Supporting Information

Pedersen et al. 10.1073/pnas.0901202106

SI Text

Equations. Granule compartments measured in number of granules. Abbreviations as in Fig. 1 except AP, "almost-docked pool;" FIP, F_{IRP} ; RIP, R_{IRP} ; FHP, F_{HCSP} ; and RHP, R_{HCSP} .

$$IRP' = r_1 PP - r_{-1} IRP - f_I(C_{md}) IRP, \qquad [1]$$

$$PP' = r_{-1} IRP - (r_1 + r_{-2})PP + r_2 DP,$$
 [2]

$$DP' = r_3 HCSP + r_{-2} PP - (r_{-3} + r_2)DP,$$
 [3]

$$HCSP' = r_4 AP - (r_{-4} + r_3)HCSP + r_{-3} DP$$

$$-f_H(C_i)HCSP,$$
[4]

$$AP' = r_5 - (r_{-5} + r_4) AP + r_{-4} HCSP,$$
 [5]

$$FIP' = f_I(C_{md})IRP - u_2 FIP,$$
 [6]

$$RIP' = u_2 FIP - u_3 RIP,$$
 [7]

$$FHP' = f_H(C_i)HCSP - u_2 FHP,$$
 [8]

$$RHP' = u_2 FHP - u_3 RHP.$$
 [9]

Fusion rates from IRP (f_{I}) and HCSP (f_{H}) follow Hill functions.

$$f_I(C_{md}) = f_{I,\max} \frac{C_{md}^n}{C_{md}^n + K_I^n}, \quad f_H(C_i) = f_{H,\max} \frac{C_i^n}{C_i^n + K_H^n}, \quad [10]$$

where C_{md} is the Ca²⁺ concentration in microdomains, C_i the bulk cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentration, measured in micromolar.

Calcium compartments are modeled as in Chen et al. (9) and described by

$$C'_{md} = -f_{md}J_L - f_{md}B(C_{md} - C_i),$$
 [11]

$$C'_{i} = -f_{i}J_{R} + f_{v}f_{i}B(C_{m}d - C_{i}) - f_{i}L.$$
 [12]

Molar fluxes through L- and R-type channels:

$$J_L = \alpha I_L / v_{md}, \qquad J_R = \alpha I_R / v_{cell}.$$
 [13]

where the respective currents are

$$I_L = g_L m_{\alpha}(V)(V - V_{Ca}), \qquad I_R = g_R m_{\alpha}(V)(V - V_{Ca}), \quad [14]$$

with $m_{\infty}(v) = 1/(1 + \exp((V_m - V)/s_m))$. Calcium pumps and stores

 Chen Y, Wang S, Sherman A (2008) Identifying the targets of the amplifying pathway for insulin secretion in pancreatic beta-cells by kinetic modeling of granule exocytosis. *Biophys J* 95:2226–2241.

$$J_{serca} = J_{serca,\max} \frac{C_i^2}{K_{serca}^2 + C_i^2}, J_{pmca} = J_{pmca,\max} \frac{C_i}{K_{pmca} + C_i},$$
[15]

$$J_{ncx} = J_{ncx,0}(C_i - 0.25\,\mu\text{M}), \ L = J_{serca} + J_{pmca} + J_{ncx} + J_{leak}.$$
[16]

To follow capacitance increases, the fusion fluxes are multiplied by 3.5 fF per granule and integrated, i.e.,

$$Cap_{IRP} = 3.5 \int_{0}^{t} f_{I} IRP, \quad Cap_{HCSP} = 3.5 \int_{0}^{t} f_{H} HCSP.$$
[17]

Similarly, to follow secretion, the release fluxes are multiplied by 9 pg per granule per islet (see ref. 1) and by 60 to change from seconds to minutes.

$$Secr_{IRP} = 60 \cdot 9 \cdot u_3 RI$$
, $Secr_{HCSP} = 60 \cdot 9 \cdot u_3 RH$. [18]

We show mainly the 2-min moving averages of these expression.

