# Incidence and spread of *Haemophilus influenzae* on an Antarctic base determined using the polymerase chain reaction

# R. P. HOBSON, A. WILLIAMS, K. RAWAL, T. H. PENNINGTON AND K. J. FORBES

Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Aberdeen, Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB9 2ZD

(Accepted 7 September 1994)

#### SUMMARY

A PCR-based method of detecting Haemophilus influenzae in cultures inoculated from throat swabs was evaluated using samples from groups of laboratory staff and medical students and then applied to samples originating from the closed human community of an Antarctic research station. Suitable PCR primers to an H. influenzae gene (ompP2) were used to amplify the gene from DNA preparations made from mixed growth on chocolate agar with added vancomycin. PCR product was reamplified and subjected to restriction endonuclease digestion to allow temporal and spatial mapping of strains over an 8-month period. Eleven different strains of H. influenzae were detected. One particular strain was detected in a third of the base members.

## INTRODUCTION

The closed communities of the British Antarctic Survey's four permanently manned research stations provide excellent opportunities for studies into the population dynamics of human commensal bacteria. These small human populations are subject to periods of isolation from outside contact for up to 8 months at a time. These factors have previously been utilized in the study of carriage and transmission of human commensals such as *Escherichia coli* [1], staphylococcus [2] and enterococcus [3]. The most recent of such studies was that of Kerr and colleagues (4), who investigated the carriage and transmission of *Haemophilus parainfluenzae* in the inhabitants of Signy base in the South Orkney Islands. In this paper we report the results of a study which used the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and DNA restriction enzyme analysis to investigate the carriage and spread of *Haemophilus influenzae* in contemporaneous samples from the subjects of Kerr and colleagues [4].

Haemophilus influenzae is a pathogen and a commensal of human hosts. Capsule type b H. influenzae (Hib) is a major cause of systemic infections including meningitis and epiglottitis [5]. Non-capsulate H. influenzae and other capsulate strains commonly cause non-invasive infections of the upper and lower respiratory tract, sinuses and middle ear, and occasionally cause invasive disease in susceptible groups [5–7]. H. influenzae is traditionally detected by cultural techniques. Isolation from the throats of healthy adults, however, may be difficult as it represents a very small component of the total haemophilus flora.

# R. P. HOBSON AND OTHERS

Only  $2\cdot3\%$  (2/88) of the haemophilus isolates of Kerr and colleagues (4) were *H.* influenzae, the majority (82%) being *H. parainfluenzae*. Using a similar subculture based method to investigate the carriage and spread of *H. influenzae* within the same population would have presented considerable difficulties due to the large number of cultures required. Instead, we developed a technique which used DNA amplification in the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to detect small numbers of *H. influenzae*, and enzyme restriction to further characterize the strains encountered.

Alleles of the gene coding for the major outer membrane porin protein P2 of *Haemophilus influenzae* (ompP2) have been sequenced [8–11] and found to be 970–1155nt in length and to consist of interspersed conserved and hypervariable regions. Forbes and colleagues [9] showed that ompP2 could be amplified by PCR from both capsulate and non-capsulate *H. influenzae* by using 21-mer oligonucleotide primers derived from conserved regions, and it was on this observation that our detection method was based.

PCR has been shown to be useful in the detection of micro-organisms in many other situations where extraction, culture and identification are difficult or time consuming, examples including *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [12, 13], *Chlamydia trachomatis* [14], *Pneumocystis carinii* [15], *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* [16], *Helicobacter pylori* [17], *Salmonella typhi* [18] and *Toxoplasma gondii* [19]. Although it has previously been used to detect *H. influenzae* in infected cerebrospinal fluid [20] in which it would usually be the only organism present, its use in the detection of this species from a mixed bacterial culture represents a novel approach which may in future prove helpful in both clinical and epidemiological settings.

