studies (unpublished) showed that types 53 and 80 and some STs cross-reacted with the typing sera, and on sequencing we found that there is considerable sequence similarity within the N-terminal regions of M proteins from these types. Thus, isolates scored as M nontypeable or M53 or M80 because of this ambiguity are now identified as one or the other M type or as STs upon sequencing of their corresponding M genes.

Finally, the definitions of both M nontypeable and sequence types should be considered provisional, and as sera for new types become available or an identification with uncommon but already defined sera is made, the data base for *emm* gene sequences will vastly increase. Such knowledge will contribute to the continuous epidemiological surveillance of group A streptococci.

REFERENCES

1. Kaplan, E. L., D. R. Johnson, P. Nanthapisud, S. Sirilertpanrana, and S. Chumdermpadetsuk. 1992. A comparison of group A streptococcal serotypes isolated from the upper respiratory tract in the USA and Thailand: implications. Bull. W.H.O. **70:**433– 437.

 Relf, W. A., D. R. Martin, and K. S. Sriprakash. 1992. Identification of sequence types among the M-nontypeable group A streptococci. J. Clin. Microbiol. 30:3190–3194.

> W. A. Relf K. S. Sriprakash Menzies School of Health Research P.O. Box 41096 Casuarina Australia

D. R. Martin

New Zealand Communicable Disease Centre P.O. Box 50348 Porirua New Zealand

Abolish Mycobacterium paratuberculosis Strain 18

Mycobacterium paratuberculosis is a slowly growing (12 to 16 weeks), mycobactin-dependent organism which causes paratuberculosis (Johne's disease) in ruminants (5). There has been a heightened interest in this species because of the suggestion that it may be associated with some cases of Crohn's disease in humans (3). Discussions of the biology of this species invariably result in erroneous assignment of characteristics to the species which are supported by published data. Careful review generally reveals that the erroneous data were obtained from strain 18.

Recent articles in the Journal of Clinical Microbiology by Coffin et al. (6) and Kunze et al. (9) continue this trend and erroneously identify the infamous strain 18 as *M. paratuber*culosis, when in fact the strain is *Mycobacterium avium* serovar 2. The continued use of this organism in *M. paratu*berculosis research erodes our knowledge and understanding of this species.

During the 1920's, W. C. Hagan at Cornell University observed that one of his laboratory strains of *M. paratuberculosis* grew faster than other strains and had lost its dependence on mycobactin. Although this laboratory strain had been passed from graduate student to graduate student and these same students were also working on *M. avium*, there were few criteria available to definitively identify this species, and the possibility of cross-contamination was not considered at the time. The availability of a rapidly growing, mycobactin-independent strain of *M. paratuberculosis* was a major boon to research around the world.

In October 1939, this laboratory-adapted strain was provided to the Regional Animal Disease Laboratory, Auburn, Ala., where it became known as U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) strain 18 (10). At the request of the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), it was deposited by the USDA as the "working type" and designated ATCC 12227. Because of its relative ease of cultivation, rapid growth, and lack of mycobactin dependency, strain 18 was widely used in experimental studies, as well as in the production of antigens, mycobactin, and vaccines. ATCC requested several additional deposits, the last being October 24, 1966 (10).

In addition to rapid growth and mycobactin independence, strain 18 had many other differences from *M. paratuberculosis*. The inability of this strain to cause disease in ruminants resulted in fruitless efforts to associate mycobactin dependency and virulence. The differences between strain 18 and *M. paratuberculosis* were so great that insiders began to question if this strain was really a laboratory-adapted field strain of *M. paratuberculosis* at all. However, the technology to determine such was not readily available at the time.

Recognizing the uncertainty regarding this strain, in 1968 Merkal (10) officially withdrew strain 18 from ATCC as unrepresentative of the species and replaced it with a bona fide wild-type strain of *M. paratuberculosis* as the "neotype strain," designated ATCC 19698. Despite this withdrawal, strain 18 continued to see widespread use in paratuberculosis research.

With the advent of DNA technology, suspicions regarding the authenticity of strain 18 were confirmed. Every study in which strain 18 was used unequivocally showed that strain 18 was not a strain of *M. paratuberculosis* but rather was *M. avium* (4, 7, 12). Although *M. avium* and *M. paratuberculosis* are very closely related and often difficult to distinguish, the absence of the species-specific insertion sequence (IS900) in strain 18, which exists in 15 to 25 copies in all *M. paratuberculosis* strains (8), confirmed that it could not have evolved or been laboratory adapted from *M. paratuberculosis*. Even the articles of Coffin et al. (6) and Kunze et al. (9) serve to confirm the true identity of strain 18. As we had long suspected, strain 18 is actually *M. avium* serovar 2 and likely represents a 50-year-old laboratory contaminant!

