Materials and Methods

Study Populations

Heredity and Phenotype Intervention (HAPI) Heart Study

The Heredity and Phenotype Intervention (HAPI) Heart Study began in 2003 to identify genes that interact with environmental exposures to influence risk for cardiovascular disease (*S1*). The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Maryland and all subjects gave written informed consent. The genome-wide association study (GWAS) was carried out in 809 Old Order Amish participants (of a total of 868) who completed the high fat feeding intervention and were successfully genotyped using the Affymetrix GeneChip® Human Mapping 500K Array Set. All 809 subjects could be connected to a single 14-generation pedigree, which was constructed using published Amish genealogy sources (*S2*, *S3*) and by querying the Anabaptist Genealogy Database (AGDB) 4.0 (*S4*) for all common ancestors of and connections among study subjects using the PedHunter software package (*S5*).

HAPI participants underwent a medical history interview including assessment of CVD risk factors, prescription and nonprescription medication usage and questions about prior history of CVD. Physical examinations were conducted at the Amish Research Clinic in Strasburg, PA and blood samples were obtained following an overnight fast. Those taking lipid-lowering medications at enrollment (1.3%) discontinued usage 7 days prior to examination.

Amish Family Calcification Study

The Amish Family Calcification Study (AFCS) was initiated in 2001 to identify the determinants of vascular calcification and to evaluate the relationship between calcification of bone and vascular tissue (*S6*). Old Order Amish subjects underwent a detailed medical examination including the measurement of coronary artery calcification (CAC) by electron beam computed tomography (EBCT) and a standard lipid panel as described below. Of 1,033 AFCS participants successfully genotyped for the APOC3 R19X mutation, 335 were also participants in the HAPI Heart high fat feeding intervention and GWAS, and 698 individuals were non-overlapping.

High Fat Feeding Intervention

The high fat challenge, administered to the HAPI Heart subjects, was prepared in the form of a whipping cream milk shake and was standardized to consist of 782 calories per m^2 of body surface with 77.6% of calories from fat, 19.2% from carbohydrate, and 3.1% from protein, and was administered after an overnight fast. Blood was drawn just prior to (time = 0 hours) and 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 hours after ingestion of the fat load to assess the triglyceride excursion. The subject rested and remained otherwise fasting during the 6 hours post-fat challenge.

Phenotype Measurement

Height and weight were measured using a stadiometer and calibrated scale with shoes removed and in light clothing, and body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²) was computed. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) (1st phase) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (5th phase) were obtained in triplicate using a standard sphygmomanometer with the subject sitting for at least 5 minutes. Fasting (FTG) and post-challenge triglyceride and fasting total cholesterol, HDL-C and glucose levels were measured by Quest Diagnostics (Lancaster, PA). All subjects except three AFCS participants had FTG < 400 mg/dl and LDL-C levels were calculated by the Friedewald equation (*S7*). Framingham 10 year coronary heart disease (CHD) risk was calculated for each AFCS participant by entering measured lipid levels/ blood pressure and self-reported diabetes/ smoking status into LDL-based risk equations (*S8*).

Triglyceride total area under the curve (tAUCTG) was calculated in fat challenge participants by the trapezoid method, and incremental area under the curve (iAUCTG) was calculated as tAUCTG – $6 \times FTG$. Incremental maximum TG (iMAXTG) was calculated as the highest of the six TG levels obtained (MAXTG) – FTG. Lipoprotein subfractions, including those of very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) and intermediate density lipoprotein (VLDL) cholesterol, were measured at zero and four hours by Vertical Auto Profile (VAP) technology (Atherotech, Birmingham, AL). Insulin was measured by radioimmunoassay (coefficient of variation = 4.42%).

Circulating apoC-III serum protein levels were assayed in 20 HAPI Heart participants from four two-generation families and one sib pair using a western blot. Plasma was diluted 1:4 with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). One microliter of the diluted serum was subjected to 10-20% SDS-PAGE, transferred to immobilon-P (Millipore) membranes, probed with anti-apoC-III polyclonal antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies), detected with goat anti-mouse HRP (horseradish peroxidase) conjugate, visualized with Femto-West chemiluminescence reagent (Pierce) and quantitated with a Fluorchem 8000 chemiluminescence /fluorescence imager (Alpha Innotech). Each serum sample was run in duplicate and normalized to a standardized pooled serum sample derived from a group of anonymous donors. The intra-subject coefficient of variation was 11.1%.

Coronary artery calcification (CAC) was measured in AFCS participants by electron beam computed tomography in a total of 1,033 Amish individuals, including 335 from the HAPI Heart Study as well as an additional 698 participating in the AFCS (*S6, S9*). Measurement was performed with an Imatron C-150 scanner (Imatron, Inc.: San Francisco, CA). Scan protocol included 30 to 40 three mm contiguous transverse slices between the aortic root and the apex of the heart, gated to 80% of the R-R interval obtained during a single breath hold. CAC was quantified using the Agatston method which incorporates both density and area (*S10*). The sum of the Agatson scores in the left main, left anterior descending, circumflex, and right coronary arteries was considered the CAC score. CAC presence was defined as a density >130 Hounsfield units in greater than three contiguous pixels (> 1 mm²). All scans were scored by an experienced cardiologist and reviewed for extra-cardiac findings by an experienced radiologist. Interscan reproducibility for CAC quantity with the scoring software was previously reported to range from 89% (*S11*) to 94% (*S12*). The interreader and intrareader reproducibilities were each ~99%.

Genotyping and sequencing

Genome-wide association analysis

GWAS of the 809 HAPI Heart Study samples was carried out using the Affymetrix GeneChip® Human Mapping 500K Array set. The 500K array set consists of two microarray chips (*NspI* and *StyI*), which collectively include a total of 500,568 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Total genomic DNA (250 ng) was digested with *NspI* or *StyI* and processed according to the Affymetrix protocol. Genotype calls were made using the BRLMM genotype calling algorithm (http://www.affymetrix.com). A confidence threshold, a measure of the call quality, of 0.5 was used for this analysis. The resulting mean genotype call rate across the 809 samples was 98.1%. SNPs with minor allele frequencies (MAF) < 1% in the overall sample were removed from further analyses. Finally, 381,934 informative autosomal SNPs that passed quality-control (call rate \geq 90%) and Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium checks (p > 10⁻⁵) were included in the analysis.

APOC3 gene sequencing and genotyping

Exons 1-3 and the coding region of exon 4 of the *APOC3* gene were amplified in three PCR reactions (primer sequences available upon request) and sequenced in eight rs10892151-A carriers and eight of their non-carrier relatives using an ABI 3730xl sequencer. Sequences were compared to the reference sequence and mutations identified using the Sequencher software package. Upon discovery of the 55C>T (R19X) nonsense mutation in seven of the eight rs10892151-A carriers, a Taqman® assay was designed to genotype all HAPI Heart Study and AFCS participants as well as 996 additional Amish adults participating in studies of complex diseases at the University of Maryland and 214 unrelated Caucasian adults from the Baltimore community serving as healthy controls for several studies at the University of Maryland. Blind replicate concordance rates were 100% and no Mendelian inconsistencies were found.

Statistical Analysis

Association analyses of quantitative traits were performed using the measured genotype approach that models variation in the trait of interest as a function of measured environmental covariates, measured genotype, and a polygenic component to account for phenotypic correlation due to relatedness. A t-test was used to assess significance of the measured genotype beta coefficient under an additive model. We included sex and sex-specific age and age² and in some models body mass index (BMI) as covariates and coded the SNPs using an additive model. The polygenic component was modeled using the relationship matrix derived from the complete 14-generation pedigree structure to properly control for the relatedness of all subjects in the study. These analyses were carried out using software developed in our group (*S13*). Quantile-quantile plots comparing the observed GWA p-values with those expected under the null are provided in Figure S1. Quantitative traits which were not normally distributed were transformed by their natural logarithm. For CAC, a constant of one was added prior to transformation since some participants had a raw trait level of zero. Results were plotted using Haploview Version 4.0 (*S14*) and are shown in Figure S1.

Odds ratios were estimated and significance of association of the variant with optimal plasma lipid categories, CAC presence and CAC score > 100 Agatston units was evaluated using generalized estimating equations (GEE) under a logistic model as implemented in SAS Version 9.1 with age and sex included as fixed covariates and sibship membership included as a random effect with an exchangeable correlation matrix. Association with CAC score \geq 75th age- and sex-specific percentile as determined in asymptomatic participants in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) (*S15*) was analyzed with GEE adjusted for sibship only.

Linkage disequilibrium was evaluated using Haploview Version 4 (S14).

SOM Text: Origin of the APOC3 R19X Mutation

A total of 2,503 Amish adults (approximately 15-20% of the total adult Lancaster Amish population) were genotyped for *APOC3* R19X. Of these, 140 (5.6%) are carriers of the R19X mutation. To identify the origin of the mutation, we searched a 14-generation pedigree constructed from the Anabaptist Genealogy Database (AGDB) (*S5, S16*) for common ancestors of these 140 individuals using the ANCESTOR routine in the PEDSYS software package (*S17*). A couple born near the beginning of the 19th century (1800) emerged as the most likely origin of all present-day copies of the mutation (Figure S3); all 140 individuals with the RX genotype were descended from this couple. The pedigree is compatible with either a *de novo* mutation or a founder effect, as the couple was two to five generations removed from original

Amish founders. Extended haplotype analysis (Figure S4) was most consistent with a founder effect, with a single copy of the mutated allele entering the population through a single founder prior to 1800. Only one extended haplotype contained the *APOC3* R19X mutation (Figure S4). . The mutation was not present in 214 non-Amish Caucasian subjects (428 alleles).

Trait	Men	Women
Ν	443	366
Age (y)	42 ± 14	45 ± 14
BMI (kg/m^2)	25.6 ± 3.2	27.7 ± 5.3
Waist (cm)	90.0 ± 10.0	84.0 ± 11.0
Total Cholesterol (mg/dl)	203 ± 44	214 ± 48
HDL-C (mg/dl)	53 ± 13	59 ± 15
LDL-C (mg/dl)	137 ± 40	140 ± 45
Triglycerides (mg/dl) Median (IQR)	53 (41 – 75)	59 (42 - 89)
Glucose (mg/dl)	86 ± 8	86 ± 9
Insulin (µU/ml) Median (IQR)	7.8 (6.3 – 9.7)	8.5 (6.9 – 10.9)
SBP (mm Hg)	122±12	121 ± 16
DBP (mm Hg)	78 ± 9	76 ± 8

Table S1: Characteristics of 809 HAPI Heart study subjects completing the high fat challenge (Mean \pm SD or Median [IQR]) and GWAS. Serum traits are fasting values.

Table S2: GWAS SNPs with p-values for ln-FTG or ln-iAUCTG ≤ 0.0001 . SNPs with significant association after Bonferroni correction (p<10⁻⁷) indicated in bold. SNP closest to *APOC3* indicated by an asterisk. Relative location of APOC5/A4/C3/A1 cluster indicated by a text box.

SNP	Chr	Position	Alleles	Allele Freq	Strand	ln-FTG	ln-iAUCTG	Nearby Gene(s)
			(A/B)	(B)		p-value	p-value	
rs630075	1	9,293,498	C/T	0.34	-	7.0E-05	0.06	SPSB1
rs4908842	1	9,309,898	A/G	0.55	-	5.9E-05	0.03	SPSB1
rs912962	1	10,271,299	G/T	0.44	-	9.6E-05	0.95	KIF1B
rs2745276	1	11,563,870	A/G	0.89	+	2.4E-05	0.02	FBXO2/FBXO44
rs2817644	1	11,570,256	A/G	0.87	-	7.8E-06	0.22	FBXO2/FBXO44
rs10492995	1	18,579,960	G/T	0.97	-	0.005	9.1E-05	IGSF21/KLHDC7A
rs34783763	1	43,530,349	A/G	0.26	-	0.01	4.5E-05	C1orf210/ TIE1
rs787503	1	67,846,229	A/G	0.92	+	3.0E-04	7.9E-05	
rs1749517	1	69,837,458	C/G	0.86	+	6.0E-05	0.03	
rs4656414	1	162,511,653	C/T	0.59	-	0.007	8.2E-05	
rs13395978	2	121,104,379	A/G	0.08	-	8.5E-05	0.04	
rs12104997	2	207,547,751	C/T	0.07	-	0.001	6.5E-05	CPO/ KLF7
rs28294	3	45,231,195	C/G	0.54	-	0.56	6.6E-05	CDCP1/TMEM158
rs13081218	3	105,942,556	C/T	0.08	+	1.3E-04	3.6E-05	
rs2895287	3	105,946,243	C/T	0.08	-	1.3E-04	3.6E-05	
rs7626000	3	105,956,811	A/T	0.92	+	2.7E-04	1.2E-05	
rs6534543	4	127,465,125	A/C	0.76	-	1.3E-05	0.003	FAT4
rs17623445	4	166,114,108	C/T	0.07	-	5.4E-05	0.003	
rs10070815	5	14,010,465	C/G	0.15	+	8.9E-05	0.003	DNAH5/ TRIO
rs268731	5	33,400,441	C/T	0.96	+	5.8E-05	0.006	TARS
rs17207053	5	85,528,275	C/T	0.03	-	0.01	8.4E-05	
rs6414953	5	88,999,906	A/G	0.98	+	7.6E-06	0.004	CETN3/ MEF2C
rs146689	5	96,782,445	A/C	0.67	-	0.04	6.5E-06	
rs953952	5	96,791,184	A/G	0.30	+	0.02	4.6E-05	
rs9314208	5	96,813,918	A/G	0.65	+	0.06	1.1E-05	
rs11135514	5	96,816,018	C/G	0.30	-	0.02	4.3E-05	
rs4869355	5	96,824,371	C/G	0.70	+	0.02	4.0E-05	
rs1485469	5	96,843,284	C/T	0.70	-	0.01	4.5E-05	
rs9384465	6	156,772,914	A/C	0.90	+	2.8E-05	0.04	

