Supporting Information

DN A S

Khila and Abouheif 10.1073/pnas.0807351105



Fig. S1. Patterns of Vasa protein localization in the oocytes of *A. rudis, M. americana* and *L. niger* queens and workers. Green color marks Vasa protein; red marks F-actin and blue marks Nuclei. Arrow heads indicate correct Vasa localization; asterisks indicate impaired Vasa localization. In the queens of *L. niger* (*A*), *M. americana* (*C*), and *A. rudis* (*E*), posterior localization Vasa (*A1–2, C1–2,* and *E1–2*) indicates viable oocytes, whereas the lack Vasa localization (*A3–4; C3–4,* and *E3–4*) indicates trophic oocytes. In the workers of *L. niger* (*B*), *M. americana* (D), and *A. rudis* (*F*), correct Vasa localization (*D1*) indicates viable oocytes; impaired Vasa localization (*B1–2; D2–3*) indicates failed oocytes, while absence of Vasa localization (*B3–4, D4–5,* and *F1–4*) indicates trophic oocytes.



Fig. 52. Patterns of *nanos* mRNA localization in the oocytes of *A. rudis*, *M. Americana*, and *Lasius niger* queens and workers. Arrow heads indicate correct *nanos* localization; asterisks indicate impaired *nanos* localization. NC, nurse cells. In the queens of *A. rudis* (*A*), *M. americana* (*B*), and *L. niger* (*C*), *nanos* mRNA is tightly localized to the posterior pole of viable oocytes (arrowheads). (*D*) Ovariole of *A. rudis* orphaned workers showing *nanos* expression throughout oogenesis. Nanos posterior localization (arrowheads) indicates viable oocytes, while the absence of *nanos* localization indicates trophic oocytes. *nanos* impaired localization in *M. americana* (*E*) and *L. niger* (*F*) indicate failed oocytes while *nanos* absence from the posterior in *M. americana* (*G*) and *L. niger* (*H*) indicates trophic oocytes.

<



Fig. S3. Morphological assessment of embryonic development in *A. rudis* queen- and worker-laid eggs. Asterisks indicate embryonic defects. (*A* and *B*) Embryos produced by the queen showing normal development during gastrulation (*A*) and late segmentation (*B*). (*C* and *D*) Embryos produced by the workers, showing axis defects during gastrulation (*C*) and segmentation (*D*).

IAS PNAS

Table ST. Pattern and frequency of oocyte production in A. rudis, M. americana, and L. nig	Table S1	1. Pattern	and free	uency of	f oocyte	production	in A.	rudis, I	M. americana,	and L.	nige
--	----------	------------	----------	----------	----------	------------	-------	----------	---------------	--------	------

PNAS PNAS

	Viable (Vasa ⁺)	Trophic (Vasa ⁻)	Failed (Vasa*)
A. rudis			
Queen [†]	62	34	0
Queenright worker			
Replicate 1	0	101	0
Replicate 2	0	115	0
Replicate 3	0	29	0
Orphaned worker			
Replicate 1	46	76	0
Replicate 2	22	59	6
Replicate 3	4	41	3
M. americana			
Queen [†]	34	7	0
Queenright worker			
Replicate 1	4	0	19
Replicate 2	1	0	4
Replicate 3	7	2	41
Orphaned worker			
Replicate 1	9	2	32
Replicate 2	9	3	28
Replicate 3	6	0	14
L. niger			
Queen [†]	29	19	0
Queenright worker			
Replicate 1	0	27	8
Replicate 2	0	20	4
Replicate 3	1	15	7
Orphaned worker			
Replicate 1	0	0	13
Replicate 2	0	25	11
Replicate 3	1	8	11

[†]The percentage of trophic eggs in queens may vary according to their age. Young queens are known to produce higher numbers of trophic eggs relative to mature queens.

Table S2. Embryo production and development in A. rudis and M. americana workers

PNAS PNAS

	Normal	Trophic*	Arrested
A. rudis			
Queen-eggs			
Replicate 1	53	5	0
Replicate 2	12	0	0
Replicate 3	18	0	0
Orphaned worker-eggs			
Replicate 1	80	5	3
Replicate 2	37	4	0
Replicate 3	59	10	7
M. americana			
Queen-eggs			
Replicate1	96	0	0
Replicate2	62	4	0
Replicate3	26	2	0
Orphaned worker-eggs			
Replicate 1	1	0	62
Replicate 2	5	0	30
Replicate 3	3	0	38

*Trophic eggs are eaten as they are produced, which may explain the variation between the numbers of trophic oocytes produced (Table S1) and the numbers of trophic eggs found.

Table S3. Reproductive constraint assessment based on the percentage of failed oocytes in orphaned workers

	Viable (Vasa ⁺)	Trophic (Vasa ⁻)	Failed (Vasa*)	Degree of reproductive constraint, $\%^{\dagger}$
Camponotus floridanus	26	2	23	47
Camponotus sansebeanus	0	1	23	100
Formica dulosa	25	14	57	70
Formica subsericia	3	6	12	80
Lasius niger [‡]	1	33	35	97
Lasius alienus	9	-	2	18
Myrmica americana‡	24	5	74	76
Aphenogaster rudis [‡]	72	176	9	11
Aphaenogaster treate	14	8	2	13
Leptothorax regulatus	5	10	10	67

[†]The degree of reproductive constraint (Fig. 4) was calculated based on the percentage of failed oocytes relative to viable oocytes. Trophic oocytes are not produced for reproduction purposes and were therefore excluded.

[‡]Species analyzed in detail.

PNAS PNAS