# Rapid Detection of Human Group C Rotaviruses by Reverse Passive Hemagglutination and Latex Agglutination Tests Using Monoclonal Antibodies

## MITSUTAKA KUZUYA,<sup>1\*</sup> RITSUSHI FUJII,<sup>1,2</sup> MASAKO HAMANO,<sup>1</sup> TOSHIHIKO NAGABAYASHI,<sup>1</sup> HIROSHI TSUNEMITSU,3 MASAO YAMADA,2 SHIRO NII,2 AND TADASHIGE MORI'

Department of Microbiology, Okayama Prefectural Institute for Environmental Science and Public Health, Okayama 701-02,1 Hokkaido Prefectural Shintoku Animal Husbandry Experiment Station, Hokkaido 081, <sup>3</sup> and Okayama University Medical School, Okayama 700,<sup>2</sup> Japan

Received 15 September 1992/Accepted 22 February 1993

Reverse passive hemagglutination (RPHA) tests and a latex agglutination test with monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) were developed for the rapid detection of noncultivatable human group C rotaviruses. For RPHA tests, two MAbs, MAb 5A12 recognizing the outer capsid and MAb 13A3 recognizing the inner capsid, were separately used for the coating of sheep erythrocytes (SRBCs). Forty-six fecal samples were examined to confirm the practicality of the tests. As <sup>a</sup> result, there was concordance between the RPHA test with SRBCs coated with MAb 5A12 and polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of viral RNA (RNA-PAGE) in <sup>44</sup> (95.6%) of <sup>46</sup> samples, while the diagnoses by the RPHA test with SRBCs coated with MAb 13A3 were in complete agreement with those by RNA-PAGE. Furthermore, <sup>a</sup> latex agglutination test with MAb 13A3 was also developed, and this test was fast enough and sensitive enough to successfully detect the viruses from most fecal samples within 2 min. The present procedures would be useful for the diagnosis of human group C rotavirus infections in clinical laboratories which are not well equipped.

Rotaviruses are the most common causative agent of gastroenteritis in infants and young children in many countries (11). Until recently, it was believed that there was only one rotavirus group and that all rotaviruses shared a groupspecific antigen. However, a number of viruses which are morphologically similar to rotaviruses but do not share the group-specific antigen and usual genome profile generally associated with ordinary rotaviruses have been identified (16, 17). These distinct rotaviruses, atypical rotaviruses, are now classified into six groups (groups B, C, D, E, F, and G), whereas the ordinary rotaviruses are termed group A rotaviruses (20).

Group C rotaviruses were first identified as <sup>a</sup> cause of gastroenteritis in pigs (1, 21). Thereafter, rotaviruses with electropherotypes and antigenic properties similar to the porcine virus were identified in humans (3). Recently, a large-scale outbreak of diarrhea caused by group C rotavirus in schoolchildren in Japan has been reported (13), and others have also observed additional group C rotavirus infections (2, 4, 15, 18, 27). On the other hand, preliminary serological surveys have shown that the percentage of sera from adults and children positive for group C rotavirus antibodies was from 11 to 42% (7, 14, 20). These results suggest that group C rotaviruses may be emerging enteric pathogens in humans.

Detection of human group C rotavirus (CHRV) has usually been done by immune electron microscopy with reference antisera or polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of viral RNA (RNA-PAGE), because CHRV is noncultivatable. Because these methods are complicated and time-consuming, simple and rapid diagnostic procedures may be valuable for the timely construction of appropriate infection control measures in hospitals and for the gathering of epidemiological data to establish the importance of CHRV in human diarrheal diseases. We have undertaken <sup>a</sup> series of studies to simplify the detection of CHRV and to develop rapid diagnostic methods. We previously developed an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) raised against CHRV (8). In the present study, we adapted reverse passive hemagglutination (RPHA) and latex agglutination (Lx-Ag) tests by using anti-CHRV MAbs to detect CHRV.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Viruses. The sources of five CHRV isolates antigenically identified by reference sera have been described previously (8). Forty-six fecal samples obtained from patients with acute diarrhea, as well as clinical isolates, had been examined for the presence of rotaviruses by RNA-PAGE (8). Ten percent suspensions of the fecal samples in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS; pH 7.2) were homogenized with <sup>a</sup> vortex mixer and were centrifuged at  $2,000 \times g$  for 10 min. The supernatants, which were referred to as fecal extracts, were subjected to the assays. The Wa strain of human group A rotavirus (AHRV) was propagated in MA104 cells, and the culture supernatant was used.

