Resistance to Neutralization by Broadly Reactive Antibodies to the Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type ¹ gpl20 Glycoprotein Conferred by a gp4l Amino Acid Change

MARKUS THALI,' MACARTHUR CHARLES,' CRAIG FURMAN,' LISA CAVACINI,2 MARSHALL POSNER,² JAMES ROBINSON,³ AND JOSEPH SODROSKI^{1,4*}

Division of Human Retrovirology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, and Department of Pathology, Harvard Medical School,¹ Department of Medicine, New England Deaconess Hospital, Harvard Medical School,² and Department of Cancer Biology, Harvard School of Public Health,⁴ Boston, Massachusetts 02115, and Department of Pediatrics, University of Connecticut Health Services, Farmington, Connecticut 06030³

Received 2 August 1993/Accepted 27 October 1993

A neutralization-resistant variant of human immunodeficiency virus type ¹ (HIV-1) that emerged during in vitro propagation of the virus in the presence of neutralizing serum from an infected individual has been described. A threonine-for-alanine substitution at position 582 in the gp4l transmembrane envelope glycoprotein of the variant virus was responsible for the neutralization-resistant phenotype (M.S. Reitz, Jr., C. Wilson, C. Naugle, R. C. Gallo, and M. Robert-Guroff, Cell 54:57-63, 1988). The mutant virus also exhibited reduced sensitivity to neutralization by 30% of HIV-1-positive sera that neutralized the parental virus, suggesting that a significant fraction of the neutralizing activity within these sera can be affected by the amino acid change in gp4l (C. Wilson, M. S. Reitz, Jr., K. Aldrich, P. J. Klasse, J. Blomberg, R. C. Gallo, and M. Robert-Guroff, J. Virol. 64:3240-3248, 1990). It is shown here that the change of alanine 582 to threonine specifically confers resistance to neutralization by antibodies directed against both groups of discontinuous, conserved epitopes related to the CD4 binding site on the gpl20 exterior envelope glycoprotein. Only minor differences in binding of these antibodies to wild-type and mutant envelope glycoproteins were observed. Thus, the antigenic structure of gpl20 can be subtly affected by an amino acid change in gp4l, with important consequences for sensitivity to neutralization.

Human immunodeficiency virus type ¹ (HIV-1) establishes ^a persistent infection in human hosts, resulting in defective cellular immunity secondary to CD4 lymphocyte depletion (10, 21). The virus can cause this gradual deterioration of the immune system despite the presence and evolution of neutralizing antibodies in the serum. The envelope glycoproteins of HIV-1, gpl20 and gp4l, are the major targets for these neutralizing antibodies (2, 32, 34, 44, 45). Neutralizing antibodies directed against gpl20 were able to protect chimpanzees from infection following exposure to an intravenous HIV-1 challenge (3, 9). The design of effective vaccines will require an understanding of which functional regions of gpl20 and gp4l are available for recognition by the humoral immune system.

Both envelope glycoproteins fulfill important tasks during the viral life cycle. While gpl20 binds with high affinity to CD4, the receptor for HIV-1 on target cells, gpl20 and gp4l are both involved in the fusion of viral and cellular membranes that allows virus entry (6, 13, 19, 23, 24; for a review, see reference 18). Even though the envelope glycoproteins are crucial for the infection process, a high degree of genetic heterogeneity in the envelope is found among different viral strains (5, 28). The extensive variability of gpl20 provides a major hurdle for the development of a broadly protective immune response.

The maintenance of a high degree of genetic variation between different strains of HIV-1 may in part be due to continuous immune selection occurring in the host. Previous studies have shown that the in vitro passage of HIV-1 in the presence of neutralizing sera from HIV-1-infected humans can select for the growth of variants of the virus that are relatively resistant to neutralization by the selecting serum. In one of these studies, a single amino acid change (alanine to threonine) at position 582 in gp4l was responsible for the neutralization-resistant phenotype (31, 33). The site of the immuneselected amino acid change did not apparently constitute a neutralization epitope, giving rise to the speculation that this residue change might alter the epitopes of neutralizing antibodies recognizing distant sites (46). Neutralization escape was not limited to the selecting serum, since 30% of sera from individuals infected with HIV-1 that neutralized the parental virus showed decreased ability to neutralize the mutant virus.

In HIV-1-infected humans, the two major fractions of neutralizing antibodies bind either to continuous epitopes in the third variable (V3) or V2 region or to discontinuous epitopes (4, 26, 36, 37). The latter epitopes either overlap the discontinuous CD4 binding site or are better exposed upon CD4 binding (7, 14, 15, 17, 27, 29, 39, 41). The gpl20 amino acids important for the formation of these epitopes are derived from the five conserved gpl20 regions and have been mapped by analysis of gpl20 mutants (25, 27, 39, 41, 42). In this study, we used monoclonal antibodies to test whether the change of alanine 582 to threonine (582 A/T change) allows HIV-1 to escape from antibodies directed against either variable or conserved gpl20 neutralization epitopes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of the 582 A/T mutant. The KpnI-BamHI fragment of plasmid pSVIIIenv was used for site-directed mutagenesis as previously described (13, 29). The presence of

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Jitnmy Fund Building, Room 824, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, ⁴⁴ Binney St., Boston, MA 02115. Phone: (617) 632-3371. Fax: (617) 632-4338.

the mutation was confirmed by the generation of a novel restriction endonuclease site and by DNA sequencing. The wild-type and 582 A/T mutant glycoproteins were expressed in COS-1 cells by using either plasmid pSVIIIenv (13) or plasmid pCMVenv, in which the rev and env genes are under the control of the cytomegalovirus immediate-early promoter.

