Structure of Marek's Disease Virus DNA: Detailed Restriction Enzyme Map

KUNIHIKO FUkUCHI, MIYUKI SUDO, YIH-SHONG LEE, AKIKO TANAKA, AND MEIHAN NONOYAMA*

Department of Virology, Showa University Research Institute for Biomedicine in Florida, St. Petersburg, Florida 33702

Received 17 June 1983/Accepted 21 February 1984

Purified virion DNA (120 \times 10⁶ molecular weight [MW]) of Marek's disease virus strain GA was cleaved with BamHI restriction endonuclease, and 27 out of the 29 fragments were cloned into bacterial plasmids. Restriction maps for BamHI, BglI, and SmaI endonucleases were constructed. The genomic structure of Marek's disease virus DNA was found to be similar to that of herpes simplex virus types ¹ and 2. A long unique region (75 \times 10⁶ MW, located at 10 \times 10⁶ to 85 \times 10⁶ MW [10-85] from the left end of the genome), which was subdivided into segment 1 (22 × 10⁶ MW, located at 10–32) and segment 2 (51 × 10⁶ MW, located at 34–85) by direct repeats (32-34), was flanked by a long terminal region (10×10^6 MW, located at 0-10) and a long inverted region (10 \times 10⁶ MW, located at 85–95). A short unique region (8 \times 10⁶ MW, located at 103–111) was flanked by a short terminal region (8×10^6) MW, located at 111–119 and a short inverted region (8×10^6) MW, located at 95-103). The direct repeat fragments (0.9×10^6) could be isolated by cleavage with SmaI. The right terminal end was found to be heterogenous.

Marek's disease virus (MDV) is a member of the herpesvirus family which induces lymphoproliferative disease in chickens (1, 18). The induction of the disease can be prevented by vaccination with turkey herpesvirus (19). This is the first model system in which tumor induction is prevented by vaccination. The vaccination of chickens with turkey herpesvirus is now commonly practiced in the poultry industry. MDV DNA is ^a linear double-stranded DNA with ^a molecular weight (MW) of ca. 120×10^6 (13). Cebrian et al. (3) reported that the structures of MDV DNA and turkey herpesvirus DNA were similar to that of herpes simplex virus types ¹ and 2, in which inverted repeat segments were detected at terminal and internal regions of the molecule by electron microscopy studies (21). A partial restriction map of MDV DNA has been reported by our laboratory (14). Because the availability of MDV DNA was poor, the ambiguity of the map remained a problem. Furthermore, not all the restriction fragments could be isolated, due to a lack of sufficient MDV DNA available for the study. In this report, we cloned ²⁷ BamHI restriction fragments of MDV DNA in various plasmids, and the restriction map of MDV DNA was nearly completed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Virus propagation and DNA extraction. Eleven-day-old chicken embryo fibroblast cells were infected with cellassociated MDV strain GA in minimal essential medium with 2% fetal calf serum. When 80% of cells showed cytopathic effect, nucleocapsids were extracted from the infected cells by Nonidet P-40 and Triton X-100 treatment, as described previously (14). Viral DNA was isolated by centrifugation through a 10 to 30% continuous glycerol gradient after the purified nucleocapsids were treated with sodium dodecyl sulfate-proteinase K (14).

