

ONLINE DATA SUPPLEMENT

**Enhanced transmural fiber rotation and Cx43 heterogeneity are associated
with an increased upper limit of vulnerability
in a transgenic rabbit model of human hypertrophic cardiomyopathy**

Short title: Increased ULV in a transgenic rabbit model of HCM

Crystal M. Ripplinger, Wenwen Li, Jennifer Hadley, Junjie Chen, Florence Rothenberg,
Raffaella Lombardi, Samuel A. Wickline, Ali J. Marian, Igor R. Efimov

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Optical Mapping Experiments

Hearts were stained by a gradual injection of 50 μ L of stock solution (1.25 mg/ml) of the voltage-sensitive dye di-4-ANEPPS (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) diluted in dimethylsulfoxide (Sigma Aldrich, Inc., St. Louis, MO). To mimic the electrode configuration of external defibrillation, two stainless steel mesh electrodes were placed into the solution chamber with one mesh facing the lateral right ventricular (RV) wall and the other mesh facing the lateral left ventricular (LV) wall with 10 cm spacing between the meshes.

Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Within the slice, the helix angle (α) was calculated at 10 steps across the LV wall from epi- to endocardium in four 20°-wide sectors at the anterior, lateral (between the papillary muscles), inferior, and septal regions. The fiber angle for the entire slice was then calculated as the average of these four regions. To account for inherent geometrical differences between WT and TG hearts, a local coordinate system was used for calculation of α . First described by Streeter,¹⁻³ this coordinate system is known to be the least sensitive to geometrical changes compared to other coordinate systems reported in DTMRI studies.

Data Analysis

Optical Mapping Data Analysis

From the S1-S2 data (20 S1 pacing stimuli at 300 ms followed by an S2), the control APD (APD_c) was defined as APD₈₀ of the 19th paced beat, as opposed to the 20th, to avoid erroneous calculation of the APD during short S2 intervals. The test APD (APD_t) was defined as APD₈₀ of

the S2 action potential. The control diastolic interval (DI_c) was defined as the time between APD_{80} of the 19th paced beat and activation of the 20th paced beat. Test DI (DI_t) was defined as the time between the predicted APD_{80} of the 20th paced beat and the activation time of the S2 action potential. To account for inherent differences in APD and DI among the different PDA channel locations as well as between animals, normalized restitution plots were obtained by dividing APD_t by APD_c and DI_t by DI_c for each PDA channel. Restitution plots were generated by plotting normalized APD against the corresponding normalized DI for each PDA channel. [Figures 3A and 3B](#) show an example restitution plot before and after normalization.

The maximum slope of the normalized restitution data was estimated with a linear fit by including points at the steepest part of the curve (shortest DIs) ([Figure 3C](#)). For those animals in which a full APD restitution curve was performed, the normalized data was also fit using non-linear least-squares regression to a biexponential function⁴ according to the following equation:

$$APD_{fit} = B_1 - A_1 e^{-DI/\tau_1} - A_2 e^{-DI/\tau_2} \quad \text{Eq (1)}$$

where APD_{fit} is the APD at the corresponding DI and A_1 , A_2 , τ_1 , τ_2 , and B are the fitting parameters. A biexponential was chosen to accommodate the shortening of APD at very long DIs that is sometimes observed in rabbit myocardium⁴ ([Figure 3B](#)). The dispersion of APD_{80} during pacing at a cycle length of 300 ms was calculated according to the following equation:

$$disp = StDev(APD_{80}) / Mean(APD_{80}) * 100 \quad \text{Eq (2)}$$

Conduction velocity vector fields were calculated from activation maps using a polynomial fitting method developed by Bayly et al.⁵ Activation maps of paced data were used to estimate the longitudinal (C_l) and transverse (C_t) conduction velocities by calculating the velocity vectors

during the time period from approximately 5 to 15 ms after the earliest activation. The magnitude of each velocity vector was then plotted against the angle of the vector and the resulting points were fit using a non-linear least-squares regression to the sum of two sinusoids according to the following equation:

$$CV_{fit} = A_1 \sin(x - x_1) + A_2 \sin(2x - x_2) + B \quad \text{Eq (3)}$$

where CV_{fit} is the amplitude of the sinusoid at the corresponding angle x and A_1 , A_2 , x_1 , x_2 , and B are the fitting parameters. The maximum and the minimum points of the sinusoidal fit were deemed C_l and C_t , respectively. This procedure was performed for paced beats at a basic cycle length of 300 ms as well as for an S2 at 180 ms. An example activation map and corresponding velocity vectors are shown in [Figure 4A](#) and the magnitude and angle of the velocity vectors plotted with the sinusoidal fit are shown in [Figure 4B](#).