#### METHODS

#### **Bacterial** strains

Haemophilus parainfluenzae NCTC 7857, NCTC 10665 and NCTC 11607, H. paraphrophilus parahaemolyticus NCTC 8479, H. NCTC 10557. Η. paraphrohaemolyticus NCTC 10670, H. segnis NCTC 10977, H. haemolyticus NCTC 10659 and H. aphrophilus NCTC 5906 were obtained from the National Collection of Type Cultures, London. Hib and non-capsulate H. influenzae were obtained from Dr K. Forbes, Aberdeen. Six different strains of H. parainfluenzae were obtained from Dr G. Kerr, Aberdeen. Neisseria meningitidis was obtained from Dr F. Abbadi, Aberdeen. One of each of the following clinical isolates was obtained from the Medical Microbiology diagnostic laboratory, Aberdeen Royal Hospitals Trust, Scotland: Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, alpha-haemolytic streptococcus, coagulase-negative staphylococcus and unspeciated corynebacterium. All were grown for 24 h on chocolate agar in air + 5%CO2 at 37 °C. Chromosomal DNA was extracted by the technique of Forbes and colleagues [21] from all the above haemophilus strains and previously extracted DNA was obtained from a further 28 strains of Haemophilus parainfluenzae.

#### Subjects

Three human populations were studied. In the UK 2 groups comprising 6 microbiologists and 49 medical students served to establish the methodologies. The Antarctic subjects were 15 overwintering male base-members (identified

# Haemophilus influenzae in Antarctica

below as subjects A-O) whose average age was 26. For eight of these (B, C, D, F, H, I, J and N) this was their second consecutive winter together. With the exception of subject O the remainder arrived in November, 3 months prior to the start of bacteriological sampling. Subject O arrived 4 months later, in mid March. Only subjects E, J, L and N were smokers.

#### Bacteriological samples

UK throat swab samples were obtained by rubbing a sterile cotton wool swab over one or other tonsillar fossa, inoculating it on chocolate agar supplemented with  $5 \mu g/ml$  vancomycin hydrochloride (Sigma) and culturing for 24 h in air + 5% CO, at 37 °C. Each of the samples from the microbiologists was plated out onto two agar plates, one of which was 'spiked' by the addition to its inoculum of a loopful of Hib bacteria from a pure culture before spreading and incubation. Antarctic samples were the duplicate freeze-dried samples of Kerr and colleagues [4], which had been obtained from the left tonsillar fossae of the Antarctic subjects during the first week of each month between February and September 1990 and cultured on non-selective media prior to freeze drying. Freeze-dried material was rehydrated with 200  $\mu$ l sterile distilled water and inoculated on vancomycin supplemented chocolate agar plates for 24 h culture. Out of 104 freeze-dried samples received 28 (27%) failed to produce any growth. The samples which failed to grow were from the following subjects: I/February; A, B, D, E, F, G, I, K, L, N and O/June; all subjects/August; and M/September. The sporadic nature of these failures would suggest some aberration in the freeze-drying process during June and August. All June and August samples have been excluded from interpretation as they provided no useful data.

#### Oligonucleotides

The ompP2 primers and probe were those described by Forbes and colleagues [9]: Primers O<sub>1</sub> (5'-ATA ACA ACG AAG GGA CTA ACG) at the 5' end of the gene and O<sub>3</sub> (5'-ACC TAC ACC CAC TGA TTT TTC) at the 3' end. Hybridization probes were made by PCR amplification according to the protocol below incorporating digoxygenin-labelled dUTP (DIG-labelling kit, Boehringer Mannheim) using Hib DNA with ompP2 primers O<sub>1</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> or the 16S rDNA primers pA and pH [22].

## Polymerase chain reaction

Half a plate of bacterial growth was harvested into 1 ml sterile distilled water and washed twice by vortexing, centrifugation at 14000 g and removal of the supernatant. Cells were resuspended in 1 ml sterile distilled water and lysed by heating at 100 °C for 5 min. These suspensions were diluted to 1/500 (pure culture samples) or 1/25 (throat swab samples) in sterile water to make working DNA suspensions. Amplification reactions took place under sterile mineral oil in a total volume of 20  $\mu$ l containing 2  $\mu$ l of target DNA suspension, 0.5 units Taq DNA polymerase and PCR reagents at the following final concentrations: 250 nm oligonucleotide primers (each), 200  $\mu$ m-dNTPs (each), 1.5 mm-MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 75 mm-Tris-HCl pH 9.0, 20 mm-(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 0.01 % Tween 20. Amplification conditions were: 94 °C (4 min), then 30 cycles of 94 °C (1 min), 55 °C (1 min), 72 °C (2 min), then 72 °C (8 min). To check for contamination at least one negative control reaction mix containing all the reaction components except template DNA was included in

## R. P. HOBSON AND OTHERS

each PCR batch. If any product was amplified from this blank the whole batch was discarded. The sensitivity of detection was determined by preparing serial dilutions from  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-16}$  of pure culture sample, determining the viable count and using these dilutions as target DNA in PCR reactions. PCR product was loaded onto 1% agarose gels alongside a DNA size standard (1 kb ladder, Gibco-BRL) and electrophoresed for 1–2 h at 5 V cm<sup>-1</sup> in a Tris-borate, EDTA buffer system [23]. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide (0.05  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>) for 1 h, after which amplified *omp*P2 DNA was visible with UVB transillumination as bands of approximate length 1 kb.

## Further amplification of PCR products

OmpP2 detected on agarose gels was reamplified using a 'band-stab' technique [24], in which small amounts of PCR product were transferred from gels into fresh reaction mixes on sterile syringe needles and used as template DNA for further PCR.

#### Southern hybridisation

The restriction endonuclease *Eco*RI was used to digest 2–12  $\mu$ g samples of haemophilus DNA. Restriction fragments were separated on 0.8% agarose gels as above and Southern-blotted according to the method of Sambrook and colleagues [23]; 0.2–9  $\mu$ g genomic DNA (from known organisms), or 3–4  $\mu$ l PCR product was dot-blotted onto nylon membranes (Hybond-N), air-dried and bound to the membrane by u.v. cross-linking (Spectrolinker XL 1000 UV Crosslinker). All membranes were subjected to two 5 min washes in 2×SSC, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate (room temperature) and two 15 min washes in 0.1×SSC, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate (68 °C). Detection was by the method recommended in the DIG labelling kit using a Lumigen-PPD chemiluminescent EIA (Boehringer Mannheim). Probe was stripped from membranes by washing twice for 15 min in 0.2 M-NaOH, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate at 45 °C.

#### Restriction endonuclease digestion

The restriction endonucleases AluI and DraI were used to digest 1-2  $\mu$ l samples of each reamplified PCR product. Restriction fragments were electrophoresed on 1.5% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized by UVB transillumination. Fingerprints from each sample were recorded digitally and approximate DNA fragment lengths determined using CAM software (Cybertech, Berlin) to enable preliminary comparison of alleles. *Alu*I and *Dra*I restriction fragments from PCR products which produced similar patterns were confirmed as indistinguishable or not by electrophoresing them in adjacent lanes.

#### RESULTS

## Specificity of ompP2 to H. influenzae

The specificity of the PCR primers was exhaustively tested. No visible PCR products were detected using  $O_1$  and  $O_3$  primers when amplifying genomic DNA from type strains of *H. paraphrophilus*, *H. paraphrohaemolyticus*, *H. haemolyticus*, the type strains and six other strains of *H. parainfluenzae*, Neisseria meningitidis, Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, alpha-hae-

# Haemophilus influenzae in Antarctica

	PCR*	Southern hybridization
H. influenzae	+	+
H. parainfluenzae	-(6st)	-(34st)
H. parainfluenzae 7857		
H. parainfluenzae 10665	_	_
H. parainfluenzae 11607	_	_
H. paraphrophilus	_	-
H. paraphrohaemolyticus		
H. haemolyticus	_	_
H. aphrophilus	n/t	_
H. parahaemolyticus	n/t	_
H. segnis	n/t	
Neisseria meningitidis	<u> </u>	n/t
Escherichia coli	_	n/t
Corynebacterium sp.	_	n/t
Staphylococcus aureus	_	n/t
Staphylococcus (coagulase negative)		n/t
Streptococcus pneumoniae		n/t
Streptococcus (alpha-haemolytic)	_	n/t
, ,		-7 -

# Table 1. Organisms tested for the presence of ompP2

\* +, tested positive by this method; -, tested negative by this method; n/t, not tested by this method; st, strains.



Fig. 1. Restriction digests of PCR products from April samples. Lanes 1-9 AluI digests; lane 10 size standard; lanes 11-19 DraI digests.



Fig. 2. Restriction digests from subject H (strain i5). Lanes 1-4 AluI digests; lane 5 size standard; lanes 6-9 DraI digests. a, February; b, March; c, April; d, July.

molytic streptococcus, coagulase-negative staphylococcus and unspeciated corynebacteria. In addition, the ompP2 probe did not hybridize with genomic DNA from the type strains of *H. parahaemolyticus*, *H. paraphrophilus*, *H. paraphrohaemolyticus*, *H. segnis*, *H. haemolyticus*, *H. aphrophilus* or the 3 type strains and 11 other strains of *H. parainfluenzae* after Southern hybridization of electrophoresed *Bam*HI fragments or with dot blotted genomic DNA from all these and a further 23 *H. parainfluenzae* strains. The probe hybridized with the positive control (Hib or non-capsulate *H. influenzae* genomic DNA) on each membrane. All dot blotted samples subsequently hybridized with the 16S rDNA probe, confirming the presence of detectable quantities of membrane-bound genomic DNA. These results are summarized in Table 1. These findings concurred with the reports of Hansen and colleagues [10] that the amino acid sequence of Hib-ompP2 shares only 23-25% homology with the ompF porin of *Escherichia coli*, and of Munson and colleagues [11], who found less than 20% homology between the protein sequence of ompP2 and other porin genes.

#### Detection and differentiation of ompP2 in PCR products from throat swab samples

The concentration of *H. influenzae* required to produce a visible ompP2 PCR product was calculated as 200 bacteria per 20  $\mu$ l reaction mix. OmpP2 was amplified from two out of the six unspiked microbiologists' throat swab cultures and all of those spiked with *H. influenzae*. The two unspiked samples which

# Haemophilus influenzae in Antarctica

	Feb.	Mar.	April	May	July	Sept.
A	_	_			_	+
B*	i1	i1	i1		i1	i1
C*	i2	i2, i5	_		_	_
D*	i2	_		_		
E	i3	_	i3		i3	
F*	_	—	i4	-	_	-
G	i2		i2, i11	_	_	_
H*	i5	i5	i5		i5	
I*	i2	i2		_	_	
J*	_	_			+	i6
K		i2, i6	i2	i2	_	
L	_		_	+	_	-
М	i7	i7	i7		i7	
N*	i8, i9	i8, i9	i8	i8	i8, i9	i8, i9
0			i10	_	i10	_

Table 2. Haemophilus influenzae strains detected

\* Subject's second-consecutive winter on the base. +, ompP2 allele amplified but not identifiable. ompP2 allele not amplified.

Subjects are designated A–O. Alleles are numbered from i1 to i10 in the order in which they were first encountered. A blank space indicates a freeze-dried sample which either was missing or failed to produce any growth.

amplified ompP2 came from subjects who were engaged in research involving *H. influenzae. OmpP2* was amplified from 48% (23/49) of the medical student samples. One of these produced an additional 250 bp PCR product suggesting either the existence of a duplicated primer site within the gene, or an ompP2 allele with an internal portion deleted. Samples from neither of these two groups produced more than one visible product in the 1 kb region.

Of the Antarctic throat swab samples which were successfully recovered ompP2was amplified from 54% (39/72). After reamplification and enzyme restriction 11 different alleles were obtained from 36 of these. Seven of the ompP2 positive samples produced two separate alleles, and three either failed to reamplify after band stabbing or produced such a small amount of reamplified DNA that enzyme restriction was not possible. Examples of each of the 11 ompP2 alleles hybridized to the ompP2 probe. Figure 1 shows the restriction digests of all PCR products amplified from April samples, demonstrating the diversity typically encountered. Figure 2 shows the restriction digests of all PCR products amplified from subject H, demonstrating that the same allele was amplified on each occasion. The overall results are summarized in Table 2.

#### DISCUSSION

Preliminary results with H. influenzae spiked and unspiked throat swab samples from microbiologists and students showed that detection of H. influenzae by our PCR-based method was possible, and we subsequently demonstrated by PCR amplification of DNA from control organisms that the method was specific to this species.

No surveys of ompP2 diversity by restriction mapping or nucleotide sequencing [8, 9, 25] have found an identical ompP2 allele in different strains of *H. influenzae* 

so common restriction patterns obtained with our enzyme restriction protocol are therefore assumed to represent common strains.

Carriage of skin flora has previously been categorised as *resident* (permanent inhabitants) *temporarily resident* (contaminants which multiply and persist for a short period) or *transient* (contaminating organisms which are not multiplying) [26]. Such definitions cannot be used in our study as the PCR-based method does not distinguish between multiplying and contaminating organisms. In this discussion the term *resident* is used to describe those strains encountered throughout the sampling period: it is unlikely that organisms so persistently present are not actively multiplying.

New colonization resulting in the establishment of a resident strain does not seem to be a frequent or successful process. Detection of i5 in C/March would seem to have originated from subject H in whom this strain was a resident. Similarly strain i6 (detected in J/September) was previously detected in K/March. The appearance of this and the new strains i4 and i11 in subjects F and G/April presumably represents strains already present but not detected in these or other subjects, and is discussed below. Whilst it was never established as a resident, the pattern of colonization by strain i2 was somewhat more persistent. This strain was found in five subjects (C, D, G, I and K) and was present at the time of the first sampling in at least four of them. It was then lost by each subject over the next 3 months and was not seen after May. None of these subjects was shown to have a resident strain, all being PCR-negative by the end of the study. Of the subjects carrying this strain three (C, D and I) were in their second winter. The strain was thus either imported with the new arrivals and transmitted to strain-depleted second year personnel during the 3 months prior to the study or was present in one or more subjects throughout the previous winter and transmitted to two of the newcomers.

Estimates of throat carriage of H. influenzae in healthy populations are extremely variable. Turk [27] quotes an overall carriage rate of 60-80% in young children, but the rates quoted by Takala and colleagues [28] for 3-year olds ranged from 5 to 37% (average 19%). Masters and co-workers [29] found H. influenzae in the throats of 70% of children (0-4 years) and 42% of adults, and Kuklinska and Kilian [30] in 40% of adults. The 48% (UK) and 54% (Antarctic) carriage rates determined by our method over all subjects and all months are thus within the expected range for an adult group. These figures, however, mask an underlying pattern of carriage : Although carriage of strains by subjects B, E, G, H, K, M, N, and O was continuous over a period ranging from 3 months to the duration of the study, the detectability of strains in these subjects varied, as evidenced by PCRnegative samples in E/March, G/March and O/May. Similarly detection of strain i6 only in two widely separated samples (J/September and K/March) and the new appearance of strains i4 and i11 in subjects F and G/April suggests that these strains were present in the sample group but not always detected. It is probable that this reflects either the loss of H. influenzae during the process of culture, freeze drying and reculture, or a failure in the initial sampling due to sequestration of the organism in sites such as tonsillar crypts. It has been shown that colonization of sputum samples with *H. influenzae* shows irregular and extreme localization [31], and a similar phenomenon occurring in the throat may contribute to our findings.

It is therefore likely that carriage of H. influenzae by adults is much higher than our results or those of previous studies suggest.

In those subjects with resident strains ( $\breve{B}$ ,  $\breve{E}$ , H, M and N) the host has clearly not been able to eradicate *H. influenzae* successfully despite an ample period for a suitable immune response to develop. It is possible that this colonization could be symbiotic, conferring advantage to the bacterium in the provision of a favourable habitat, and to the host through the exclusion of such a niche to other, perhaps more pathogenic, strains of *H. influenzae*.

