Tryptophan Biosynthesis in Salmonella typhimurium: Location in trpB of a Genetic Difference Between Strains LT2 and LT7

C. STUTTARD

Department of Microbiology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 4H7

Received for publication 2 June 1975

Salmonella typhimurium prototrophs carrying a trpR mutation synthesize tryptophan biosynthetic enzymes constitutively. When feedback inhibition of anthranilate synthetase but not 5'-phosphoribosylpyrophosphate phosphoribosyltransferase activity was by-passed by growing cells on media supplemented with anthranilic acid, all trpR prototrophs overproduced and excreted tryptophan. However, the rate of tryptophan production depended on both the ancestry of the $trpR$ strain and the integrity of its $trpA$ gene. Prototrophs with trp genes derived from S. typhimurium strain LT2 produced tryptophan more efficiently than those with trp genes derived from strain LT7. This strain difference was cryptic insofar as it did not affect the growth rate; it was revealed only as a rate-limiting step in the constitutive biosynthesis of tryptophan in the presence of anthranilic acid, and was due to a lesion in the LT7-derived trpB gene. Strains with LT7-derived trp genes bearing a deletion in trpA produced tryptophan as readily as LT2 trpR prototrophs. This indicated that LT7-specific 5-phosphoribosylpyrophosphate phosphoribosyltransferase must be aggregated with the trpA gene product to give an observable reduction of constitutive tryptophan production. The discovery of this strain difference has particular implications for studies involving the activities of $trpA$ and B genes and their products in S. typhimurium and may have general significance for other studies involving different strains of Salmonella.

Since the advent of Salmonella genetics (34) the possibility of cryptic genetic differences between strains LT2 and LT7 of S. typhimurium (18) seems to have been minimized or largely ignored (1). In the literature the origins of particular mutants are often unspecified (3, 4, 11), and occasionally LT7 strains have been inadvertently designated as LT2 derivatives (29, 30, 32). In the course of experiments to map threonine (thr) mutations using a mutation in the tryptophan regulatory gene, $trpR$, as an outside donor marker, ^I found differences in the levels of tryptophan excreted by trpR prototrophs. These were determined in a feeding test with auxotrophic strain $trpE95$ (5). The degree of feeding depended on the recipient strain into which the $trpR$ allele had been transferred (30).

In Escherichia coli and presumably in Salmonella, the $trpR⁺$ product is a protein (aporepressor) which becomes an active repressor of the trp operon when combined with at least one type of corepressor molecule. The biosynthetic end product, tryptophan, and its analogue, 5-methyltryptophan (5MT), apparently act as corepressors (2, 21, 24, 26). Mutations in trpR allow constitutive trp enzyme synthesis and concomitant 5MT resistance. In addition to repression control of enzyme synthesis, tryptophan also controls its own biosynthetic pathway by feedback inhibition of the activities of the enzyme complex anthranilate synthetase (AS component I)-anthranilate 5-phosphoribosylpyrophosphate phosphoribosyltransferase (PRT) (13, 14, 23). The AS-CoI-PRT complex catalyzes the first two steps in the synthesis of tryptophan from chorismic acid and is specified by genes $trpA$ and $trpB$ in Salmonella (15) (Fig. 1). The amino-terminal one-third of the PRT polypeptide is specified by region I of trpB and functions as a glutamine amidotransferase (GAT or AS-CoII) in the AS reaction: chorismic

acid + glutamine $\frac{Mg^{i*}}{2}$ anthranilate + pyruvate + glutamate. It is not required for the PRT

reaction: anthranilate + PP-ribose-P $\frac{Mg^{2+}}{N}N$ -(5'-phosphoribosyl) anthranilate + inorganic pyrophosphate (12, 15). Results from several laboratories indicate that there is definitely a

FIG. 1. Tryptophan operon and biosynthetic pathway in S. typhimurium. Broken lines indicate reactions carried out by unaggregated products of trpA and trpB genes. Pl and P2 are primary and secondary trp promoter regions, 0 is trp operator. Abbreviations: InGPS, indoleglycerol phosphate synthetase; $TS\alpha$ and $TS\beta$, subunits of tryptophan synthetase; GLN, L-glutamine; PRPP, 5-phosphorylribose 1-pyrophosphate; PRA, N-(5'-phosphoribosyl) anthranilate; CdRP, 1-(o-carboxyphenylamino)-l-deoxyribulose 5-phosphate; InGP, indole-3-glycerolphosphate; ser, L-serine; trp, L-tryptophan.

