Appendix 1:

Communication Competence Questionnaire [adapted from Monge et al [18]

In the following series of questions rate how the observed ECP communicates. Think about his/her behaviour in general, rather than about specific situations. The questionnaire should be used as a global rating of ECPs' performance at the end of a period of observation.

Use the following rating scale for each question;

- 6 = Very strong agreement
- 5 = Strong agreement
- 4 = Mild agreement
- 3 = Neutral feelings or don't know
- 2 = Mild disagreement
- 1= Strong disagreement
- 0 = very strong disagreement
 - 1. The ECP has good command of the language
 - 2. The ECP medicalises language appropriately
 - 3. The ECP is sensitive to others' needs
 - 4. The ECP typically gets right to the point
 - 5. The ECP pays attention to what other people say to him/her
 - 6. The ECP deals with others effectively
 - 7. The ECP is a good listener
 - 8. The ECP's writing is understandable
 - 9. The ECP expresses his/her ideas clearly
 - 10. The ECP is understandable when he/she speaks
 - 11. The ECP generally says the right thing at the right time
 - 12. The ECP is easy to talk to
 - 13. The ECP usually responds to messages quickly (phone calls, emails etc)

Emergency Team Dynamics (ETD) rating scale: an observational rating scale for emergency teams. (*Adapted from Cooper & Wakelam* [19], *Stogdill* [20] and Cooper [21]

Note: each item should be based on a holistic judgement of the leader/teams contribution to each factor:

Use the following rating scale for each question;

- 4 = Always
- 3 = Very often
- 2 = About as often as not
- 1 = Seldom
- 0 = Never

Item 1a below should only be used if the full Leadership Behaviour Description Questionnaire [19,20,21] is not in use.

1a. The leader let the team know what was expected of them (*through direction and command*)

- 1b. The team transferred information (communication skills)
- 2. The team were adaptable (within the roles of their profession)
- 3. The team were co-ordinated
- 4. The team co-operated
- 5. The team used initiative
- 6. The team put effort into its work
- 7. The team had a positive spirit and morale

Leadership Behaviour Description Questionnaire (FormX11) [Adapted

from Cooper & Wakelam [19], Stogdill [20] and Cooper [21]

In the following series of questions rate how the observed ECP has led his/her team (>2 individuals i.e. another ambulance crew). Think about the teams' behaviour in general, rather than about specific situations. The questionnaire should be used as a global rating of ECP performance at the end of a period of observation.

The questionnaire generates two global factors of leadership, 'consideration' and 'initiating structure'. The following questions are grouped in this format, but should be 'mixed' in the final version.

Use the following rating so	ale
for each question;	
4 = Always	
3 = Very often	
2 = About as often as not	
1 = Seldom	
0 = Never	

Or (for reverse items®) 4 = Never 3 = Seldom 2 = About as often as not 1 = Very often 0 = Always

LBDQ 'Consideration'

- 1. The team leader was friendly and approachable
- 2. The team leader did little things to encourage team members
- 3. The team leader put suggestions made by the team into operation
- 4. The team leader treated all team members with respect
- 5. The team leader gave advanced notice of changes
- 6. The team leader kept to himself/herself ®
- 7. The team leader assisted team members as required
- 8. The team leader was willing to make changes
- 9. The team leader explained his/her actions
- 10. The team leader consulted the group

LBDQ 'Initiating Structure'

- 11. The leader let the team know what was expected of them (*through direction and command*)
- 12. The leader encouraged/demonstrated the use of uniform procedures/guidelines.
- 13. The leader tried out his/her ideas in the team
- 14. The leader displayed a positive attitude
- 15. The leader decided what should be done
- 16. The leader decided how things should be done
- 17. The leader assigned group members to particular tasks
- 18. The leader made sure that his part in the team was understood by the team members
- 19. The team leader planned the work to be done
- 20. The team leader maintained definite standards of performance

Form No.	Appendix 2: Emergency Care Practitioner Impact Measures National Audit Data Sheet		Site ID:
Patient Age	Patient accessed system via:	Times:	First Contact by
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	999 Call A&E Treatment Centre (MIU/PCC/WiC etc) GP Surgery Home Visit Ambulance Referral Other (please state) In Hrs Out of Hrs (18:30 – 08:00hrs)	Patient	ECP contact with patient / arrival on Patient discharge/ referral

Patient Condition (Code	or Text)	Patient Trans	por	port:		
Call given as (<i>if appropriate.</i>):		No Ambulance / Transport	be	your opinion, what would have een the most suitable INITIAL source for this patient?		
		Transported by Emerg Amb		Ambulance		
		Emerg Amb stood down		RRV		
Provisional		Emerg Amb downgraded (IC/Urgent/STV)		ECP		
Diagnosis:		ECP transported Patient		GP		
	Y/N	Was another resource sent?		Other (specify)		
Diagnosis after 24hr —		If YES what e.g. Amb. RRV. GP (<i>Please specifiy</i>)	Pl	ease state why?		
(if poss.):		N/A				