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

	WT		TG	
	RV-	LV-	RV-	LV-
%APD	77.5 ± 6.0	79.2 ± 3.1	82.0 ± 3.5	81.3 ± 3.1
VEP_{max} (mV)	34.5 ± 14.5	84.4 ± 4.2	29.1 ± 8.7	80.5 ± 21.7
VEP_{min} (mV)	-44.2 ± 5.5	-26.1 ± 5.5	-33.9 ± 6.2	-23.3 ± 4.0
VEP_{max} – VEP_{min} (mV)	78.7 ± 15.0	110.4 ± 6.5	63.1 ± 13.4	103.8 ± 19.6

Online Table 1: Post-shock maximum and minimum virtual electrode polarization (VEP) in response to an 8 V/cm shock applied at approximately 80% APD. Comparisons between transgenic (TG) and wild-type (WT) animals revealed no significant differences for any parameter.

	WT	TG
Slope (Linear)	0.424 ± 0.262	0.472 ± 0.182
τ_1^* (ms) (Biexponential)	0.342 ± 0.209	0.281 ± 0.068
Mean APD ₈₀ (ms)	150.8 ± 13.2	154.0 ± 13.9
APD ₈₀ Dispersion	6.82 ± 4.21	6.80 ± 2.96
ERP (ms)	171.7 ± 12.11	174.2 ± 13.6

* τ_1 calculated from 6 of 12 animals

Online Table 2: Action potential duration (APD) parameters. Mean and standard deviation of several parameters relating to the APD for wild-type (WT) and transgenic (TG) hearts. τ_1 : time constant of the rising phase of the biexponential fit, ERP: effective refractory period.

Conduction Velocity (m/s)

S2 = 180 ms		
	WT	TG
C_l (m/s)	0.577 ± 0.104	0.544 ± 0.045
C_t (m/s)	0.212 ± 0.033	0.194 ± 0.024
ratio	2.712 ± 0.147	2.851 ± 0.540

S1 = 300 ms		
	WT	TG
C_l (m/s)	0.593 ± 0.092	0.565 ± 0.055
C_t (m/s)	0.238 ± 0.027	0.220 ± 0.034
ratio	2.494 ± 0.427	2.601 ± 0.314

Online Table 3: Epicardial conduction velocities. Mean and standard deviation of longitudinal (C_l) and transverse (C_t) conduction velocities for wild-type (WT) and transgenic (TG) hearts at two different pacing intervals. The anisotropic ratio (C_l / C_t) of conduction velocity is also listed. Comparisons between TG and WT animals revealed no significant differences for any parameter.

Percent Cx43	WT			TG		
	endo	mid	epi	endo	mid	epi
Mean \pm StDev	5.31 ± 2.46	$2.68 \pm 0.77^*$	4.23 ± 1.00	3.92 ± 2.48	5.46 ± 2.44	4.92 ± 1.51

* $p < 0.05$ vs TG mid and $p < 0.05$ vs WT endo

Online Table 4: Connexin 43 (Cx43) densities. Table of Cx43 densities from the graph shown in Figure 6D. p -values reflect comparison between WT and TG hearts obtained with Fisher's protected t-test.

References

1. Streeter DD, Jr., Hanna WT. Engineering mechanics for successive states in canine left ventricular myocardium. I. Cavity and wall geometry. *Circ Res.* 1973;33:639-655.
2. Streeter DD, Jr., Hanna WT. Engineering mechanics for successive states in canine left ventricular myocardium. II. Fiber angle and sarcomere length. *Circ Res.* 1973;33:656-664.
3. Streeter DD, Jr. Gross morphology and fiber geometry of the heart. In: Handbook of Physiology. The Cardiovascular System. The Heart. Berne RM, ed. 1979. American Physiological Society.
4. Szigligeti P, Banyasz T, Magyar J, Szigeti G, Papp Z, Varro A, Nanasi PP. Intracellular calcium and electrical restitution in mammalian cardiac cells. *Acta Physiol Scand.* 1998;163:139-147.
5. Bayly PV, KenKnight BH, Rogers JM, Hillsley RE, Ideker RE, Smith WM. Estimation of conduction velocity vector fields from epicardial mapping data. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 1998;45:563-571.