphenylalanine formation in the presence of constant amounts of the other two complementary factors as indicated. Conditions are described in Methods under "Assay of polyphenylalanine formation." In the absence of S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 , 0.55 μ mole of phenylalanine was incorporated into hot acid-insoluble product. This amount was subtracted from all experimental values.

FIG. 2.-Examination of the interactions of S₁, S₃, GTP, and phe-tRNA by gel filtration. Interaction of: (A) GTP and S_3 ; (B) GTP, S_3 , and phe-tRNA, (C) GTP, S_3 , and S_1 , (D) GTP, S_3 , S_1 , and phe-tRNA; (E) GTP, S_1 , and phe-tRNA. The amounts of GTP and phe-tRNA indicated are those present in ^a total fraction. The conditions of the incubation and gel filtration are described in Methods under "Assay for complex formation among S₁, S₃, GTP, and aminoacyl-tRNA." When indicated, 3.4 μ g of S₁ and 2.7 μ g of S₃ were used.

which has ribosome-dependent GTPase activity, seems to be indicated by the following observation: In chromatography on DEAE-Sephadex, S_2 activity for polyphenylalanine formation coincided with a ribosome-dependent GTPase activity.

That both S_1 and S_3 can be components of a complex, also including GTP and phe-tRNA, was established by gel filtration on Sephadex G-100. The evidence for this conclusion is demonstrated in Figures 2 and 3. The elution volumes of S_1 and S_3 are at 4.5 and 5.0 ml, respectively (Fig. 3); in the absence of S_1 and S_3 , the elution volume of GTP is at ¹¹ ml (not shown), that of phe-tRNA at 7.0 ml (e.g., Fig. 2B). If S_1 , S_3 , GTP, and phe-tRNA are incubated together before the gel filtration, each of these four components seems to be eluted with approxi-

FIG. 3.-Determination of the elution 40 δ volumes of S₁, S₃, GTP, and phe-tRNA-
 δ components of a complex-in the gel filtrate components of a complex-in the gel filtrate.
The conditions of the incubation and gel T_{tot} T_{tot} T_{tot} as the conditions of the incubation and gel T_{tot} $T_{\text{tot$ a o $\frac{1}{2}$ o $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ ^L I- in this experiment. SI activity (A-A) 20 $\frac{2}{9}$ $\frac{4}{9}$ $\frac{30}{2}$ and S₃ activity ($\Delta - \Delta$) (expressed in $\mu\mu$ moles $\begin{array}{c} \text{or} \\ \text{100,200} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{or} \\ \text{100,200} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{or} \\ \text{100,200} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{or} \\ \text{100,200} \end{array}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ for polyphenylalanine
 $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ ing an excess of the appropriate comple- $\frac{0}{0}$ 25 50 75 100
 $\frac{0}{0}$ 25 50 75 100

elution volume (mi)

elution volume (mi)

position of unbound phe-tRNA.

mately the same elution volume, suggesting the formation of a complex (see Fig. 3).²¹ That the amount of phe-tRNA bound in the complex depends on that of S_1 and S_3 can be seen by comparing Figures 3 and $2D$: there are three times more S_1 and S_3 in the experiment shown in Figure 3 than in that shown in Figure 2D; whereas most of the phe- tRNA is bound as shown in Figure 3, less than half of it is bound as illustrated in Figure $2D$. That S_3 alone binds much less GTP and (even in the presence of GTP) very much less phe-tRNA than S_1 and S_3 together-under otherwise identical conditions-can be noticed by comparing Figures 2A and B with D. The amount of S_1 used in the experiment shown in Figure 2E is in sixfold excess compared to that of S_3 (see other parts of Fig. 2) in terms of activity in phenylalanine polymerization. In view of this, it can be concluded (from Fig. $2E$) that an equivalent amount of $S₁$ binds much less GTP and phe-tRNA (if any) than S_3 . Furthermore, since the S_1 used might be slightly contaminated with S_3 , it is also possible that the binding of the small amount of GTP is ^a consequence of this contamination. It can also be seen that the amount of GTP bound in the complex is much larger in the presence of phetRNA than in its absence (compare Fig. $2C$ with D). All these observations then are consistent with the view that S_1 , S_3 , GTP, and phe-tRNA can all be parts of the same complex.

