A photodimerizable ditopic ligand

Damien Jouvenot, Edith C. Glazer and Yitzhak Tor*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093

<u>ytor@ucsd.edu</u>

	<u>Page</u>
General procedures	S2
Synthetic Procedures and Analytical Data	S 3
Fig. S.1–Fig. S.10	
Quantum Yield Determination	S19
Fig. S.11. Absorption spectrum of ligand 2 in dichloromethane	S20
Fig. S.12. Fluorescence monitoring of the reversible dimerization	S21
Fig S.13. Mass Spectrum of compound 2	S22
Crystal data and structure refinement for 1	S23
Crystal data and structure refinement for 2	S24
Fig. S.14. UV-Vis spectra of mono and dinuclear Ru(II) complexes	
of Ligand 1 in CH₃CN	S25
Fig. S.15. Reactions of ligands 1 and 2 with Fe ²⁺ show distinct colors	S26

General Procedures

NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 400 MHz spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded at the UCSD Chemistry and Biochemistry Mass Spectrometry Facility, utilizing either a LCQDECA (Finnigan) ESI with a quadrapole ion trap or a MAT900XL (ThermoFinnigan) FAB double focusing mass spectrometer. UV-Vis spectra were recorded on either a Hewlett Packard 8452A. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer LS50B Luminescence Spectrometer. Unless otherwise specified, materials obtained from commercial suppliers were used without further purification. Anhydrous pyridine and acetonitrile were obtained from Fluka. Anhydrous dioxane and triethylamine were obtained from Acros. Anhydrous dichloromethane and tetrahydrofuran were obtained using a two-column purification system (Glasscontour System, Irvine, CA). NMR solvents were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Andover, MA).

Synthetic Procedures and Analytical Data (¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR, MS, UV-Vis).

Compound 4 : dimethyl 4,6-bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyloxy)isophthalate.



To a solution of dimethyl 4,6-dihydroxyisophthalate (1.00 g, 4.4 mmol) in 75 ml of a mixture of CH₂Cl₂/pyridine (70/30) at 0 °C, was slowly added 1.8 ml (10.6 mmol) of triflic anhydride. The reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and was stirred for 40 minutes. It was then washed with 250 ml of 5 % HCl. The organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and the solvent evaporated, affording 1.715 g of compound **4** (3.5 mmol; 79% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.82$ (s, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 4.01 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 162.3$, 150.8, 137.3, 124.9, 120.4, 118.6, 53.6

Fig S.1. ¹H NMR Spectrum of Compound 4





Fig S.2. ¹³C NMR Spectrum of compound 4

Compound 5 : dimethyl 4,6-bis(2-(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)isophthalate.



A degassed solution of **4** (915 mg, 1.86 mmol) in a 1/1 mixture of dry THF and dry triethylamine (7.5 ml) was cannulated into a pressure tube containing Pd(dppf)Cl₂ (152 mg, 0.186 mmol) and CuI (36 mg, 0.186 mmol). To this solution was cannulated a solution of trimethylsilyl acetylene (2.65 ml, 18.6 mmol) in a 1/1 mixture of dry THF and dry triethylamine (7.5 ml). The pressure tube was sealed and stirred at 45 °C overnight. The reaction was then cooled down to room temperature and the solvents are evaporated. The crude product was taken up in a CH₂Cl₂/H₂O mixture, and the organic phase washed 3 times with water. The organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and the solvent evaporated. Column chromatography on SiO₂ (AcOEt/Hexanes 20%) afforded 0.635 mg (1.64 mmol; 88% yield) of **5** as a white powder. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.48 (s, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 3.92 (s, 6H), 0.27 (s, 18H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 165.6, 140.7, 132.9, 131.5, 126.8, 104.1, 101.9, 52.5, -0.1; MS *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₀H₂₇O₄Si₂ [M+H]⁺ 387.14, found 387.03.

Fig S.3. ¹H NMR Spectrum of Compound 5



ррш -0.289 190.0-20 40 680.25 60 418-92-23 333 155-22 80 100 281.001 781.001 120 126.750 \$25.IEI 132.877 140 140.703 160 525'S9T

Fig S.4. ¹³C NMR Spectrum of Compound 5

Compound 6 : 1,8-dihydroxy-2,7-diazaanthracene.



Ammonia was bubbled through a suspension of **5** (4.475 g, 11.6 mmol) in absolute ethanol (30 ml) at 0 °C in a pressure tube. Once saturated, the pressure tube was sealed and the reaction mixture stirred at 140 °C overnight. The reaction mixture was then cooled down to 0 °C and the pressure tube carefully opened. The remaining ammonia was removed by bubbling air through the suspension. The reaction mixture was filtered and the precipitate washed with cold methanol. The solid was then dried under vacuum affording 1.375 g of product **6** (6.5 mmol, 56% yield) as a yellow powder. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 9.01 (s, 1H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6,55 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 162.5, 140.7, 131.6, 128.0, 125.0, 122.0, 104.7; ESI-MS *m/z* calculated for C₁₂H₉N₂O₂ [M+H]⁺ 213.22, found 213.07.