SNP	Chr	Position	Alleles	Allele Freq	Strand	ln-FTG	ln-iAUCTG	Nearby Gene(s)	
			(A / B)	(B)		p-value	p-value		
rs10227912	7	38,018,111	C/T	0.36	+	5.7E-05	8.2E-05	EPDR1/STARD3NL	
rs7784959	7	38,027,068	A/C	0.35	+	3.7E-06	1.1E-06	EPDR1/STARD3NL	
rs10954663	7	81,584,556	G/T	0.21	-	7.7E-05	0.24	CACNA2D1	
rs10486942	7	81,596,318	A/G	0.21	+	9.5E-05	0.22	CACNA2D1	
rs7786701	7	88,102,149	C/T	0.35	+	0.05	9.3E-05	MGC26647/ ZNF804B	
rs3807971	7	115,655,129	C/T	0.15	-	0.11	8.4E-05	TES	
rs1035205	7	117,332,711	C/T	0.62	-	0.34	8.5E-05	CTTNBP2/LSM8	
rs1548459	7	117,354,473	A/C	0.62	+	0.35	6.5E-05	CTTNBP2/LSM8	
rs2949761	7	142,922,064	A/G	0.04	+	3.8E-05	0.04		
rs2384844	8	123,875,421	C/T	0.22	-	6.5E-06	0.03	ZHX2	
rs10758849	9	7,258,068	A/G	0.41	-	2.8E-05	0.04	JMJD2C	
rs2148189	10	4,018,083	C/T	0.49	-	7.4E-05	0.40	KLF6	
rs7917417	10	26,234,425	A/G	0.85	+	0.002	3.7E-05		
rs12259899	10	26,274,617	A/G	0.86	-	0.002	3.6E-05	МҮОЗА	
rs7910887	10	33,730,587	C/T	0.42	+	6.8E-05	0.001	NRP1/ PARD3	
rs1334469	10	116,101,018	A/G	0.52	+	0.006	1.8E-05	AFAP1L2	
rs12287008	11	114,166,676	C/T	0.88	-	1.9E-05	0.002	FAM55B	
rs7122693	11	114,809,573	A/G	0.36	+	6.1E-05	0.01	CADM1	
rs681524*	11	116,253,524	A/G	0.06	-	1.1E-05	0.003	KIAA0999	APOA5/A4/C3/A1 Cluster Location:
rs7949670	11	117,036,145	A/C	0.87	+	4.6E-06	0.006	DSCAML1	116,165,296 - 116,213,548 bp
rs10892151	11	117,036,941	A/G	0.97	-	3.8E-14	2.8E-10	DSCAML1	
rs11607985	11	117,535,008	C/G	0.12	+	8.9E-07	8.4E-04	SCN4B	
rs11608072	11	117,535,296	C/G	0.86	+	8.4E-08	6.9E-05	SCN4B	
rs11600901	11	117,537,196	C/T	0.10	-	2.7E-05	9.6E-04	SCN4B	
rs10892287	11	118,148,209	C/T	0.76	+	4.6E-05	0.004	DDX6	
rs10892306	11	118,256,536	A/T	0.08	-	6.6E-05	3.4E-04	BLR1	
rs11217695	11	119,482,835	A/G	0.93	-	8.5E-06	2.4E-05	PVRL1/TRIM29	
rs6589804	11	119,666,851	A/G	0.90	+	3.6E-05	7.2E-04	POU2F3	
rs12278532	11	119,986,983	A/G	0.37	-	5.5E-05	0.02	GRIK4	
rs7925270	11	120,068,508	A/G	0.49	-	2.0E-05	0.001	GRIK4	
rs11217989	11	120,089,193	A/G	0.18	-	1.3E-05	0.009	GRIK4	
rs11218513	11	121,436,070	A/G	0.93	+	2.4E-06	8.5E-04		
rs492085	11	121,499,910	A/C	0.07	-	4.4E-05	0.005	BLID	

