Structure 16

Supplemental Data

Starch Catabolism by a Prominent Human Gut Symbiont

Is Directed by the Recognition of Amylose Helices

Nicole M. Koropatkin, Eric C. Martens, Jeffrey I. Gordon, and Thomas J. Smith

Bacterial strains	Features/use	Source or reference
B. thetaiotaomicron ATCC	wild-type parent strain; FUdR ^s	Jeffrey I. Gordon
29148		Laboratory
B. thetaiotaomicron Δtdk	ATCC 29148 with <i>tdk</i> deletion; FUdR ^R	This work
B. thetaiotaomicron $\Delta susD$	Derivative of $\Delta t dk$ strain with <i>susD</i> deletion	This work
B. thetaiotaomicron	$\Delta susD$ strain with complementing susD allele	This work
$\Delta susD::PsusB-susD$		
<u>Plasmids</u>	Features/use	Source or reference
pGERM	Source of <i>bla-ermG</i> fragment	(Shipman et al.,
		1999)
pEPJ::N2	source of <i>att-intN2</i> from <i>NBU2</i>	Abigail Salyers
pKNOCK-cm	ori R6K suicide vector; Cml ^R	(Alexeyev, 1999)
pKNOCK-bla-ermGb	Derivative of pKNOCK-Cm, Erm ^R , Amp ^R	This study
pExchange-tdk	Derivative of pKNOCK-bla-ermGb carrying cloned	This study
	tdk	
p <i>NBU2-bla-ermG</i> b	Derivative of pKNOCK-bla-ermGb, inserts into	This study
	<i>NBU2 att1</i> and/or <i>att2</i> sites, Erm^{R} , Amp^{R}	

Table S1. Bacterial Strains and Plasmids

Primers:	<u>Sequence (5'-3')</u>	Use
susD fwd (NheI)	ctagctagcatcaacgatctggatattagtccc	susD cloning/over-expression
susD rev (XhoI)	ccg <u>ctcgag</u> ttatttatagccttcattttgtg	susD cloning/over-expression
<i>bla-ermG</i> fwd (<i>Mlu</i> I)	gcg <u>acgcgt</u> tatgagtaaacttggtctgacag	pKNOCK- <i>bla-ermG</i> b
		construction
bla-ermG rev (MluI)	gc <u>gacgcgt</u> aaacatttacagttgcatgtggc	pKNOCK- <i>bla-ermG</i> b
		construction
intN2-att fwd (KpnI)	gcgggtaccaacaaatactttcaggacgatgta	pNBU2-ermGb construction
intN2-att rev (SalI)	gcggtcgactaattgcctatcttccagtgatg	pNBU2- <i>ermG</i> b construction
Atdk upstream fwd (KpnI)	gcgggtaccgaaaccattggtagagataccg	<i>tdk</i> (<i>BT2275</i>) deletion
$\Delta t dk$ upstream rev (SaII)	gcggtcgactaccatgtgattttfgtgctttc	tdk (BT2275) deletion
Atdk downstream fwd	gcggtcgacgacggacagaagatataaactc	tdk (BT2275) deletion
$(S_{\alpha}II)$	ge <u>geregu</u> egguegguaguaguaana	lak (D12275) deletion
(Suil)	acasctaatteaceaaseattteaasaaaa	tdk (BT2275) deletion
Δlak downstream rev	ge <u>gaetagi</u> tegeeggaeanteggaaagg	lak (B12275) deletion
(Spel)	and a state and attended and a state a	the along the pEychones the
tak Iwd (Kpn1)	gcgggtaccgcticticcacaacagtctgc	tak cloning for pExchange-tak
tak two (Sall)	gcggtcgactcgaatgttatcttctttctttcc	tak cloning for pExchange-tak
$\Delta susD$ upstream fwd (Sall)	gcggtcgacgtcatcatacacaaacttcaagtc	susD (B13/01) deletion
$\Delta susD$ upstream rev	catgataaattgatttaatgaatgttag	susD (BT3701) deletion
$\Delta susD$ downstream fwd	ctaacattcattaaatcaatttatcatgtaaccaagagttc	susD (BT3701) deletion
	atccttatataaaag	
$\Delta susD$ downstream rev	gcg <u>tctaga</u> tccgcatcctccagtatctgc	susD (BT3701) deletion
(XbaI)		
P _{susB} fwd (SalI)	gcg <u>gtcgac</u> ataagttcacttcaacttatatttatcag	susD complementation
P_{susB} rev (EcoR V)	gcggatatccattctatttatggtattaaattataagc	susD complementation
susD complement fwd	gcggatatcctttcttattaggtttaagtctgc	susD complementation
(EcoR V)		
susD complement rev	gcgactagtttatttatagccttcattttgtgacat	susD complementation
(SpeI)		-
NBU2 att1 fwd	cctttgcaccgctttcaacg	flanks tRNA ^{ser} NBU2 att1
NBU2 att1 rev	tcaactaaacatgagatactagc	flanks tRNA ^{ser} NBU2 att1
NBU2 att2 fwd	tatectattetttagagegeac	flanks tRNA ^{ser} NBU2 att2
NBU2 att2 rev	ggtgtacctggcattgaagg	flanks tRNA ^{ser} NBU2 att2
susA aRT-PCR fwd	tcggcagtagaaggttttga	susA transcript quantification
susA aRT-PCR rev	actccgaggtctgctatgtaatc	susA transcript quantification
susB aRT-PCR fwd	gecegeaatateetegettea	susB transcript quantification
susB aRT-PCR rev	coctootcootcoototaa	susB transcript quantification
susC gRT-PCR fwd	gctattggcggggcattgg	susC transcript quantification
susC aRT-PCR rev	carcorattttoorgagagattco	susC transcript quantification
susD aRT-PCR fwd	otategaegeteteetgaeete	susD transcript quantification
susD aRT-PCR rev	cccaaacggacgtaaacccactet	susD transcript quantification
susE aRT-PCR fwd	attticcggcagctaccacctac	susE transcript quantification
susE aRT_PCR rev	aatcaagaagcacattattaagt	susE transcript quantification
susE qRT PCR find	aagtegaagegeatigtigagt	susE transcript quantification
Sust q RT PCP row	and attraction and a second attraction and a second attraction at the s	susF transcript quantification
susC aPT PCP ford		susG transcript quantification
SUSC ADT DCD roy	ateoretecoattitecoattitte	susC transcript quantification
SUSC QKI-FCK IEV	gitegetaceguiteacattille	160 transcript quantification
IUS QKI-PCK IWG	ggiagiccacacagiaaacgaigaa	10s transcript quantification
10s qK1-PCK rev	cccgicaancemgagme	tos transcript quantification