Binding of phe-tRNA in the complex strictly depends on GTP (unpublished data). GDP or ATP (equimolar with GTP) do not substitute for GTP in promoting the binding of phe-tRNA. Whereas ATP itself is not bound in the complex, GDP is (see also refs. 8, 9). As in the case of GTP, the amount of GDP bound in a complex is several times higher in the presence of both S_1 and S_3 than in that of S_3 alone or S_1 alone. Whereas the amount of GTP bound in the complex is increased by phe-tRNA, that of GDP is not (unpublished data).

The curves in Figure 4 indicate that aminoacyl-tRNA is bound in the complex, whereas uncharged tRNA is not (cf. with similar conclusions of Gordon¹⁰). Thus, C'4-tRNA (previously discharged) is eluted from Sephadex G-100 in two fractions, none of which is bound in the complex (Fig. 4A). The lack of interaction between S_1 , S_3 , and tRNA is also shown by the fact that the elution profile of tRNA remains essentially unchanged when the amount of S_1 and S_3 used is increased threefold, and consequently the amount of GTP in the complex also increases about threefold (compare I and II in Fig. $4A$). For the experiments shown in Figures 4B and C, the C¹⁴-tRNA was charged with a mixture of H³amino acids. Part of this aminoacyl-tRNA did bind in the complex (Fig. 4B). That the peak of H³-aminoacyl residues, which coincides with the position of the complex, is not an artifact of charging is shown in Figure $4C$. The lack of a peak in the $C¹⁴$ curve (reflecting tRNA shown in Fig. 4B) coinciding with the peak in the H3 curve (reflecting aminoacyl residues) might be explained by the low efficiency (less than 20%) of charging the tRNA with amino acids. That tRNA does not interact with the complex is further documented by experimental results indicating that adding a threefold excess of tRNA (over aminoacyl-tRNA) to the reaction mixture has no effect upon the amount of aminoacyl-tRNA bound in the complex.

The curves in Figures 5A and B demonstrate that the effects of S_1 and S_3 in

FIG. 4.-Gel filtration patterns indicating that tRNA (uncharged) is not bound to the S_1 , S_3 , GTP complex, whereas aminoacyl-tRNA is.

 (A) Gel filtration of S₁, S₃, GTP, and C¹⁴-tRNA; lack of binding of C¹⁴-tRNA to the Si, S3, GTP complex. The components of the reaction mixture include the following: (I): 1 mumole of H³-GTP, 0.088 A₂₄₀ units of C¹⁴-tRNA (9,140 cpm), 3.36 μ g S₁, 5.4 μ g S₃. The points on the curves indicate $\mu\mu$ moles of GTP (0-0) and cpm of tRNA (\Box) per fraction. (II): The composition of the reaction mixture is the same as in (I) , with the exception that the amounts of $S₁$ and $S₃$ are increased threefold. The points of the curve indicate μ moles of GTP (θ — θ) and cpm of tRNA (θ — θ) per fraction.

(B) Gel filtration of S₁, S₃, GTP, and H³-aminoacyl-C¹⁴-tRNA; binding of H³-aminoacyl-C¹⁴-tRNA to the S₁, S₃, GTP complex. The components of the reaction mixture include the following: 1 m μ mole of GTP (unlabeled), 3.36 μ g S₁, 5.4 μ g S₃, 0.065 A260 units of H3-aminoacyl-C'4-tRNA (H3, 5,900 cpm; ^C'4, 6,350 cpm). The points on the curves in (B) and (C) indicate cpm in aminoacyl residues $(\bullet - \bullet)$ and in tRNA $(\Box \Box \Box)$ (to which the aminoacyl residues are esterified) per fraction. Arrows in (B) and (C) indicate the position of GTP bound in the S_1 , S_3 , GTP complex.