SNP	Chr	Position	Alleles	Allele Freq	Strand	ln-FTG	ln-iAUCTG	Nearby Gene(s)
			(A / B)	(B)		p-value	p-value	
rs687928	11	121,501,471	C/T	0.50	+	3.5E-05	0.002	BLID
rs678586	11	121,507,814	A/G	0.50	-	3.2E-05	0.002	BLID
rs594358	11	121,510,335	A/T	0.50	+	4.2E-05	0.003	BLID
rs6589937	11	122,006,372	G/T	0.96	+	2.5E-06	1.7E-04	UBASH3B
rs7951825	11	123,239,793	A/G	0.04	-	2.1E-09	4.3E-06	OR6M1/ PMP22CD
rs4575285	11	124,327,379	C/G	0.35	+	4.9E-06	2.9E-04	CCDC15/ HEPACAM/ HEPN1
rs1002777	11	124,949,814	C/T	0.46	+	6.5E-05	4.2E-04	EI24
rs519297	11	125,140,621	C/G	0.99	-	9.7E-05	0.002	C11orf38/ PATE
rs10893450	11	125,323,964	C/G	0.77	-	9.9E-06	7.2E-05	CDON/ DDX25
rs11220301	11	125,357,483	C/T	0.16	+	4.9E-05	0.003	CDON
rs17136789	11	126,996,515	A/T	0.01	+	5.7E-05	0.003	ETS1
rs10894845	11	133,953,680	C/T	0.02	+	1.0E-05	0.005	B3GAT1
rs11043458	12	17,479,817	A/C	0.90	-	0.03	6.0E-05	
rs11044361	12	18,977,067	A/T	0.56	-	0.01	1.8E-05	CAPZA3
rs7311139	12	30,204,772	A/C	0.60	-	6.5E-05	7.4E-04	TMTC1
rs1948032	12	30,220,301	C/T	0.38	+	3.6E-05	0.004	TMTC1
rs1879423	12	30,226,589	A/G	0.39	+	9.0E-05	0.006	TMTC1
rs7955747	12	120,409,487	C/T	0.24	+	0.006	9.5E-05	FBXL10
rs7491873	13	36,849,949	A/G	0.64	+	9.6E-05	2.4E-04	POSTN
rs7981543	13	53,211,477	A/G	0.91	+	9.3E-05	7.7E-05	
rs2182665	13	73,360,923	G/T	0.15	+	9.9E-05	0.01	KLF12
rs9602209	13	82,998,931	A/G	0.37	+	6.7E-05	0.03	SLITRK1
rs1046146	15	72,493,996	A/G	0.94	+	4.4E-05	0.005	SEMA7A
rs11073029	15	80,132,145	C/G	0.67	+	0.05	4.3E-05	EFTUD1/ MEX3B
rs17670098	16	7,149,248	A/C	0.93	+	0.004	5.6E-05	A2BP1
rs16962399	16	55,404,305	A/G	0.88	-	5.1E-05	5.4E-04	NUP93
rs16962767	16	55,431,290	A/G	0.11	-	7.5E-05	2.7E-04	NUP93
rs11861237	16	63,697,996	C/T	0.20	+	9.4E-05	0.002	CDH11
rs1471379	16	80,068,200	C/T	0.40	+	0.89	6.5E-05	СМІР
rs1471152	16	80,070,137	G/T	0.40	+	0.96	8.7E-05	СМІР
rs2125202	16	80,078,795	C/G	0.49	-	0.40	6.4E-05	СМІР
rs17808998	17	8,919,071	A/G	0.75	-	0.44	1.8E-05	NTN1
rs9303273	17	11,094,564	A/G	0.89	+	0.06	3.9E-05	