Patient Outcome:	At Outcome: Patient Outcome unsatisfactory due to (where appropriate)?				
See & Treat (ECP Discharge)				Lack of	Equipment
Hear & Treat				Lack of	F PGD
See & Treat with assistance fr	om other clinici	an (eg GP)		Social H	Reasons
Other clinician attended / disc	harged			Pain Co	ontrol
Refer		Access to Services			to Services
A&E		Unable to refer appropriately			to refer appropriately
Other	Pathway refused patient		y refused patient		
Drugs Administered:		Patient refusal			
Notes:			Other		
Was anyone else on scene?	NO	Paramedic	GP		Other(specify)

Referral Pathways:

	Who made referral decision?	Yourself	Paramedic	GP	Other(specify)
--	-----------------------------	----------	-----------	----	----------------

Pathway Successful	Pathway tried but failed	Pathway tried but failed Outcome unknown			
A&E	Treatment Centre (MIU/PC etc)	C/WiC	Elderly Care Team		
Medical Admissions (MAU)	GP Surgery Appointment		Falls Team		
Surgical Admissions (SAU)	GP home visit In-Hrs Urger	ıt	Home Assessment Team		
Outpatients Clinic	GP home visit In-Hrs Non-Urgent		Dutpatients Clinic GP home visit In-Hrs Non-Urge		Intermediate Care Team
Fracture Clinic	GP home visit OoHs		Fracture Clinic GP home visit OoHs		Mental Health Team
Gynaecology	District Nurse		Social Services		

Orthopaedics Paediatrics Physiotherapy	Nurse Specialist Practice Nurse ECP Follow-up	Other Care Pathwa		r
X-Ray Notes / Referral Reason:				
Did vou perform an ECP-sn	ecific intervention. which avoided A	Acute Trust involvement?	Yes	No

Did you perform an ECP-specific intervention, which avoided Acute Trust involvement?

Trust Avoided: Details:

Guidance on completing the ECP impact measures form

The following guidelines are designed to clarify any queries you may have on the completion of the form overleaf. Please contact if you have any questions;

ECP ID

This section is essential for you to identify your personal CPD records and for us to identify your role and place of work.

Site ID

This section is **essential** for the in-depth analysis to identify local and national trends.

Form No.

Please enter the first 4 digits of the Patient Report Form (PRF)

Patient Age

Please specify the age for any children in the first age group (0-11) in decimals (e.g.1.5). It is not necessary to record the exact age of any other patients.

Patient accessed system via?:

This information is essential for local and national analysis. Please identify the way in which the patient accessed the emergency system e.g., were you as an ECP the first responder to a 999 call, did you see the patient whilst working out of A&E or MIU, did the GP surgery call the ambulance service, were you making a repeat home visit, or was the patient referred via another ambulance crew?

Times

Please use four-digit 24-hr clock. 'Time of patient referral' is the time that the patient was first referred to you. This may be the same time as the box below if you were returning for a home visit.

Patient Condition

Please use appropriate keywords to describe your provisional diagnosis. If you can get the diagnosis after 24hrs this would be very helpful.

Patient Transport

Please identify the response to each incident and transfer process where applicable. This section should be completed no matter how the patient accessed the ECP.

'Emerg Amb downgraded' – refers to the use of a non-blue light IC/Urgent/STV-type vehicle instead of a traditional blue-light ambulance. It should also be ticked if this form of transfer has been booked for a patient not necessarily via the 999 system.

Patient Outcome

More than one box may be ticked as applicable. 'Other clinician attended/discharged' should be ticked where you (as the ECP) did not play a major role in the treatment / discharge of the patient. Drugs administered are those administered by you or any other professional. Please

also specify 'who else was on scene' and 'who made the referral decision' by ticking the applicable boxes.

Unsatisfactory Patient Outcome

Please tick more than one box where applicable. 'Lack of PGD' - Please specify the PGD you would have required on the line provided.

Referral Pathways

Please tick more than one box where applicable, indicating the pathway success, route of referral and in the open ended box the reason for referral.

ECP–Specific Interventions

Please tick 'Yes' if you feel that you avoided Acute Trust involvement (A&E or hospital admission) due to an ECP-specific intervention (i.e. an action/treatment that you could not have carried out prior to your training as an ECP). NOTE: 'Acute Trust involvement' does not include calls to Trusts for advice.

Please return this form in the SAE provided by Many thanks.