 (C) Gel filtration of H³-aminoacyl-C¹⁴-tRNA. The amount of H³-aminoacyl-C¹⁴-

 $tRNA$ used is the same as in (B) . For conditions, see the legend to Figure 2.

increasing the rate and the amount of phe-tRNA bound to the ribosome in the presence of poly U and GTP are much more than additive. This suggests that both factors might function in this step. These results are consistent with the view that the S_1 , S_3 , GTP, phe-tRNA complex might be an intermediate in the binding of phe-tRNA to ribosomes. It is of some interest that in the S_1 , S_3 catalyzed binding of phe-tRNA to the ribosome, GDP does not substitute for GTP; furthermore, in the presence of GTP, GDP inhibits the binding. The majority of the product bound to ribosomes was identified as diphenylalanyltRNA and phe-tRNA; no TCA-insoluble product was found.

Discussion.-The availability of three stable, partially purified amino acid polymerization factors from Bacillus stearothermophilus has enabled us to start studies on their individual functions. Using the technique of gel filtration on Sephadex G-100, we separated a complex consisting of factors S_1 , S_3 , GTP, and phe-tRNA from unbound GTP and phe-tRNA. We believe that the binding of GTP in the complex precedes that of phe-tRNA for the following reasons: Though phe-tRNA increases the amount of GTP bound in ^a complex, nevertheless GTP is bound in a complex (with S_1 and S_3) in the absence of phe-tRNA.

FIG. 5.—Effect of S_1 and S_3 on the kinetics of phe-tRNA binding to ribosomes. Conditions are described in Methods.

(A) Kinetics of binding of phe-tRNA in reaction mixtures not containing S_1 and S_3 (no additions) and in reaction mixtures supplemented with either 1.34 μ g S₁ (S₁), or 0.5 μ g S₃ (S₃), or 1.34 μ g S₁ and 0.5 μ g S₃ (S_1, S_3) .

 (B) The values on the two curves were calculated from values in (A) in the following way: Net $S_1 +$ Net $S_3 - \mu\mu$ moles of phe-tRNA bound in the absence of factors (no additions) were subtracted from those bound in the presence of S_1 (S_1) , as well as from those bound in the presence of S_3 (S_3) . The resulting two numbers were added. Net S_1 , $S_3 - \mu\mu$ moles of phe-tRNA bound in the absence of factors (no additions) were subtracted from those bound in the presence of both factors (S_1, S_2) .

However, the binding of phe-tRNA in the complex is strictly dependent upon GTP (see also ref. 10). It is of some interest that, although binding of GTP occurs at 0° C, binding of phe-tRNA requires incubation at 30° C. The ratio of GTP to phe-tRNA in the complex under our conditions was about 6:1. However, this might be a consequence of not using a saturating concentration of phe $tRNA.²²$ The individual roles of S_1 and S_3 in the complex remain to be determined. S₃ alone binds some GTP and phe- $tRNA$; it is possible that S_1 alone does not. Preliminary results suggest that GTP is not cleaved in the complex. S_2 alone does not seem to bind either GTP or phe-tRNA in our experiments. The possible contamination of our factors with initiation factors remains to be tested (for a review see ref. 23).

Summary.-Three stable, complementary amino acid polymerization factors $(S_1, S_2, \text{ and } S_3)$ have been isolated from *Bacillus stearothermophilus*, a thermophilic organism. S₁ and S₂ were purified 20-fold and S₃ 100-fold. S₁ and S₃ form ^a complex with GTP and phe-tRNA. The complex was separated from unbound GTP and phe-tRNA by gel filtration. Binding of phe-tRNA in the complex depends on GTP. Uncharged tRNA is not bound. Both S_1 and S_3 seem to be involved in promoting the GTP-dependent binding of phe-tRNA to the messengerribosome complex.

