Material and Methods

Materials

Copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate (99.999%) and N-acetyl-tryptophanamide were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification.

Protein Expression and Purification

The wild-type human α-syn expression plasmid (pRK172) was provided by M. Goedert (Medical Council Research Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K.). A fluorescent Trp residue was introduced at an aromatic-residue position (F4) by sitedirected mutagenesis. The reported Cu(II) binding ligand, His50 was removed and substituted with a Ser residue. All site-directed mutagenesis reactions were performed All mutations were confirmed by DNA using a QuickChange kit (Stratagene). sequencing (Caltech DNA Sequencing Core Facility). Bacterial cells (BL-21(DE3)pLysS, Invitrogen) were chemically transformed with plasmids containing desired mutations. All bacterial growths were under the selective pressure of 34 mg/L chloramphenicol and 100 mg/L ampicillin. Starter culture (25 mL) was inoculated with a freshly transformed single colony of bacterial cells, grown in LB media overnight at 30 °C, and used further to inoculate 1-L LB medium. As soon as the culture reached an $OD_{600 \text{ nm}} \sim 0.6-0.8$, protein expression was induced with 0.5 mM ITPG for 6 h at 30 °C.Recombinant α-syn was purified according to published procedures.¹ Protein concentrations were determined using a molar extinction coefficient estimated on the basis of amino-acid content: $\varepsilon_{280 \text{ nm}} = 10.810 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ (F4W and F4W/H50S). The purity of all protein samples was assessed by SDS-PAGE on a Pharmacia Phastsystem visualized by silverstaining methods. The protein molecular weights were confirmed by ESI-MS (Caltech Protein/Peptide Microanalytical Laboratory). Absorption and luminescence spectra were measured on a Hewlett-Packard 8452 diode array spectrophotometer and a Spex Fluorolog3 spectrofluorimeter, respectively. All purified proteins were concentrated using Centriprep YM-3(MWCO 3kD) (Millipore) and stored at -80 °C.

Copper(II) Titrations

Copper(II) concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically ($\epsilon_{710~nm}=12~M^{-1}cm^{-1}$). Prior to experiments, all protein samples were filtered through Microcon YM-100 (MWCO 100kD) (Millipore) spin filter units to remove oligomeric material and exchanged into the appropriate buffer (20 mM MOPS, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7) using gel filtration chromatography (PD-10 column, Amersham-Biosciences). All titrations were performed on deoxygenated samples to avoid deleterious metal-oxygen chemistry and photobleaching. Buffer solutions were filtered (0.22 μ m) to remove any particulate matter. Tryptophan was excited at 295 nm and fluorescence was monitored from 300 to 600 nm. All experiments were conducted at 25 °C using a temperature-controlled cuvette holder.

Time-resolved Fluorescence Measurements

Fluorescence decay kinetics measurements were carried out as previously described. Protein samples were deoxygenated by repeated evacuation/Ar-fill cycles on a Schlenk line. Buffer solutions were filtered $(0.22 \, \mu m)$ to remove any particulate matter.

A polarized laser pulse (35° from vertical) from the third harmonic (292 nm) of a regeneratively amplified femtosecond Ti:sapphire laser (Spectra-Physics) was used as an excitation source and a picosecond streak camera (Hamamatsu C5680) was used in the photon-counting mode for detection. Trp emission was selected by using a combination of dielectric and color filters (325 \leq λ \leq 400 nm). All experiments were conducted at 25 °C using a temperature-controlled cuvette holder. All protein samples were filtered through Microcon YM-100 (MWCO 100kD) (Millipore) spin filter units to remove oligomeric material prior to experiments.

Data Analysis

Fluorescence Decay Kinetics

We describe the Trp fluorescence decay kinetics with a discrete distribution of exponential decay rate contants:

$$I(t) = \sum_{k} P(k)e^{-kt}$$

where P(k) is the probability of finding a W fluorophore in the protein ensemble with a decay rate constant of k.

We define average excited state lifetimes as the integral over the normalized fluorescence decay curves:

$$\langle \tau \rangle = \int \frac{I(t)}{I(t=0)} dt = \sum_{k} \frac{P(k)}{k}$$

We have fit kinetics data by using a MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA) algorithm (LSQNONNEG) that minimizes the sum of the squared deviations (χ^2) between observed and calculated values of I(t), subject to a nonnegativity constraint.

Cu(II) binding curves

To estimate a binding constant for the dynamic quenching process, we assume a two state binding model:

$$PCu
ightharpoonup P + Cu$$
 $K_d = \frac{[P][Cu]}{[PCu]}$

where $P \equiv$ free α -syn, $Cu \equiv$ free copper, $PCu \equiv 1:1$ complex of copper and α -syn.

