Quality Control Guidelines for Testing Cefotetan in the Reference Agar Dilution Procedure for Susceptibility Testing of Anaerobic Bacteria

RONALD J. ZABRANSKY,^{1*} DAVID G. BOBEY,² ARTHUR L. BARRY,³ STEPHEN D. ALLEN,⁴ PETER C. FUCHS,⁵ E. HUGH GERLACH,⁶ CLYDE THORNSBERRY,⁷ WAHEED SHEIKH,² AND RONALD N. JONES³

Department of Laboratory Medicine, Sinai Samaritan Medical Center (Mt. Sinai Campus), Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201¹; ICI Pharmaceuticals Group, Division of ICI Americas Inc., Wilmington, Delaware 19897²; The Clinical Microbiology Institute, Tualatin, Oregon 97062³; Indiana University Medical Center, Indianapolis, Indiana 46223⁴; St. Vincent Hospital and Medical Center, Portland, Oregon 97225⁵; St. Francis Medical Center, Wichita, Kansas 67214⁶; and Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333⁷

Received 24 June 1988/Accepted 5 October 1988

Reference values for quality control of in vitro susceptibility tests with cefotetan against anaerobic bacteria were determined in two independent multilaboratory studies with the approved National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards agar dilution method and three control strains (*Bacteroides fragilis* ATCC 25285, *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* ATCC 29741, and *Clostridium perfringens* ATCC 13124). The results of the two studies were in agreement. The recommended MIC control limits for *B. fragilis* ATCC 25285 and *B. thetaiotaomicron* ATCC 29741 are 4.0 to 16 μ g/ml and 32 to 128 μ g/ml, respectively. MICs for *C. perfringens* ATCC 13124 were too variable to be useful for controlling tests with cefotetan.

Cefotetan is a long-acting cephamycin recommended for use in mixed aerobic and anaerobic intra-abdominal and pelvic infections (1, 6). Cefotetan reference values for quality control of in vitro susceptibility tests against bacteria that grow aerobically have been established (2).

To provide clinical microbiologists with cefotetan MIC quality control guidelines for use in anaerobic susceptibility testing, two independent, multilaboratory studies were conducted. The first study (study A) satisfied the requirements outlined by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) (4). The following institutions participated in study A: St. Vincent Medical Center, Portland, Oreg.; Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Ga.; University of Indiana Medical Center, Indianapolis; St. Francis Medical Center, Wichita, Kans.; and The Clinical Microbiology Institute, Tualatin, Oreg. The second study (study B) involved replicate testing of the control organisms on plates which were freshly prepared and tested for 20 consecutive days. These tests were performed at Sinai Samaritan Medical Center (Mt. Sinai Campus), Milwaukee, Wis., and at ICI Pharmaceuticals Group, Division of ICI Americas Inc., Wilmington, Del. In both studies the reference agar dilution was followed as prescribed elsewhere (3).

All tests in both studies were performed by the anaerobe agar dilution reference procedure for susceptibility testing of anaerobic bacteria as described by the NCCLS (3). Replicate tests were performed with *Bacteroides fragilis* ATCC 25285, *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* ATCC 29741, and *Clostridium perfringens* ATCC 13124. For each control strain, 20 cefotetan MICs were generated by each laboratory participating in study A. Study B participants determined 156, 90, and 92 cefotetan MICs with the *B. fragilis*, *B. thetaiotaomicron*, and *C. perfringens* quality control strains, respectively.

All participating laboratories used the lot of Wilkins-Chalgren agar that was available in the laboratory at the time of the study. Cefoxitin was tested by all laboratories to

tion for B. fragilis ATCC 25285 and B. thetaiotaomicron ATCC 29741. For the B. fragilis control strain, all 256 MIC determinations fell within a range of 4.0 to 16 μ g/ml, i.e., 1 doubling dilution above or below the 8.0-µg/ml modal MIC. A quality control range of 4.0 to 16 µg/ml is recommended for B. fragilis ATCC 25285, and those limits have been recommended in the most recent NCCLS informational supplement (5). When B. thetaiotaomicron ATCC 29741 was tested in study A, the mode was not readily identified, since the cefotetan MICs were equally distributed at 64 and 128 μ g/ml. In the second study, 89 of 90 (99%) MICs for the B. thetaiotaomicron strain were 64 µg/ml, and that defined the mode. Since 189 of 190 MICs were either 64 or 128 µg/ml, the control range could be defined to include only 2 doubling dilutions (64 and 128 µg/ml). More traditional control limits would include 1 doubling dilution on each side of the modal value. We recommend cefotetan MIC control limits of 32 to 128 µg/ml for tests with B. thetaiotaomicron ATCC 29741. Those control limits have been recommended in the recent NCCLS informational supplement (5).