Ligation of plasmids and viral DNA. All enzymes used for DNA cloning, except calf intestine alkaline phosphatase, were purchased from Bethesda Research Laboratories. Specifications of the manufacturer were followed for the reaction of various enzymes. After digestion of pACYC184 or pBR322 with ⁵ U of restriction endonuclease BamHI per μ g of DNA in digestion buffer (50 mM NaCl, 10 mM Trishydrochloride [pH 7.5], 10 mM $MgCl₂$, and 1 mM dithiothreitol) at 37°C for ¹ h, recircularization of the plasmid was inhibited by treatment with bacterial alkaline phosphatase in ¹⁰ mM Tris-hydrochloride (pH 8.0) at 65°C for ¹ h. After extraction five times with buffer-saturated phenol and once with chloroform, the plasmid was ethanol precipitated (2). The plasmid DNA was dissolved in ¹⁰ mM Tris-hydrochloride (pH 7.5) containing 0.1 mM EDTA. Viral DNA was digested with BamHI and heated at 65°C for 10 min. Approximately 0.5μ g of phosphatase-treated plasmid was combined with 1 μ g of BamHI-digested viral DNA, and 0.1 U of T4 ligase was added to the DNA mixture. This was then adjusted to ⁶⁶ mM Tris-hydrochloride (pH 7.5)-6.6 mM $MgCl₂$ -10 mM dithiothreitol (ligation buffer) in a total volume of 10 μ l. The ligation mixture was incubated at 14°C for 12 h and then incubated at 65°C for 10 min to inactivate the ligase (2).

Transformation and identification of recombinant plasmid. The bacterial host used for transformation was Escherichia coli HB101. DNA preparations for transformations were prepared in 100 μ l of 0.33× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate, pH 7.4) and 6.7 mM CaCl₂. Bacteria were grown to 2×10^8 cells per ml in L broth, pelleted by centrifugation, and washed with cold ¹⁰⁰ mM $MgCl₂$. The cells were resuspended in cold 100 mM CaCl₂ and incubated for 20 min on ice. The cells were then pelleted and resuspended in 0.1 of the original volume of cold 100 mM CaCl₂. A 200- μ l portion of cell suspension was added to each 100 μ l of DNA preparation and incubated on ice for 30 min (17). The cell-DNA mixture was cultured in 2.7 ml of L broth for ¹ h at 37°C and then plated onto LB agar containing antibiotic (20 μ g of ampicillin per ml for pBR322 or 20 μ g of chloramphenicol per ml for pACYC184) (4). The bacteria containing recombinant plasmids were further screened by LB agar plates containing 20μ g of tetracycline per ml. Each recombinant plasmid DNA in Ap^rTc^s bacteria was identified by the boiling method of Holms and Quingly (11).

Colonies containing viral DNA fragments were grown in L broth with appropriate antibiotics, plasmid DNA was extracted by lysozyme-sodium dodecyl sulfate (1%)-NaCl (1 M) treatment, and the plasmid DNA in the supernatant was

^{*} Corresponding author.

precipitated with polyethylene glycol 8000 (15). Closed circular plasmid DNA was purified by centrifugation to equilibrium in cesium chloride-ethidium bromide gradients.

Preparation of 5'-labeled probe and Southern blot hybridization. A 2- μ g portion of cloned DNA was digested with 5 U of TaqI restriction endonuclease in 20 μ l of digestion buffer (10 mM Tris-hydrochloride [pH 8.4], 6 mM $MgCl₂$, 6 mM 2mercaptoethanol, and ¹⁰⁰ mM NaCl) at 65°C for ¹ h. After being heated at 80°C for 10 min, the digested fragments were mixed with 100 μ Ci of [γ -³²P]ATP and 10 U of T4 polynucleotide kinase in 50 μ l of T4 kinase buffer (5 mM Trishydrochloride $[pH 7.5]$, 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM dithiothreitol, and 5 μ M ADP) and incubated at 37 \degree C for 30 min (16). The reaction mixture was treated with phenol-chloroform, and the aqueous phase was loaded onto a G-50 column along with 100 μ g of carrier DNA to separate the 5'-labeled DNA from unincorporated $[\gamma^{-32}P]ATP$. The fraction of labeled DNA was precipitated with ethanol. Viral DNAs cleaved with restriction endonuclease BamHI, BglI, or SmaI were separated by 0.6% agarose gel electrophoresis and transferred to nitrocellulose paper by the method of Southern (20). Hybridization was carried out in 50% formamide in $50 \times$ Denhardt solution (8) ($1 \times$ Denhardt is 0.02% Ficoll, 0.02% polyvinylpyrollidone, and 0.02% bovine serum albumin) and in 5 \times SSC with 1 \times 10⁷ to 2 \times 10⁷ cpm of ³²P-probe per ml at 41°C for 24 h (7). The filter was washed once with $2 \times$ SSC-0.01% sodium dodecyl sulfate for ¹ h with shaking at room temperature and once with $0.1 \times$ SSC for 1 h at room temperature. The filter was air-dried and exposed to X-ray film at -70° C with intensifying screens for 2 to 3 days. Since most of the restriction fragments were stainable by ethidium bromide before blotting, identification of the hybridized fragments was made mainly by comparison with the original picture taken after ethidium bromide staining. For some ambiguous fragments, the hybridized paper was rehybridized with viral DNA probe $5'$ -labeled with $32P$, as described above.