tryptophan binding site on the trpA product, AS-Col, in both E. coli and S. typhimurium LT2 (3, 14, 33), and that activities of both AS-CoI and PRT are more sensitive to tryptophan (or 5MT) inhibition when aggregated (as in prototrophs) than when unaggregated. However, the existence of a tryptophan binding site on the bifunctional GAT-PRT polypeptide, and the susceptibility of unaggregated PRT to feedback inhibition seem less certain (3, 14, 33). Feedback (5MT)-resistant mutants have lesions in trpA but apparently not in trpB $(2, 23)$. The AS-CoI-PRT complex of trpR prototrophs should retain feedback sensitivity, although inhibition of AS-CoI but not PRT activity can be passed-by by growing cells in the presence of anthranilic acid. Under these conditions, as shown in the present paper, feedback inhibition of aggregated PRT activity in vivo appears sufficient to prevent excess production of tryptophan in $trpR$ prototrophs if the gene encoding PRT (trpB) is derived from an LT7 strain of S. typhimurium, but not when the $trpB$ gene is from an LT2 strain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Media. Descriptions of most media used have been given previously (29, 30). Final concentrations of supplements added to minimal agar (M agar) for growth of trp auxotrophs were: anthranilic acid (MAnt), $5 \mu g/ml$; indole (MInd) and tryptophan, 20 μ g/ml. Other abbreviations used include: nutrient (N) agar; EM agar, 0.01% brothenriched minimal agar.

Bacterial strains. The list of representative substrains of S. typhimurium LT2 and LT7 used in this study is given in Table 1; nonlysogenic prototrophs isolated from transduction crosses and used to define phenotypic differences between strains LT2 and LT7, and trp auxotrophs used in mapping experiments, are listed in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The mut (mutator) genotype of strains with LT7 parentage (presence, mut^- , or absence, mut^+ , of a lesion causing high frequencies of mutation) was determined by testing the frequency of mutation from streptomycin sensitivity to resistance in cultures streaked on N agar plus 100 μ g of streptomycin/ml (20). Genetic symbols follow the recommendations of Demerec et al. (8) and have been defined by Sanderson (25).

Transduction procedures. Routine methods of transduction using the integration-deficient mutant, int7, of phage P22 (previously designated P22L7) (16, 28) have been described (29, 30). In later experiments the direct plating procedure without preincubation (10) was used, usually with recipient cells resuspended in single strength minimal salts solution (29). Transductant clones were characterized after one or, in later experiments, after two single-colony isolations on M agar; nearly all isolates were then phage free. All experiments were conducted at 37C.

Phemotypic characterization. Responses to 5MT of phage-free prototrophic transductants were tested by a filter-paper disk method: about 107 stationaryphase cells were spread onto M agar and allow to dry; a sterile 12-mm diameter filter-paper disk was then placed on the inoculated plate, and one drop (ca. 0.05 ml) of 0.2% 5MT solution was added. Plates were incubated overnight after which any zone of growth inhibition around the disk was noted. A disk containing sterile water was included as a control on each plate. The stab feeding test (29, 30) was used to detect excretion of tryptophan by trpR prototrophs: a diffuse halo of growth of the tester auxotroph, trpE95, around the stab, distinct from surface growth of the tested bacteria, indicated feeding. Strain trpE95 accumulates and excretes anthranilic acid and no other supply of this compound was normally required to by-pass the feedback inhibition of AS-CoI activity by tryptophan which would have prevented

Strain ^e	Genotype	Origin of trp region	Source and/or reference [®]
	argA85	LT ₂	A
SO144	cysB403 trpR531	LT2 (cysB403 transduced	P. P. McCann, thesis,
		into LT7 recipient	Syracuse Univ.,
		strain trpR531 trpA47)	Syracuse, 1970.
SO595	cysB403 _{trpR1281}	$LT2$ ($cysB403$)	29
mtr-1	cysB517 trpR1352	LT2	4
	$metA22$ trp $E2$	LT2	A, 27
	metB23	LT2	D. A. Smith, 27
SU47	serB80 thrC59	LT ₂	A, 29, 30
	serA94	LT ₂	A
	thrA5	LT ₂	A
	thrA16	LT2 (pro region from LT7)	A, 30
	$thrB2$ cys $A21$	LT2(?) probably strain	27, 30
		cysA21	
	thrB9	LT2 (pro region from LT7)	A, 30
SD90	thrB10	LT2(SU47)	30
SD ₃₀	$thrC59$ trp $R582$	LT2 (SU47)	30
	trpA8	$LT2$ (cys $B12$)	8
	$trpA$ 703	LT ₂	P. Margolin
	trpB12	LT ₂	5
	trpB258	LT ₂	B
	trpE95	LT ₂	5
SO243 ^c	trpABE130	LT ₂	5
	trpBED164	LT ₂	5
SD158	supX33 leu+	LT ₂	supX33 leu500 (4)
Wt	$\ddot{}$	LT2	с
	metA124 mut-	LT7	A
	proAB47	LT7	A, 21
	serA ₁₀₃	LT7	A
	thrA11	LT7 (pro region from LT2)	A, 30
	thrA20	LT7	11
	thrA23	LT7	A, 30
	thrA26	LT7	11
	thrB8	LT7	30
	thrB10	LT7 (pro region from LT2)	30
SO396	$thrBC12\ trpR1329$	LT7 (pro region from LT2)	29
	thr $C29$ mut-	LT7	A, 30
	trpA28	LT7	5, 15
SO641	trpAB684	LT7 (trpA28)	15
	trpE45	LT7	5
SO167	trpA47 trpR533	$LT7$ (trpA47)	2, 5, 29
	trpA49	LT7	5
	trpB54	LT7	5
SO501	trpA512	LT7 (trpA49)	1, 31
SO115	trpAB514	$LT7LT7$ (trp $B46$)	15
	trpB883	LT7 (trpA47)	31
SO136	trpA278B883	LT7 $(trpB883 cysB12)$	by transduction, Stuttard, unpublished.

TABLE 1. Substrains of Salmonella typhimurium LT2 and LT7

^a All mutants without strain designations were originally isolated at the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory under the direction of the late M. Demerec. Records of their origins were kindly supplied by K. E. Sanderson.

"A, K. E. Sanderson, Salmonella Genetics Stock Centre (SGSC), Calgary University, Calgary, Alberta, Canada. B, Elias Balbinder, Biology Department, Syracuse University, Syracuse. C, Dublin University collection, originally from M. Demerec. Wt, Wild type.

 c Strain SO243 is an Ind⁻ strain isolated from the Trp⁻ polar deletion mutant, trpABE130, and has either a small extension of the original mutation to end in-phase or a compensating phase-shift mutation very close to one end of trpABE130.

However, to reduce possible variations in the levels of anthranilic acid present in different test plates,

excess tryptophan production in the tested strains. later tests were made by inoculating transductants
However, to reduce possible variations in the levels on a small area of 4-ml overylays of 0.7% agar containing about 2×10^8 trpE95 cells on MAnt VOL. 123, 1975

plates. The overlay method was also used to compare feeding of the Trp⁻ deletion strain, trpABEDC167, on M, MAnt, and MInd agar.

RESULTS

Initial observations. A differential effect of genetic background on the expression of trpR mutations was first noticed in crosses made to map different thr mutations with respect to trpR (29) . When strain SD30 (carrying trpR582) thrC59) was used as donor in transductions with different thr recipients, two classes of 5MTresistant $(trpR)$ prototrophs were obtained (see below) depending on which the recipient was used: (i) those which, when stabbed into M agar seeded with the tester auxotroph trpE95, promoted rapid growth of $trpE95$ cells and formation of a dense halo around the stabs (rapidfeeding [RF] prototrophs); (ii) those which promoted much slower growth of strain trpE95 in similar tests (slow-feeding [SF] prototrophs). Nonfeeding (NF), $5MT$ -sensitive (trp R^+) prototrophs were also produced in each cross. The feeding responses on different plates were scored with reference to control stabs in each plate: control strains were SD101 (NF), SD139 (RF), SD140 (SF) (Table 2, Fig. 2). In the reciprocal crosses all $5MT$ -resistant $(trpR)$ recombinants were RF prototrophs. Thus an apparent modifier of the $trpR$ phenotype (slowfeeding determinant, sfd) was present in substrains of S. typhimurium LT7 including strains thrA11, B8, B10, C29, and C1001 (the latter derived from LT7 strain serA103), but not in LT2 derivatives, and was not genetically linked to the $trpR-thr$ region. That sfd was nonspecific in its effect on $trpR$ expression was shown when one of the sfd-carrying strains, thrB10, was used as the recipient in crosses with 17 different trpR donors selecting for thr ⁺ recombinants on EM agar. All $trpR$ recombinants from each cross were SF prototrophs. Control crosses involving 4 of the 17 trpR donors and recipient strain SD90 $(thrB10$ in LT2 genetic background) all gave 5MT-resistant prototrophs which were RF, none was SF.

Phenotypes of trpR strains with and without sfd: phage-free isolates of recombinants carry-

^a By transduction: recipient \times donor, see Tables 1 and 3.

^b See Fig. 2. RF, Rapid feeding, large halos in stab or spot tests after 24 h; SF, slow feeding, very small halos around stabs after 24 h not visible in spot tests; NF, nonfeeding, no halos produced.

 ϵ Average inhibition zone size (millimeters, diameter), range of variation in repeat tests was less than 2 mm.

TABLE 3. Derivation of trp auxotrophs carrying trpR1352

Phenotype	Genotype ^b	Derived by transduction ^c
Ant $-$	$trpA512$ sfd	$mtr-1 \times$ SO501
Ind ⁻	trpE95	mtr- $1 \times trpE$ 95
Trp^-	trpBED164	mtr-1 \times trpBED164
Ant $-$	trpA47sfd	$SD145 \times SO167$
Ant $-$	trpAB514 sfd	$SD145 \times SO115$
Ind^-	trpABE130 ^a	$mtr-1 \times SO243$
Ind $-$	trpB258	$mtr-1 \times trpB258$
Ind^-	trpA278B883 sfd	$mtr-1 \times$ SO136
Ind $-$	trpB12	mtr-1 \times trpB12
Ant $-$	trpA91	mtr- $1 \times trpA91$
Ind ⁻	supX33	$mtr-1 \times SD158$
Ant $-$	trpAB684	$SD155 \times SO641$

^a Transductants were selected on tryptophan enriched media.