Initial Conditions. All simulations are started from steady-state. For the standard parameters (see below) this yields the following initial conditions: IRP(0) = 7.69, PP(0) = 38.45, DP(0) = 297.17, HCSP(0) = 12.06, AP(0) = 964.8, FIP(0) = 1.5 × 10⁻⁷, RIP(0) = 2.3 × 10⁻⁵, FHP(0) = 8 × 10⁻⁵, RHP(0) = 0.012, $C_{md}(0) = 0.0674 \ \mu M, C_i(0) = 0.06274 \ \mu M.$

Parameters. Vesicle dynamics parameters (s^{-1}) : $r_1 = 0.005$, $r_{-1} = 0.025$, $r_2 = 0.00014$, $r_{-2} = 0.001$, $r_3 = 0.00185$, $r_{-3} = 0.00007$, $r_4 = 0.002$, $r_{-4} = 0.16$, $r_5 = 0.22$, $r_{-5} = 0.0002$, $u_1 = 2000$, $u_2 = 3$, $u_3 = 0.02$.

Fusion constants: $f_{I,max} = 30 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $K_I = 22 \mu \text{M}$, $f_{H,max} = 30 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $K_H = 2.5 \mu \text{M}$, n = 4.

Calcium currents: $g_L = 150 \text{ pS}$, $g_R = 150 \text{ pS}$, $V_m = -20 \text{ mV}$, $V_{Ca} = 25 \text{ mV}$, $s_m = 5 \text{ mV}$.

Calcium fluxes: $J_{\text{serca,max}} = 41 \ \mu \text{M/s}$, $K_{\text{serca}} = 0.27 \ \mu \text{M}$, $J_{\text{pmca,max}} = 2,141 \ \mu \text{M/s}$, $K_{\text{pmca}} = 0.5 \ \mu \text{M}$, $J_{\text{leak}} = -0.9441 \ \mu \text{M/s}$, $J_{\text{ncx,0}} = 18.67 \ \text{s}^{-1}$, $f_{\text{md}} = 0.01$, $f_{\text{i}} = 0.01$, $B = 17,250 \ \text{s}^{-1}$, $\alpha = 5.18 \ 10^{-15} \ \mu \text{mol/s/fA}$, $v_{\text{cell}} = 1.15 \ \text{pl}$, $v_{\text{md}} = 0.00385 \ 10^{-3} \ \text{pl}$, $f_{\text{v}} = v_{\text{md}}/v_{\text{cell}}$.



Fig. S1. Two-minute moving average of total secretion rates due to an imposed burst-like pattern with a period of 1 min. The length of the depolarization was varied from 30 s (dashed red), through 1 min (dashed blue), 2 min (dashed black), 3 min (solid red), 4 min (solid blue) to 5 min (solid black). (see ref. 1).

1. Henquin J-C, Nenquin M, Stiernet P, Ahren B (2006) In vivo and in vitro glucose-induced biphasic insulin secretion in the mouse: Pattern and role of cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ and amplification signals in beta-cells. *Diabetes* 55:441–451.



Fig. 52. Two-minute moving average of total secretion rates with an imposed burst-like pattern with a period of 1 min. (A) L-type knockout [g_L set to zero, and $g_R = 300$ pS up-regulated to compensate (1)]. (B) R-type knock-out (2) ($g_R = 0$ pS). Legends as in Fig. 3. For comparison, the dashed line taken from Fig. 3 shows total wild-type release.

Schulla V, et al. (2003) Impaired insulin secretion and glucose tolerance in beta cell-selective Ca(v)1.2 Ca²⁺ channel null mice. *EMBO J* 22:3844–3854.
 Jing X, et al. (2005) CaV2.3 calcium channels control second-phase insulin release. *J Clin Invest* 115:146–154.



Fig. S3. Two-minute moving average of total secretion rates with an imposed burst-like pattern with a period of 1 min. (*A*) Synt1A knockout (low docking rate, r_3 reduced 90%) (1). (*B*) Hypothetical knockout of HCSP Ca²⁺ sensor (fusion from the HCSP lowered by a factor of 30). Legends as in Fig. 3. For comparison, the dashed line taken from Fig. 3 shows total wild-type release.

1. Ohara-Imaizumi M, et al. (2007) Imaging analysis reveals mechanistic differences between first- and second-phase insulin exocytosis. J Cell Biol 177:695–705.



Fig. S4. (A) Predicted fast HCSP protocol for Synt-1A knockout (1). Parameters are as in Fig. S3A. (B) Predicted fast HCSP protocol for hypothetical knockout of HCSP Ca²⁺ sensor. Parameters are as in Fig. S3B.

1. Ohara-Imaizumi M, et al. (2007) Imaging analysis reveals mechanistic differences between first- and second-phase insulin exocytosis. J Cell Biol 177:695-705.