Cloning with cosmid DNA. A $1-\mu$ g sample of viral DNA was partially digested with 0.5 U of BamHI in digestion buffer for 10 min, and terminal phosphate was removed by 0.02 U of calf intestine alkaline phosphatase (Boehringer Mannheim) treatment in ⁵⁰ mM Tris-hydrochloride (pH 8.0)- 0.1 mM EDTA. Sall-BamHI and PvuII-BamHI fragments, both containing the cos region, were prepared from pHC79 vector DNA, by the method of Ish-Horowicz and Burke (12) . Calf intestine alkaline phosphatase-treated fragments and pHC79 fragments were ligated with 2 U of T4 ligase in ligation buffer in a total volume of 10 μ l at 14°C for 12 h and then heat inactivated at 65°C for 10 min. The ligated fragments were packaged into A bacteriophage heads, and transduction of \vec{E} . coli was carried out as described previously $(5, 1)$ 6, 10, 11).

Location of fragments on the map. The location on the map is expressed in $\angle MW$ (\times 10⁶) from the left end of the genome.

RESULTS

Cloning of BamHI restriction fragments of viral DNA in bacterial plasmid vectors. The digestion of viral DNA with BamHI restriction endonuclease produced 29 fragments, ranging in MW from 0.5×10^6 to 15.5×10^6 . Most of these fragments were successfully cloned into pBR322 and pACYC184 by shotgun insertion of the fragments into these

FIG. 1. Cloned BamHI DNA after agarose gel electrophoresis. Viral DNA and recombinant plasmid DNAs were digested by the restriction endonuclease BamHI and electrophoresed through 0.6% agarose gels. Gels were then stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μ g/ml) and photographed under UV illumination. Letters designate the various BamHI restriction fragments of viral DNA which were inserted into pBR322 (2.7×10^6 MW), pACYC184 (2.6 \times 10⁶ MW), or pHC79 (3.7 \times 10⁶ MW) cloning vectors. BamHI fragments A, B, C, D, E, F, I₂, and I₃ were inserted into pACYC184; G, H, I₁, K₁, K₂, L, M, N, O₁, P₁, and Q₁ were inserted into pBR322; J, K₃, P₂, P₃, R, S₁, S₂, and T were inserted into pHC79. BamHI- O_2 and BamHI- Q_2 have not been cloned.

vectors. Some of the small fragments were first cloned into pHC79 by using BamHI-partially digested viral DNA, followed by recloning of the desired small fragments by redigestion of the cloned fragments with BamHI, and then ligating and transforming E. coli host cells. This assured obtaining smaller fragments that were normally found by digestion of 1 to 2 μ g of viral DNA with BamHI. Figure 1 illustrates all the cloned restriction fragments after digestion with BamHI and electrophoresis through a 0.6% agarose gel. The cloned BamHI A fragment was slightly smaller than the fragment obtained by BamHI digestion of viral DNA (Fig. 1). This is due to the possible deletion of the cloned BamHI A fragment. BamHI I fragments $(3.4 \times 10^6 \text{ MW})$ of molar ratio 4 were resolved into three species by Southern blot hybridization, due to the presence of $BamHI-I₂$ in the long inverted region (IR_L), K fragments (2.4 \times 10⁶ MW) of molar ratio 3 into three species, P fragments (1.0 \times 10⁶ MW) of molar ratio 3 into three species, and S fragments $(0.7 \times 10^6$ MW) of molar ratio 2 were resolved into two species (Fig. 2).