 $^{\circ}$ Markers in addition to trpR1352; sfd, LT7 slow-feeding determinant.

^c Recipients are in the left-hand column.

^d Deletion modified as in strain S0243.

FIG. 2. Feeding test with tester strain trpE95 in soft agar overlay on MAnt agar after 24 h at 37 C. Test inocula were transferred to the overlay by means of sterile toothpicks. Halos of tester growth around RF strains, SD129 (trpR1352 trpA512 sfd), SD139 (trpR1352, LT2 prototroph), SD149 (trpR1352 trpAB514 sfd) are clearly distinguishable from surface growth of NF strains, SD101 (LT2 prototroph) and SD142 (LT7 mut- sfd prototroph); the SF strains, SD140 (trpR1352 LT7 sfd prototroph), SD147 (trpR1352 trpA47 sfd) and SD157 (trpR1352 trpA91) at this stage are indistinguishable from NF strains.

ing various combinations of trpR1352 and trp operon alleles were obtained from transduction crosses, and their phenotypes were compared (Table 2, and Fig. 2). The prototrophic $trpR$ strain SD139, carrying LT2-derived trp genes, was RF, whereas strain SD140, carrying LT7 derived trp genes, was SF. The $trpR⁺$ control strains SD101 (LT2) and SD142 (LT7 mut) were both NF. In the same tests the auxotrophic trpR strains, SD129 and SD149, carrying LT7 derived deletions trpA512 and trpAB514, respectively, were RF. This suggested that an intact aggregate of AS-CoI and PRT (LT7) might be necessary to give an SF phenotype. Strains SD147 (LT7) and SD157 (LT2) also had SF phenotypes, presumably because of the polarity of mutations trpA47 and trpA91 respectively (3) caused reduced levels of PRT synthesis in these strains. No feeding by any $trpR$ strains of deletion strain trpABEDC167 in soft agar overlays was detected on M agar; on MAnt

agar strain SD139 gave much larger halos than strain SD140, and both gave about the same halos on MInd agar. Strains SD142 and WtLT2 gave no halos except on MInd agar where very slight feeding was detected. The difference in feeding by strain SD139 compared with strain SD140 was more apparent when trpE95 was the tester strain on MAnt agar.

The growth rates of all prototrophs and the Ant⁻ strain SD149 were about the same in liquid minimal medium whether supplemented with 50 μ g of tryptophan or 5 μ g of anthranilic acid per ml.

In tests for 5MT sensitivity (see Materials and Methods), the WtLT2 strain showed an inhibition zone of ³⁰ mm average diameter, strain SD142 had an average inhibition zone size of ³⁷ mm with sharper edges and less background growth than with WtLT2. This suggested that the LT7 genes in strain SD142 may make the strain slightly more sensitive to

5MT than is the WtLT2 strain, although the presence of mut^- in strain SD142 might have some effect. None of the trpR prototrophs had inhibition zones in these tests. So sfd apparently had no effect on 5MT resistance of trpR prototrophs, but caused only a reduction in their capacity to overproduce tryptophan.

Location of sfd. (i) Linkage with trp operon. Various recipient strains carrying trpR1352 and markers in or closely linked to the trp operon (Table 3) were used in mapping experiments. In preliminary two-point co-transduction tests selecting prototrophic recombinants from recipient strains mtr-1 (trpR1352 cysB517) or SD130 (trpR1352 trpE95) sfd was identified as a genetic lesion in or very near the trp operon of LT7 trp ⁺ donors, including eight thr strains (Table 1). This was confirmed in crosses between strains carrying sfd and mutants with extensive deletions of the trp operon. The results (Tables 4, 5, 6) indicated that sfd was located outside of deletion supX33 in strain SD159, in the region of overlap between deletions trpBED164 and trpABE130 (Fig. 3). This region includes virtually all of trpB region II which specifies that portion of the PRT polypeptide required for the PRT reaction, but none of $trpB$ region I (the "unusual" region $[3]$) which specifies component II of anthranilate synthetase (the glutamine amidotransferase [GAT] portion of PRT). Absence of recombination between overlapping deletions $\sup X33$ and trpBED164 was confirmed in control crosses. These data did not entirely exclude the possibility that sf lay within the $trpE$ gene between deletion trpE95 and the operator-distal end of modified deletion trpABE130. However, the recovery of RF prototrophs from crosses with

TABLE 4. Location of sfd in region covered by deletion trpBED164: crosses with recipient strain SD145 (trpR1352 trpBED164) selecting prototrophs on M agar

	Source	Transductants			
Donor trp marker	of donor trp	No.	Phenotype ⁴ (%)		
	genes	tested	RF	SF	
trpA8	LT2	8	100	0	
trpA91	LT2	10	100	0	
trpA703	LT2	11	100	0	
trpA28	LT7	16	0	100	
trpA47	LT7	137	0	100	
trpA49	LT7	33	0	100	
trpA512	LT7	40	0	100	
trpAB514 LT7 38			O	100	

^a See Table 2.