MAbs. Two selected MAbs were used in the present study. MAb 5A12, whose subclass was immunoglobulin G2b, recognizing the outer capsid of CHRV was described previously (8). MAb 13A3, whose subclass was immunoglobulin Gl, was newly established in this study. The methods used for production and selection of hybridomas have been previously described (8). Briefly, splenocytes of BALB/c mice immunized with purified CHRV were fused with murine myeloma XAg63 cells with polyethylene glycol 4000. Hybridomas were screened for the production of anti-CHRV antibodies by an ELISA with the purified virion. Positive cultures were subcloned twice by the limiting dilu-

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

tion method. MAbs were purified from mouse ascitic fluids by affinity chromatography as previously described (8).

Characterization of MAbs. The avidity of MAbs to CHRV was checked by our ELISA system (8) by using homologous MAbs as both capture antibodies and detector antibodies. Briefly, wells of a microtiter plate were coated with  $100 \mu l$  of each MAb solution (10  $\mu$ g/ml). Purified CHRV solution was added to each well, and the plate was incubated at 37°C for 1 h. After washing,  $100 \mu l$  of biotin-conjugated MAb (0.5) ug/ml) identical to the capture antibody was added, and then horseradish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin solution was dispensed into the wells. Finally, the substrate solution  $(3,3',5,5'$ -tetramethylbenzidine in citrate buffer) was added to each well, and the color was measured with a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 450 nm.

To determine whether MAbs reacted with the outer or inner capsid, the purified CHRV was treated with various concentrations of EDTA (2, 5, and <sup>10</sup> mM) for <sup>15</sup> min at room temperature. The treated virus was then tested by the ELISA system used for determination of the avidity of the MAbs.

Preparation of MSRBCs. A 2.5% suspension of sheep erythrocytes (SRBCs) fixed with glutaraldehyde was incubated with an equal volume of 0.005% tannic acid solution for <sup>15</sup> min at 37°C. The tanned SRBC suspension was mixed with an equal volume of anti-CHRV MAbs in 0.15 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.4), and the mixture was incubated at 37°C for <sup>15</sup> min. The MAb-coated SRBCs (MSRBCs) were suspended in PBS containing 1% heat-inactivated normal rabbit serum. SRBCs coated with normal mouse immunoglobulin G in the same manner were used as the control.

RPHA tests. To eliminate nonspecific reactions, fecal extracts were preincubated with SRBCs fixed with glutaraldehyde at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 1 h. After centrifugation, the supernatants were subjected to the RPHA tests by using V-shaped microtiter trays. Serial twofold dilutions of the specimens in 25  $\mu$ 1 of normal rabbit serum-PBS were made in duplicate. In one dilution series, 25  $\mu$ l of a 0.7% suspension of MSRBCs was added to each well. In the other series, the same amount of control SRBCs was added. After shaking, the tray was allowed to stand at room temperature. Hemagglutination patterns were observed after <sup>1</sup> h. The reciprocals of the end point dilutions of hemagglutination with MSRBCs or control SRBCs were referred to as RPHA titers. The RPHA index was then defined as follows: RPHA index = RPHA titer with MSRBCs/RPHA titer with control SRBCs. If the RPHA titer was <4, it was regarded as 2. An RPHA index of  $\geq 4$  was judged to be CHRV positive.

Preparation of MAb-coated Lx. Latex particles (Lx) (Takeda Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan) were coated with MAb 13A3 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, a 0.5% suspension of Lx in 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 (TB), was incubated with an equal volume of the MAb in TB at 37°C for <sup>1</sup> h with continuous shaking. The MAb-coated Lx (MLx) were washed with TB and resuspended in TB containing 1% normal rabbit serum. The 1% suspension of MLx thus made was kept at 4°C until use. Lx coated with normal mouse immunoglobulin G were used as the control.

