Analytical distributions for stochastic gene expression: Supporting information

Vahid Shahrezaei and Peter S. Swain

Derivation of the protein distribution for a two-stage model of gene expression

From the master equation

The generating function for the master equation of the two-stage model satisfies (Eq. 1 in the main text)

$$\frac{1}{v}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} - \gamma \left[b(1+u) - \frac{u}{v}\right]\frac{\partial F}{\partial u} = a\frac{u}{v}F$$
(23)

where F(z', z) is defined as $\sum_{m,n} (z')^m z^n P_{m,n}$, and we have let u = z' - 1 and v = z - 1. If r measure the distance along a characteristic which starts at $\tau = 0$ with $u = u_0$ and $v = v_0$ for some constant u_0 and v_0 , then Eq. 23 becomes

$$\frac{dv}{dr} = 1 \qquad ; \quad \frac{d\tau}{dr} = \frac{1}{v}
\frac{du}{dr} = -\gamma \left[b(1+u) - \frac{u}{v} \right] \quad ; \quad \frac{dF}{dr} = \frac{au}{v} F.$$
(24)

Consequently, v = r and

$$\frac{du}{dv} = -\gamma \left[b(1+u) - \frac{u}{v} \right] \tag{25}$$

which has solution

$$u(v) = e^{-\gamma bv} v^{\gamma} \left[C - b\gamma \int^{v} dv' \frac{e^{\gamma bv'}}{v'^{\gamma}} \right]$$
(26)

for a constant C as can be verified by differentiation. By Taylor expanding $e^{\gamma bv}$ so that $e^{\gamma bv} = \sum_{n} \frac{(\gamma bv)^n}{n!}$, we can evaluate the integral in Eq. 26,

$$u(v) = e^{-\gamma bv} \left[Cv^{\gamma} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma bv)^{n+1}}{n!(n-\gamma+1)} \right].$$
 (27)

We can also carry out the sum in Eq. 27 in the limit of $\gamma \gg 1$ following Bender and Orzag [1]. By comparing the ratio of the n - 1'th and the *n*'th term, we see that the elements of the sum have a maximum when $n \simeq \gamma bv$. For $\gamma \gg 1$, the sum will be dominated by terms with *n* near γbv . We therefore let $n = \gamma bv + s$ for some *s*, then *n*! can be shown to be approximately [1]

$$n! \simeq (\gamma bv)^n \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma bv} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{s^2}{2\gamma bv}} \sqrt{2\pi\gamma bv}$$
(28)

using Stirling's approximation. Consequently, by approximating the sum as an integral and extending the range of the integral to $-\infty$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma bv)^{n+1}}{n!(n-\gamma+1)} \simeq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \, \frac{e^{-\frac{s^2}{2\gamma bv}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\gamma bv}} \cdot \frac{\gamma bv e^{\gamma bv}}{\gamma(bv-1)+s+1}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \, \frac{e^{-\frac{s^2}{2\gamma bv}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\gamma bv}} \cdot \frac{bv e^{\gamma bv}}{bv-1} \left[1+\gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{s+1}{bv-1}\right)\right]^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{bv e^{\gamma bv}}{bv-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \, \frac{e^{-\frac{s^2}{2\gamma bv}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\gamma bv}} + O\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)$$

$$\simeq \frac{bv e^{\gamma bv}}{bv-1} \qquad (29)$$

to the lowest order in γ . From Eq. 27, u satisfies

$$u(v) \simeq C e^{-\gamma bv} v^{\gamma} + \frac{bv}{1 - bv}$$
(30)

when $\gamma \gg 1$. We evaluate C using $u = u_0$ when $v = v_0$ giving

$$u \simeq \left(u_0 - \frac{bv_0}{1 - bv_0}\right) e^{-\gamma b(v - v_0)} \left(\frac{v}{v_0}\right)^{\gamma} + \frac{bv}{1 - bv}$$

$$\simeq \frac{bv}{1 - bv}.$$
 (31)

when $\gamma \gg 1$ because $v = v_0 e^{\tau} > v_0$ from Eq. 24.

Finding the generating function

Using Eq. 31, Eq. 24 becomes

$$\frac{dF}{dv} = \frac{ab}{1 - bv}F\tag{32}$$

or, on integrating,

$$\log \frac{F(v)}{F(v_0)} = -a \log \left(\frac{1-bv}{1-bv_0}\right) \tag{33}$$

because $F(v_0) = F(\tau = 0)$. If initially we have k proteins then

$$F(v_0) = \sum P_n(\tau = 0) z^n = \sum \delta_{n,k} z^n = z^k = (1 + v_0)^k.$$
(34)

For our approximation, Eq. 31, to be valid, enough time must have passed for mRNA levels to have reached steady-state. Strictly, this initial condition is only valid for non-zero τ of the order of $d_1/d_0 = \gamma^{-1}$. Finally, inserting Eq. 34 into Eq. 33 gives

$$F(z,\tau) = \left[\frac{1 - b(z-1)e^{-\tau}}{1 - bz + b}\right]^a \left[1 + (z-1)e^{-\tau}\right]^k$$
(35)

because $v_0 = (z - 1)e^{-\tau}$. When k = 0, Eq. 35 becomes Eq. 7.

Deriving the probability distribution for proteins

We can find $P_n(\tau)$, the probability of having *n* proteins at time τ given initially zero proteins, by differentiating Eq. 35 when k = 0. By definition, P_n satisfies $P_n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} F(z,\tau) \Big|_{z=0}$. By writing

$$F(z,\tau) = \left(\frac{1+be^{-\tau}}{1+b}\right)^{a} \cdot \frac{\left[1-\frac{b}{1+b}z\right]^{-a}}{\left[1-\frac{b}{e^{\tau}+b}z\right]^{-a}},$$
(36)

we can make use of the identities

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} [1 - qz]^{-a} \bigg|_{z=0} = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} q^n$$
(37)

and

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} \frac{x(z)}{y(z)} = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\partial^{n-k}}{\partial z^{n-k}} x(z) \cdot \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-1)^j (k+1) y(z)^{-j-1}}{(j+1)! (n-k)! (k-j)!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} y(z)^j$$
(38)

which is given at Wolfram Research (functions.wolfram.com/GeneralIdentities/9).

Interpreting x(z) as the numerator of the quotient in Eq. 36 and y(z) as its denominator, we find

$$P_{n}(\tau) = \left(\frac{1+be^{-\tau}}{1+b}\right)^{a} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(a+n-k)}{\Gamma(a)} \left(\frac{b}{1+b}\right)^{n-k} \\ \times \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{j}(k+1)}{(j+1)!(n-k)!(k-j)!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(aj+k)}{\Gamma(aj)} \cdot \left(\frac{b}{e^{\tau}+b}\right)^{k}$$
(39)

where we can use

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{j} \Gamma(aj+k)}{\Gamma(aj)(j+1)!(k-j)!} = \frac{(-1)^{k} \Gamma(a+1)}{\Gamma(a-k+1)(k+1)!}$$
(40)

to simplify further. Eq. 40 can be verified by directly expanding the sum. Consequently,

$$P_n(\tau) = \left(\frac{b}{1+b}\right)^n \left(\frac{1+be^{-\tau}}{1+b}\right)^a \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{\Gamma(a-k+n)}{\Gamma(n-k+1)\Gamma(a-k+1)} \left(\frac{1+b}{e^{\tau}+b}\right)^k.$$
 (41)

The hypergeometric function $_2F_1(a, b, c; z)$ obeys

$${}_{2}F_{1}(-n,b,c;z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-k+1)} \frac{(b)_{k}}{(c)_{k}} \frac{z^{k}}{k!}$$
(42)

when a is a negative integer and where $(b)_k$ and $(c)_k$ are Pochhammer symbols [2]. From their definition, $(a)_k = \Gamma(a+k)/\Gamma(a)$, the Pochhammer symbols satisfy

$$\Gamma(a+1) = (-1)^k (-a)_k \Gamma(a-k+1).$$
(43)

Writing $\Gamma(a-k+n) = \Gamma(a+n-1-k+1)$ and using Eq. 42 and Eq. 43, we find that

$$P_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{b}{1+b}\right)^n \left(\frac{1+be^{-\tau}}{1+b}\right)^a \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} {}_2F_1\left(-n, -a, 1-a-n; \frac{1+b}{e^{\tau}+b}\right)$$
(44)

which is valid for $\gamma \gg 1$, $\tau > \gamma^{-1}$, and a and b finite.

Deriving the 'propagator' probability

By differentiating Eq. 35 for non-zero k, we can express the 'propagator' probability, $P_{n|k}(\tau)$, in terms of Eq. 44. From the definition of $P_n(\tau)$, Eq. 35 can be written as

$$F(z,\tau) = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(\tau) z^n\right] \left[1 - e^{-\tau} + z e^{-\tau}\right]^k$$
(45)

or

$$F(z,\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(\tau) z^n \sum_{r=0}^{k} \binom{k}{r} \left(1 - e^{-\tau}\right)^{k-r} \left(z e^{-\tau}\right)^r$$
(46)

using the binomial theorem. From the coefficients of the powers of z, we find

$$P_{n|k}(\tau) = \sum_{r=0}^{k} \binom{k}{r} P_{n-r}(\tau) \left(1 - e^{-\tau}\right)^{k-r} e^{-r\tau}$$
(47)

because $F(z,\tau) = \sum_{n} P_{n|k}(\tau) z^{n}$ and remembering that $P_{n}(\tau) = 0$ if n < 0.

Finding the probability distribution for the first passage time

With $P_n(\tau)$ and $P_{n|k}(\tau)$, we can find the distribution for the first time the number of proteins reaches a threshold N. We define this distribution to be $f_N(\tau)$. It obeys a renewal equation [3]

$$P_N(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} d\tau' f_N(\tau') P_{N|N}(\tau - \tau').$$
(48)

The probability of having N proteins at time τ is equal to the sum of the probability of first reaching N proteins at τ' and then returning to N proteins at a time $\tau - \tau'$ later for all times τ' less than τ . We have assumed that the initial number of proteins is zero, but this assumption is not necessary.

Eq. 48 is a Volterra integral equation of the first kind and can be straightforwardly solved numerically [4]. If N > 0 then $f_N(0) = 0$ and $P_{N|N}(0) = 1$ by definition. Consequently, by discretizing and letting $\tau_i = i\epsilon$ for integer *i* and small ϵ , we can write the integral in Eq. 48 as a trapezium rule:

$$\int_{0}^{\tau_{i}} d\tau' f_{N}(\tau') P_{N|N}(\tau_{i} - \tau') \simeq \epsilon \left[\frac{1}{2} f_{N}(\tau_{i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} P_{N|N}(\tau_{i} - \tau_{j}) f_{N}(\tau_{j}) \right].$$
(49)

Inserting Eq. 49 into Eq. 48 gives a series of equations for $f_N(\tau_i)$ which we solve iteratively:

$$f_N(\tau_1) = \frac{2P_N(\tau_1)}{\epsilon}$$
(50)

$$f_N(\tau_i) = 2 \left[\frac{P_N(\tau_i)}{\epsilon} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} P_{N|N}(\tau_{i-j}) f_N(\tau_j) \right].$$
(51)

We implement Eqs. 50 and 51 in Matlab (The Mathworks, Natick, Massachusetts). Our code is available at www.cnd.mcgill.ca/~swain.

We use

$$\langle n(\tau_1)n(\tau_2)\rangle = \sum_{n,n'} nn' P_{n|n'}(\tau_2 - \tau_1) P_{n'}(\tau_1)$$
(52)

to find the auto-correlation function. We evaluate the sum in Eq. 52 numerically, cutting off the sums when n is many times the mean steady-state value: $\langle n \rangle = ab$.

High γ implies bursts of protein synthesis



Figure 5: As γ increases, protein synthesis occurs in bursts. Time courses of protein numbers from simulations of the two-stage model of of Fig. 1. When γ is increased to 100 from 1, we see steep bursts of synthesis: short-lived mRNAs are only able to be occasionally translated before being degraded. The protein degradation rate is $d_1 = 0.0005 \text{s}^{-1}$. **a** a = 20 and b = 2.5. **b** a = 0.5 and b = 100. Both examples have a mean protein number of 50.

Solving the master equation for bursts of protein synthesis

When $\gamma \gg 1$, the distribution for protein numbers can also be derived by only considering $P_n(\tau)$, the probability of having *n* proteins at time τ , if this probability obeys a master equation where proteins are synthesized in bursts. We let the size *r* of a burst obey a geometric distribution,

$$P(r) = \left(\frac{b}{1+b}\right)^r \left(1 - \frac{b}{1+b}\right).$$
(53)

The corresponding master equation is

$$\frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \tau} = a \left[\left(1 - \frac{b}{1+b} \right) \sum_{r=0}^n \left(\frac{b}{1+b} \right)^r P_{n-r} - P_n \right] + (n+1)P_{n+1} - nP_n \tag{54}$$

which can be converted into an equation for the generating function, $F(z) = \sum_n z^n P_n(\tau)$.

The generating function obeys

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau} = (1-z)\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} - aF + a\left(1 - \frac{b}{1+b}\right)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r=0}^{n}z^{n}\left(\frac{b}{1+b}\right)^{r}P_{n-r}$$
(55)

where we need to evaluate the sums over n and r. Relabelling and resuming

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{n} z^{n} \left(\frac{b}{1+b}\right)^{r} P_{n-r} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} z^{n} \left(\frac{b}{1+b}\right)^{n-k} P_{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{b}{1+b}\right)^{-k} P_{k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{bz}{1+b}\right)^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{k} \left(\frac{bz}{1+b}\right)^{k}}{\left(1-\frac{bz}{1+b}\right) \left(\frac{b}{1+b}\right)^{k}}$$

$$= \frac{F(z)}{1-\frac{bz}{1+b}}$$
(56)

where we use the definition of the generating function. Consequently, Eq. 55 becomes

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau} = (1-z)\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} + \left(\frac{1-\frac{b}{1+b}}{1-\frac{bz}{1+b}} - 1\right)aF$$
(57)

or

$$\frac{1}{v}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} = \frac{ab}{1-bv}F\tag{58}$$

with v = z - 1. This partial differential equation is Eq. 23 when $\gamma \gg 1$ and Eq. 31 holds.

Derivation of the gamma distribution for protein numbers

We can derive the gamma distribution for protein numbers found by Friedman *et al.* [5] when n is large. If P(n|a, b) is the negative binomial distribution and $\Gamma(n|a, b)$ is the gamma distribution, then

$$P(n|a,b) = \int_0^\infty d\lambda \, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda} \lambda^n}{n!} \Gamma(\lambda|a,b) \tag{59}$$

which is a general relation between the negative binomial and gamma distributions. It can be verified by evaluating the integral using the definition of a gamma function [2]. If we approximate the Poisson distribution by a normal distribution and write $z = \lambda - n$, Eq. 59 becomes

$$P(n|a,b) \simeq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{z^2}{2(z+n)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(z+n)}} \Gamma(z+n|a,b) \\ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{z^2}{2n}\left(1+\frac{z}{n}\right)^{-1}}}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \cdot \left(1+\frac{z}{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(n\left[1+\frac{z}{n}\right]\Big|a,b\right).$$
(60)

We note that only values of z close to zero contribute to the integral when $n \gg 1$ because z = 0is the minimum of the exponent in the integrand. Then $n \gg 1$ implies $z/n \ll 1$, and so

$$P(n|a,b) \simeq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{z^2}{2n}}}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \Gamma(n|a,b)$$

= $\Gamma(n|a,b)$ (61)

for large n, as expected [5].

 $\langle 0 \rangle$

Derivation of the protein distribution for a three-stage model of gene expression

We can use the same approximation of large γ to find the protein distribution for the threestage model. Let $P_{m,n}^{(0)}$ be the probability of having m mRNAs and n proteins when the DNA is inactive and $P_{m,n}^{(1)}$ be the probability of having m mRNAs and n proteins when the DNA is active. The master equation consists of two coupled equations:

$$\frac{\partial P_{n,m}^{(0)}}{\partial \tau} = \kappa_1 P_{m,n}^{(1)} - \kappa_0 P_{m,n}^{(0)} + (n+1) P_{m,n+1}^{(0)} - n P_{m,n}^{(0)} + \gamma \left[(m+1) P_{m+1,n}^{(0)} - m P_{m,n}^{(0)} + bm \left(P_{m,n-1}^{(0)} - P_{m,n}^{(0)} \right) \right]$$
(62)

$$\frac{\partial P_{n,m}^{(1)}}{\partial \tau} = -\kappa_1 P_{m,n}^{(1)} + \kappa_0 P_{m,n}^{(0)} + (n+1) P_{m,n+1}^{(1)} - n P_{m,n}^{(1)} + a \left(P_{m-1,n}^{(1)} - P_{m,n}^{(1)} \right) + \gamma \left[(m+1) P_{m+1,n}^{(1)} - m P_{m,n}^{(1)} + bm \left(P_{m,n-1}^{(1)} - P_{m,n}^{(1)} \right) \right]$$
(63)

where $\kappa_0 = k_0/d_1$ and $\kappa_1 = k_1/d_1$. By defining two generating functions

$$f^{(0)}(z',z) = \sum_{m,n} (z')^m z^n P^{(0)}_{m,n} \quad ; \quad f^{(1)}(z',z) = \sum_{m,n} (z')^m z^n P^{(1)}_{m,n}, \tag{64}$$

these equations become

$$\frac{1}{v}\frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{v}\left[\kappa_1 f^{(1)} - \kappa_0 f^{(0)}\right] - \frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial v} + \gamma \left[b(1+u) - \frac{u}{v}\right]\frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial u}$$
(65)

$$\frac{1}{v}\frac{\partial f^{(1)}}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{v}\left[-\kappa_1 f^{(1)} + \kappa_0 f^{(0)}\right] - \frac{\partial f^{(1)}}{\partial v} + a\frac{u}{v}f^{(1)} + \gamma\left[b(1+u) - \frac{u}{v}\right]\frac{\partial f^{(1)}}{\partial u} \tag{66}$$

with u = z' - 1 and v = z - 1. At steady-state $\frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\partial f^{(1)}}{\partial \tau} = 0$, and we find using the method of characteristics that

$$\frac{dv}{dr} = 1 \qquad ; \quad \frac{du}{dr} = -\gamma \left[b(1+u) - \frac{u}{v} \right]
\frac{df^{(0)}}{dr} = \frac{1}{v} \left[\kappa_1 f^{(1)} - \kappa_0 f^{(0)} \right] \quad ; \quad \frac{df^{(1)}}{dr} = \frac{1}{v} \left[-\kappa_1 f^{(1)} + \kappa_0 f^{(0)} \right] + a \frac{u}{v} f^{(1)}$$
(67)

where r measures the distance along a characteristic. Both u and v obey Eq. 24 again. Consequently, v = r and $u \simeq \frac{bv}{1-bv}$ from Eq. 31 when $\gamma \gg 1$. From Eq. 67, we therefore obtain the two coupled differential equations:

$$v\frac{df^{(0)}}{\partial v} = \kappa_1 f^{(1)} - \kappa_0 f^{(0)}$$
(68)

$$v\frac{df^{(1)}}{\partial v} = -\kappa_1 f^{(1)} + \kappa_0 f^{(0)} + \frac{abv}{1 - bv} f^{(1)}.$$
(69)

Following Hornos *et al.* [6], Eqs. 68 and 69 can be reduced to one differential equation for $f^{(0)}(v)$ by solving Eq. 68 for $f^{(1)}$ in terms of $f^{(0)}$ and its derivative, and inserting the result into Eq. 69. This equation becomes a second-order differential equation:

$$v(bv-1)\frac{df^{(0)}}{dv^2} + \left[(\kappa_0 + \kappa_1)(bv-1) + bv(1+a) - 1\right]\frac{df^{(0)}}{dv} + ab\kappa_0 f^{(0)} = 0.$$
 (70)

Eq. 70 has solution

$$f^{(0)}(v) = C_2 F_1(\alpha, \beta, 1 - \kappa_0 - \kappa_1; bv)$$
(71)

where $_{2}F_{1}(a, b, c; z)$ is a hypergeometric function,

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left(a + \kappa_0 + \kappa_1 + \sqrt{(a + \kappa_0 + \kappa_1)^2 - 4a\kappa_0} \right)$$
(72)

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} \left(a + \kappa_0 + \kappa_1 - \sqrt{(a + \kappa_0 + \kappa_1)^2 - 4a\kappa_0} \right),$$
(73)

and C is a constant of integration.

We can find the generating function for protein numbers, $F(z) = f^{(0)}(z) + f^{(1)}(z)$, by using our solution for $f^{(0)}$ and Eq. 68 to find $f^{(1)}$. Determining the constant of integration C from F(1) = 1 and using the relation $c(c+1) {}_2F_1(a, b, c; z) = c(c+1) {}_2F_1(a, b, c+1; z) + abz {}_2F_1(a+1, b+1, c+2; z)$, we find that

$$F(z) = {}_{2}F_{1}(\alpha, \beta, \kappa_{0} + \kappa_{1}; b(z-1)), \qquad (74)$$

replacing v by z - 1.

Expanding the generating function around z = 0 determines the probabilities P_n . Using properties of the *n*-th derivatives with respect to z of the hypergeometric function, ${}_2F_1^{(n)}(a, b, c; z)$, we can write

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {}_{2}F_{1}^{(n)} \left(\alpha, \beta, \kappa_{0} + \kappa_{1}; -b\right) \frac{b^{n}}{n!} z^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n)\Gamma(\beta+n)\Gamma(\kappa_{0} + \kappa_{1})b^{n}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\kappa_{0} + \kappa_{1} + n)n!} {}_{2}F_{1} \left(\alpha+n, \beta+n, \kappa_{0} + \kappa_{1} + n; -b\right) z^{n}$$
(75)

and P_n can be found from the definition of F(z): $F(z) = \sum_n P_n z^n$. With the linear transformation formulae for hypergeometric functions [2], we write P_n as

$$P_{n} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n)\Gamma(\beta+n)\Gamma(\kappa_{0}+\kappa_{1})}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\kappa_{0}+\kappa_{1}+n)} \left(\frac{b}{1+b}\right)^{n} \left(1-\frac{b}{1+b}\right)^{\alpha} \times {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\alpha+n,\kappa_{0}+\kappa_{1}-\beta,\kappa_{0}+\kappa_{1}+n;\frac{b}{1+b}\right).$$
(76)

The exact mRNA distributions

For completeness, we include the mRNA distributions for the two-stage and three-stage models. With initially zero mRNAs, the two-stage model has a Poisson distribution:

$$P_m(t) = e^{-\langle m(t) \rangle} \frac{\langle m(t) \rangle^m}{m!}$$
(77)

where $\langle m(t) \rangle = m_s \left(1 - e^{-d_0 t}\right)$ and $m_s = v_0/d_0$ is the steady-state number of mRNAs. The propagator probability satisfies

$$P_{m|k}(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{k} {\binom{k}{r}} P_{m-r}(t) \left(1 - e^{-d_0 t}\right)^{k-r} e^{-rd_0 t}$$
(78)

with $P_m(t) = 0$ if m < 0.

The steady-state distribution of mRNA for the three-stage model was first derived by Peccoud and Ycart, although they did not recognize it as such [7], and also by Raj *et al.* [8]. The exact probability of having m RNAs at steady-state is

$$P_m = \frac{m_s^m \mathrm{e}^{-m_s}}{m!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\zeta_0 + m)\Gamma(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1)}{\Gamma(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1 + m)\Gamma(\zeta_0)} {}_1F_1(\zeta_1, \zeta_0 + \zeta_1 + m; m_s)$$
(79)

where $m_s = v_0/d_0$, $\zeta_0 = k_0/d_0$, and $\zeta_1 = k_1/d_0$, and ${}_1F_1(a, b; z)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function of the first kind [2]. Eq. 79 like Eq. 18 can be bimodal. For $\zeta_1 = k_1/d_0 \gg 1$, Eq. 79 tends to a negative binomial distribution [8], because then mRNA synthesis is more burst-like. The distribution becomes Poisson when k_1 is zero, and the three-stage model reduces to the two-stage model.

References

- Bender, C. M. and Orzag, S. A. Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers. Springer, New York, New York, (1999).
- [2] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I. A. Pocketbook of Mathematical Functions. Harri Deutsch Publishing, Frankfurt am Main, (1984).
- [3] van Kampen, N. G. Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry. Elsevier, New York, New York, (1990).
- [4] Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., and Flannery, B. P. Numerical recipes in C++. Cambridge University Press, New York, New York, (2002).
- [5] Friedman, N., Cai, L., and Xie, X. S. Linking stochastic dynamics to population distribution: an analytical framework of gene expression. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 16830 (2006).
- [6] Hornos, J. E., Schultz, D., Innocentini, G. C., Wang, J., Walczak, A. M., Onuchic, J. N., and Wolynes, P. G. Self-regulating gene: an exact solution. *Phys. Rev. E*. 72, 051907 (2005).
- [7] Peccoud, J. and Ycart, B. Markovian modeling of gene-product synthesis. Theor. Popul. Biol. 48, 222–234 (1995).
- [8] Raj, A., Peskin, C. S., Tranchina, D., Vargas, D. Y., and Tyagi, S. Stochastic mRNA synthesis in mammalian cells. *PLoS Biol.* 4, e309 (2006).