SNP	Chr	Position	Alleles	Allele Freq	Strand	ln-FTG	ln-iAUCTG	Nearby Gene(s)
			(A / B)	(B)		p-value	p-value	
rs11078890	17	11,095,333	C/T	0.11	-	0.10	9.0E-05	
rs8069247	17	11,097,192	C/T	0.11	-	0.12	6.9E-05	
rs8069599	17	11,097,447	C/T	0.11	-	0.10	8.0E-05	
rs16947073	17	59,187,050	A/G	0.96	+	5.4E-05	0.21	CCDC47
rs4798097	18	3,498,295	C/T	0.56	+	0.005	1.5E-05	DLGAP1
rs16966092	18	18,171,472	G/T	0.15	-	1.2E-04	7.6E-05	
rs807969	19	7,744,484	G/T	0.09	+	1.1E-05	0.02	CLEC4M
rs4808199	19	19,406,099	C/T	0.20	-	3.2E-05	0.002	GATAD2A
rs1621688	19	20,079,226	C/T	0.40	-	0.001	9.2E-05	ZNF253
rs2198895	19	36,061,034	A/G	0.96	+	4.7E-05	0.04	ZNF536
rs2756260	20	4,657,986	A/G	0.89	-	0.01	4.1E-05	PRND/ PRNT
rs2422958	20	4,697,289	G/T	0.26	-	7.3E-05	0.13	PRNT/ RASSF2
rs35574319	22	33,181,133	A/G	0.35	-	9.4E-05	0.009	
rs5995078	22	33,928,379	A/T	0.83	+	3.9E-05	0.02	ISX
rs932290	22	34,002,183	A/G	0.30	+	5.4E-05	0.03	HMG2L1
rs5755683	22	34,005,537	A/G	0.30	+	1.0E-04	0.03	HMG2L1

Table S3: Triglyceride levels in mg/dl (or mg/dl x 6 hours for tAUCTG and iAUCTG) during
the high fat challenge by rs10892151 genotype and p-values for analyses adjusted for sex and
sex-specific age and age ² and BMI.

Trait	GG	AG	p-value
	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	
Ν	763	46	
Fasting TG	57 (42 - 81)	32 (25 - 46)	$3.8 \ge 10^{-14}$
Hour 1 TG	76 (57 – 108)	48 (33 - 67)	8.1 x 10 ⁻¹²
Hour 2 TG	122 (89 – 170)	73 (49 – 93)	$3.1 \ge 10^{-13}$
Hour 3 TG	155 (109 – 220)	86 (65 – 121)	8.8 x 10 ⁻¹⁴
Hour 4 TG	159 (111 – 238)	88 (66 - 109)	$1.3 \ge 10^{-13}$
Hour 6 TG	137 (92 – 220)	77 (52 – 108)	1.1 x 10 ⁻⁹
MAXTG	184 (130 - 265)	105 (78 - 140)	$5.8 \ge 10^{-13}$
iMAXTG	122 (83 – 184)	66 (52 - 99)	8.3 x 10 ⁻¹⁰
tAUCTG	770 (560 - 1102)	425 (327 - 581)	1.6 x 10 ⁻¹⁴
iAUCTG	413 (276 - 610)	216 (163 - 337)	2.8×10^{-10}

Table S4: Triglyceride levels in mg/dl (or mg/dl x 6 hours for tAUCTG and iAUCTG) during the high fat challenge by APOC3 R19X genotype. Analyses are of ln-transformed traits adjusted for sex- and sex-specific age and age² in addition to the covariates indicated.

