Inner-city residents, ethnic minorities and primary health care

M. R. D. JOHNSON M.A., Ph.D.

M. CROSS B.Sc, M.A.

S. A. CARDEW B.Sc.

Research Unit on Ethnic Relations (SSRC), St Peter's College, University of Aston, Birmingham B8 3TE

Summary

Ethnic minorities form a substantial proportion of the urban population. A household survey in the West Midlands assessed usage of primary and preventative health care services. Asian households made somewhat higher use of general practitioner (GP) facilities which can be related to demographic and socioeconomic status. Fewer differences were found than expected, and uptake of preventative services was good. Afro-Caribbean patterns were similar to those of whites.

KEY WORDS: health care provision, primary care, ethnic minorities.

Introduction

The presence of ethnic minorities in larger cities is not especially new, but until recently references to the 'immigrant problem' appeared to assume that it was a passing phase. Ethnic minorities now form about 4% of the population (and as much as 14% in Greater London, or nearly 11% in the Metropolitan West Midlands), and are a permanent part of the population, which culturally and socially will form a distinctive group of users of the health service. In particular, they form a large proportion of 'inner city' residents—as high as 71% in the Soho ward of Birmingham. Very often, even where there is some recognition of a minority presence, they are seen as a 'problem'. Indeed, in a recent Royal College of General Practitioners paper (Bolden, 1981), in all the areas surveyed, the presence of ethnic minorities was seen as placing a strain on general practitioners (GPs). In only one city was this seen as counterbalanced by the presence of doctors of Asian origin. There is a need for a detailed assessment of the objective situation. In particular, data from the West Midlands suggest that observations from London cannot always be of general relevance.

Methods

A large-scale household survey in inner areas of the West Midlands conurbation (specifically in selected wards of Birmingham, Coventry and Wolverhampton containing substantial proportions of ethnic minority populations) was carried out in 1981 to establish ethnic variations in service use and receipt, including data on primary health service provision. Households were identified using standard stratified random sampling techniques and a simple randomized screening procedure. Response rates in excess of 80% were achieved and the population confirmed as representative by reference to 1981 Census data (Office of Population Census and Surveys, 1982). Fuller details are given in Johnson and Cross (in press). Information was obtained from the head of household or spouse by a trained interviewer, and relevant data from 2161 interviews is presented. Information has been extracted in particular to examine whether ethnic minority clients make excessive or unjustified demands upon the health service. In order to compare like with like, however, our survey dealt only with households containing people under the age of 60 as, while a third or more of inner city white residents are over this age, elderly ethnic minority residents are rare. Their problems have been addressed by a recent specific survey (Blakemore, 1982, 1983). Data here are presented categorized by 'ethnic group' based upon respondent selfassessment but confirmed by interviewer observation.

Results

Contrary to expectations, 99% of all groups (white, Asian and Afro-Caribbean) were registered with an NHS general practitioner, and only about 10% were not registered with one practising in their immediate area of residence (Table 1). Asians were most likely to be locally registered (P<0.001), and two-thirds

were registered with a GP of Asian origin, a further 10% being registered with practices containing an Asian doctor (Table 2). One in four of the whites in our survey was also registered either with an Asian doctor or a 'mixed' practice, as were more than one in 3 Afro-Caribbeans. Of the 171 practices identified, 59 were Asian and 21 'mixed'. It was notable that where more 'mixed' practices were to be found, white respondents suspicion of 'foreign doctors' was least!

It is sometimes stated that ethnic minorities represent a 'burden' on the health services by making excessive demands. Certainly, the survey demonstrated that Asian households were more likely to have visited their GP in the last year, and to have visited more frequently (P<0.001) (Table 1). Afro-Caribbeans were not significantly more likely to have needed a doctor, although those who had done so tended to have been more frequently, often for long-standing conditions or for repeat prescriptions. However, whites were much more likely to have bypassed the GP by visiting hospital 'out-patient' or 'emer

gency' clinics while these services were used by ethnic minorities only following referral by their GP. Further, while Asians were most likely to have had a domiciliary visit, Afro-Caribbeans were least likely to have called the GP out, and white responses were close to those of Asians. Given the larger numbers of children in Asian households, one might reasonably expect them to be more likely to *need* a domiciliary visit.

Equally, it should be added that (at least according to our respondents) few of their visits to the GP were for 'vague or poorly described symptoms' (as we classified their responses). This suggested to us that the visits were genuinely based on need and stemmed more from physical ailments than psychological problems. Indeed, most of the psychological-based consultations were reported by white respondents. While we accept that there may be cultural differences in 'presenting symptoms', particularly as regards mental health, it was expected that physical presentations of mental conditions would be reported

TABLE 1. GP registration and consultation rates in previous year

	White	Asian	Afro-Caribbear
n	915	867	365
Locally registered (%)	88	96	89
Registered elsewhere (%)	11	4	10
Not registered (%)	1	_	(2)*
$\chi^2 = 49.0$ with 4 d.f., $P < 0.001$			
$\chi^2 = 49.0$ with 4 d.f., $P < 0.001$			
n	916	876	365
n No visits in year (%)	32	13	23
n No visits in year (%) One/two in year (%)	32 35	13 21	23 31
n No visits in year (%) One/two in year (%)	32	13	23
$\chi^2 = 49.0$ with 4 d.f., $P < 0.001$ No visits in year (%) One/two in year (%) 3-5 in year (%) 6 or more in year (%)	32 35	13 21	23 31

^{*}Under 2% numbers rounded.