TABLE 5. Location of sfd in region covered by deletion $trpABE130^a$: crosses with recipient strain SD150 (trpR1352 trpABE130 $^{\circ}$) selecting prototrophs on EM agar

	Source	Transductants			
Donor strain	of donor trp	No.	Phenotype b (%)		
	genes	tested	RF	SF	
argA85	LT ₂	6	100	0	
c ys $B403$	LT2	6	100	0	
metB23	LT2	15	100	0	
$metA22$ trp $E2$	LT2	16	100	0	
trpE95	LT2	22	100	0	
Wt	LT2	6	100	ŋ	
serA 103	LT7	59	0	100	
thrC29	LT7	64	0	100	
trpE45	LT7	12	ŋ	100	

^a Modified as in strain SO243 (Table 1.)

^h See Table 2.

TABLE 6. Location of sfd outside region covered by deletion supX33: crosses with recipient strain SD159 $(trpR1352 supX33)^a$ selecting prototrophs on EM agar

	Source	Transductants			
Donor strain	of donor trp genes	No.	Phenotype b (%)		
		tested	RF	SF	
trpB12	LT2	16	100		
trpB258	LT2	16	100		
trpE45	LT7	61	34	66	
trpB883	LT7	16	100		
proAB47	LT7	22	4.5	95.5	

^a See Table 3.

^b See Table 2.

strain SD159 showed that sfd was not a primary trp promoter (P1) or trp operator mutation nor was it in the $trpA$ gene or in $trpB$ region I since these elements are entirely deleted by the $supX33$ mutation (15, 19). Thus sfd was present in all 21 strains with LT7-derived $trpB$ genes (Table 1) but not in any of 20 strains with $LT2$ -derived $trpB$ genes. In subsequent mapping it was assumed that sfd occupied only one site regardless of recent parentage of each LT7 strain; all results were compatible with this assumption. In the cross between recipient strain SD159 and trpB883 (Table 6) the absence of SF transductants among the sample tested suggested that sfd might be located distal to the $B883$ site within $trpB$ region II and would be included in prototrophic recombinants only by rare quadruple crossovers. Results of threepoint tests to further define the location of sfd within $trpB$ (Table 7) supported this possibility.

FIG. 3. Partial map of the trp operon in S. typhimurium, (3, 5, 15, 31, 32). The bacterial chromosome is represented by the wider horizontal line; solid vertical lines represent gene boundaries (spacing is arbitrary). Larger numbers above the "chromosome" line indicate LT7 mutation sites, smaller humbers indicate LT2 mutation sites; sfd, relative location of LT7 lesion giving the SF phenotype in trpR prototrophs. Narrow horizontal lines represent the extents of deletion mutations as designated. P1, Principal trp promoter; P2, secondary trp promoter; 0, trp operator.

$Cross^{\circ}$	Transductants				
	Donor	No.	Phenotype ^{c} (%)		Probable site order ^d
Recipient		tested	RF	SF	
$1. trpA47sfd$	trpB12	48	23	77	$A47$ -sfd- $B12$
$2.$ trp $A47$ sfd	trpB258	48	0	100	A47-B258-sfd
3. trpB258	trpA49 sfd	31	7	93	A49-B258-sfd
4. trpE95	trpA49sfd	16	31	69	A49-sfd-E95
$5.$ trp $A512$ sfd	trpB258	74	5	95	A512-B58-sfd
$6.$ trp $A512$ sfd	trpE95	48	23	77	A512-sfd-E95
7. trpE95	trpA512 sfd	10	30	70	A512-sfd-E95
$8.$ trp $A512$ sfd	trpBED164	112	Ω	100	sfd covered by BED164
9. trpAB514 sfd	trpBED164	240	0 ^e	100	sfd covered by BED164
$10.$ trp $AB514$ sfd	trpB258	64	3	97	AB514-B258-sfd
11. $trpAB514$ sfd	trpB12	52	25	75	AB514-sfd-B12
12. trpAB514 sfd	trpE95	48	30	70	AB514-sfd-E95
13. trpAB684 sfd	trpB12	32	23	77	AB684-sfd-B12
14. $trpAB684$ sfd	trpB258	46	11	89	AB684-258-sfd
15. trpA278 B883 sfd	trpB12	80	66	34	A278-B883-sfd-B12
$16.$ trp $B12$	trpB883 sfd	48	52	48	B883-sfd-B12
$17.$ trp $B12$	$trpB54$ sfd	52	75	25	B54-sfd-B12
18. trpB258	$trpB54$ sfd	73	86	14	B258-B54-sfd
19. trpB258	$trpE45$ sfd	63	49	51	B258-sfd-E45
$20.$ trp $B12$	$trpE45$ sfd	48	12	88	sfd-B12-E45
$21.$ trp $E95$	$trpE45$ sfd	24	8	92	sfd-E95-E45

TABLE 7. Location of sfd within trpB region II^a

^a Prototrophs selected on M or EM agar.