An Lx-Ag test. Two aliquots of  $10 \mu l$  of fecal extracts were placed on <sup>a</sup> slide, and equal volumes of MLx or control Lx were added just beside each drop. The drops were carefully mixed with a plastic rod. The slide was then gently tilted by hand during the reaction time. After 2 min, agglutination was macroscopically observed. The specimens which developed agglutination with MLx but not with control Lx were judged to be CHRV positive. When the specimens developed non-



FIG. 1. Immune electron micrograph of EDTA-treated (singleshelled) CHRV incubated with MAb 13A3. Bar, <sup>100</sup> nm.

specific agglutination, they were tested again after treatment with trichlorotrifluoroethane (Daiflon S-3; Daikin Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan).

Sensitivity of RPHA and Lx-Ag tests. The sensitivity of the RPHA and Lx-Ag tests was determined as described previously (8). The number of virus particles in the purified virus solution of CHRV was counted by electron microscopy, with Lx as <sup>a</sup> reference, and the serial twofold dilutions of the solution were examined by these methods.

## **RESULTS**

Characterization of MAbs. The avidity of the MAbs to CHRV was checked by the ELISA system (8). The reactivity of MAb 13A3 (optical density, 0.782) was slightly superior to that of MAb 5A12 (optical density, 0.567).

The antigenic site of the MAbs was determined by ELISA reactivity with double- or single-shelled virus particles. The reactivity of MAb 5A12 was decreased after EDTA treatment of the virus, whereas that of MAb 13A3 remained at <sup>a</sup> constant level or increased. These results suggest that MAbs 5A12 and 13A3 recognize the outer and inner capsids of CHRV, respectively. To verify this conclusion, immune electron microscopy with single-shelled virus was performed. The EDTA-treated CHRV solution  $(25-\mu)$  volumes) was mixed with an equal volume of each MAb solution (1  $\mu$ g/ml). Drops of the reaction mixture were placed on grids stained with uranyl acetate. As <sup>a</sup> result, MAb 13A3 strongly agglutinated the single-shelled viruses (Fig. 1), whereas MAb 5A12 failed to agglutinate them (data not shown).

Detection of CHRV by RPHA tests. To optimize the conditions for preparation of sensitized erythrocytes, SRBCs coated with either of the MAbs at various concentrations were reacted with serial twofold dilutions of partially purified fecal samples containing CHRV. For both MAbs, the RPHA titer reached a plateau at a concentration of 80  $\mu$ g/ml. SRBCs coated with MAb 5A12 (MRSA12) and with MAb 13A3 (MR13A3) at this concentration were used thereafter.

Five clinical isolates which had been identified as CHRV with reference antisera and the Wa strain of AHRV were first tested to check the specificity of these tests (Fig. 2). Four of the five CHRV isolates were positive by the RPHA test with MRSA12, whereas all of them were positive by that with MR13A3. The Wa strain did not react with either MSRBC. The specificity of the tests was also confirmed by <sup>a</sup> blocking test. The CHRV isolates were incubated with each MAb solution (100  $\mu$ g/ml) for 1 h at room temperature, and



FIG. 2. RPHA indices of the clinical isolates and fecal samples with MR5A12 (A) and MR13A3 (B). Column 1, 5 clinical isolates  $(\star)$ of CHRV identified with reference antisera; column 2, 17 fecal samples ( $\circ$ ) known to contain CHRV; column 3; AHRV samples ( $\blacklozenge$ , Wa strain;  $\diamond$ , 9 fecal samples containing AHRV); column 4, 20 fecal samples  $(\bigcirc)$  in which no rotavirus was detectable. Isolates and fecal samples with an RPHA index of  $4(2^2)$  or more were considered CHRV positive.

then the mixture was tested by the RPHA tests. With either MSRBC, the RPHA titers of the samples were drastically reduced by pretreatment with homologo

To evaluate the practical usefulness of the RPHA tests, fecal samples obtained from patients were examined by the tests and the results were compared with those found by RNA-PAGE (Fig. 2). The RPHA titers with MR13A3 were generally higher than those with MR5A12. There was concordance between the RPHA test with MR5A12 and RNA-PAGE in 44 (95.6%) of 46 samples, while the diagnoses by the RPHA test with MR13A3 were in complete agreement with those by RN<sub>I</sub><br>Detection of CHDV by an I x Ag test

Detection of CHRV by an Lx-Ag test. To further simplify the detection of CHRV, the Lx-Ag test with developed. The optimum condition in w hich to was studied by the same manner used in the RPHA system. The concentration of MAb showing the most sensitive detection was found to be 1,200  $\mu$ g/ml.