Virus neutralization assay. Complementation of a single round of replication of the env-deficient chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT)-expressing provirus by either wildtype or mutant envelope was performed as described previously (13, 41). To inhibit viral replication, either 0, 0.1, or 10 μ g of monoclonal antibody per ml was incubated with recombinant virus for ¹ h at 37°C before addition of the virus to target Jurkat lymphocytes. Three days after infection, Jurkat cells were lysed and CAT activity was measured as described previously (13).

Syncytium formation assay. Three days after transfection with either wild-type or mutant envelope expressor plasmid, COS-1 cells were detached from the dishes by incubation with 50 mM EDTA. After washing, 1×10^5 to 2×10^5 cells were preincubated either in the absence of antibody or with 100 μ g of antibody per ml of Dulbecco modified Eagle medium for ¹ h at 37°C. Then 1×10^6 to 2×10^6 SupT1 cells were added, and syncytia were scored after 6 to 8 h of incubation at 37°C.

Binding of antibodies to envelope glycoproteins expressed on the surface of COS-1 cells. To analyze the affinity of the antibodies for the HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein complex, COS-1 cells were transfected with plasmid pCMVenv expressing either the wild-type or mutant envelope glycoproteins under the control of the cytomegalovirus immediate-early promoter. The cells were ³⁵S radiolabeled 2 days after transfection, and monoclonal antibodies were incubated with the cells for 90 min at 37°C in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium containing 10% fetal calf serum. After three washes with phosphate-buffered saline, the cells were lysed in Nonidet P-40 buffer, and bound antibody-envelope glycoprotein complexes were precipitated by protein A-Sepharose (Pharmacia) and analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Scanning densitometry was performed to determine relative antibody binding.

To assess binding of antibody in the presence of soluble CD4, COS-1 cells transfected with plasmid pSVIIIenv were incubated for 90 min with ¹ ml of radiolabeled monoclonal antibody in the presence of the indicated amounts of soluble CD4. Labeling of the antibodies and detection of bound antibodies were performed as described previously (41). To minimize shedding of gpl2O, the incubation was performed at room temperature.

RESULTS

An amino acid change in gp4l allows HIV-1 to escape from neutralization by anti-gpl20 antibodies. The 582 A/T change was introduced in the gp4l glycoprotein encoded by the HXBc2 envelope gene through the use of site-directed mutagenesis (20). Virions carrying the mutant envelope glycoprotein were tested for sensitivity to neutralization by a range of antibodies directed against conserved or variable epitopes in gpl20. Recombinant viruses carrying the CAT gene and containing either wild-type or mutant (582 A/T) envelope glycoproteins were produced in COS-1 cells as described previously (13). Virus-containing supernatants were incubated with or without antibody and subsequently added to Jurkat CD4 positive lymphocytes. CAT activity in these target cells was measured to assess the degree of neutralization of this single round of infection. The data from a typical experiment are

FIG. 1. Neutralization of wild-type and mutant viruses by monoclonal antibodies. Recombinant viruses carrying the CAT gene and containing either wild-type or mutant envelope glycoproteins were produced in COS-1 cells. The virions were incubated in the presence or absence of antibody F105, or 48d, or 110.4 prior to complementation with Jurkat lymphocytes. The CAT activity observed in Jurkat target cells following incubation with the indicated amounts of antibody is shown for both wild-type and 582 A/F mutant envelope glycoproteins. The CAT activity observed for the wild-type glycoproteins was arbitrarily set at 100%. The data showing inhibition by 10 μ g of antibody per ml are those of a typical experiment. Also included are the results of an experiment in which $0.1 \mu g$ of antibody 110.4 per ml was used. In these experiments, the background level of CAT activity observed in the absence of envelope glycoproteins varied from ⁵ to 10% conversion. Values in that range therefore indicate complete neutralization, while values of 100 and 58% indicate no neutralization for viruses with the wild-type and 582 A/T envelope glycoproteins, respectively.