Note added in proof: After this paper had been submitted for publication, Ertel et al. (these PROCEEDINGS, 59, 861 (1968)) reported finding that two protein fractions from E. coli are involved in binding GTP. The same fractions also stimulate the binding of phenylalanyl-tRNA to ribosomes in the presence of GTP and poly-U.

We thank Dr. D. Söll (from this department) for 16-fold-purified phenylalanine-specific tRNA from E. coli K12, and Dr. E. Adelberg (Yale University School of Medicine) for E. coli K12 (AB 1861), a uracil-requiring strain.

Abbreviations: ATP, adenosine-5'-triphosphate; GDP, guanosine-5'-diphosphate; GTP, guanosine-5'-triphosphate; poly U, polyuridylic acid; DTT, dithiothreitol; TCA, trichloroacetic acid; tRNA, transfer RNA; DEAE, O-(diethylaminoethyl); tris-HCl, tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane-HCl.

* This study was supported by grant no. GM ¹³⁷⁰⁷ from the U.S. Public Health Service. ^t Present address: Department of Biochemistry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

¹ Attardi, G., Ann. Rev. Microbiol., 21, 383 (1967).

² Allende, J. E., R. Monro, and F. Lipmann, these PROCEEDINGS, 51, 1211 (1964).

³ Arlinghaus, R., J. Schaeffer, and R. Schweet, these PROCEEDINGS, 51, 1291 (1964).

4Gasior, E., and K. Moldave, J. Biol. Chem., 240, 3346 (1965).

⁵ Richter, D., and F. Klink, *Biochemistry*, 6, 3569 (1967).

⁶ Nishizuka, Y., and F. Lipmann, these PROCEEDINGS, 55, 212 (1966).

⁷ Lucas-Lenard, J., and F. Lipmann, these PROCEEDINGS, 55, 1562 (1966).

⁸ Allende, J. E., N. W. Seeds, T. W. Conway, and H. Weissbach, these PROCEEDINGS, 58, 1566 (1967).

⁹ Gordon, J., these PROCEEDINGS, 58, 1574 (1967).

¹⁰ Ibid., 59, 179 (1968).

¹¹ Ravel, J. M., R. L. Shorey, and W. Shive, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 29, 68 (1967).

¹² Rao, P., and K. Moldave, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 28, 909 (1967).

¹³ Ravel, J. M., these PROCEEDINGS, 57, 1810 (1967).

¹⁴ Lucas-Lenard, J., and A. Haenni, these PROCEEDINGS, 59, 554 (1968).

¹⁵ Ibuki, F., and K. Moldave, J. Biol. Chem., 243, 791 (1968).

¹⁶ Algranati, I. D., and P. Lengyel, J. Biol. Chem., 241, 1778 (1966).

¹⁷ Skoultchi, A., H. M. Moon, Y. Ono, and P. Lengyel, Federation Proc., 27, 778 (1968). ¹⁸ Lowry, 0. H., N. J. Rosebrough, A. L. Farr, and R. J. Randall. J. Biol. Chem., 193, 265 (1951).

¹⁹ Bray, G. A., Anal. Biochem., 1, 279 (1960).

²⁰ Nirenberg, M., and P. Leder, Science, 145, 1399 (1964).

²¹ The slight difference among the elution volumes of bound GTP, bound phe-tRNA, and S_3 might be due to experimental error. The elution volume of S₁, however, seems to be smaller than those of the other three components of the same complex. The cause of this is not clear. Since S_1 was in a threefold excess above S_3 in this experiment, it is possible that S_1 alone forms aggregates. As a matter of fact, the elution volume of $S₁$ alone was found to be the same as that of $S₁$ in this figure.

22The amount of phe-tRNA we could use was limited; the resolution was not sufficient to permit the determination of a small amount of bound phe-tRNA in the presence of a large excess of free phe-tRNA.

²³ Lengyel, P., in *Molecular Genetics*, ed. J. H. Taylor (New York: Academic Press, 1967), part 2, p. 193.