Table 1 shows the detection of CHRV from five clinical isolates and 46 fecal samples by the Lx-Ag test. Specific agglutination was observed within 30 s in 21 of 22 samples known to contain CHRV by either reference sera or RNA-PAGE. Nonspecific agglutinin in a CHRV isolate and an AHRV sample could not be eliminated, even after treatment of these samples with trichlorotrifluoro

Sensitivity of RPHA and Lx-Ag tests. The minimum numbers of CHRV detectable by the RPHA tests with MR5A12 and MR13A3 were  $5.5 \times 10^7$  and  $1.5 \times 10^7$  particles per ml,

TABLE 1. Detection of rotaviruses by the Lx-Ag test

Rotavirus detected by <b>RNA-PAGE</b> (no. of samples)	No. of Lx-Ag test results		
	<b>Positive</b>	Negative	ND <sup>a</sup>
CHRV $(22)^b$	21		
<b>AHRV</b> (9)			
None $(20)$		20	

<sup>a</sup> ND, could not be determined (because of nonspecific agglutination). <sup>b</sup> Five clinical isolates and 17 fecal samples.

respectively. In contrast,  $3.0 \times 10^8$  particles per ml were detectable by the Lx-Ag test.

## DISCUSSION

In the present study, we employed RPHA and Lx-Ag tests, which had been widely used in AHRV detection (9, 24, 25), for the specific detection of CHRV. These tests have several obvious advantages over the ELISA that we had established previously, although the ELISA is simple and sensitive compared with electron microscopy or RNA-PAGE (8). The first advantage is detection time. It takes only <sup>2</sup> min to detect CHRV by the Lx-Ag test and <sup>3</sup> <sup>h</sup> RPHA tests, whereas it takes at least 2 days by the ELISA. Columns The second advantage is the simplicity of the test systems. Once SRBCs and Lx coated with MAb are ready, the reactions involve a single step with these methods. Furthermore, no special equipment is required for the Lx-Ag test, and the only equipment required for the RPHA tests is a microtitration set. Consequently, because the present procedures can be used in small clinical laboratories which are not well equipped, they would be valuable for epidemiological studies to define the distribution of CHRV in the world and to establish its importance in human diarrheal disease. Although the sensitivity of these tests is not comparable with that of the ELISA, it is sufficient for routine diagnostic requirements in clinical settings.

When we considered the application of RPHA and Lx-Ag. for CHRV detection, the most important issue was the selection of antibodies. The possible sources of group C rotavirus antigens for the preparation of polyclonal antibodies are cultivatable animal group C rotaviruses, such as the Cowden strain (22) and the Shintoku strain (26). In the detection of AHRV by the immunological methods, however, it has been reported that antibodies raised against human rotavirus are superior to ones raised against other with MR13A3 were in<br>
MR13A3 were in animal rotaviruses  $(6, 23, 28, 29)$ . In fact, the antigenic .<br>
A-PAGE. diversity between human and animal group C rotaviruses has been demonstrated on the basis of their reactivity to antisera in immune electron microscopy analysis  $(3, 18)$ . Recently, the genetic diversity among group C rotaviruses has also been reported  $(10, 19)$ . Because no CHRVs have been successfully propagated in vitro, it is difficult to constantly prepare polyclonal antibodies against CHRVs. Therefore, we used MAbs raised against CHRVs once they were established; the supply is unlimited and the quality control of

diagnostic systems is easy.<br>The key diagnostic reagent in the present study was MAb<br>13A3, which recognized the inner capsid of CHRV, because<br>the RPHA test with MR13A3 provided us with more sensi-<br>tive detection of CHRV and The minimum num-<br>successfully detected CHRV within 2 min. Furthermore, our preliminary data suggest that MAb 13A3 may cross-react with both bovine and porcine group  $C$  rotaviruses (data not shown). If testing of additional isolates from animals confirms this cross-reactivity, MAb 13A3 could be useful in monitoring the postulated transmission of group C rotaviruses between humans and animals. Although our RPHA and Lx-Ag tests with MAb 13A3 are promising diagnostic methods, antigenic variation in the epitope on the inner capsid must be taken into consideration. Group A rotaviruses have been classified into subgroups 1 and 2 by the antigenicity of the inner capsid protein (VP6) (11, 12). Cooke et al. (5) have reported that the major inner capsid protein sequence alignments revealed a region of 10 amino acids which were significantly different between human and porcine group C rotaviruses. More specimens must be examined before the present procedures can be evaluated conclusively.

In conclusion, our RPHA and Lx-Ag tests were demonstrated to be simple and rapid procedures for diagnosing CHRV infection. The Lx-Ag test is recommended as <sup>a</sup> screening test, and the RPHA tests are suitable for more precise and quantitative determinations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported in part by the Chiyoda Mutual Life Foundation (1989).