Mass balance gives:

$$[P] = [P]_{\circ} - [PCu]$$

$$[Cu] = [Cu]_{\circ} - [PCu]$$

Substitute into expression for K_d :

$$K_d = \frac{(P_{\downarrow} - PCu)(Cu_{\downarrow} - PCu)}{PCu}$$

Rearrange:

$$[PCu]^2 - ([P]_0 + [Cu]_0 + K_d) + [P]_0 [Cu]_0 = 0$$

Solve for concentration of copper-bound α -syn:

$$[PCu] = \frac{1}{2} ([P]_{\circ} + [Cu]_{\circ} + K_{d}) - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{([P]_{\circ} + [Cu]_{\circ} + K_{d})^{2} - 4[P]_{\circ} [Cu]_{\circ}}$$

The total time-resolved fluorescence intensity from P and PCu is given by the following relation:

$$I(t) = \frac{[P]}{[P]_0} \sum_{k} P(k)_{[P]_0} e^{-kt} + \frac{[PCu]}{[P]_0} \sum_{k} P(k)_{[PCu]} e^{-kt} = \sum_{k} \left[\frac{[P]}{[P]_0} P(k)_{[P]_0} + \frac{[PCu]}{[P]_0} P(k)_{[PCu]} \right]$$

The average decay time is given by:

$$\langle \tau \rangle = \int \frac{I(t)}{I(t=0)} dt = \frac{[P]}{[P]_o} \sum_k \frac{P(k)_{[P]_o}}{k} + \frac{[PCu]}{[P]_o} \sum_k \frac{P(k)_{[PCu]}}{k} = \frac{[P]}{[P]_o} \langle \tau \rangle_o + \frac{[PCu]}{[P]_o} \langle \tau \rangle_{[PCu]}$$

Substituting for [P] and rearranging gives:

$$\frac{\left\langle \tau \right\rangle}{\left\langle \tau \right\rangle_{\circ}} = 1 + \left(\frac{\left\langle \tau \right\rangle_{[PCu]}}{\left\langle \tau \right\rangle_{\circ}} - 1 \right) \frac{\left[PCu\right]}{\left[P\right]_{\circ}} = 1 + \left(\frac{\left\langle \tau \right\rangle_{[PCu]}}{\left\langle \tau \right\rangle_{\circ}} - 1 \right) \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(\left[P\right]_{\circ} + \left[Cu\right]_{\circ} + K_{d} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\left[P\right]_{\circ} + \left[Cu\right]_{\circ} + K_{d} \right)^{2} - 4 \left[P\right]_{\circ} \left[Cu\right]_{\circ}}}{\left[P\right]_{\circ}}$$

where $\langle \tau \rangle_{[PCu]}$ is the average fluorescence lifetime of pure [PCu].

To estimate a binding constant for the static quenching process, we used time-resolved fluorescence data collected with comparable protein concentrations and excitation intensities. We define the fractional quenching using the following expression:

$$\chi([Cu]_{\circ}) = \frac{I(t=0, [Cu]_{\circ} = 0) - I(t=0, [Cu]_{\circ})}{I(t=0, [Cu]_{\circ} = 0)}$$

An apparent dissociation constant for the static quenching process is given by:

$$\chi([Cu]_{\circ}) = \chi_{[PCu]} \frac{[PCu]}{[P]_{\circ}} = \chi_{[PCu]} \frac{\frac{1}{2}([P]_{\circ} + [Cu]_{\circ} + K_{d}) - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{([P]_{\circ} + [Cu]_{\circ} + K_{d})^{2} - 4[P]_{\circ}[Cu]_{\circ}}}{[P]_{\circ}}$$

where $\chi_{[PCu]}$ is the fractional quenching for pure [PCu].

Data were fit to the above equation using Igor Pro 6.01 (Wavemetrics, Inc. Oregon).

Complete Reference Citations:

- (4) Polymeropoulos, M. H.; Lavedan, C.; Leroy, E.; Ide, S.; Dehejia, A.; Dutra, A.; Pike, B.; Root, H.; Rubenstein, J.; Boyer, R.; Stenroos, E.; Chandrasekharappa, S.; Athanassiadou, A.; Papapetropoulos, T.; Johnson, W.; Lazzarini, A.; Duvoisin, R.; DiIorio, G.; Golbe, L.; Nussbaum, R. *Science* **1997**, *276*, 2045.
- (5) Singleton, A. B.; Farrer, M.; Johnson, J.; Singleton, A.; Hague, S.; Kachergus, J.; Hulihan, M.; Peuralinna, T.; Dutra, A.; Nussbaum, R.; Lincoln, S.; Crawley, A.; Hanson, M.; Maraganore, D.; Adler, C.; Cookson, M. R.; Muenter, M.; Baptista, M.; Miller, D.; Blancato, J.; Hardy, J.; Gwinn-Hardy, K. *Science* **2003**, *302*, 841.

¹Lee, J. C.; Langen, R.; Hummel, P. A.; Gray, H. B.; Winkler, J. R. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2004**, *101*, 16466-16471.

²Istratov, A. A. & Vyvenko, O. F. (1999) *Review of Scientific Instruments* **70,** 1233-1257. ³Livesey, A. K., Delaye, M., Licinio, P. & Brochon, J. C. (1987) *Faraday Discussions*, 247-258.

⁴Lawson, C. L. & Hanson, R. J. (1974) *Solving Least Squares Problems* (Prentice, Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ).