

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

Table S1. Pairwise comparison of *PRO_{INO}:INO/YAB3* with *PRO_{INO}:III*

Genotype ^a	<i>PRO_{INO}</i> : ^b Transgene	P-value ^c	Adjusted Alpha Value ^d	Significantly Different
<i>INO sup-5</i>		4.40x10 ⁻¹⁸	8.33x10 ⁻⁰⁴	Yes
<i>ino-1 SUP</i>		9.19x10 ⁻¹³	9.09x10 ⁻⁰⁴	Yes
	333	1.91x10 ⁻¹¹	1.00x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
	333i	1.67x10 ⁻⁰⁹	1.11x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
	33I	4.44x10 ⁻⁰⁹	1.25x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
	I33	9.59x10 ⁻⁰⁸	1.43x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
	3I3	1.72x10 ⁻⁰⁶	1.67x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
	I3I	2.51x10 ⁻⁰⁴	2.00x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
Wild type		2.69x10 ⁻⁰³	2.50x10 ⁻⁰³	No
	3II	5.09x10 ⁻⁰²	3.33x10 ⁻⁰³	No
	II3	5.20x10 ⁻⁰²	5.00x10 ⁻⁰³	No
	III3	3.67x10 ⁻⁰¹	1.00x10 ⁻⁰²	No

^aOvules were examined in wild-type, *ino-1*, or *sup-5* mutant plants. ^bTransgenes were constructed as transcriptional fusions of the listed coding sequence with *PRO_{INO}* and examined in an *ino-1* mutant background. ^cThe phenotypic class distribution of each set was calculated using Fisher's Exact Test to determine the p-value. ^dAlpha values were adjusted by applying the modified Bonferroni adjustment to the alpha value ($\alpha = 0.01$).

Table S2. Pairwise comparison of *PRO_{INO}:INO/YAB3* with *PRO_{INO}:333*

Genotype ^a	<i>PRO_{INO}</i> : ^b Transgene	P-value ^c	Adjusted Alpha Value ^d	Significantly Different
Wild type		7.10x10 ⁻¹³	8.33x10 ⁻⁰⁴	Yes
<i>ino-1 SUP</i>		7.10x10 ⁻¹³	8.33x10 ⁻⁰⁴	Yes
<i>INO sup-5</i>		2.24x10 ⁻¹²	1.00x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
	III	1.91x10 ⁻¹¹	1.11x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
	III3	6.14x10 ⁻¹⁰	1.25x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
	3II	2.01x10 ⁻⁰⁹	1.43x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
	3I3	2.54x10 ⁻⁰⁷	1.67x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
	II3	2.75x10 ⁻⁰⁷	2.00x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
	I33	6.02x10 ⁻⁰⁴	2.50x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
	33I	3.00x10 ⁻⁰³	3.33x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes
	I3I	5.20x10 ⁻⁰³	5.00x10 ⁻⁰³	Yes ^e
	333i	5.89x10 ⁻⁰²	1.00x10 ⁻⁰²	No

^aOvules were examined in wild-type, *ino-1*, or *sup-5* mutant plants. ^bTransgenes were constructed as transcriptional fusions of the listed coding sequence with *PRO_{INO}* and examined in an *ino-1* mutant background. ^cThe phenotypic class distribution of each set was calculated using Fisher's Exact Test to determine the p-value. ^dAlpha values were adjusted by applying the modified Bonferroni adjustment to the alpha value ($\alpha = 0.01$). ^eFor *PRO_{INO}:I3I* versus *PRO_{INO}:333*, although the p-value > alpha value, the difference observed is considered significant due to the closeness of these values and the presence of ten wild-type individuals containing *PRO_{INO}:I3I* while *PRO_{INO}:333* individuals never appeared wild-type.

Table S3. Pairwise comparison of $PRO_{INO}:INO/YAB5$ with $PRO_{INO}:III$

Genotype ^a	PRO_{INO} : ^b Transgene	P-value ^c	Adjusted Alpha Value ^d	Significantly Different
<i>INO sup-5</i>		4.40×10^{-18}	1.00×10^{-03}	Yes
<i>ino-1 SUP</i>		9.19×10^{-13}	1.11×10^{-03}	Yes
	555	2.55×10^{-09}	1.25×10^{-03}	Yes
	515	2.05×10^{-08}	1.43×10^{-03}	Yes
	15I	6.66×10^{-07}	1.67×10^{-03}	Yes
	155	1.50×10^{-06}	2.00×10^{-03}	Yes
	5II	1.90×10^{-06}	2.50×10^{-03}	Yes
	55I	1.30×10^{-03}	3.33×10^{-03}	Yes
Wild type		2.69×10^{-03}	5.00×10^{-03}	Yes
	II5	1.59×10^{-02}	1.00×10^{-02}	No

^aOvules were examined in wild-type, *ino-1*, or *sup-5* mutant plants. ^bTransgenes were constructed as transcriptional fusions of the listed coding sequence with PRO_{INO} and examined in an *ino-1* mutant background. ^cThe phenotypic class distribution of each set was calculated using Fisher's Exact Test to determine the p-value. ^dAlpha values were adjusted by applying the modified Bonferroni adjustment to the alpha value ($\alpha = 0.01$).

Table S4. Pairwise comparison of $PRO_{INO}:INO/YAB5$ with $PRO_{INO}:555$

Genotype ^a	PRO_{INO} : ^b Transgene	P-value ^c	Adjusted Alpha Value ^d	Significantly Different
<i>Wild type</i>		1.44×10^{-13}	1.00×10^{-03}	Yes
<i>INO sup-5</i>		4.97×10^{-13}	1.11×10^{-03}	Yes
	III	2.55×10^{-09}	1.25×10^{-03}	Yes
	I5I	1.76×10^{-07}	1.43×10^{-03}	Yes
	5II	8.31×10^{-07}	1.67×10^{-03}	Yes
	II5	3.83×10^{-06}	2.00×10^{-03}	Yes
	5I5	8.19×10^{-06}	2.50×10^{-03}	Yes
	55I	6.47×10^{-05}	3.33×10^{-03}	Yes
	I55	1.74×10^{-04}	5.00×10^{-03}	Yes
<i>ino-1 SUP</i>		8.76×10^{-02}	1.00×10^{-02}	No

^aOvules were examined in wild-type, *ino-1*, or *sup-5* mutant plants. ^bTransgenes were constructed as transcriptional fusions of the listed coding sequence with PRO_{INO} and examined in an *ino-1* mutant background. ^cThe phenotypic class distribution of each set was calculated using Fisher's Exact Test to determine the p-value. ^dAlpha values were adjusted by applying the modified Bonferroni adjustment to the alpha value ($\alpha = 0.01$).

Table S5. Pairwise comparison of $PRO_{INO}:INO/CCC$ with $PRO_{INO}:III$

Genotype ^a	PRO_{INO} : ^b Transgene	P-value ^c	Adjusted Alpha Value ^d	Significantly Different
<i>INO sup-5</i>		4.40×10^{-18}	1.67×10^{-03}	Yes
	CCC	4.30×10^{-14}	2.00×10^{-03}	Yes
<i>ino-1 SUP</i>		9.19×10^{-13}	2.50×10^{-03}	Yes
	CCCi	1.36×10^{-08}	3.33×10^{-03}	Yes
	IIIc	1.94×10^{-05}	5.00×10^{-03}	Yes
<i>Wild type</i>		2.69×10^{-03}	1.00×10^{-02}	Yes

^aOvules were examined in wild-type, *ino-1*, or *sup-5* mutant plants. ^bTransgenes were constructed as transcriptional fusions of the listed coding sequence with PRO_{INO} and examined in an *ino-1* mutant background. ^cThe phenotypic class distribution of each set was calculated using Fisher's Exact Test to determine the p-value. ^dAlpha values were adjusted by applying the modified Bonferroni adjustment to the alpha value ($\alpha = 0.01$).

Table S6. Pairwise comparison of $PRO_{INO}:INO/CCC$ with $PRO_{INO}:CCC$

Genotype ^a	PRO_{INO} : ^b Transgene	P-value ^c	Adjusted Alpha Value ^d	Significantly Different
<i>Wild type</i>		1.58×10^{-14}	1.67×10^{-03}	Yes
	III	4.30×10^{-14}	2.00×10^{-03}	Yes
<i>ino-1 SUP</i>		4.11×10^{-13}	2.50×10^{-03}	Yes
<i>INO sup-5</i>		8.95×10^{-08}	3.33×10^{-03}	Yes
	IIIc	1.87×10^{-04}	5.00×10^{-03}	Yes
	CCCi	4.61×10^{-04}	1.00×10^{-02}	Yes

^aOvules were examined in wild-type, *ino-1*, or *sup-5* mutant plants. ^bTransgenes were constructed as transcriptional fusions of the listed coding sequence with PRO_{INO} and examined in an *ino-1* mutant background. ^cThe phenotypic class distribution of each set was calculated using Fisher's Exact Test to determine the p-value. ^dAlpha values were adjusted by applying the modified Bonferroni adjustment to the alpha value ($\alpha = 0.01$).