Trait	RR (CC)	RX (CT)	p-value	p-value	p-value
	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)		(BMI-	(BMI and
				adjusted)	FTG adjusted)
Ν	763	39			
Fasting TG	57 (42 – 81)	31 (25 – 48)	4.2×10^{-11}	$4.1 \ge 10^{-13}$	
Hour 1 TG	76 (57 - 107)	47 (33 – 66)	2.9 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	5.4 x 10 ⁻¹²	0.12
Hour 2 TG	122 (88 – 170)	73 (49 – 93)	2.0×10^{-11}	5.8×10^{-13}	0.008
Hour 3 TG	154 (109 – 217)	75 (64 – 119)	$1.5 \ge 10^{-12}$	$3.5 \ge 10^{-14}$	0.002
Hour 4 TG	159 (110 – 237)	85 (65 – 112)	1.5 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	4.1 x 10 ⁻¹²	0.03
Hour 6 TG	136 (92 – 219)	76 (52 – 105)	1.3 x 10 ⁻⁸	2.0 x 10 ⁻⁹	0.59
MAXTG	183 (130 - 265)	104 (95 – 115)	$4.8 \ge 10^{-11}$	$1.5 \ge 10^{-12}$	0.02
iMAXTG	121 (83 – 183)	60 (51 – 90)	6.5 x 10 ⁻⁹	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁹	0.03
tAUCTG	761 (556 - 1098)	411 (322 - 581)	4.1×10^{-12}	$5.0 \ge 10^{-14}$	0.006
iAUCTG	410(276-608)	214 (154 - 338)	2.0×10^{-9}	2.7 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	0.01

Table S5: Association of *APOC3* R19X mutation with lipid and calcification levels in the Amish Family Calcification Study. All analyses adjusted for BMI (except $\ln[CAC+1]$), sex and sex-specific age and age^2 as well as residual familial covariance. Shown is mean \pm SD for traits analyzed directly and mean (interquartile range) for traits analyzed after natural log transformation.

	AFCS Subset no	ot included in H	API	All AFCS			
Trait	RR	RX	p-value	RR	RX	p-value	
	(n = 656)	(n = 42)		(n = 974)	(n = 59)		
Age (years)	60 ± 13	57 ± 11	0.28	57 ± 13	55 ± 11	0.10	
Total Cholesterol (mg/dl)	215 ± 44	206 ± 41	0.51	215 ± 42	204 ± 39	0.12	
TG (mg/dl)	83 (60 - 120)	44 (36 – 57)	1.9 x 10 ⁻²²	78 (56 – 112)	43 (34 – 53)	2.9 x 10 ⁻²⁹	
HDL-C (mg/dl)	54.9 ± 15.0	69.2 ± 14.9	1.3 x 10 ⁻¹⁴	56.0 ± 15.0	69.3 ± 14.4	1.3 x 10 ⁻¹⁷	
non-HDL-C (mg/dl)	160 ± 44	136 ± 37	0.001	159 ± 42	135 ± 34	7.7 x 10 ⁻⁶	
LDL-C (mg/dl)	140 ± 40	127 ± 36	0.15	140 ± 39	126 ± 33	0.01	
$\ln(\text{CAC score }+1)$	2.9 ± 2.8	1.9 ± 2.7	0.03	2.5 ± 2.7	1.6 ± 2.5	0.005	







Figure S1: GWAS results for ln-FTG (A) and ln-iAUCTG (B) plotted using Haploview Version 4.1 (*S14*) and associated quantile-quantile plots (C and D).

Figure S2



Figure S2: Linkage disequilibrium structure in the Amish of the 872 kb region from the *APOA5/A4/C3/A1* cluster to the GWAS SNP most highly associated with ln-FTG and ln-IAUCTG, located in the *DSCAML1* gene.





Figure S3: Pedigree showing the first two generations of transmission of the *APOC3* R19X mutation from the most recent common ancestor couple, born in the early 19th century. Solid blue symbols indicate non-descendants inferred to have the RR genotype. Blue/yellow symbols indicate obligate R19X carriers. Open symbols have unknown genotypes. Numbers within symbols indicate the number of individuals. The first line of text below each symbol indicates the number of genotyped descendants receiving the 19X allele from that individual and the second line indicates the number of descendants genotyped. A range given for the first line indicates that some descendant 19X alleles may have come from a different progenitor. For example, the individual with the asterisk is a direct ancestor of 25 genotyped individuals. Of those 25, two descendants received the 19X allele from her and a third descendant received the allele either from her or her brother.