^b For convenience, only counterselective trp markers and the LT7 marker sfd are indicated; all recipients carried trpR1352 (see Table 3).

^c See Table 2.

^d On the assumption that progeny requiring most crossovers have the lowest frequencies.

^e One RF colony was recovered but was apparently ^a relatively stable merodiploid.

The order of markers, trpB883, B258, B54, and $B12$, used to locate sfd within trpB region II, was checked by control crosses: all gave recombinants with supX33; trpB883 and trpB258 (a very stable mutation, possibly small deletion) did not recombine with each other but both gave prototrophic recombinants with trpB54 and trpB12; all gave abortive transductants with $trpA$ and $trpE$ mutants but not with each other; in the cross S0136 (trpA278 $trpB883) \times trpB12$ (donor) on anthranilate-supplemented media less than 10% of recombinants

were Ant⁻ (trpA278) indicating the site order:
trpA278-B883-B12. The relative order $trpA278-B883-B12$. The relative order $trpA-B883-B54-B12$ had been determined previously (3, 5, 31). This knowledge of relative order of markers in $trpB$ region II was then used to locate sfd: in Table 7, crosses ¹ and 2 indicated that sfd present in LT7 strain trpA47 was located between trpB258 and trpB12; crosses 3 to 8 showed a similar location for sfd in strain trpA49 and its derivative strain SO501 (trpA512); likewise for strain SO115 (trpAB514) derived from LT7 strain trpB46 (crosses 9 to 12), and strain SD162 (trpAB684 trpR1352) derived from LT7 strain trpA28 (crosses 13 and 14). Excretion of anthranilic acid by $trpB$ recipient strains, including strain SD145 (carrying trpBED164) prevented adequate counterselection of donor-type transductants on M agar in crosses with Ant- donor strains (e.g., SO501 and SO115). Consequently such crosses were not analyzed. Results of crosses 15 and 16 were in agreement with the result given in Table 6 which indicated sfd was indeed linked to trpB883 and lay between trpB883 and trpB12. The alternative order A278-sfd-B883-B12 would necessitate rare quadruple exchanges to give SF recombinants in both crosses, whereas the observed SF frequencies were much more compatible with the given order. Similarly, analysis of strain trpB54 (crosses 17 and 18) showed the presence of sfd between trpB54 and trpB12. Finally, in analyzing the location of sfd linked to $trpE45$ (crosses 19 to 21), it was concluded that trpE45 was actually situated at the operator-distal end of $trpE$ rather than at the operator-proximal end as given previously (5). This was confirmed in control crosses with recipient strain trpA47sE4 (Stuttard, unpublished data; see Fig. 3). Unpublished data of Balbinder and coworkers (personal communication) also supports the conclusion that the relative order of several trpE markers with respect to $trpB$ is the reverse of that given earlier by Blume and Balbinder (5).

DISCUSSION

In the present studies ^I have found that the wild-type $trpB$ gene originating in S. typhimurium strain LT7 is genetically different from the homologous wild-type gene of strain LT2. A genetic lesion, sfd, has been identified as the cause of reduced tryptophan biosynthesis in $trpR$ prototrophs carrying a $trpB$ gene originating in strain LT7 compared with those carrying an LT2-derived $trpB$ gene. Since sfd is located in $trpB$ region II, the affected gene product should be PRT. This inference was

supported by preliminary results of assays for PRT activity in crude extracts of strains SD139 and SD140 grown in the absence of tryptophan, which indicated that the specific activity of LT2 PRT in strain SD139 was considerably higher and had a lower K_m for anthranilic acid than the LT7 PRT found in strain SD140 (M. Dooley, personal communication, see also Table 3 in reference 15). Besides a reduction in catalytic activity of LT7 PRT compared with LT2 PRT the LT7 $trpB$ product may (i) be made in lesser amounts, or (ii) have enhanced end-product inhibition, or (iii) have enhanced substrate inhibition in the presence of anthranilic acid and an intact trpA product, or both, when compared with PRT specified by $LT2$ trpB gene under the same conditions.

When trpR auxotrophs carrying LT7 deletion mutations trpA512 or trpAB514 were also tested for feeding of trpE95 on MAnt agar no differences from LT2 trpR prototrophic phenotype were detected (Fig. 2). Strain SO501 carrying deletion trpA512 produces an unaggregated GAT-PRT polypeptide (AS-CoIl) able to complement the free AS-CoI of strain trpBEDC43 (3, 15) to give glutamine-dependent AS activity; strain S0115 (trpAB514) produces ^a PRT polypeptide with no AS-CoI complementing (GAT) activity, and in which the enzymically active carboxy-terminal segment is probably fused to an amino-terminal segment specified by the operator-proximal region of trpA (Stuttard, unpublished observations). So it is likely that PRT activity in $trpR$ strains carrying either of these deletions would not be subject to the same degree of feedback inhibition, or any other effect of AS-CoI when aggregated with PRT, as would the activity of aggregated PRT in trpR prototrophs. This would explain why LT2 trpR prototrophs and LT7 trpR trpA deletion strains are both RF, whereas LT7 trpR prototrophs are SF. However, considerably more data on the effects of sfd on the various parameters of LT7 PRT compared with LT2 PRT activity are required before more definite conclusions can be drawn.

The discovery of this difference between apparently wild-type trpB genes of strains LT2 and LT7 of S. typhimurium is a little disconcerting in view of the prevalent practice of considering them to be entirely equivalent and interchangeable according to their convenience for genetic or biochemical analysis. The presumption of equivalence may be valid in many instances. For example, there appear to be no differences between LT2 and LT7 strains in their restriction and modification of foreign

(phage or bacterial) deoxyribonucleic acid (7). However, the present evidence shows that subtle differences can exist and may be important in particular studies. The differential effects on PRT activity ascribed to some polarity mutations in $trpA$ (3, 32) may actually be a consequence of differing parentages of trpB genes in the strains used. Also since some of the LT7 strains carry the mut^- allele (20) the ancestral presence of the mutator gene in these strains might be expected to have caused any number of genetic alterations which remain to be revealed. However, unless all present LT7 substrains are derived from one ancestral mutstrain, it seems that the $trpB$ gene difference from the LT2 wild-type is indeed characteristic of the LT7 wild-type strain rather than of LT7 mut--derived strains alone.

^I have also found that nearly all strains with a basically LT7 parentage, but none of the LT2 strains, are lysogenic for a phage as yet unidentified. It forms very small, almost clear plaques on LT2 strains. Supernatant fluid taken from an overnight culture of any LT7 strain, except thrC29, grown in L broth contain about $10⁵$ plaque-forming units per ml. Strains carrying a cysB-trp region of LT2 origin in an otherwise LT7 genome, such as strain SO144, are also lysogenic for this phage. So this particular lysogeny has no role in the production of an SF phenotype, nor does it affect sensitivity to phages P22 and KB1 (6). No further analysis of this LT7 phage has yet been made, although it may be one of the factors by which wild-type strains LT2 and LT7 were originally differentiated (18).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

^I am most grateful to K. E. Sanderson, D. A. Smith, E. Balbinder for providing bacterial strains, and to Sanderson for supplying valuable information on the origins of many mutants. ^I thank E. Balbinder for his comments on the manuscript; Carolyn Ragan and Bob McKay for diligent technical assistance; Alan MacDonald and Jean Comeau for media preparation; and Margaret Dooley for digressing from her own work to run PRT assays.