Subject N was colonized with two distinct, equally detectable resident strains. These showed up initially as ompP2 fragments of different lengths, and were confirmed as different by their restriction patterns after reamplification from the separate bands. Subsequent subculture and identification of 14 haemophilus like colonies from this subject (N/September) resulted in the detection of 13 H. parainfluenzae isolates and one H. influenzae, the ompP2 restriction pattern of which was recognizable as that of allele i8. A H. influenzae strain with an i9 ompP2 pattern was not found in this sample, presumably because it was proportionately much rarer than i8. Although the UK samples were not subjected to endonuclease restriction, none of them was seen to produce more than one 1 kb ompP2 band. Kuklinksa and Kilian [30] found pharyngeal carriage of more than one H. influenzae strain to be common in children but not to occur in adults. Our failure to detect more instances of multiple strain carriage reflects either the rarity of this phenomenon or a lack of sensitivity in the method. Given that other PCR based detection methods are able to amplify template DNA from as few as 1-10 organisms [12, 16-18], we are currently investigating measures to increase sensitivity such as the use of internal primers (nested PCR) and DNA extraction by chemical or enzymatic methods.

During the study we attempted to use uncultured throat swab material and unreconstituted freeze-dried cultures to provide target DNA for ompP2 detection, neither of which produced useful results. It is assumed that the quantity of H. *influenzae* taken onto a throat swab was inadequate for PCR amplification, and that the freeze-drying medium contained PCR inhibitors which we were unable to remove.

We also attempted to gain more precise information about both the H. influenzae strains encountered and the ompP2 alleles amplified. Firstly we synthesized oligonucleotide primers to H. influenzae outer membrane protein P1 gene (ompP1) conserved regions. Although these primers amplified differentiable ompP1 alleles from H. influenzae they were not species-specific, also amplifying DNA from H. parainfluenzae. Secondly we assessed the practicability of nucleotide sequencing with a small pilot study, in which three isolates of the i1 ompP2 allele were found to contain an identical 300 nt sequence at the 3' end.

The closed human community is an ideal environment in which to study the transfer of bacteria from one subject to another. The further refining of PCR-based detection techniques such as this along the lines discussed will prove to be of great value in future epidemiological investigations of a similar nature.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the British Antarctic Survey and benefited from the use of the SEQNET facility. We wish to thank Dr G. Kerr for collecting the bacteriological samples and the Department of Medical Illustration, Foresterhill, for their help in preparing the figures.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Tzabar Y, Pennington TH. The population structure and transmission of *Escherichia coli* in an isolated human community; studies on an Antarctic base. Epidemiol Infect 1991; **107**: 537–42.
- 2. Maggs AF, Pennington TH. Temporal study of staphylococcal species on the skin of human subjects in isolation and clonal analysis of *Staphylococcus capitis* by sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. J Clin Microbiol 1989; **27**: 2627-32.
- 3. Lacoux PA, Jordens JZ, Fenton CM, Guiney M, Pennington TH. Characterization of enterococcal isolates by restriction enzyme analysis of genomic DNA. Epidemiol Infect 1992; 109: 69-80.
- 4. Kerr GRD, Forbes KJ, Pennington TH. An analysis of the diversity of *Haemophilus* parainfluenzae in the adult human respiratory tract by genomic DNA fingerprinting. Epidemiol Infect 1993; 111: 89–98.
- 5. Shapiro E, Ward JI. The epidemiology and prevention of disease caused by *Haemophilus* influenzae type b. Epidemiol Rev 1991; **13**: 113-42.
- 6. Lester A, Pedersen PB. Serious systemic infection caused by non-encapsulated Haemophilus influenzae biotype III in an adult. Scand J Infect Dis 1991; 23: 111-3.
- 7. Forbes KJ, Morgan MG, Williams A, Gould IM. Molecular epidemiology of invasive *Haemophilus influenzae* in Scotland. Communicable Diseases and Environmental Health in Scotland Weekly Report 1994; **28 (19):** 4-9.
- Duim B, Dankert J, Jansen H, van Alphen L. Genetic analysis of the diversity in outer membrane protein P2 of non-encapsulated *Haemophilus influenzae*. Microbial Pathogenesis 1993; 14: 451-62.
- 9. Forbes KJ, Bruce KD, Ball A, Pennington TH. Variation in length and sequence of porin (ompP2) alleles of non-capsulate *Haemophilus influenzae*. Mol Microbiol 1992; **6**: 2107-12.
- Hansen EJ, Hasemann C, Clausell A, et al. Primary structure of the porin protein of Haemophilus influenzae type b determined by nucleotide sequence analysis. Infect Immun 1989; 57: 1100-7.
- 11. Munson R, Tolan RW. Molecular cloning, expression and primary sequence of outer membrane protein P2 of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b. Infect Immun 1989; **57**: 88-94.
- Kocagoz T, Yilmaz E, Ozkara S et al. Detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in sputum samples by polymerase chain reaction using a simplified procedure. J Clin Microbiol 1993; 31: 1435–8.
- Folguiera L, Delgado R, Palenque E, Noriega A. Detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA in clinical samples by using a simple lysis method and polymerase chain reaction. J Clin Microbiol 1993; 31: 1019–21.
- Roosendaal R, Walboomers JMM, Veltmann OR et al. Comparison of different primer sets for detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* by the polymerase chain reaction. J Med Microbiol 1993; 38: 426-33.
- 15. Tamburrini E, Mencarini P, De Luca A et al. Diagnosis of *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia: specificity and sensitivity of polymerase chain reaction in comparison with immuno-fluorescence in bronchoalveolar lavage specimens. J Med Microbiol 1993; **38**: 449–53.
- Luneberg E, Jensen JS, Frosch M. Detection of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* by polymerase chain reaction and nonradioactive hybridization in microtiter plates. J Clin Microbiol 1993; 31: 1088–94.
- Nguyen AH, Engstrand L, Genta RM, Graham DY, El-Zaatari FAK. Detection of *Helicobacter pylori* in dental plaque by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction. J Clin Microbiol 1993; 31: 783-7.
- 18. Song J, Cho H, Park MY et al. Detection of *Salmonella typhi* in the blood of patients with typhoid fever by polymerase chain reaction. J Clin Microbiol 1993; **31**: 1439–43.

- 19. Johnson JD, Butcher PD, Savva D, Holliman RE. Application of the polymerase chain reaction to the diagnosis of human toxoplasmosis. J Infect 1993; 26: 147-58.
- Van Ketel RJ, De Wever B, Van Alphen L. Detection of *Haemophilus influenzae* in cerebrospinal fluids by polymerase chain reaction DNA amplification. J Med Microbiol 1990; **33**: 271-6.
- 21. Forbes KJ, Bruce KD, Jordens JZ, Ball A, Pennington TH. Rapid methods in bacterial DNA fingerprinting. J Gen Microbiol 1991; 137: 2051-8.
- 22. Edwards U, Rogall T, Blocker H, Emde M, Bottger E. Isolation and direct complete nucleotide determination of entire genes. Characterisation of a gene coding for 16S ribosomal RNA. Nucleic Acid Res 1989; 17: 7843-53.
- 23. Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. Molecular cloning; a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor: Cold Spring Habor laboratory, 1989.
- 24. Bjourson AJ, Cooper JE. Band-stab PCR: a simple technique for the purification of individual PCR products. Nucleic Acid Res 1992; 20: 4675.
- 25. Sikkema DJ, Murphy TF. Molecular analysis of the P2 porin protein of nontypable Haemophilus influenzae. Infect Immun 1992; 60: 5204-11.
- 26. Noble WC, Somerville DA. Major problems in dermatology. In: Microbiology of human skin, vol 2. Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders, 1974; 50-3.
- 27. Turk DC, May JR. Haemophilus influenzae, its clinical importance. 1st edn. English Universities Press Ltd, 1967: 18.
- 28. Takala AK, Eskola J, Leinonen M et al. Reduction of oropharyngeal carriage of *Haemophilus influenzae* type B (Hib) in children immunized with an Hib conjugate vaccine. J Infect Dis 1991; **164**: 982-6.
- 29. Masters PL, Brumfitt W, Mendez RL. Bacterial flora of the upper respiratory tract in Paddington families, 1952-4. B M J 1958; 1200-5.
- 30. Kuklinska D, Kilian M. Relative proportions of *Haemophilus* species in the throat of healthy children and adults. Eur J Clin Microbiol 1984; **3**: 249–52.
- 31. Turk DC, May JR. *Haemophilus influenzae*, its clinical importance. 1st edn. English Universities Press, 1967: 41-2.