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Bohi, E. H., L. J. Saif, K. W. Theil, A. G. Agnes, and R. F. Cross. 1982. Porcine pararotavirus: detection, differentiation from rotavirus, and pathogenesis in gnotobiotic pigs. J. Clin. Microbiol. 15:312-319.
- 2. Bonsdorf, C. H. V., and L. Svensson. 1988. Human serogroup C rotavirus in Finland. Scand. J. Infect. Dis. 20:475-478.
- 3. Bridger, J. C., S. Pedley, and M. A. McCrae. 1986. Group C rotaviruses in humans. J. Clin. Microbiol. 23:760-763.
- 4. Caoul, E. O., C. R. Ashley, J. M. Darville, and C. Bridger. 1990. Gp C rotavirus associated with fatal enteritis in <sup>a</sup> family outbreak. J. Med. Virol. 30:201-205.
- 5. Cooke, S. J., P. R. Lambden, E. 0. Caul, and I. N. Clarke. 1991. Molecular cloning, sequence analysis and coding assignment of the major inner capsid protein gene of human group C rotavirus. Virology 184:781-785.
- 6. Cukor, G., D. M. Perron, R. Hudson, and N. R. Blacklow. 1984. Detection of rotavirus in human stools by using monoclonal antibody. J. Clin. Microbiol. 19:888-892.
- 7. Espejo, R. T., F. Puerto, C. Soler, and N. Gonzalez. 1984. Characterization of human pararotavirus. Infect. Immun. 44: 112-116.
- 8. Fujii, R., M. Kuzuya, M. Hamano, M. Yamada, and S. Yamazaki. 1992. Detection of human group C rotaviruses by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using monoclonal antibodies. J. Clin. Microbiol. 30:1307-1311.
- 9. Haikala, 0. J., J. 0. Kokkonen, M. K. Leinonen, T. Nurmi, R. Mantyjarvi, and H. K. Sarrinen. 1983. Rapid detection of rotavirus in stool by latex agglutination. J. Med. Virol. 11:91- 97.
- 10. Jiang, B. M., Y. Quian, H. Tsunemitsu, K. Y. Green, and L. J. Saif. 1991. Analysis of the gene encoding the outer capsid glycoprotein (VP7) of group C rotaviruses by Northern and dot blot hybridization. Virology 184:433-436.
- 11. Kapikian, A. Z., and R. M. Chanock. 1990. Rotavirus, p. 1353-1404. In B. N. Fields, D. M. Knipe, R. M. Chanock, M. S. Hirsch, J. L. Melnick, T. P. Monath, and B. Roizman (ed.), Virology, 2nd ed. Raven Press, New York.
- 12. Kapikian, A. Z., W. L. Cline, H. B. Greenberg, R. G. Wyatt, A. R. Kalica, C. E. Banks, H. D. James, J. Flores, and R. M. Chanock. 1981. Antigenic characterization of human and animal rotaviruses by immune adherence hemagglutination assay (IAHA): evidence for distinctness of IAHA and neutralization antigens. Infect. Immun. 33:415-425.
- 13. Matsumoto, K., M. Hatano, K. Kobayashi, A. Hasegawa, S. Yamazaki, S. Nakata, S. Chiba, and Y. Kimura. 1989. An outbreak of gastroenteritis associated with acute rotaviral infection in schoolchildren. J. Infect. Dis. 160:611-615.
- 14. Oseto, M. 1990. Epidemiological study of group C rotavirus. J. Jpn. Assoc. Infect. Dis. 64:1264-1273. (In Japanese.)
- 15. Oseto, M., Y. Yamashita, M. Okuyama, H. Kuwabara, and H. Inoue. 1986. Detection of atypical rotaviruses by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. J. Clin. Exp. Med. 136:223-224. (In Japanese.)
- 16. Pedley, S., J. C. Bridger, J. F. Brown, and M. A. McCrae. 1983. Molecular characterization of rotavirus with distinct group antigens. J. Gen. Virol. 64:2093-2101.
- 17. Pedley, S., J. C. Bridger, D. Chasey, and M. A. McCrae. 1986. Definition of two new groups of atypical rotaviruses. J. Gen. Virol. 67:131-137.
- 18. Penaranda, M. E., W. D. Cubitt, P. Sinarachatanant, D. N. Taylor, S. Likanonsakul, L. Saif, and R. I. Glass. 1989. Group C rotavirus infection in patients with diarrhea in Thailand, Nepal, and England. J. Infect. Dis. 160:392-397.
- 19. Qian, Y., L. J. Saif, A. Z. Kapikian, S. Y. Kang, B. Jiang, Y. Ishimaru, Y. Yamashita, M. Oseto, and K. Y. Green. 1991. Comparison of human and porcine group C rotaviruses by Northern blot hybridization analysis. Arch. Virol. 118:269-277.
- 20. Saif, L. J. 1990. Non group A rotaviruses, p. 73-95. In L. J. Saif and K. W. Theil (ed.), Viral diarrhea of man and animals. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla.
- 21. Saif, L. J., E. H. Bohl, K. W. Theil, R. F. Cross, and J. A. House. 1980. Rotavirus-like, calicivirus-like, and 23-nm viruslike particles associated with diarrhea in young pigs. J. Clin. Microbiol. 12:105-111.
- 22. Saif, L. J., L. A. Terrett, K. L. Miller, and R. F. Cross. 1988. Serial propagation of porcine group C rotavirus (pararotavirus) in a continuous cell line and characterization of the passaged virus. J. Clin. Microbiol. 26:1277-1282.
- 23. Sanekata, T., and H. Okada. 1983. Human rotavirus detection by agglutination of antibody-coated erythrocytes. J. Clin. Microbiol. 17:1141-1147.
- 24. Sanekata, T., Y. Yoshida, and K. Oda. 1979. Detection of rotavirus from faeces by reverse passive haemagglutination method. J. Clin. Pathol. 32:963.
- 25. Sanekata, T., Y. Yoshida, K. Oda, and H. Okada. 1982. Detection of rotavirus in faeces by latex agglutination. J. Immunol. Methods 41:377-385.
- 26. Tsunemitsu, H., L. J. Saif, B. Jiang, M. Shimizu, M. Hiro, H. Yamaguchi, T. Ishiyama, and T. Hirai. 1991. Isolation, characterization, and serial propagation of <sup>a</sup> bovine group C rotavirus in a monkey kidney cell line (MA104). J. Clin. Microbiol. 29:2609-2613.
- 27. Ushijima, H., H. Honma, A. Mukoyama, T. Shinozaki, Y. Fujita, M. Kobayashi, M. Oseto, M. Morikawa, and T. Kitamura. 1989. Detection of gp C rotaviruses in Tokyo. J. Med. Virol. 27:299- 303.
- 28. Yolken, R., R. G. Wyatt, and A. Z. Kapikian. 1977. Elisa for rotavirus. Lancet ii:819.
- 29. Zissis, G., J. P. Lambert, J. G. Kapsenberg, G. Enders, and L. N. Mutanda. 1981. Human rotavirus serotypes. Lancet i:944-945.