

Supplementary Table 2:: Influence of salicylic acid on ethylene production in tobacco in response to *Pseudomonas syringae*

Plant Condition/ Genotype	C ₂ H ₄ -I			C ₂ H ₄ -II		Mean C ₂ H ₄ I/ C ₂ H ₄ -II Height ratio	Mean C ₂ H ₄ I/ C ₂ H ₄ -II area ratio
	Mean Peak Height (nmol h ⁻¹ gfw ⁻¹)	Mean Peak Position (hpi)	Peak Area	Maximum height (nmol h ⁻¹ gfw ⁻¹)	Area		
WT- <i>Psph</i>	31.1 (1.0)	1.9 (0.1)	60.1 (1.2)	48.8 (2.5)	214.3 (11.1)	0.7 (0.3)	0.2 (0.1)
WT- SH	30.5 (9.2)	1.9 (0.2)	64.8 (7.7)	27.3 (2.9)	84.7 (21.4)	1.5 (0.3)	0.6 (0.2)
WT- SAR control	29.1 (2.1)	1.9 (0.1)	63.9 (3.0)	40.1 (1.0)	187.6 (16.1)	0.7 (0.3)	0.3 (0.1)
WT- SAR	107.3 (5.5)	2.7 (0.1)	269.3 (9.3)	39.3 (1.9)	179.3 (18.9)	0.3 (0.1)	0.4 (0.2)
WT - H ₂ O	2.9 (2.8)	3.7 (0.1)	9.1 (0.8)				
WT - 1mM SA	14.9 (0.8)	3.9 (0.2)	85.8 (3.3)				

Psph = *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *phaseolicola*; SAR = Systemic Acquired Resistance; *NahG* = Salicylate hydroxylase transgenic tobacco plants

See main text for methodological details. Calculations were carried out as described in the legend of supplementary Table 1. Each calculation represented the mean of at least 6 replicates, ANOVA of ethylene production in WT ("WT-*Psph*") and SH ("WT-SH") tobacco indicated that there were no significant differences in C₂H₄-I ($P = 0.13274$) but C₂H₄-II differed significantly ($P < 0.001$). Conversely ANOVA of ethylene production following inoculation with *Psph* of SAR exhibiting tissue ("WT-SAR") compared to non-SAR exhibiting equivalent leaves ("WT-SAR controls") indicated that C₂H₄-I was significantly different ($P < 0.001$) whilst differences in C₂H₄-II were not significant ($P = 0.09$).