To confirm the previously reported partial linkage map and to place cloned small fragments within the linkage map, BamHI, BglI, and SmaI digests of viral DNA were hybridized with $32P$ -labeled individually cloned BamHI fragments. Every cloned BamHI fragment was hybridized to each corresponding fragment of BamHI digest of viral DNA. In addition, BamHI-D and BamHI-H were hybridized to each other (Fig. 3a); BamHI-A was hybridized to the smear region as well (Fig. 4a). (See Fig. 6 for a summary of the results of the hybridizations.) The locations of BamHI-I and BamHI-K fragments were not clear in the previous study, because the hybridization had been conducted with a combination of three different ^I and K species. By using individual clones of ^I's and K's for the hybridizations, the locations of these fragments were successfully determined, and consequently the linkage of $BamHI-K₁$ to $BamHI-H$ was moved from the region at 35–41, (i.e., MW of 35×10^6 to 41×10^6 from the left end of the genome) to the new location at 81-87. The regions BamHI-L to BamHI-K₃ (2-44) and BamHI-P₂ to BamHI-M (54-83) were further confirmed by cloning of BamHI partially digested viral DNA into cosmid pHC79 (data not shown). The order of the small fragments (M, N, P_2 , P_3 , R , S_1 , S_2 , and T) also was determined by partial digestion of cloned cosmid DNA spanning from $BamHI-P_2$ to BamHI-M and recloning into cosmid pHC79. The size of the BamHI A fragment shown on the map is the original size of the BamHI A fragment obtained from viral DNA.

Inverted repeat regions. Cebrian et al. (3) reported the presence of inverted repeat regions in MDV DNA and turkey herpesvirus DNA, which is also evident in herpes simplex virus types ¹ and ² DNA by electron microscopy studies (9). Figure 3a shows the homology between BamHI H and BamHI D fragments by Southern blot hybridization. Thus, BamHI-L, BamHI-I₂, and the left segment of BamHI-D, and BamHI-L, BamHI-I₂, and the right segment of BamHI-H, are obviously arranged as inverted repeats and should be considered as the long terminal region (TR_L) and the long inverted region IIR_L), respectively. Therefore, we aligned inverted repeat regions precisely according to the electron microscopy measurements of Cebrian et al. (3), in which TR_L and IR_L were found to have MWs of 10.0×10^6 and the short terminal region (TR_S) and the short inverted region (IR_S) had MWs of 8.0 \times 10⁶. This indicated that a portion of BamHI-A should be within the region encompassed by IR_S . Therefore, a radioactive ^{32}P -probe was made

FIG. 2. Identification of individual clones of multimolar subfragments of viral DNA. BamHl digestion of viral DNA yielded multimolar subfragments of I, K, P, and ^S fragments. The multimolar subfragments were individually cloned. To prove that cloned DNA fragments are of different species, BamHI-digested BamHI-I, BamHI-K, BamHI-P, and BamHI-S clones were electrophoresed and blot hybridized to different $32P$ -labeled clones of BamHI-I, BamHI-K, BamHI-P, and BamHI-S, respectively. Detection of (a) three different I subfragments, (b) three K subfragments, (c) three P subfragments, and (d) two S subfragments. pl, Cloning vectors pACYC184, pBR322, and pHC79.

FIG. 4. The region of homology between BamHI-A and the right terminal region. Hybridization probes were 5'-³²P-labeled and hybridized to Southern blots of restriction endonuclease digests of viral DNA or to cloned BamHI-A. (a) ^{32}P -labeled BamHI-A DNA hybridized to BamHI-, BglI-, or SmaI-digested viral DNA. Column 4 (Bg) is a short exposure of column 2 (Bg); (b) the ³²P-labeled BglI I, BglI II, or BglI III subfragment of BamHI-A hybridized to BamHI-, BglI-, or SmaI-digested viral DNA; and (c) the ³²P-labeled BglI I, BglI II, or BglI III probes hybridized to BglI-digested BamHI-A DNA cloned into the BamHI site of pACYC184.

with BamHI-A and then blot hybridized with BamHI, BglI, and SmaI digests of viral DNA (Fig. 4a). BamHI-A probe hybridized to the smear region with an MW of 10.0×10^6 , as well as to the BamHI A fragment. In the BglI digest, BglI-C, the smear region, and $Bg/I-L$ were hybridized with the probe, as it also hybridized to SmaI-B and SmaI-K as well as to the smear region between SmaI-B and SmaI-K. The smear region of the BglI digest was designated as the terminal region in the previous study (14), and therefore the smear region found in the BamHI digest should be considered to be of the same nature. The results indicated that BamHI-A hybridized to the smear terminal region located at 111-123, which should include the TR_S region, and also that the terminal end of the molecule is heterogeneous.

To identify the junctions between IR_S and the short unique region (U_S) and between U_S and TR_S, the cloned BamHI A fragment was divided into three $BglI$ subfragments: I (part of $BglI-C_2$), II ($BglI-L$), and III (part of $BglI-C_3$). Since $BglI$ cleaves the fragment close to the center, subfragments ^I and

III had to be separated along with pACYC184 DNA fragments. Subfragments I, II, and III did not share sequence homology with each other (Fig. 4c). When the same $32P$ probes of I, II, and III were hybridized with BamHI, BglI, and SmaI digests of viral DNA, subfragment ^I hybridized to the smear regions of BamHI, BglI, and SmaI digests of viral DNA, and subfragment II hybridized to the smear region of the BamHI digest observed as subfragment ^I hybridization, whereas subfragment III did not hybridize to the smear region (Fig. 4b). It was noted that hybridization of subfragment I to BglI-C was not clearly observed (Fig. 4b), suggesting that $Bg/I-C_2$ may also be heterogeneous. The slight hybridization between subfragment II and SmaI-K or subfragment II and the smear region of BglI and SmaI digests may be due to the presence of partial sequence homologies. When the hybridization results were aligned with the IR_S and TRs regions, it was concluded that subfragment ^I and part of subfragment II ($BglI-L$) should be placed within IR_S. The other junction between U_S and TR_S should reside within

FIG. 5. Tandem repeats within BamHI F fragment. Variable numbers of tandem repeats (SmaI M fragments) within the BamHI ^F fragment were detected by Southern blot hybridization analysis. (a) $32P$ -labeled BamHI-F DNA hybridized to BamHI- and SmaI-digested viral DNA; (b) ethidium bromide stain of SmaI-digested BamHI-F DNA cloned into the BamHI site of pACYC184.

 $BglI-C_3$ left of BamHI-P₁, since BamHI-P₁ did not hybridize to the smear region, and the terminal IR_S should contain one BglI site near the junction.

To identify the junction between TR_L and U_{L1} and also between U_{L2} and IR_L (U_{L1} and U_{L2} are subdivisions of the long unique region $[U_L]$ and are located at 10–32 and 34–85, respectively), three of the $BglI$ subfragments cleaved from $BamHI-H$, I (part of $BglI-H$), II ($BglI-M$), and III (part of BglI-F), were made radioactive by 5'-labeling with $[\gamma^{32}P]$ ATP and then hybridized with BamHI-D that had been digested with *BglI* and *SmaI* (Fig. 3c). Subfragment I hybridized only faintly to BglI-I and SmaI-I in the BamHI-D digest, whereas subfragment II ($BglI-M$) hybridized strongly to BglI-M and SmaI-I in the BamHI-D digests. Subfragment III hybridized only to the portions of $Bg/I-F$ and $Small-F$ fragments derived from the BamHI-D digest. When the same probes were hybridized with viral DNA digests by using BglI and SmaI (Fig. 3b), major hybridizations were observed with BglI-H and SmaI-C for subfragment I. The center probe, subfragment II ($BglI-M$), hybridized to $BglI-H$ and to a lesser extent to BglI-F, as well as to BglI-M and SmaI-C, SmaI-F, and SmaI-I. This indicates that a homologous sequence is present between $BglI-M$ and the adjacent fragment, $BglI-H$, and slightly present in $BglI-F$. Subfragment III hybridized to BglI-F and BglI-H, as well as to $Small$ -F and $Small$ -C. This also indicates the presence of homology between BglI-F and $BglI-H$ segments. Subfragment I did not hybridize to $BglI-H$ M (subfragment II) or BglI-F, whereas subfragments II $(Bg/I-M)$ and III hybridized to $Bg/I-H$. Thus the homologies

between subfragments II ($Bg/I-M$), III, and $Bg/I-H$ should exist at the left-end portion of BglI-H; that is, within the BamHI K_1 fragment. Similarly, subfragment II (Bg/I-M) hybridized to Bg/I -F faintly, whereas subfragment III did not hybridize to BglI-M (subfragment II). Therefore, the homology between subfragment II (BglI-M) and BglI-F should reside in the right-end portion of BglI-F; that is, within $BamHI-I₂$.

Further experiments showed that subfragment II ($BglI-M$) hybridized not only to BamHI-D and BamHI-H, but also to some other fragments (Fig. 3d). Thus, these experiments indicate that the junction of IR_L and U_{L2} should be placed at the right-end region of BglI-H, and that of TR_L and U_{L1} should be placed at the left-end region of $Bg/I-I$. By alignment of the linkage map with the electron microscopy measurements of Cebrian et al. (3), the junction between IR_s and IR_L should be present to the left of the BamHI A segment or within the gap to which $BamHI-O₂$ should be assigned, as mentioned below.

Presence of direct repeat units. The presence of direct repeat units was found within the BamHI F fragment when the 32P-labeled DNA fragment was hybridized to the BamHI and SmaI digest of viral DNA (Fig. 5a). The probe detected an *Smal* fragment (0.9 \times 10⁶ MW) of molar ratio 5. The molar ratio was determined with consideration of MW and densitometry tracing. When cloned BamHI F fragment in pACYC184 was digested with SmaI endonuclease, fragments of 0.9×10^6 MW were also obtained but with a molar ratio of ² (Fig. 5b). When 32P-labeled, cloned BamHI-F was hybridized to the BamHI digest of viral DNA, the probe detected, in addition to the BamHI F fragment, fragments of MW 9.5 \times 10⁶, 13.1 \times 10⁶, 16.7 \times 10⁶, and 18.5 \times 10⁶. This indicates that the majority of molecules contain 2 mol of SmaI-M $(0.9 \times 10^6 \text{ MW})$ within the BamHI F fragment. Some contain 6, 10, 14, and ¹⁶ U of SmaI-M, assuming that the difference in the MW of these bands reflects the difference in the number of repeat units.

DISCUSSION

In this paper we have shown that 27 out of 29 fragments of viral DNA were cloned into bacterial plasmids pBR322 and pACYC184 and into cosmid pHC79. The use of the cosmid vector helped this study because cloning partially BamHIdigested DNA into it revealed small fragments which could not have been detected by conventional use of 1 to 2 μ g of viral DNA. Digestion and ligation of partially digested cloned DNA resulted in isolation of small fragments individually. The ambiguity that was not resolved in the previous study in regards to the identity of multimolar subfragments was clarified by the cloning of individual fragments into plasmid vectors. Some of the fragments were misplaced in the previous study due to insufficient amounts of individual fragments available for hybridization, and also because individual subfragments having a multimolar ratio could not be used for hybridization. A typical example was the misplacement of $BamHI-K₁$, which was previously located next to BamHI-K₃; however, hybridization with the cloned K₁ fragment has resulted in placing the fragment in a different position. Similarly, the locations of some BamHI ^I fragments were corrected in the present study. Two fragments, BamHI- O_2 and BamHI- Q_2 , were not cloned. Alignment of each fragment on the map indicated three gaps (Fig. 6), all in the inverted repeat region to which these two fragments should fit by size. $BamHI-O₂$, with a molar ratio of unity, should be placed in the gap between BamHI-A and BamHI-L. $BamHI-Q₂$ should be placed next to $BamHI-I₂$. Since the

FIG. 6. BamHI, BgII, and Smal restriction endonuclease maps of MDV DNA. (a) Summary of hybridization of cloned BamHI fragments to BamHI, BglI, and SmaI digests of viral DNA. Arrows, Hybridization between fragments. (b) Physical map of MDV DNA. DR, Direct repeat; γ , junction region. Heterogeneity in the TR_L region has not been detected in this study; however, Cebrian et al. (3) have reported the presence of heterogenous tails on both ends of viral DNA. The location on the map is expressed in MW $(x 10⁶)$ from the left end of the genome.

 $BamHI-O₂$ of unit molar ratio resides within the inverted regions, this fragment should cover the junction between IR_S and IR_L . Most of the linkages, but not all, were confirmed by cloning BamHI partially digested viral DNA into the cosmid vector.

This study revealed the presence of four unique features in the structure of MDV DNA: (i) inverted repeat regions; (ii) terminal heterogeneity, possibly in IR_S ; (iii) simple repeat units in BamHI-F; and (iv) a sequence homologous to BglI-M throughout viral DNA.

Neither inverted regions nor flip-flop structures of viral DNA were identified in the previous study. In the present study, inverted regions were identified by analysis of the linkage map of BamHI fragments. The locations of BamHI-L and $BamHI-I₂$, together with the sequence homology with opposite orientation between BamHI-H and BamHI-D, clearly indicate the presence of inverted repeat regions located at 0–10 and 85–95. The other inverted repeat regions, IR_S and TR_S, were detected by hybridization of BamHI-A, which detected the presence of the homologous sequence at the terminal region, characterized by heterogeneity. BamHI-A hybridized to the smear region of the BglI digest, which has already been shown to be a terminal region (14). Therefore, judging from the result of the hybridization of BamHI, BglI, and SmaI digests of viral DNA with $32P$ -

labeled BamHI-A, together with the alignment of the linkage map to the measurement of inverted repeat regions by electron microscopy (3), IR_S and TR_S should be located at 95-103 and 111-119, respectively. The junction between TR_L and U_{L1} , as well as that between U_{L2} and IR_L , lies in proximity to the BglI M fragment. The junction between IR_S and U_S should be located within BgII-L, and that between U_S and TR_S should be located at the left end of BglI-C. The border between IR_L and IR_S should be located within $BamHI-O₂$ as already discussed, because this fragment is of unit molar ratio and is located near the border if aligned to the electron microscopic data.

Left-terminal heterogeneity, which was observed by electron microscope (3), has not been detected in this study. This might be due to the unavailability of cloned $BamHI-O₂$ for hybridization. Subfragment I, generated by digestion of BamHI-A with BgII, did not seem to hybridize clearly to BglI-C but only to the smear region. Since $BglI-C_2$ should be located under BamHI-A, it is possible that $Bg/I-C_2$ may also be heterogeneous. This must be confirmed by further study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by grant R01 CA ³¹⁹⁴⁹ from the National Institutes of Health.

We thank J. Donovan, L. Eklund. and J. Jessip for their valuable technical assistance.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Biggs, P. M. 1973. Marek's disease, p. 557-594. In A. Kaplan (ed.), The herpesviruses. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- 2. Bolivar, F., and K. Backman. 1979. Plasmids of E. coli as cloning vectors. Methods Enzymol. 68:245-267.
- 3. Cebrian, J., C. Kaschka-Dietrich, N. Berthelot, and P. Sheldrick. 1982. Inverted repeat nucleotide sequences in the genome of Marek disease virus and the herpesvirus of the turkey. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 79:555-558.
- 4. Cohen, S. N., A. C. Y. Chang, and L. Hsu. 1972. Nonchromosomal antibiotic resistance in bacteria: genetic transformation of E. coli by R-factor DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 69:2110-2114.
- 5. Collins, J., and H. J. Bruning. 1978. Plasmids usable as genecloning vectors in an in vitro packaging by coliphage λ 'Cosmids'. Gene 4:85-107.
- 6. Collins, J., and B. Hohn. 1978. A type of plasmid gene-cloning vector that is packageable in vitro in bacteriophage λ heads. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 75:4242-4246.
- 7. David, I. B. 1977. DNA-DNA hybridization on membrane filters: a convenient method using formamide. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 477:191-194.
- 8. Denhardt, D. 1966. A membrane filter technique for the detection of complimentary DNA. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 23:641-652.
- 9. Hayward, G. S., R. J. Jacob, S. C. Wadsworth, and B. Roizman. 1975. Anatomy of Herpes Simplex Virus DNA: evidence for four populations of molecules that differ in the relative orientations of their long and short segments. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 72:4243-4247.
- 10. Hohn, B. 1979. In vitro packaging of cosmid DNA. Methods Enzymol. 68:299-309.
- 11. Holms, D. S., and M. Quingly. 1981. A rapid boiling method for the preparation of bacterial plasmids. Anal. Biochem. 114:193- 197.
- 12. Ish-Horowicz, D., and J. F. Burke. 1981. Rapid and efficient cloning. Nucleic Acids Res. 9:2989-2998.
- 13. Lee, L. F., E. D. Kieff, S. L. Bachenheimer, B. Roizman, P. G. Spear, B. R. Burmester, and K. Nazerian. 1971. Size and composition of Marek's disease virus deoxyribonucleic acid. J. Virol. 7:289-294.
- 14. Lee, Y. S., A. Tanaka, and M. Nonoyama. 1982. Partial restriction map of Marek's Disease Virus DNA. Gene 19:185-190.
- 15. Lis, J. T. 1980. Fractionation of DNA fragments by polyethylene glycol-induced precipitation. Methods Enzymol. 65:347- 353.
- 16. Maxam, A. M., and W. Gilbert. 1980. Sequencing end-labeled DNA with base-specific chemical cleavage. Methods Enzymol. 65:499-560.
- 17. Morrison, D. A. 1979. Transformation and preservation of competent bacterial cells by freezing. Methods Enzymol. 68:326-331.
- 18. Nazerian, K. 1973. Marek's Disease: a neoplastic disease of chickens caused by a herpesvirus. Adv. Cancer Res. 17:279- 317.
- 19. Okazaki, W., H. G. Purchase, and B. R. Burmester. 1970. Protection against Marek's Disease by vaccination with a herpesvirus of turkey (HVT). Avian Dis. 14:413-429.
- 20. Southern, E. M. 1975. Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. J. Mol. Biol. 98:503-517.
- 21. Wadsworth, S., R. J. Jacob, and B. Roizman. 1975. Anatomy of Herpes Simplex Virus DNA. II. Size, composition, and arrangement of inverted terminal repetitions. J. Virol. 15:1487-1497.