Fig S.5. ¹H NMR Spectrum of Compound 6





Fig S.6. ¹³C NMR Spectrum of Compound 6

Compound 7: 1,8-dibromo-2,7-diazaanthracene.



POBr₃ (2.42 g, 8.46 mmol), K₂CO₃ (1.17 g, 8.46 mmol) and **6** (300 mg, 1.41 mmol) were refluxed in acetonitrile (60 ml) for 5 hours. The solvent was then evaporated and the solid suspended in ice/water. The pH of the suspension was brought to \approx 8 using ammonium hydroxide. The water phase was extracted with CHCl₃ (3×50 ml). The organic phases were collected and dried over Na₂SO₄ and the solvents evaporated. Column chromatography on SiO₂ (CHCl₃) afforded 203 mg (0.6 mmol, 42% yield) of product **7** as a yellow powder. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.47 (s, 1H), 8.37 (s, 1H), 8.31 (d, *J* = 6 Hz, 2H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 6 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 147.8, 142.0, 136.0, 132.8, 128.2, 126.2, 120.7; ESI-MS *m/z* calculated for C₁₂H₇N₂Br₂ [M+H]⁺ 339.00, found 339.07.



Fig S.7. ¹H NMR Spectrum of Compound 7



Fig S.8. ¹³C NMR Spectrum of Compound 7

Compound 1 : 1,8-di(pyridin-2-yl)-2,7-diazaanthracene



Under anhydrous conditions, *n*-BuLi (0.5 ml of a 1.6 M solution in hexanes, 0.72 mmol) was added to a solution of 2-bromopyridine (114 mg, 0.72 mmol) in dry and degassed THF (5 ml) at -78 °C. The solution was stirred for 30 minutes at -78 °C. To this solution was then transferred a solution of ZnCl₂ (120 mg, 0.88 mmol) in THF (10 ml) and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. (Note: The zinc chloride was dried under vacuum at 50 °C for 2 days before use). The organozincate was then added to a solution of 7 (80 mg, 0.24 mmol) and $Pd(P(C_6H_5)_3)_4$ (28 mg, 0.024 mmol) in dry THF (5 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux under argon overnight. Solvents were then evaporated and the solid dissolved in CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase was washed with a saturated solution of EDTA (3×50 ml) and then dried and evaporated. Column chromatography on SiO₂ (MeOH/CHCl₃ 3%) afforded 64 mg (0.19 mmol, 80% yield) of product **1** as a yellow powder. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 10.44$ (s, 1H), 8.74 (d, J =4.8 Hz, 2H), 8.64 (d, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 8.45, (s, 1H), 8.13 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.93 (dd, J = 8Hz, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 7.85 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (dd, J = 7.6 Hz, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 160.1, 157.9, 148.6, 141.7, 137.1, 135.7, 132.0, 125.7, 125.6,$ 124.1, 123.8, 120.6; ESI-MS m/z calculated for C₂₂H₁₅N₄ [M+H] 335.13, found 335.32. UV (CH₂Cl₂) $\lambda_{max} = 250 \text{ nm} (\epsilon = 33,300), \lambda_{max} = 390 \text{ nm} (\epsilon = 2,750).$





S16

Fig S.10. ¹³C Spectrum of Compound 1



Compound 2: 1,8-di(pyridin-2-yl)-2,7-diazaanthracene head-to-tail dimmer



A solution of **1** in degassed acetonitrile was irradiated overnight in a quartz cell at 366 nm. The solvents were then evaporated and the compound was purified by preparative TLC eluting with CHCl3;MeOH 10%, affording **2** as a white powder. . ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.72$ (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 4H), 8.05 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 4H), 7.79 (dd, J = 6.4 Hz, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 7.70, (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 7.35 (m, 8H), 6.56 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 2H), 5.29 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 2H) ESI-MS *m*/*z* calculated for C₄₄H₂₉N₈ [M+H] 669.25, found 669.11. See spectrum in manuscript.

Quantum Yield Determination.

Quantum yields were determined using coumarin 30 as a standard (Du, H.; Fuh, R. A.; Li, J.; Corkan, A.; Lindsey, J. S. *Photochem. and Photobiol.*, **1998**, 68, 141–142) for ligand **1**. Quantum yields were determined using the following equation:

 $\Phi_{F(x)} = (A_s/A_x) (F_x/F_s) (n_x/n_s)^2 \Phi_{F(s)}$

where s is the standard, x is the unknown, A is the absorbance at excitation wavelength, F is the area under the emission curve, *n* is the refractive index of the solvent and Φ is the quantum yield (Lavabre, D.; Fery-Forgues, S. J. Chem. Educ., **1999**, 76, 1260–1264).

The fluorescence quantum yield for compound **1** has been determined to 0.02 in dichloromethane at 25 $^{\circ}$ C.

Fig S.11. Absorption spectrum of ligand 2 in dichloromethane.





Fig S.12. Fluorescence monitoring of the reversible dimerization.

Compound **1** was irradiated for 30 minutes at 366 nm and then 30 minutes at 254 nm in a degassed solution of acetonitrile for several cycles.



Fig S.13. Mass spectrum of the dimer 2

Crystal data and structure refinement for 1.

Empirical formula Formula weight Temperature Wavelength Crystal system Space group Unit cell dimensions	C22 H14 N4 334.37 218(2) K 0.71073 Å Orthorhombic Pna2(1) $a = 23.964(9)$ Å $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$. $b = 6.054(2)$ Å $\beta = 90^{\circ}$. $\alpha = -11, 160(4)$ Å	
Volume Z	$\gamma = 90$. 1619.0(11) Å ³ 4	
Density (calculated)	1.372 Mg/m ³	
Absorption coefficient F(000)	0.084 mm ⁻¹ 696	
Crystal size Theta range for data collection Index ranges Reflections collected Independent reflections Completeness to theta = 28.30° Absorption correction Max. and min. transmission	0.40 x 0.15 x 0.15 mm ³ 1.70 to 28.30°. -28<=h<=31, -7<=k<=8, -14<=l<=14 11582 2019 [R(int) = 0.0434] 95.9 % Semi-empirical from equivalents 0.9875 and 0.9551	
Refinement method Data / restraints / parameters	Full-matrix least-squares on F ² 2019 / 1 / 235	
Goodness-of-fit on F ² Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] R indices (all data) Absolute structure parameter	1.052 R1 = 0.0383, wR2 = 0.0940 R1 = 0.0425, wR2 = 0.0966 0(10) 0.211 and -0.201 e.Å ⁻³	
Lai Seor ann. Peak and noie	0.211 ullu 0.201 0.11	

Crystal data and structure refinement for 2.

C44 H28 N8 668.74 208(2) K 0.71073 Å Monoclinic P2(1)/c a = 8.3433(9) Å b = 12.0224(13) Å c = 15.8306(18) Å	a= 90°. b= 98.373(2)°. g = 90°.
571.0(3) Å ³	
.414 Mg/m ³	
0.087 mm ⁻¹ 596	
0.40 x 0.35 x 0.30 mm ³ 2.14 to 28.26°. 11<=h<=10, -15<=k<=15, 1563 8674 [R(int) = 0.0392] 94.5 % Semi-empirical from equiva 0.9745 and 0.9416 Full matrix least squares of	-19<=l<=20 alents
Full-matrix least-squares of 3674 / 0 / 235 1.034 $x_1 = 0.0547$, wR2 = 0.145 $x_1 = 0.0766$, wR2 = 0.160 $5 \text{ e.}\text{Å}^{-3}$	n F∠ 2 7
	44 H28 N8 68.74 08(2) K .71073 Å Ionoclinic 2(1)/c = 8.3433(9) Å = 12.0224(13) Å = 15.8306(18) Å 571.0(3) Å ³ .414 Mg/m ³ .087 mm ⁻¹ 96 .40 x 0.35 x 0.30 mm ³ .14 to 28.26°. 11<=h<=10, -15<=k<=15, 1563 674 [R(int) = 0.0392] 4.5 % emi-empirical from equiva .9745 and 0.9416 full-matrix least-squares o 674 / 0 / 235 .034 cashow = 0.145 cashow = 0.145 cashow = 0.145 cashow = 0.145 cashow = 0.145 cashow = 0.145 cashow = 0.160 cashow = 0.160

Fig S.14. UV-Vis spectra of a mono and dinuclear Ru(II) complexes of Ligand 1 in CH_3CN





Fig S.15. Reaction of ligands 1 and 2 with Fe²⁺ shows distinct colors



Fe²⁺-stained TLC plate shows the separation of ligand **1** and **2** and their distinct reaction with a Fe²⁺, a common visualizing reagent for bpy-type ligands. Note the **red color** displayed by $2 \cdot \text{Fe}^{2+}$ is very similar to the color generated by the parent 2,2'-bipyridine (demonstrating the electronic disconnection between the bpy unit in the photo-dimer), while the **green color** generated by $1 \cdot \text{Fe}^{2+}$, reflects long-wavelength absorption bands that are a results of the extended conjugation in the precursor monomeric dinuclear ligand **1**.