shown in Fig. 1. In contrast to viruses with the wild-type envelope glycoprotein, viruses bearing the 582 A/T change were resistant to neutralization by antibodies F105 and 48d. These two antibodies represent the two groups of broadly reactive antibodies that recognize discontinuous gpl20 epitopes present only on the native glycoprotein (26, 39, 41, 42). Other antibodies of these groups, such as 21h, 15e, and 17b, also exhibited reduced ability to neutralize viruses with the 582 A/T amino acid change in gp4l relative to that seen for the wild-type glycoprotein (data not shown). In contrast, antibody 110.4, which recognizes the V3 variable region of gpl20 (22), neutralized both wild-type and 582 A/T mutant viruses comparably (Fig. 1). As expected, a virus with a single amino acid change (313 P/S) in the V3 region, which disrupts the binding of antibody 110.4 (40), was not efficiently neutralized by this antibody (data not shown). Other anti-V3 loop antibodies (9284, F58/H3, and 0.5_B) that were tested and monoclonal antibodies directed against the V2 loop (G3-4) or against a gp4l epitope (2F5) also neutralized the 582 A/F mutant virus (data not shown). The gp4l epitope for antibody 2F5 is located between residues 661 and 667, significantly carboxy terminal to alanine 582 (27a). Furthermore, the 582 A/T mutant was as sensitive as the wild-type virus to neutralization by soluble CD4 (data not shown). Thus, the 582 A/T change in gp4l allows virions to escape specifically from antibodies that recognize conserved regions in gp120.

Wilson et al. reported that relative to that of the wild-type virus, syncytium formation by the 582 A/T mutant was less sensitive to inhibition by serum from HIV-1-positive individu-

TABLE 1. Effects of the 582 A/T amino acid change on inhibition of syncytium formation by different antibodies

Glycoprotein	$\%$ of no. of syncytia scored in the presence of ":		
	F ₁₀₅	48d	110.4
Wild type	47	50	
582 A/T	81	85	

 α Antibodies were present at 100 μ g/ml. In the absence of antibodies, the 582 A/T mutant envelope forms approximately one-third of the number of syncytia formed by wild-type envelope glycoproteins (100% syncytium formation in both cases).

als (46). The effect of the 582 A/T change on syncytium inhibition by monoclonal antibodies was assessed. Table ¹ shows data from a typical experiment. The relative potency of antibodies F105, 48d, and 110.4 in the syncytium inhibition assay was similar to that seen in the virus neutralization assays. However, inhibition of syncytium formation required higher concentrations of the antibodies than did virus neutralization. Thus, while syncytium formation by the wild-type envelope glycoproteins was almost completely inhibited by 100 μ g of anti-V3 antibody 110.4 per ml, the same concentration of antibody F105 or 48d resulted in an approximately twofold reduction in syncytia. Syncytia induced by the 582 A/T mutant glycoproteins were reduced in number by addition of antibody 110.4 comparably to that observed for the wild-type glycoprotein. This was also true at lower concentrations of antibody 110.4 that did not completely inhibit syncytium formation (data not shown). In contrast, antibodies F105 and 48d did not inhibit syncytium formation by the 582 A/T mutant glycoproteins as efficiently as they inhibited syncytium formation by the wild-type glycoproteins. Although the level of expression of the envelope glycoproteins in the transfected COS-1 cells was higher for plasmid pCMVenv than for plasmid pSVIIIenv, the results of the virus neutralization and syncytium inhibition assays did not differ (data not shown). These results suggest that the 582 A/T amino acid change confers resistance against inhibition of both virus replication and syncytium formation by antibodies directed against conserved neutralization epitopes in gpl20.

Binding of anti-gpl2O antibodies to the 582 A/T mutant envelope glycoproteins. The gpl20 epitopes for antibodies F105 and 48d have been previously characterized (41, 42). Amino acid changes within the epitopes that render virions resistant to neutralization were shown to reduce the binding of the antibody to the envelope glycoprotein. Therefore, the effect of the 582 A/T change on F105 and 48d binding to the HIV-1 envelope glycoproteins was examined. When envelope glycoproteins from cell lysates of COS-1 cells expressing either wild-type or mutant (582 A/T) envelope glycoproteins were immunoprecipitated, no difference in the recognition of gpl20 or the uncleaved precursor gpl60 by antibodies F105 and 48d was observed (data not shown). To assess the binding of antibodies F105 and 48d to the multimeric envelope glycoprotein complexes on the cell surface, COS-1 cells expressing wild-type or mutant envelope glycoproteins at the surface were incubated with increasing amounts of antibody. The amount of antibody bound to cell surface envelope glycoprotein complexes at various antibody concentrations was determined to establish the binding curves shown in Fig. 2. The half-saturating concentration of antibody F105, which reflects the affinity of the antibody for the cell surface envelope glycoprotein complexes, exhibited only marginal differences between the wild-type and 582 A/T mutant glycoproteins. Relative to that

FIG. 2. F105 and 48d antibody binding to envelope glycoproteins expressed on the surface of COS-1 cells. COS-1 cells expressing either wild-type or 582 A/T mutant envelope glycoproteins were incubated with serial dilutions of antibody F105 or 48d. The amount of bound antibody at each concentration was determined as described in Materials and Methods and is expressed in arbitrary densitometric units.

of the wild-type glycoproteins, a decrease in the ratio of the 582 A/T gp120 to gp160 protein precipitated in this assay was observed for the F105 antibody, but not for the 48d or 110.4 antibodies (data not shown). This might reflect a subtle difference in the ability of the F105 antibody to recognize the fully folded gpl20 form of the 582 A/T mutant and that of the wild-type glycoproteins. The differences in binding of antibody 48d were more pronounced than the differences observed for antibody F105 (Fig. 2). The observed differences for antibody 48d could be explained by a lower number of antibody binding sites, perhaps due to decreased cell surface expression of the 582 A/T mutant, as well as small decreases in antibody binding affinity.

It has been suggested that not only the affinity of an antibody for its target molecule but also the kinetics of binding may be important for successful neutralization (11). To assess the on rate of F105, this antibody was allowed to bind to wild-type or mutant envelope glycoprotein on the surface of COS-1 cells for 1, 2, 10, 30, 60, or 120 min, respectively. The amount of bound antibody increased with longer times of incubation. However, no significant difference with regard to the relative amounts of bound antibody could be observed between the wild-type and 582 A/T mutant envelope glycoproteins (Fig. 3).

Binding of antibody to envelope glycoproteins in the presence of CD4. The epitopes for both antibodies F105 and 48d are proximal to the CD4 binding site on the gpl20 glycoproteins (41, 42). F105 competes with the cellular receptor for binding to the gpl20 protein, whereas the binding of 48d to gpl20 is enhanced in the presence of small amounts of soluble CD4 (30, 35). The binding of antibodies F105 and 48d to cell surface wild-type and 582 A/T mutant envelope glycoprotein complexes in the presence of increasing amounts of soluble CD4 was examined. In this experiment, at least part of the VOL. 68, 1994

FIG. 3. Kinetics of F105 binding to the envelope glycoprotein. COS-1 cells expressing either wild-type or 582 A/f mutant envelope were incubated with radiolabeled F105 antibody for the indicated amount of time. Scanning densitometry of autoradiograms showing heavy and light chains of F105 antibody was performed to determine the relative amounts of bound antibody, which are expressed in arbitrary densitometric units.

small difference in binding of antibodies F105 and 48d to the mutant and wild-type envelope glycoproteins in the absence of soluble CD4 reflects the somewhat less efficient expression of the 582 A/T mutant in the transfected cells (Fig. 4A and B, lanes ¹ and 5). When plasmid pSVIIIenv was used for envelope glycoprotein expression, as in the experiment shown in Fig. 4, we occasionally observed lower steady-state levels of expression for the 582 A/T mutant than for the wild-type glycoproteins. Binding of antibody F105 to the 257 T/G mutant envelope glycoprotein, which confers resistance to neutralization by that antibody, is similar to background levels of binding observed in the absence of envelope glycoproteins (Fig. 4A, lanes 9 and 10). Even though the 257 T/G mutant is more sensitive to neutralization by antibody 48d than is the 582 A/T mutant (data not shown), it is recognized less efficiently than the latter in the absence of soluble CD4 (Fig. 4B, lanes ¹⁰ and 11). In the presence of increasing amounts of soluble CD4, the binding of antibody F105 to the wild-type envelope glycoproteins was decreased. Since these experiments were conducted at room temperature, at which soluble CD4-induced shedding of the gpl20 glycoprotein is less efficient, most of this decrease in F105 binding reflects direct competition of antibody binding by soluble CD4. Roughly twofold differences in the concentration of soluble CD4 required to reduce F105 binding by 50% were observed for the wild-type and 582 A/T mutant proteins. Addition of soluble CD4 resulted in an increased binding of the 48d antibody to COS-1 cells expressing the wild-type or 582 A/T envelope glycoprotein. The amount of soluble CD4 required to achieve a half-maximal increase in 48d binding was within twofold for the wild-type and 582 A/T glycoproteins. At very high soluble CD4 concentrations $(35 \mu g/ml)$, the apparent decrease in 48d binding probably reflects shedding of the gpl20 glycoprotein.

DISCUSSION

In vitro propagation of HIV-1 in the presence of neutralizing sera from an infected individual resulted in the generation of a variant of the virus that was resistant to 30% of sera that were

FIG. 4. Binding of antibodies F105 and 48d to envelope glycoprotein complexes in the presence of soluble CD4. COS-1 cells transfected with plasmids expressing either wild-type (w.t.) or mutant (257 T/G, 582 A/T) envelope glycoproteins or a control plasmid (pSVIIIenv Δ KS) were incubated at room temperature with radiolabeled antibody (F1O5 or 48d) in the presence of the indicated amounts of soluble CD4 (sCD4). The data shown in lanes ⁹ and ¹⁰ of panel A and lanes ⁹ to ¹¹ of panel B represent antibody binding in the absence of soluble CD4. Shown are the bands of the heavy chains of antibodies F105 (A) and 48d (B) as detected on SDS-polyacrylamide gels. The data shown in panel B were all derived from ^a single experiment. However, the data in lanes 9 to 11 were generated by a significantly longer exposure of the gels than that shown in lanes ¹ to 8. The half-maximal doses of soluble CD4 for inhibition of gp120-F105 binding were 6 and 2.5 μ g/ml for wild-type and 582 A/f envelope glycoproteins, respectively. For the soluble CD4-induced enhancement of gp12O-48d binding, the halfmaximal doses were 2 μ g/ml for wild-type envelope and 4 μ g/ml for the 582 A/f mutant.

able to neutralize the parental virus (33, 46). The resistant phenotype was due to a mutation in the envelope gene giving rise to a 582 A/T change gp41 (31). Here we show that the 582 A/T change in gp41 results in the relative resistance of both virus entry and syncytium formation to inhibition by antibodies that recognize gp12O. The 582 A/T mutant escapes from neutralization by several monoclonal antibodies directed against conserved, discontinuous epitopes in gp12O. Antibodies targeted against the CD4 binding site as well as those whose binding is enhanced by the CD4-gpl2O interaction were less efficient at inhibiting the function of the 582 A/f mutant relative to that of the wild-type glycoprotein. The 582 A/f mutant was neutralized by monoclonal antibodies recognizing the V2 or V3 variable gp120 region or by antibody 2F5, which recognizes gp4l. The sensitivity of the 582 A/f mutant to soluble CD4 did not differ from that of the wild-type virus. Thus, the neutralization escape by the 582 A/f mutant appears to be specific for the group of anti-gp12O antibodies recognizing relatively conserved, discontinuous epitopes. The resistance of the 582 A/T mutant virus to neutralization by a substantial percentage of sera derived from HIV-l-infected individuals is consistent with reports suggesting that much of the neutralizing activity in such sera is directed against discontinuous gp120 epitopes (4, 26). Since the variable loop sequences of the HXBc2 parental virus used to generate the neutralization escape mutant differ from those of most HIV-1 patient isolates, cscapc from neutralizing antibodies directed against these regions was probably not selected for.

The binding of antibodies F105 and 48d to the neutralization-resistant 582 A/T envelope glycoprotein complex was investigated in both the absence and presence of soluble CD4. In these experiments, the binding of antibody to the cell surface cnvelope glycoproteins was analyzed. These measurements should be relevant to the inhibition of syncytium formation by the antibodies. They may also be instructive with respect to the binding of antibodies to the virion spike. The low infectious fraction associated with retroviruses precludes confident interpretation of direct measurements of antibody-virion binding. Only subtle changes in binding affinity, on rate, or interaction with soluble CD4 were apparent for antibodies Fl05 and 48d when the 582 A/T mutant was compared with the wild-type glycoproteins on the cell surface. These results differ from those observed with amino acid changes in HIV-l gpl20, in which case loss of neutralization was clearly associated with a decreased ability of antibody to bind the cell surface envelope glycoprotein complex. Conversely, gpl20 mutants that exhibit an increase in the ability to bind neutralizing antibodies relative to the wild-type glycoproteins were also more sensitive to neutralization (39, 41, 42). For viruses other than HIV-1, loss of sensitivity to neutralization has been observed in some cases, even when the binding of the neutralizing antibody to the virus is retained (for a review, see reference 8). For such Newcastle disease virus variants, particles that remain infectious despite the presence of attached mouse monoclonal antibodies can be neutralized by the addition of rabbit antimouse immunoglobulin G (16). Neutralization did not result from addition of anti-human immunoglobulin G to ⁵⁸² A/T virions preincubated with antibody F105 (data not shown). In addition, interference between antibodies that bind near the CD4 binding site and that fail to neutralize the 582 A/T mutant and competing antibodies that retain neutralizing ability for the mutant was not observed (38a). Thus, the available data do not allow us to conclude definitely that the mutant virions infect cells efficiently despite the presence of bound antibody.

The 582 A/T mutant specifically escapes from neutralizing antibodies directed against gpl20 regions related to the CD4 binding site but not from soluble CD4 itself or from other neutralizing antibodies. The simplest physical explanation for such a specific escape is a proximity, on the intact gp120-gp41 complex, of alanine 582 and elements shared by the discontinuous, conserved gpl20 neutralization epitopes. The 582 A/T change may introduce very subtle changes in the epitopes that are only marginally detectable by our assays but significantly alter neutralization.

The alanine at position 582 is highly conserved among HIV-1 isolates (28). This finding suggests that while the alteration of this residuc was selected in a tissue culture setting, such a selection would not be favored in vivo. The lower replicative and syncytium-forming abilities of the 582 A/T mutant than of the wild-type glycoprotein probably account for this (46). Amino acid changes in gpl20, presumably near the binding sites for the antibodies, have been previously shown to allow escape from neutralizing monoclonal antibodies directed against the CD4 binding site or the CD4-induced epitopes. In several cases, these amino acid changes exerted little effect on the efficiency of virus entry or syncytium formation (39, 41). Why did none of these changes, apparently preferred with respect to viral replicative ability, appear under the selective pressure of the HIV-1-infected patient serum? The neutralizing antibodies that compete with CD4 for gpl20 binding represent a group of antibodies with different complementarity-determining region sequences and overlapping but distinct epitopes, as evidenced by different sensitivities to gpl20 amino acid changes (39-42). Since the selecting serum presumably contained ^a mixture of different members of this antibody group, a change in gp4l probably represented the optimal alternative for simultaneous escape from this mixture. It is encouraging that this optimal alternative in tissue culture is unlikely to favor in vivo replication. This is consistent with the conservation of alanine 582 in natural HIV-1 isolates (28). Populations of HIV-1 present in infected individuals have been shown to be resistant to neutralization by the homologous serum (1, 12). In vivo selection by serum neutralizing antibodies may also be associated with a compromised viral replicative ability, through changes in amino acids other than alanine 582. An understanding of the mechanisms of natural escape from serum neutralizing ability will require further studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Amy Emmert and Virginia Nixon for manuscript preparation and artwork. We thank David Ho for some of the reagents used in these studies.

Markus Thali is the recipient of a fellowship from the Swiss National Science Foundation. MacArthur Charles received partial support from the Pediatric AIDS Foundation. Joseph Sodroski was supported by NIH grant A131783. James Robinson was supported by NIH grant AI24030. This work was made possible by a gift from the G. Harold and Leila Y. Mathers Charitable Foundation and a gift from the late William McCarty-Cooper. The Dana-Farber Cancer Institute is ^a recipient of Cancer Center grant CA 06516 and Center for AIDS Research grant A128691.

REFERENCES

- 1. Akerblom, L., J. Hinkula, P. Broliden, B. Makitalo, T. Fridberger, J. Rosen, M. Villacres-Eriksson, B. Morein, and B. Wahren. 1990. Neutralizing cross-reactive and non-neutralizing monoclonal antibodies to HIV-1 gpl20. AIDS 4:954-960.
- 2. Allen, J. S., J. E. Coligan, F. Barin, J. G. Sodroski, C. A. Rosen, W. A. Haseltine, T. H. Lee, and M. Essex. 1985. Major glycoprotein antigens that induce antibodies in AIDS patients are encoded by HTLV-III. Science 228:1091-1094.
- 3. Berman, P., T. Gregory, L. Riddle, G. Nakamura, M. Champe, J. Porter, F. Wurm, R. Hershberg, E. K. Cobb, and J. Eichberg. 1990. Protection of chimpanzees from infection by HIV-1 after vaccination with recombinant glycoprotein gp120 but not gp160. Nature (London) 345:622-625.
- 4. Chamat, S., P. Nara, L. Berquist, A. Whalley, W. J. W. Morrow, H. Köhler, and C.-Y. Kang. 1992. Two major groups of neutralizing anti-gpl20 antibodies exist in HIV-infected individuals. J. Immunol. 149:645-654.
- 5. Coffin, J. 1986. Genetic variation in AIDS viruses. Cell 46:1-4.
- 6. Dalgleish, A. G., P. C. L. Beverley, P. R. Clapham, D. H. Crawford, M. F. Greaves, and R. A. Weiss. 1984. The CD4 (T4) antigen is an essential component of the receptor for the AIDS retrovirus. Nature (London) 312:763-767.
- 7. di Marzo Veronese, F., R. Rahman, R. Pal, C. Boyer, J. Romano, V. S. Kalyanaraman, B. C. Nair, R. C. Gallo, and M. G. Sarngadharan. 1992. Delineation of immunoactive conserved regions in the external glycoprotein of the human immunodeficiency virus type 1. AIDS Res. Hum. Retroviruses 8:1125-1132.
- Dimmock, N. J. 1987. Multiple mechanisms of neutralization of animal viruses. Trends Biochem. Sci. 12:70-75.
- 9. Emini, E., W. Schleif, J. Nunberg, A. Conley, Y. Eda, S. Tokiyoshi, S. Putney, S. Matsushita, K. Cobb, C. Jett, J. Eichberg, and K. Murthy. 1992. Prevention of HIV-1 infection in chimpanzees by

gpl20 V3 domain-specific monoclonal antibody. Nature (London) 355:728-730.

- 10. Fauci, A., A. Macher, D. Longo, H. C. Lane, A. Rook, H. Masur, and E. Gelmann. 1984. Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome: epidemiologic, clinical, immunologic, and therapeutic considerations. Ann. Intern. Med. 100:92-106.
- 11. Foote, J., and C. Milstein. 1991. Kinetic maturation of an immune response. Nature (London) 352:530-532.
- 12. Gegerfelt, A., J. Albert, J. Morfeldt-Månson, K. Broliden, and E. M. Fenyö. 1991. Isolate-specific neutralizing antibodies in patients with progressive HIV-1-related disease. Virology 185: 162-168.
- 13. Helseth, E., M. Kowalski, D. Gabuzda, U. Olshevsky, W. Haseltine, and J. Sodroski. 1990. Rapid complementation assays measuring replicative potential of human immunodeficiency virus type ¹ envelope glycoprotein mutants. J. Virol. 64:2416-2420.
- 14. Ho, D., J. McKeating, X. Li, T. Moudgil, E. Daar, N.-C. Sun, and J. Robinson. 1991. Conformational epitope on gpl20 important in CD4 binding and human immunodeficiency virus type ¹ neutralization identified by ^a human monoclonal antibody. J. Virol. 65:489-493.
- 15. Ho, D. D., M. S. C. Fung, Y. Cao, X. L. Li, C. Sung, T. W. Chang, and N.-C. Sun. 1991. Another discontinuous epitope on glycoprotein gpl2O that is important in human immunodeficiency virus type ¹ neutralization is identified by a monoclonal antibody. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:8949-8952.
- 16. lorio, R. M., and M. A. Bratt. 1985. Selection of unique antigenic variants of Newcastle disease virus with neutralizing monoclonal antibodies and anti-immunoglobulin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:7106-7110.
- 17. Karwowska, S., M. K. Gorny, A. Buchbinder, V. Giankakos, C. Williams, T. Fuerst, and S. Zolla-Pazner. 1992. Production of human monoclonal antibodies specific for conformational and linear non-V3 epitopes of gpl20. AIDS Res. Hum. Retroviruses 8:1099-1106.
- 18. Klasse, P. J., J. P. Moore, and B. A. Jameson. 1993. The interplay of the HIV-1 envelope complex, gpl20 and gp4l, with CD4. In C. Morrow and N. Haigwood (ed.), HIV molecular organization, pathogenicity and treatment-1993. Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Amsterdam.
- 19. Klatzmann, D., E. Champagne, S. Chamaret, J. Gruest, D. Guetard, T. Hercend, J. C. Gluckman, and L. Montagnier. 1984. T-lymphocyte T4 molecule behaves as the receptor for human retrovirus LAV. Nature (London) 312:767-768.
- 20. Kunkel, T. A., J. D. Roberts, and R. A. Zakour. 1987. Rapid and efficient site-specific mutagenesis without phenotypic selection. Methods Enzymol. 154:367-382.
- 21. Lane, M. C., J. Depper, W. Greene, G. Whalen, T. Waldemann, and A. Fauci. 1985. Qualitative analysis of immune functions in patients with the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 313:79-84.
- 22. Linsley, P., J. Ledbetter, E. Thomas, and S.-L. Hu. 1988. Effects of anti-gp ¹²⁰ monoclonal antibodies on CD4 receptor binding by the env protein of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J. Virol. 62:3695-3702.
- 23. Maddon, P. J., A. G. Dalgleish, J. S. McDougal, P. R. Clapham, R. A. Weiss, and R. Axel. 1986. The T4 gene encodes the AIDS virus receptor and is expressed in the immune system and brain. Cell 47:333-348.
- 24. McDougal, J. S., M. S. Kennedy, J. M. Sligh, S. P. Cort, A. Mawle, and J. K. Nicholson. 1986. Binding of the HTLV-III/LAV to T4+ cells by ^a complex of the 110K viral protein and the T4 molecule. Science 231:382-385.
- 25. McKeating, J., M. Thali, C. Furman, S. Karwowska, M. Gorney, J. Cordell, S. Zolla-Pazner, J. Sodroski, and R. Weiss. 1992. Amino acid residues of the human immunodeficiency virus type ¹ gpl20 critical for the binding of rat and human neutralizing antibodies that block the gpl2O-sCD4 interaction. Virology 190:134-142.
- 26. Moore, J. P., and D. D. Ho. 1993. Antibodies to discontinuous or conformationally sensitive epitopes on the gpl2O glycoprotein of human immunodeficiency virus type ¹ are highly prevalent in sera of infected humans. J. Virol. 67:863-875.
- 27. Moore, J. P., M. Thali, B. A. Jameson, F. Vignaux, G. K. Lewis,

S.-W. Poon, M. Charles, M. S. Fung, B. Sun, P. J. Durda, L. Akerblom, B. Wahren, D. D. Ho, Q. J. Sattentau, and J. Sodroski. 1993. Immunochemical analysis of the gpl2O surface glycoprotein of human immunodeficiency virus type 1: probing the structure of the C4 and V4 domains and the interaction of the C4 domain with the V3 loop. J. Virol. 67:4785-4796.