TABLE 2. Ethnicity of general practice with which registered

	Ethnicity of respondent		
	White	Asian	Afro-Caribbean
Doctors			•
n	850	820	340
All white (%)	75	25	61
Mixed (%)	15	10	9
All Asian (%)	9	66	29
$\chi^2 = 587.7$ with 4 d.f., $P < 0.001$			
Number of practices			
White	83	54	62
Mixed	20	11	8
Asian	31	49	26
$\chi^2 = 22.5$ with 4 d.f., $P < 0.001$			

in such a form as to be considered 'vague or poorly described'.

While Asian parents appeared to be marginally less likely to have attended Child Health Clinics, the differences were very slight and certainly gave no cause for alarm. Indeed, their take-up of immunization services was considerably better than that of white parents in the survey, particularly few having had only some of the recommended vaccinations (P<0.001). When considering immunization against rubella, Afro-Caribbeans reported nearly 90% take-up compared to only about 70% of whites and Asians (P<0.01) (Table 3).

Finally, we consider the proposition that Asians do not believe in 'western medicine' or rely heavily on Unani or Ayurvedic practitioners (Eagle, 1980). While this may be the case in Bradford or London, in the West Midlands we found peculiarly little use of these non-western methods. They were as likely as whites (but not more so) to have consulted a private doctor—while a surprising proportion of Afro-Caribbeans had paid to go privately for a second opinion or for 'better treatment'. Virtually none of our white or Asian respondents had been to a herbalist or 'non-western' healer, although a small number of whites

had patronized osteopaths. In answer to an opinion question, the majority of Asians felt that scientific medicine was preferable to traditional remedies, under a quarter believing the latter had value for many conditions. White respondents, on the other hand, were quite likely to believe this, as were Afro-Caribbeans (P<0.001) (Table 4).

Conclusions

While there are differences between ethnic groups in British society, there do not represent any major threat to the National Health Service, or an excessive demand upon its resources by minority communities. Where there is higher usage, it would appear to be linked to needs which can be closely related to such sociological inequalities as housing, employment and income. Nor is it the case that the ethnic minorities are opting out of the National Health, thereby creating a reservoir of illness or a subsystem which could threaten community health schemes. We therefore hope that future research will cease to regard ethnic minorities as problem groups, but will instead seek to examine their needs as individuals and their

TABLE 3. For those with children under 5: attendance at Child Health Clinic and immunization uptake (%)

	White	Asian	Afro-Caribbear
n	172	357	59
Taken to clinic (%)	93	90	88
$\chi^2 = 1.58$ with 2 d.f.			
n	174	361	59
Had all vaccinations* (%)	43	66	53
Had some but not all (%)	45	22	34
Not immunized (%)	11	9	10
P<0.001			
For those whose oldest secondary s	chool child is a girl:	ubella immuniza	ation
n	90	152	67
Immunized	72	69	88
Not done	21	14	7
Don't know	7	16	4
0.01 > P > 0.001 $\chi^2 = 15.2$ with 4 d.f.			

^{*}Diptheria, tetanus, whooping cough and polio. 'Don't knows' excluded from χ^2 calculations.

TABLE 4. Belief that there are many conditions for which traditional remedies are better than 'conventional' medicine

	White	Asian	Afro-Caribbean
n	776	734	286
Agree	33	24	51
Don't know	22	24	14
Disagree $\chi^2 = 69.8$ with 4 d.f., $P < 0.001$.	45	52	34

problems in the context of other aspects of racial discrimination and disadvantage.

Acknowledgment

The research described in this paper was funded by the SSRC.

References

BOLDEN, K. (1981) *Inner Cities*, Royal College of General Practitioners, Occasional Paper 19.

- BLAKEMORE, K. (1982) Health and illness among the elderly of minority ethnic groups. *Health Trends*, 14, 69.
- BLAKEMORE, K. (1983) Ethnicity, self-reported illness and use of medical services by the elderly. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 59, 668.
- EAGLE, R. (1980) Your friendly neighbourhood Hakim. World Medicine, 15, 21.
- JOHNSON, M. & CROSS, M. (1983) Surveying service users: the methodology of the urban institutions project. RUER Working Paper, University of Aston (in press).
- OFFICE OF POPULATION CENSUS AND SURVEYS (1982) 1981 Census-County Monitor 43S (Birmingham).