These findings have a great impact on our knowledge of the biology of *M. paratuberculosis*. It is now necessary to carefully and critically review research articles on M. paratuberculosis to determine whether the data presented are based on M. paratuberculosis or strain 18. Despite published data to the contrary, we now know, to name just a few, that there are no mycobactin-independent strains of M. paratuberculosis, it does not express a species-specific (1) (or otherwise) peptidoglycolipid similar to that from M. avium (2), and mycobactin J (11) is actually mycobactin from M. avium. Most available antigens, both commercially available and from the USDA, and the currently employed vaccine are all made from M. avium. It will be years, if ever, before we can sort out the true characteristics of M. paratuberculosis and those of strain 18. Fifty years of research on a laboratory contaminant will be difficult to correct.

Compounding this existing problem is the fact that despite evidence that strain 18 is actually *M. avium* serovar 2, this strain continues to be widely used in *M. paratuberculosis* studies and identified as *M. paratuberculosis* 18. The excellent articles by Coffin et al. (6) and Kunze et al. (9), although both confirm the true identity of strain 18, erroneously identify this strain as *M. paratuberculosis* 18. This practice further adds to the confusion and the inaccurate description and characterization of the species and contributes to the 50-year erosion process.

For example, in the article by Coffin et al. (6), it implies that on the basis of restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis some *M. paratuberculosis* strains are "*M. avium*-like" while others are not. Strain 18 is further erroneously authenticated as an *M. paratuberculosis* strain by designating it as ATCC 12227, an obsolete and nonexistent ATCC number. In the article by Kunze et al. (9), it is implied that some strains of *M. paratuberculosis* contain the insertion sequence IS901 when in fact they do not—the IS901 insertion sequence was found only in strain 18. Although these two otherwise excellent articles were selected to raise this issue, they are by no means the primary or worse offenders. Rather, they simply represent recent publications on which to base this letter.

After 50 years of erroneous, conflicting, and confusing data, it is time to abolish strain 18 and label it appropriately if it is used at all. Since this strain is still used for vaccine, antigen, and mycobactin production, its inclusion in some studies would seem appropriate, while in others it may not be. In either event, strain 18 must be identified as *M. avium* to avoid the continued erosion of and conflicting data on *M. paratuberculosis* biology.

It is therefore recommended that authors and editors of JCM and other journals disallow the designation of strain 18 as *M. paratuberculosis*. It is further recommended that if the use of strain 18 is necessary that it be identified as "*M. avium* 18 (formerly *M. paratuberculosis* 18)."

REFERENCES

- Camphausen, R. T., R. L. Jones, and P. J. Brennan. 1985. A glycolipid antigen specific to *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*: structure and antigenicity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:3068– 3072.
- Camphausen, R. T., R. L. Jones, and P. J. Brennan. 1986. Structure and relevance of the oligosaccharide hapten of Mycobacterium avium serotype 2. J. Bacteriol. 168:660–667.
- Chiodini, R. J. 1989. Crohn's disease and the mycobacterioses: a review and comparison of two disease entities. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2:90-117.
- 4. Chiodini, R. J. 1990. Characterization of Mycobacterium para-

tuberculosis and organisms of the *Mycobacterium avium* complex by restriction polymorphism of the rRNA gene region. J. Clin. Microbiol. **28**:489–494.

- Chiodini, R. J., H. J. Van Kruiningen, and R. S. Merkal. 1984. Ruminant paratuberculosis (Johne's disease): the current status and future prospects. Cornell Vet. 74:218–262.
- Coffin, J. W., C. Condon, C. A. Compston, K. N. Potter, L. R. Lamontagne, J. Shafiq, and D. Y. Kunimoto. 1992. Use of restriction fragment length polymorphisms resolved by pulsedfield gel electrophoresis for subspecies identification of mycobacteria in the *Mycobacterium avium* complex and for isolation of DNA probes. J. Clin. Microbiol. 30:1829–1836.
- Collins, D. M., D. M. Gabric, and G. W. de Lisle. 1990. Identification of two groups of *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* strains by restriction endonuclease analysis and DNA hybridization. J. Clin. Microbiol. 28:1591–1596.
- Green, E. P., M. L. Tizard, M. T. Moss, J. Thompson, D. J. Winterbourne, J. J. McFadden, and J. Hermon-Taylor. 1989. Sequence and characteristics of IS900, an insertion element identified in a human Crohn's disease isolate of *Mycobacterium* paratuberculosis. Nucleic Acids Res. 17:9063-9073.
- Kunze, Z. M., F. Portaels, and J. J. McFadden. 1992. Biologically distinct subtypes of *Mycobacterium avium* differ in possession of insertion sequence IS901. J. Clin. Microbiol. 30:2366– 2372.
- Merkal, R. S. 1979. Proposal of ATCC 19698 as the neotype strain of *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* Bergey et al. 1923. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 29:263-264.
- Merkal, R. S., and W. G. McCullough. 1982. A new mycobactin, mycobactin J, from *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*. Curr. Microbiol. 7:333–335.
- Whipple, D., P. Kapke, and C. Vary. 1990. Identification of restriction fragment length polymorphisms in DNA from Mycobacterium paratuberculosis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 28:2561-2564.

R. J. Chiodini Mycobacteriology Unit SWP 526 Department of Medicine Brown University and Rhode Island Hospital 593 Eddy Street Providence, Rhode Island 02903

Authors' Replies

In his letter to the editor, Dr. Chiodini correctly points out that discussion of *M. paratuberculosis* in the literature is frequently confusing because of the common problem of strain misidentification and the resultant assignment of erroneous characteristics. Furthermore, he suggests that we have compounded this problem by identifying strain 18 as *M. paratuberculosis* in our recent publication (1). While we are in agreement with the arguments for a change in the nomenclature of strain 18 that were made by Dr. Chiodini, we would like to make the following comments.

With respect to the history, growth, and physiological characteristics of strain 18, eloquently outlined by Dr. Chiodini, we have no argument or further comment. We fully recognize M. paratuberculosis ATCC 19698 (5) as the neotype strain and have denoted it as such in our article (1). Our study included strain 18 for the very reasons outlined by Dr. Chiodini, that is the frequent previous and current use of this strain in vaccine, antigen, and mycobactin production. Indeed, we took considerable trouble to summarize the DNAbased analyses of M. avium complex strains in a lengthy introduction and stated that strain 18 gave an M. avium profile in these studies. Moreover, as Dr. Chiodini rightly points out, our results confirm the true identity of strain 18 and in our discussion we state, "M. paratuberculosis 18 gave profiles similar to those of . . . M. avium serotype 2. . . ." This is consistent with previous documentation in the

literature and supports the view that strain 18 is really an M. avium isolate (2-6). At no time did we suggest or propose that this strain is a true M. paratuberculosis strain. In fact, one of the major conclusions of our work is that classical forms of identification are insufficient to differentiate M. paratuberculosis and M. avium, especially with respect to primary isolates. It is hoped that one of the many benefits of an identification scheme based on DNA technology will be to prevent such anomalies from arising in the future.

We entirely agree with Dr. Chiodini's listing of the properties of true *M. paratuberculosis* strains and do not need to comment further except perhaps to reiterate that while all *M. paratuberculosis* strains are mycobactin dependent, the converse is certainly not true.

When including strain 18 in our study and our manuscript we described it as *M. paratuberculosis* 18 because this is what it has been consistently termed in the literature to date. This nomenclature and the ATCC number used reflect the description of the strain as it was received by us. Perhaps we did not provide sufficient clarification of this point, but we certainly did not intend to mislead and felt that our discussion of the results for strain 18 made this clear.

In conclusion we fully agree with and support the cumulative evidence and Dr. Chiodini's proposal that strain 18 should henceforth be identified as *M. avium* 18 (formerly *M. paratuberculosis* 18) and applaud all attempts to clarify the often murky waters of mycobacterial identification and nomenclature.

REFERENCES

- Coffin, J. W., C. Condon, C. A. Compston, K. N. Potter, L. R. Lamontagne, J. Shafiq, and D. Y. Kunimoto. 1992. Use of restriction fragment length polymorphisms resolved by pulsedfield gel electrophoresis for subspecies identification of mycobacteria in the *Mycobacterium avium* complex and for isolation of DNA probes. J. Clin. Microbiol. 30:1829–1836.
- Lévy-Frébault, V. V., M.-F. Thorel, A. Varnerot, and B. Gicquel. 1989. DNA polymorphism in *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*, "wood pigeon mycobacteria," and related mycobacteria analyzed by field inversion gel electrophoresis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 27:2823-2826.
- McFadden, J. J., P. D. Butcher, R. Chiodini, and J. Hermon-Taylor. 1987. Crohn's disease-isolated mycobacteria are identical to *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*, as determined by DNA probes that distinguish between mycobacterial species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 25:796-801.
- McFadden, J. J., P. D. Butcher, J. Thompson, R. Chiodini, and J. Hermon-Taylor. 1987. The use of DNA probes identifying restriction fragment-length polymorphisms to examine the *Mycobacterium avium* complex. Mol. Microbiol. 1:283–291.
- Merkal, R. S. 1979. Proposal of ATCC 19698 as the neotype strain of *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* Bergey et al. 1923. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 29:263–264.
- Whipple, D. L., R. B. Le Febvre, R. E. Andrews, Jr., and A. B. Thiermann. 1987. Isolation and analysis of restriction endonuclease digestive patterns of chromosomal DNA from *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* and other mycobacterial species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 25:1511–1515.

John Coffin Caro Condon Dennis Kunimoto University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9 Canada I fully agree with Dr. Chiodini that strain 18 is indistinguishable from some strains of M. avium. Our own work demonstrated that the genome of strain 18 contains IS901, and we designated it M. avium RFLP type A/I (1). I therefore agree that strain 18 should henceforth be referred to as a strain of M. avium. However, I do not agree with Dr. Chiodini when he states that "strain 18 is actually M. avium serovar 2. . . ." We have examined most of the serotypes of M. avium by RFLP analysis (1, 2, 5) and have found that, as with other bacteria, serotyping does not correspond to genetic identity: of the 10 serotype 2 strains we examined only 6 contained IS901 and were identical to strain 18 by RFLP analysis (1).

Dr. Chiodini goes on to object to our statement that some strains of *M. paratuberculosis* contain IS901, claiming that IS901 was not found in any *M. paratuberculosis* strain (other than strain 18). However, as we described (1), we found three strains isolated from deer with Johne's disease that were identified (on the basis of initial mycobactin dependence) as *M. paratuberculosis* that contained IS901 rather than IS900. We stated that "we therefore designate [these strains] *M. avium* [type] A/I"—not *M. paratuberculosis*, reserving the designation of *M. paratuberculosis* for strains containing IS900. This would suggest that *M. avium* A/I is able to cause Johne's disease, at least in deer, a possibility that may also be significant to human disease since we have isolated strains of *M. avium* A/I from patients with inflammatory bowel disease (4).

It may be, as Chiodini suggests, that strain 18 represents a laboratory contaminant; however, it is also possible that *M. avium* A/I may occasionally cause Johne's disease in cattle, and strain 18 may represent one such strain. Examination of a larger number of strains isolated from animals with Johne's disease with probes specific for IS900 and IS901 should resolve this issue.

REFERENCES

- Kunze, Z. M., F. Portaels, and J. J. McFadden. 1992. Biologically distinct subtypes of *Mycobacterium avium* differ in possession of insertion sequence IS901. J. Clin. Microbiol. 30:2366-2372.
- McFadden, J. J., P. D. Butcher, R. Chiodini, and J. Hermon-Taylor. 1987. Crohn's disease-isolated mycobacteria are identical to *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*, as determined by DNA probes that distinguish between mycobacterial species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 25:796-801.
- McFadden, J. J., P. D. Butcher, J. Thompson, R. Chiodini, and J. Hermon-Taylor. 1987. The use of DNA probes identifying restriction fragment-length polymorphisms to examine the *Mycobacte*rium avium complex. Mol. Microbiol. 1:283–291.
- McFadden, J. J., J. Collins, B. Beaman, M. Arthur, and G. Gitnick. 1992. Mycobacteria in Crohn's disease: DNA probes identify the wood pigeon strain of *Mycobacterium avium* and *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* from human tissue. J. Clin. Microbiol. 30:3070–3073.
- McFadden, J. J., Z. M. Kunze, P. Portaels, V. Labrousse, and N. Rastogi. 1992. Epidemiological and genetic markers, virulence factors and intracellullar growth of *Mycobacterium avium* in AIDS. Res. Microbiol. 143:423–430.

J. J. McFadden

Molecular Microbiology Group School of Biological Sciences University of Surrey Guildford Surrey, GU2 5XH United Kingdom