- 27a.Muster, T., F. Steindl, M. Purtscher, A. Trkola, A. Klima, G. Himmler, F. Růker, and H. Katinger. 1993. A conserved neutralizing epitope on gp4l of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J. Virol. 67:6642-6647.
- 28. Myers, G., J. Berzofsky, B. Korber, R. Smith, and G. Paviakis. 1992. Human retroviruses and AIDS. A compilation and analysis of nucleic acid and amino acid sequences. Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, N. Mex.
- 29. Olshevsky, U., E. Helseth, C. Furman, J. Li, W. Haseltine, and J. Sodroski. 1990. Identification of individual human immunodeficiency virus type ^I gpl20 amino acids important for CD4 receptor binding. J. Virol. 64:5701-5707.
- 30. Posner, M., T. Hideshima, T. Cannon, M. Mukherjee, K. Mayer, and R. Byrn. 1991. An IgG human monoclonal antibody which reacts with HIV-1 gpI2O, inhibits virus binding to cells, and
- neutralizes infection. J. Immunol. 146:4325-4332. 31. Reitz, M. S., Jr., C. Wilson, C. Naugle, R. C. Gallo, and M. Robert-Guroff. 1988. Generation of a neutralization-resistant variant of HIV-1 is due to selection for a point mutation in the envelope gene. Cell 54:57-63.
- 32. Robert-Guroff, M., M. Brown, and R. C. Gallo. 1985. HTLV-IIIneutralizing antibodies in patients with AIDS and AIDS-related complex. Nature (London) 316:72-74.
- 33. Robert-Guroff, M., M. S. Reitz, Jr., W. G. Robey, and R. C. Gallo. 1986. In vitro generation of an HTLV-III variant by neutralizing antibody. J. Immunol. 137:3306-3309.
- 34. Robey, W. G., B. Safai, S. Oroszlan, L. Arthur, M. Gonda, R. Gallo, and P. J. Fischinger. 1985. Characterization of envelope and core structural gene products of HTLV-III with sera from AIDS patients. Science 228:593-595.
- 35. Robinson, J., and D. D. Ho. Unpublished data.
- 36. Skinner, M., A. Langlois, C. McDanal, J. S. McDougal, D. Bolognesi, and T. Matthews. 1988. Neutralizing antibodies to an immunodominant envelope sequence do not prevent gpl2O binding to CD4. J. Virol. 62:4195-4200.
- 37. Steimer, K. S., C. J. Scandella, P. V. Skiles, and N. L. Haigwood. 1991. Neutralization of divergent HIV-1 isolates by conformationdependent human antibodies to gpl20. Science 254:105-108.
- 38. Stein, B., S. Gouda, J. Lifson, R. Penhallow, K. Bensch, and E. Engleman. 1987. pH-independent HIV entry into CD4-positive T cells via virus envelope fusion to the plasma membrane. Cell 49:659-668.
- 38a.Thali, M., D. Burton, and J. Sodroski. Unpublished data.
- 39. Thali, M., C. Furman, D. D. Ho, J. Robinson, S. Tilley, A. Pinter, and J. Sodroski. 1992. Discontinuous, conserved neutralization epitopes overlapping the CD4 binding region of the human immunodeficiency virus type ^I gpl2O envelope glycoprotein. J. Virol. 66:5635-5641.
- 40. Thali, M., C. Furman, B. Wahren, M. Posner, D. Ho, J. Robinson, and J. Sodroski. 1992. Cooperativity of neutralizing antibodies directed against the V3 and CD4 binding regions of the HIV-1 gpl2(envelope glycoprotein. J. Acquired Immune Defic. Syndr. 5:591-599.
- 41. Thali, M., J. P. Moore, C. Furman, M. Charles, D. D. Ho, J. Robinson, and J. Sodroski. 1993. Characterization of conserved human immunodeficiency virus type ¹ gp120 neutralization epitopes exposed upon gp12O-CD4 binding. J. Virol. 67:3978- 3988.
- 42. Thali, M., U. Olshevsky, C. Furman, D. Gabuzda, M. Posner, and J. Sodroski. 1991. Characterization of ^a discontinuous human immunodeficiency virus type ¹ gpl2O epitope recognized by a broadly neutralizing human monoclonal antibody. J. Virol. 65: 6186-6193.
- 43. Tilley, S. A., W. J. Honnen, M. E. Racho, M. Hilgartner, and A. Pinter. 1991. A human monoclonal antibody against the CD4 binding site of HIV-1 gpl2O exhibits potent, broadly neutralizing activity. Res. Virol. 142:247-259.
- 44. Weiss, R. A., P. R. Clapham, R. Cheingsong-Popov, A. G. Dalgleish, C. A. Carne, I. V. D. Weller, and R. S. Tedder. 1985. Neutralization of human T-lymphotropic virus type III by sera of AIDS and AIDS-risk patients. Nature (London) 316:69-72.
- 45. Weiss, R. A., P. R. Clapham, J. N. Weber, A. G. Daigleish, L. A. Lasky, and P. W. Berman. 1986. Variable and conserved neutralization antigens of human immunodeficiency virus. Nature (London) 324:572-575.
- 46. Wilson, C., M. S. Reitz, Jr., K. Aldrich, P. J. Klasse, J. Blomberg,

R. C. Gallo, and M. Robert-Guroff. 1990. The site of an immuneselected point mutation in the transmembrane protein of human immunodeficiency virus type ¹ does not constitute the neutralization epitope. J. Virol. 64:3240-3248.

47. Wyatt, R., M. Thali, S. Tilley, A. Pinter, M. Posner, D. Ho, J. Robinson, and J. Sodroski. 1992. Relationship of the human immunodeficiency virus type ^I gpl20 third variable loop to a component of the CD4 binding site in the fourth conserved region. J. Virol. 66:6997-7004.