This research was supported by grant MA4044 from the Medical Research Council of Canada.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Balbinder, E., A. J. Blume, A. Weber, and H. Tamaki. 1968. Polar and antipolar mutants in the tryptophan operon of Salmonella typhimurium. J. Bacteriol. 95:2217-2229.
- 2. Balbinder, E., R. Callahan III, P. P. McCann, J. C. Cordaro, A. R. Weber, A. M. Smith, and F. Angelosanto. 1970. Regulatory mutants of the tryptophan operon of Salmonella typhimurium. Genetics 66:31-53.
- 3. Bauerle, R. H., and P. Margolin. 1966. A multifunctional enzyme complex in the tryptophan pathway of Salmonella typhimurium: comparison of polarity and pseudopolarity mutations. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 31:203-214.
- 4. Bauerle, R. H., and P. Margolin. 1966. The functional organisation of the tryptophan gene cluster in Salmonella typhimurium. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 56:111-118.
- 5. Blume, A. J., and E. Balbinder. 1966. The tryptophan operon of Salmonella typhimurium. Fine structure analysis by deletion mapping and abortive transduction. Genetics 53:577-592.
- 6. Boro, H., and J. E. Brenchley. 1971. A new generalised transducing phage for Salmonella typhimurium LT2. Virology 45:835-836.
- 7. Colson, A. M., C. Colson, and A. Van Pel. 1969. Host-controlled restriction mutants of Salmonella typhimurium. J. Gen. Microbiol. 58:57-64.
- 8. Demerec, M., E. A. Adelberg, A. J. Clark, and P. E. Hartman. 1966. A proposal for ^a uniform nomenclature in Bacterial Genetics. Genetics 54:61-76.
- 9. Demerec, M., and Z. Hartman. 1956. Tryptophan mutants in Salmonella typhimurium, p. 5-33. In Genetic studies with bacteria. Carnegie Inst. of Washington, publ. 612.
- 10. Ely, B., R. M. Weppelman, H. C. Massey Jr., and P. E. Hartman. 1974. Some improved methods in P22 transduction. Genetics 76:625-631.
- 11. Glanville, E. V., and M. Demerec. 1960. Threonine, isoleucine and isoleucine-valine mutants of Salmonella typhimurium. Genetics 45:1359-1376.
- 12. Grieshaber, M., and R. H. Bauerle. 1972. Structure and evolution of a bifunctional enzyme of the tryptophan operon. Nature (London) New Biol. 236:232-235.
- 13. Henderson, E. J., H. Zalkin, and L. H. Hwang. 1970. The anthranilate synthetase-anthranilate 5-phosphoribosyl-pyrophosphate phosphoribosyltransferase aggregate. J. Biol. Chem. 245:1424-1431.
- 14. Ito, J., and C. Yanofsky. 1969. Anthranilate synthetase, an enzyme specified by the tryptophan operon of Escherichia coli: comparative studies on the complex and the subunits. J. Bacteriol. 97:734-742.
- 15. La Scolea, L. J., Jr., and E. Balbinder. 1972. Restoration of phosphoribosyltransferase activity by partially deleting the trpB gene in the tryptophan operon of Salmonella typhimurium. J. Bacteriol. 112:877-885.
- 16. Levine, M. 1972. Replication and lysogeny with phage P22 in Salmonella typhimurium. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 58:135-156.
- 17. Li, S-L., J. Hanlon, and C. Yanofsky. 1974. Separation of anthranilate synthetase components ^I and II of Escherichia coli, Salmonella typhimurium and Serratia marcescens and determination of their amino-terminal sequences by automatic Edman degradation. Biochemistry 13:1736-1744.
- 18. Lilleengen, K. 1948. Typing Salmonella typhimurium by means of bacteriophage. Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Scand. Suppl. 77.
- 19. Margolin, P., and R. H. Bauerle. 1966. Determinants for regulation and initiation of expression of tryptophan genes. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 31:311-320.
- 20. Miyake, T. 1960. Mutator factor in Salmonella typhimurium. Genetics 45:11-14.
- 21. Miyake, T. 1960. Proline mutants of Salmonella typhimurium Genetics 45:755-762.
- 22. Morse, D. E., and C. Yanofsky. 1969. Amber mutants of the trpR regulatory gene. J. Mol. Biol. 44:185-193.
- 23. Pabst, M. J., J. C. Kuhn, and R. L. Somerville. 1972. Feedback regulation in the anthranilate aggregate from wild type and mutant strains of Escherichia coli. J. Biol. Chem. 248:901-914.
- 24. Rose, J. K., C. L. Squires, C. Yanofsky, H-L Yang, and G. Zubay. 1973. Regulation of in vitro transcription of the tryptophan operon by purified RNA polymerase in the presence of partially purified repressor and tryptophan. Nature (London) New Biol. 245:133-137.
- 25. Sanderson, K. E. 1972. Linkage map of Salmonella typhimurium, edition IV. Bacteriol. Rev. 36:558-586.
- 26. Shimuzu, Y., N. Shimuzu, and M. Hayashi. 1973. In vitro repression of transcription of the tryptophan operon by trp repressor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 70: 1990-1994.
- 27. Smith, D. A. 1961. Some aspects of the genetics of methionineless mutants of Salmonella typhimurium. J. Gen. Microbiol. 24:335-353.
- 28. Smith, H. O., and M. Levine. 1967. A phage P22 gene controlling integration of prophage. Virology 31:207-216.
- 29. Stuttard, C. 1972. Location of trpR mutations in the serB-thr region of Salmonella typhimurium. J. Bacteriol. 111:368-374.
- 30. Stuttard, C. 1973. Genetic analysis of thr mutations in

Salmonella typhimurium. J. Bacteriol. 116:1-11.

- 31. Stuttard, C., and G. W. P. Dawson. 1969. "Self-feeding" strain of Salmonella typhimurium with a mutation in the trpB gene and nutritional requirements of trpA gene mutants. J. Bacteriol. 99:779-783.
- 32. Wuesthoff, G., and R. H. Bauerle. 1970. Mutations creating internal promoter elements in the tryptophan operon of Salmonella typhimurium. J. Mol. Biol. 49: 171-196.
- 33. Zalkin, H., and E. J. Henderson. 1969. Tryptophanmediated substrate inhibition of anthranilate 5-phosphoribosylpyrophosphate phosphoribosyltransferase. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 35:52-58.
- 34. Zinder, N. D., and J. Lederberg. 1952. Genetic exchange in Salmonella. J. Bacteriol. 64:679-699.