Figure S4

H0W4N0V00	
	.585
	.182
_	.094
	.071
	.033
	.023

SNP	Name	Position	MAF	Genes	Relative Location	In-FTG p-value	Ln-iAUCTG p-value
1	rs2727789	116,202,097	0.32	APOA4 APOC3	2.9 kb downstream 3.7 kb upstream	0.03	0.05
2	rs2849176	116,202,131	0.32	APOA4 APOC3	2.9 kb downstream 3.7 kb upstream	0.04	0.05
3	rs2849174	116,202,276	0.24	APOA4 APOC3	3.1 kb downstream 3.6 kb upstream	0.007	0.03
4	rs2071523	116,202,554	0.32	APOA4 APOC3	3.3 kb downstream 3.3 kb upstream	0.04	0.05
5	rs2071521	116,203,058	0.32	APOA4 APOC3	3.8 kb downstream 2.8 kb upstream	0.04	0.06
6	R19X	116,206,563	0.02	APOC3	Exon 2	4.1 x 10 ⁻¹³	2.0 x 10 ⁻¹⁰
7	rs681524	116,253,524	0.06	KIAA0999	Intron 6	1.1 x 10⁻⁵	0.003
8	rs7949670	117,036,145	0.12	DSCAML1	Intron 3	4.6 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.006
9	rs10892151	117,036,941	0.03	DSCAML1	Intron 3	3.8 x 10 ⁻¹⁴	2.8 x 10 ⁻¹⁰

Figure S4: Haplotype structure in the Amish of the *APOA5/C3/A4/A1* cluster and selected additional SNPs in the 835 kb region containing both *APOC3* and rs10892151, the SNP in *DSCAML1* originally identifying the association. SNPs 1 - 5 comprise all SNPs in the *APOA5/C3/A4/A1* cluster contained on the Affymetrix 500K gene chip, and SNPs 7 - 9 are additional SNPs on the chip. SNP 6 is the *APOC3* R19X mutation identified by sequencing and is found on a single extended haplotype (solid red bar).





Figure S5: Segregation of the *APOC3* R19X mutation with hypotriglyceridemia and blunted postprandial triglyceride response in a representative family. Square symbols represent males and circles represent females. Individuals carrying the 19X allele are indicated by half-filled pedigree symbols and solid lines; their non-carrier relatives are indicated by open pedigree symbols and broken lines. Grayed individuals were not studied.





Figure S6: Scatter plot of Framingham 10 year risk of coronary heart disease (*S8*) by age and *APOC3* R19X genotype. Individuals heterozygous for the 19X allele are shown by red squares; those homozygous for the normal APOC3 allele shown with black diamonds.





Figure S7: Scatter plot of coronary artery calcification score (CAC) in Agatston units by age and *APOC3* R19X genotype. Individuals heterozygous for the 19X allele are shown by red squares; those homozygous for the normal *APOC3* allele shown with black diamonds.





Figure S8: Distribution of residuals of the trait ln(CAC score + 1) adjusted for age and sex in 1,033 participants of the Amish Family Calcification Study.

References

S1. B. D. Mitchell et al., Am. Heart J. 155, 823 (2008).

S2. K. Beiler, *Fisher Family History: Descendants and History of Christian Fisher (1757-1838)* (Eby's Quality Printing, Ronk's, PA, 1988).

S3. K. Beiler, *Church Directory of the Lancaster County Amish* (Pequea Publishers, Gordonville, PA, 2002).

S4. R. Agarwala, A. A. Schäffer, J. F. Tomlin, Hum. Biol. 73, 533 (2001).

S5. R. Agarwala, L. G. Biesecker, K. A. Hopkins, C. A. Francomano, A. A. Schäffer, *Genome Res.* 8, 211 (1998).

S6. W. Post et al., Circulation 115, 717 (2007).

S7. W. T. Friedewald, R. I. Levy, D. S. Fredrickson, Clin. Chem. 18, 499 (1972).

S8. P. W. Wilson et al., Circulation 97, 1837 (1998).

S9. H. Shen et al., Calcif. Tissue Int. 80, 244 (2007).

S10. A. S. Agatston et al., J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 15, 827 (1990).

S11. H. Yamamoto et al., Int. J. Cardiovasc. Imaging 18, 391 (2002).

S12. M. Adamzik et al., Invest. Radiol. 34, 767 (1999).

S13. J. R. O'Connell, "Optimizing genome-wide measured genotype association analysis in family data (in preparation)," (2008).

S14. J. C. Barrett, B. Fry, J. Maller, M. J. Daly, Bioinformatics 21, 263 (2005).

S15. R. L. McClelland, H. Chung, R. Detrano, W. Post, R. A. Kronmal, *Circulation* **113**, 30 (2006).

S16. R. Agarwala, L. G. Biesecker, J. F. Tomlin, A. A. Schäffer, Am. J. Med. Genet. 86, 156 (1999).

S17. B. Dyke, "PEDSYS: A Pedigree Data Management System," (1994).