Figure S1. qRT-PCR of sus Genes

Wild-type, $\Delta susD$ and $\Delta susD::P_{susB}$ -susD B. thetaiotaomicron strains were grown to midlog phase on either glucose or maltose as a sole carbon source. Fold-induction of individual genes in the susABCDEFG cluster are expressed as the ratio of expression on maltose divided by expression on glucose. In the $\Delta susD$ mutant only the susD transcript is missing, indicating that the in-frame deletion of this gene does not influence expression of other sus genes. Note that despite being controlled by the maltose-inducible P_{susB} promoter, a single-copy of susD placed in the genome at one of the two att NBU2 insertion sites provides ~10% of wild-type susD expression. Reduced susD expression in the complemented strain is the likely reason for partial recovery of the susD dependent growth phenotypes shown in Figure. 2.

Figures S2-S5. These Figures Show the Raw Data and Attempts at Using Origin for Fitting to the Data for Maltoheptaose, α -Cyclodextrin (G6), β -Cyclodextrin (G7), and γ -Cyclodextrin (G8), Respectively

The low affinity of the ligands made for unstable refinement of the various binding parameters and therefore a stepwise binding analysis was performed as described in the Methods section.

Figure S6. Native and SDS PAGE Analysis of SusD Showing a Possible Oligomeric Assembly in Solution

On the right side of this figure is an SDS-PAGE gel demonstrating that the cloned and purified SusD sample is extremely homogeneous. On the left side of this figure is a native gel of the same sample of SusD showing a series of higher molecular weight bands consistent with oligomerization of SusD in solution.

Supplemental Experimental Procedures

B. thetaiotaomicron Growth and Culture Conditions

Bacterial strains, plasmids and primers are summarized in Tables S3 and S4. *B. thetaiotaomicron* was routinely grown in TYG medium (Holdeman et al., 1977) or on brain-heart infusion (BHI; Beckton dickinson, co.) agar supplemented with 10% horse blood and stored at -80°C in TYG supplemented with 25% glycerol. Antibiotics (Sigma) were added as appropriate: erythromycin (25 µg ml⁻¹), gentamycin (200 µg ml⁻¹) and 5fluor-2'-deoxyuridine (FUdR, 200 µg ml⁻¹). *E. coli* S17-1 λ *pir* (Simon et al., 1983) was used for conjugation of constructs into *B. thetaiotaomicron*.

Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Quantification of *susABCDEFG* transcripts was conducted by quantitative realtime PCR (qRT-PCR) using total cellular RNA extracted from the glucose- and maltosegrown cultures of Figure 2 (n=3 per strain and growth condition). Bacteria were harvested during logarithmic growth between OD₆₀₀ values of 0.5-0.57 and preserved in RNAProtect (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was extracted using an RNAeasy purification kit (Qiagen) and 2 µg of RNA from each sample was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). qRT-PCR was conducted on a Stratagene model MX3000P instrument. PCR conditions were 40 cycles of 15 sec at 95°C, 45 sec at 55°C, 30 sec at 72°C. The 25 µL PCR reactions contained 12.5 µL ABsoluteTM QPCR SYBR® Green ROX (ABgene House, Epsom, UK), 0.25 µL UDP-N-glycosidase (1 unit/µL; Invitrogen), 10 ng of cDNA and gene-specific primers (0.3 µM each). Individual samples were normalized by 16s rDNA quantification.

New *Bacteroides* spp. Plasmid Constructs

Three new plasmid constructs were created during this work (Table S3) that are derivatives of the *ori*R6K suicide vector pKNOCK-Cm (Alexeyev, 1999). Plasmid pKNOCK-*bla-ermG*b was constructed by removing an *Mlu*I fragment carrying the *cat* (chloramphenicol resistance) gene from pKNOCK-Cm and replacing it with an *Mlu*I ended *bla-ermG* fragment (containing β -lactamase for ampicillin selection in *E. coli* and *ermG* for erythromycin selection in *Bacteroides* spp.) that was amplified from the *Bacteroides* spp. suicide vector pGERM (Shipman et al., 1999).

Two additional plasmids were constructed by elaborating upon pKNOCK-*blaermG*b. The counter-selectable suicide vector for *B. thetaiotaomicron* allelic exchange, pExchange-*tdk*, was constructed by amplifying *BT2275* (promoter and ORF) and ligating it into the *Kpn*I and *Sal*I sites of pKNOCK-*bla*-*ermG*b. The NBU2-based genomic insertion vector, pNBU2-*bla*-*ermG*b, was constructed by amplifying a fragment containing the integrase (*intN2*) and attachment site (*attN2*) from the non-replicating *Bacteroides* element NBU2 using plasmid pEPJ::N2 (a kind gift from Abigail Salyers, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champagne) as a template and ligating it into the *Kpn*I and *Sal*I sites of pKNOCK-*bla*-*ermG*b. The pNBU2-*bla*-*ermG*b carries cloned DNA fragments into the *B. thetaiotomicron* genome in single-copy by integrating into one of two tRNA^{ser} attachment sites.

Supplemental References

1. Alexeyev, M.F. (1999). The pKNOCK series of broad-host-range mobilizable suicide vectors for gene knockout and targeted DNA insertion into the chromosome of gramnegative bacteria. BioTechniques *26*, 824-826, 828.

2. Holdeman, L.V., Cato, E.D., and Moore, W.E.C. (1977). Anaerobe Laboratory Manual. (Blacksburg, Va., Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Anaerobe Laboratory).

3. Shipman, J.A., Cho, K.H., Siegel, H.A., and Salyers, A.A. (1999). Physiological characterization of SusG, an outer membrane protein essential for starch utilization by Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron. Journal of bacteriology *181*, 7206-7211.

4. Simon, R., Priefer, U., and Puhler, A. (1983). A broad host range mobilization system for *in vivo* genetic engineering: transposon mutagenesis in Gram-negative bacteria. Biotechnology *1*, 784-791.



Figure S1



Figure S2



Figure S3



Figure S4



Figure S5



Figure S6