More variability was seen when the results for *C. perfringens* ATCC 13124 were examined. Cefotetan MICs ranged from the lowest concentration tested (≤ 0.03 or $\leq 0.015 \mu g/m$) to 2.0 $\mu g/m$ l (Table 2). Because of the wide distribution of MICs, modal MICs are not listed. Because the *C. perfringens* quality control strain does not appear useful for monitoring the performance of cefotetan susceptibility tests, no control limits are recommended. Sutter et al. also observed

provide a procedural control. The two *Bacteroides* species provided cefoxitin endpoints that were within the control limits defined by the NCCLS (3). However, two laboratories (study A) reported cefoxitin MICs for the *C. perfringens* isolate that were 1 dilution greater than the accepted upper limit of 1.0 μ g/ml. Table 1 presents the cefotetan MIC endpoint determina-

^{*} Corresponding author.

Organism tested	Study ^b	Total no. of determinations	MIC (µg/ml)	No. of determinations (% of total)	Mode MIC (µg/ml)
B. fragilis ATCC 25285	Α	100	4.0 8.0 16.0	20 (20) 63 (63) 17 (17)	8.0
	В	156	4.0 8.0	36 (23) 120 (77)	8.0
	Combined	256	4.0 8.0 16.0	56 (22) 183 (71) 17 (7)	8.0
B. thetaiotaomicron ATCC 29741	Α	100	64 128	50 (50) 50 (50)	64
	В	90	32 64	1 (1) 89 (99)	64
	Combined	190	32 64 128	1 (<1) 139 (73) 50 (26)	64

TABLE 1. Cefe	otetan agar dilution	MICs for two	Bacteroides	control strains ^a
---------------	----------------------	--------------	--------------------	------------------------------

^a Determined in two independent multicenter studies by using Wilkins-Chalgren agar without added blood or other supplements.

^b Study A, A five-laboratory coordinated study which fulfills the requirements of the NCCLS (4); study B, an extended study contributing additional MICs from two other facilities.

inconsistencies in results when this reference organism was tested against other β -lactam antibiotics (8).

Study B participants also performed tests with Wilkins-Chalgren agar containing 5% sheep blood. With the added blood, cefotetan MICs for the two *Bacteroides* species fell within the MIC control ranges that are recommended herein (data not shown). Even with added blood, results for *C. perfringens* ATCC 13124 were too erratic to provide useful control information. If the addition of sheep blood is re-

TABLE 2.	Cefotetan agar dilution MICs for <i>Clostridium</i>	
	perfringens ATCC 13124 ^a	

Study ^b	Total no. of determinations	MIC (µg/ml)	No. of determinations (% of total)
A	100	≤0.03	24 (24)
		0.06	15 (15)
		0.12	17 (17)
		0.25	4 (4)
		0.5	3 (3)
		1.0	16 (16)
		2.0	21 (21)
В	92	≤0.015	1 (1)
		0.03	14 (15)
		0.06	5 (5)
		0.25	2 (2)
		0.5	38 (42)
		1.0	29 (32)
		2.0	3 (3)
Combined	192	≤0.03	39 (20)
		0.06	20 (10)
		0.12	17 (9)
		0.25	6 (3)
		0.5	41 (21)
		1.0	45 (23)
		2.0	24 (13)

^a Determined in two independent multicenter studies by using Wilkins-Chalgren agar without added blood or other supplements.

^b Study A, A five-laboratory coordinated study which fulfills the requirements of the NCCLS (4); study B, an extended study combining 92 MICs from two other facilities.

quired for growth of the organism being tested, the activity of cefotetan will probably not be affected.

It is possible that the inconsistency of results observed with C. perfringens ATCC 13124 is related to difficulties in obtaining a standardized inoculum due to the cellular morphology or growth characteristics of the organism (7) or to difficult-to-interpret endpoints (8) or both. These possibilities are currently under investigation in our laboratories. Alternative control strains should be considered in the near future.

LITERATURE CITED

- Barry, A. L. 1988. Criteria for cefotetan in vitro susceptibility testing including correlations with clinical and bacteriologic responses. Am. J. Surg. 155:24–29.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 1985. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically. Approved standard M7-A. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, Pa.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 1985. Reference agar dilution procedure for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of anaerobic bacteria. Approved standard M11-A. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, Pa.
- 4. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 1987. Development of in vitro susceptibility testing criteria and quality control parameters. Proposed guideline M23-P. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, Pa.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 1987. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Second informational supplement M100-S2. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, Pa.
- Ohm-Smith, M. J., W. K. Hadley, and R. L. Sweet. 1982. In vitro activity of new β-lactam antibiotics and other antimicrobial drugs against anaerobic isolates from obstetric and gynecological infections. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 22:711-714.
- Sottile, W., and R. J. Zabransky. 1977. Comparative growth rates of selected anaerobic species in four commonly used broth media. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 11:482–486.
- Sutter, V. L., J. Emmerman, E. Randall, R. J. Zabransky, and R. J. Birk. 1985. Establishment of MICs of moxalactam for control and reference anaerobic organisms in agar dilution and microdilution techniques. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 27: 424-426.