Supporting Information

Wang et al. 10.1073/pnas.0708951105

SI Experimental Procedures

Targeting Constructs and Screening for Positive ESCs. The Cdx4 knockout parental vector (plox-Cdx4) was a kind gift from Dr. Brian Huntly, in which a 4-kb fragment containing Cdx4 genomic DNA 40 bp downstream of the first exon was used as 3'-arm of homologous recombination. We made the following modifications to this vector: (*i*) the 3'arm was extended to 5.8 kb by cloning a 1.8-kb fragment of Cdx4 amplified from genomic DNA into plox-Cdx4; (*ii*) a 2-kb fragment upstream ATG codon of Cdx4 was amplified from mouse genomic DNA was used as 5' arm of homologous recombination. A GFP cDNA was fused in frame with ATG of Cdx4 to express GFP under Cdx4 promoter. Cdx4-GFP fragment was cloned into KpnI/ApaI digested plox-Cdx4 from step *i*; (*iii*) a DTA cDNA driven by PGK promoter was inserted after the 3'arm of Cdx4 disruption construct.

Two parental ESC lines were used, one is V6.5 (a kind gift from Dr. Rudolf Jaenisch, F1 ESCs from 129xC57bl/6), another is tetracycline inducible Cdx4 ESCs (icdx4), in which a Cdx4cDNA was inserted into a tetracycline inducible locus flanked by loxP sites (1). Both ESC lines are from male embryos (40+XY). The genomic locus of Cdx4 is at X-chromosome.

ESCs were electroporated with NotI linearized targeting vector, and treated with 140 μ g/ml hygromycin B at 24 h after electroporation. Hygromycin B resistant ESC clones were screened for single correct insertion of GFP cDNA by Southern blot analysis. Two correct icdx4-derived and four V6.5-derived ESC lines were obtained after screening. Because the Cdx4 was located at X-chromosome, single correct insertion of GFP in these ESC lines resulted in a total disruption of the Cdx4 genomic locus ($Cdx4^{GFP/y}$). These ESC clones were subjected to second round of electroporation with either CRE or Fle expression vectors with puromycin-resistant gene to excise the CMV-hygromycin-GFP to prevent the formation of truncated Cdx4. ESCs were treated with 2 μ g/ml puromycin 24 h after electroporation for 2 days only, and individual clones were then selected and tested for hygromycin sensitivity and GFP-. Correct clones were further confirmed by Southern blot analysis and PCR to confirm the complete excision of the CMVhygromycin-GFP. Because Cdx4 cDNA was flanked by loxP sites in icdx4-derived clones, Fle-excision would only loop out CMVhygromycin with the Cdx4 at the inducible locus intact. Therefore, the expression of Cdx4 could be induced with doxycycline treatment. All PCR primer and PCR conditions used to make the targeting vector are available upon request. In summary, following are the *Cdx4* knockout ESC lines used in this study:

KO1, KO2: icdx4-derived, contain no endogenous or inducible *Cdx4*

KO3: icdx4-derived; with endogenous Cdx4 locus disrupted, but contains inducible Cdx4 locus.

KO4: V6.5-derived

Genomic DNA Isolation and Southern Blot Analysis. Genomic DNA was isolated according to the manufacturer's protocol (Gentra Systems) and Southern blot analysis was performed according to standard procedures. *Cdx4* 5' and 3'probes were PCR products amplified from mouse genomic DNA outside of the homologous region. Hygromycin probe was amplified from the parental vector plox-cdx4. Probe labeling and DNA hybridization were performed according to standard protocol and manufacture's

recommendation (Roche, DIG labeling kit and DIG Wash and Block Buffer Set). The first probe was stripped from membrane by washing twice in striping solution (0.2M NaOH and 0.1% SDS), and rinsed with 2xSSC before hybridization with next probe. All PCR primers and PCR conditions used to make these probes were available upon request.

Establishing and Genotyping Cdx4 Knockout/GFP Knockin Mice. Cdx4 knockout/GFP knockin mice were generated from four V6.5derived *Cdx4* knockout ESC lines by traditional blastocyst injection (BALB/c as blastocyst donor) or standard tetraploid complementation (with CD1 as tetraploid blastocyst donor). Chimeric mice generated from traditional blastocyst injection were crossed back to BALB/c to check for germline transmission. The Cdx4 heterozygote $(Cdx4^{GFP/+})$, homozygote $(Cdx4^{GFP/GFP})$ and hemizygote $(Cdx4^{GFP/y})$ mice were obtained by crossing the founder mice with C57BL/6. Cdx4 genotyping used similar protocol for Cdx1 described in the text (experimental procedures). Genotyping primers: Cdx4-F: 5'-TGACATGACCT CCCCAGTTTTCGGATC-3; AS3: 5'- TACCCTTACT CCCTTGACTTATCTGG-3'; GFP-F: 5'-TCATCTGCACCACCGGCAA-3'; GFP-R: 5'-GTTGTAGTTG-TACTCCAGCT-3', wild-type and mutant allele gave a 500bp and a 300bp band respectively. All experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Children's Hospital Boston, and conducted in accordance with the Animal Welfare Act and Public Health Service Policy.

Microarray Hybridization and Data Processing. Total RNA was extracted as described above from $Cdx2^{-/-}$ and $Cdx2^{+/+}$ day 6 EBs in three independent experiments to get biological triplicates. Gene expression analysis was conducted using Agilent Mouse Genome 4×44 K arrays according to the manufacturer's protocol (Agilent Technologies). Briefly, 500 ng of total RNA from each sample was amplified and labeled with Cy3 using the Agilent Low RNA Input Fluorescent Linear Amplification Kit. 1.5 μ g of Cy3-labeled cDNAs were then fragmented and hybridized to the slide for 17 h in a rotating hybridization oven at 65°C using the Agilent In Situ Hybridization Kit. Slides were then washed and scanned with an Agilent Scanner. Data were finally obtained with the Agilent Feature Extraction software (v9.1), using defaults for all parameters, and then deposited into Rosetta Resolver (Rosetta Biosoftware). The resultant profiles were combined into ratio experiments. Intensity plots were generated for each ratio experiment and gene alteration was considered statistically significant if the P value was less than 0.001. The function and network analysis was performed by using knowledge-based network software, Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Ingenuity Systems).

RNA Interference. Lentivirus-based shRNA against luciferase, Cdx1 or Cdx2 were generated as described in Fig. S4A. To select hygromycin-resistant ESCs, 140 μ g/ml hygromycin B were used 24 h after viral infection for seven days. EBs made from hygromycin B-resistant ESCs were treated continuously with 80 μ g/ml hygromycin B through EB development. Hygromycin-negative ESCs were tested under same conditions and no EBs could be formed with 50 μ g/ml hygromycin B treatment. In the case of combined gene knockdown, ESCs were infected sequentially with viral supernant containing shRNA against each target genes.

Wang Y, Yates F, Naveiras O, Ernst P, Daley GQ (2005) Embryonic stem cell-derived hematopoietic stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:19081–19086.



Fig. S1. Establishment and characterization of Cdx4 knockout ESCs and mice. (A and B) Cdx4 gene disruption construct, targeting strategy, and screening of ESC clones. The entire first exon of Cdx4 gene was replaced by a GFP cDNA, a poly(A) adenylation signal (to prevent the formation of truncated Cdx4 protein) and a CMV-driven hygromycin-GFP (Hygro-GFP) fusion gene, allowing positive selection of hygromycin B-resistant ESCs. Hygro-GFP was flanked by loxP and flp sites, which allowed Hygro-GFP to be excised by cre or fle. PGK-DTA (diphtheria toxin) would be deleted upon homologous recombination to enable negative selection of ESCs with random integration of the targeting construct. Correctly targeted ESC clones after hygromycin B selection could be distinguished by the size difference of the Xmnl fragment from wild-type controls, detected by Southern blot (the upper two panels of B). The ESC clones with a correct and single integration were electroporated again with a Cre-puromycin or a fle-puromycin expressing vector to excise the CMV-hygromycin-GFP gene to prevent the formation of truncated Cdx4 from CMV promoter. GFP⁻ and puromycin-resistant ESC clones were selected and confirmed by Southern blot analysis after XmnI/AvrII digestion of genomic DNA (of B). (C) The expression of Cdx4 and GFP during EB differentiation in Cdx4^{+/y} and/or Cdx4^{GFP/y} ESCs was examined by real-time RT-PCR. Cdx4 transcripts were not detectable by real-time RT-PCR in Cdx4-deficient (Cdx4^{GFP/y}) ESCs. GFP expression in Cdx4 knockout/GFP knockin ESCs mimicked that of endogenous Cdx4 during EB development. (D) GFP expression in Cdx4 knockout/GFP knockin mice mirrored that of endogenous Cdx4 during embryonic development. GFP expression was first detected at the base of the allantois at 7 dpc and then appeared in the caudal region of the embryo after 8.5 dpc, finally disappearing after 10 dpc. (E) Flow cytometry analysis of surface antigens on EB-derived cells collected at different time points. Cells expressing these markers were present at similar levels and time points in Cdx4^{GFP/y} (KO1, KO3, and KO4) and Cdx4^{+/y} EBs. Error bars represent one standard deviation calculated from duplicate samples. Results were reproduced from two independent experiments. (F-H) Hematopoietic colony formation from yolk sac (YS) at 7.5 dpc and 9 dpc (F), fetal liver at 14.5 dpc (G) or bone marrow (H) of Cdx4 knockout (Cdx4GFP/y and Cdx4GFP/GFP) mice compared to wild-type controls. Error bars represent one standard deviation. P values were calculated with Student's t test. (G and H) P value >0.05 for all comparisons, suggesting that no statistically significant differences were observed.



Fig. S1B. Fig. S1 continued.

SANG SA



Fig. S1C. Fig. S1 continued.



Day7.5





Day8.5





Day9 Fig. S1D. Fig. S1 continued.

PNAS







Fig. S1F. Fig. S1 continued.

F



Day 14.5 Fetal liver

G



Fig. S1H. Fig. S1 continued.

Η

DN A C

() <



Fig. 52. Characterization $Cdx1^{-/-}$ ESCs and mouse model. (*A*) $Cdx1^{-/-}$ and $Cdx1^{+/+}$ ESC lines were confirmed by PCR (*Upper*, genomic DNA isolated from day 6 EBs) and Western blot (*Lower*, absence of Cdx1 in $Cdx1^{-/-}$ day 4 EBs). (*B*) Hematopoietic differentiation from $Cdx1^{-/-}$ day 6 EBs was normal, as determined by flow cytometry analysis of surface antigens that recognize hematopoietic cells. $Cdx1^{+/+}$: WT; $Cdx1^{-/-}$: KO. (*C*) Expression levels of *Hox* genes in day 6 $Cdx1^{-/-}$ EBs, relative to wild-type control (WT), as measured with real-time RT-PCR. (*B* and *C*) Error bars represent one standard deviation calculated from triplicates in a representative experiment. Results were reproduced from two independent experiments. (*D*–*F*) No significant difference in hematopoietic colony formation from yolk sac at 7.5–9.5 dpc (*D*), fetal liver at 14.5 dpc (*E*), or bone marrow (*F*) of *Cdx1* heterozygote ($Cdx1^{-/-}$) or homozygote ($Cdx1^{-/-}$) null mice from wild-type controls ($Cdx1^{+/+}$). Error bars represent one standard deviation. *P* values were calculated with Student's t test. *P* value >0.05 for all comparison.

Α



Fig. S2B. Fig. S2 continued.

Β

DNAS

() <



DNAS

() <



Fig. S2D. Fig. S2 continued.

D



Fetal liver Day 14.5



Ε







Fig. S3. Characterization Cdx2^{-/-} ESCs. (A) Expression of Cdx2 during EB development in Cdx2^{-/-} and Cdx2^{+/+} ESCs, analyzed by real-time RT-PCR. Cdx2 expression is under detection in Cdx2^{-/-} cells during EB development. (B) Representative graphs of CD45 staining on day 8 EBs from Cdx2^{+/+} or Cdx2^{-/-} ESCs. The percentage of CD45⁺ cells was significantly reduced in Cdx2^{-/-} EBs. (C) Progenitor colony activity of yolk sac from chimeric embryos at 9 dpc generated with either Cdx2^{-/-} or Cdx2^{+/+}ESCs. Donor blastocysts are lacZ⁺. The percentage of lacZ⁻ (ESC-contributed)/total colonies was measured. Data represent average ± one standard deviation. Pvalue was calculated with Student's ttest: * Pvalues < 0.001; ** Pvalues < 0.01. (D) Correlations of microarray analyses among biological triplicates. Rosetta Resolver was used to visualize the correlation among biological triplicate arrays. All weighted correlation coefficients among these triplicates were higher than 0.98, which indicated good similarity among triplicate samples. A representative graph of two arrays of triplicate Cdx2^{-/-} samples (KO1) were visualized in a correlation plot. These two biological replicates are highly correlated, with a weighted correlation coefficient of 0.98844. (E) Two Cdx2^{-/-} EBs (KO1 and KO2) shared more similarity than either with wild-type control, demonstrating a valid biological correlation between these two different Cdx2^{-/-} ESC lines. Clustering analysis using Rosetta Resolver was run for genes with p-values lower than 0.001 in both KO1 vs. WT and KO2 vs. WT comparisons. A divisive algorithm was obtained with a Euclidean distance metric type. Green indicates the decreased expression, and red represents the up-regulated expression upon Cdx2 deficiency. The darkness of the color indicates the magnitude of change. The expression of a total of 6,239 sequences was statistically significantly different upon Cdx2 deficiency as compared with wild-type control. (F) Network interaction of JAK, STAT, and genes involved in hematopoietic development, generated by IPA analysis. Blue lines indicate the components of the canonical Jak/Stat signaling pathway. (G) Canonical signal transduction pathway of sonic hedgehog in transcription regulation. The subcellular locations of the components along the pathway were shown. In E and F, solid and dashed lines represent valid direct and indirect interactions between factors respectively. Green color of the factor means decreased expression, and red color represents up-regulated expression upon Cdx2 deficiency. The darkness of the color indicates the magnitude of change.

Δ



Fig. S3B. Fig. S3 continued.

Β

DNAS





С

DNA C

() ()







Log10 (Query Intensity) KO1-triplicate #2

		Non-Weighted	Weighted
Correlation Coefficients	Visible	0.99337	0.98844
	Selected	N/A	N/A
	Present in Both	0.99330	0.98969
	Present in Either	0.99332	0.98160
	All (no fails/controls)	0.99337	0.98844
			P<=0.01

Fig. S3D. Fig. S3 continued.

DNA C





6239 sequence sets

Fig. S3E. Fig. S3 continued.

Ε

PNAS PNAS



Fig. S3F. Fig. S3 continued.

F

PNAS

PNAS



Fig. S3G. Fig. S3 continued.

DN AS

Cdx1 (X1): TGTCTCAATCACCTGGAGATTTCAAGAGAATCTCCAGGTGATTGAGACTTTTTC



Luciferase (Luc): TGAGCTGTTTCTGAGGAGCCTTCAAGAGAGAGCTCCTCAGAAACAGCTCTTTTTC

Cdx2 (X2): TGTATGTCTGTGTTGTAAATTTCAAGAGAAACACAGACACAGACATACTTTTTC Sense Antisense

Fig. 54. Functional redundancy among Cdx family members. (*A*) ShRNA constructs. The major difference between the two parental lentiviral vectors used in this experiment was the selection gene driven by CMV, one was GFP, and the other was hygromycin-resistant gene. A shRNA against *Cdx1* was inserted into the vector with CMV-GFP, and EBs were made directly from GFP⁺ cells sorted from ESCs infected with this construct. The shRNA against *Cdx2* was constructed into lentiviral vector containing CMV-hygromycin-resistant gene. The cells expressing shRNA against *Cdx2* were selected by hygromycin treatment. Control vectors contained the shRNA against luciferase. (*B*) Hematopoietic colony formation upon combined deficiency of *Cdx1* and *Cdx4*. (*Upper*) Expression of *Cdx1* was analyzed by real-time RT-PCR on day 4 EBs upon gene knockdown against *Cdx1* (X1) as compared with control shRNA against luciferase gene (Luc). (*Lower*) Hematopoietic colony formation from day 6 EBs upon gene knockdown of *Cdx1* (X1) or luciferase (Luc). ESCs expressing the shRNA against *Cdx1* or luciferase were selected by sorting for GFP⁺ cells. *Cdx4^{GFPIy}* ESCs lines: KO1 and KO2. (*C–E*) Hematopoietic colony formation from day 6 EBs upon gene knockdown of *Cdx1* (X1) or luciferase (Luc). ESCs expressing the shRNA against *Cdx1* or luciferase (X2), *Cdx1* and *Cdx2* (X1+X2), or luciferase (Luc) in *Cdx4^{GFPIy}* (KO3) ESCs. Cells were treated with or without (–) doxycycline during different time periods of EB development (as indicated below x axis). Data are represented as the averaged fold change in cfu relative to control (value = 1) from duplicates or triplicates in four independent experiments. Each data point denotes five to eight biological replicates as total. Error bars represent ± one standard deviation. *P* value was calculated with Student's *t* test. **P* value <0.05; ***P* value >0.05.

Α



Fig. S4B. Fig. S4 continued.

DNAS



Fig. S4C. Fig. S4 continued.

С



Fig. S4D. Fig. S4 continued.

D



Fig. S4E. Fig. S4 continued.

Other Supporting Information Files

Dataset S1(XLS) Dataset S2(XLS)

Ε