Supporting Information

Shih et al. 10.1073/pnas.0812367106



Fig. S1. (A) (Top) Quantitation of IκBδ protein expression in response to inflammatory signals. Band intensities were quantitated by phosphorimager, normalized to a-tubulin loading control and graphed relative to the signals in resting cells. (Bottom) RNAse Protection Assay revealing IxBômRNA induction upon chronic TFN (10 ng/ml) stimulation. RNA was harvested from wild type and nk kb deficient MEFs. (B) Diagram of different inhibited ReIA-containing complexes, depicting RelA in red, p50 in orange, and ankyrin repeat domain (ARD)-containing proteins in yellow. ΙκΒα, -β, -ε are shown to interact with NF-κB via the ARD. NF-kB/p100 protein is shown to engage in 2 distinct interactions with ReIA; 1 mediated by the dimerization domain containing Rel homology domain (RHD) where ARD falls back in cis to mediate inhibition, the other by a free ARD resulting from (p100)₂ asymmetiric homo-dimerization. ARD-NF-_KB-dimer trans interactions are sensitive to the detergent deoxycholate (DOC) whereas cis interactions are not. (C) Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA) with MEF cytosolic extracts that were first immunodepleted with indicated IkB antibodies and then treated with deoxycholate to reveal NF-kB complexes associated with remaining IkB proteins. Immunodepletion of IKBS antibody showed reduced deoxycholate-inducible NF-KB activity at late points of the chronic LPS (100 ng/mL) time course, whereas immunodepletion of IkBa resulted in smaller reductions in deoxycholate-inducible ReIA:p50 at late time points (Middle and Bottom). Furthermore, no decrease of ReIA activity was observed during LPS time course (Top), which indicates DOC-insensitive complexes, ReIA:p100, are not significantly increased. Overall, it suggests that ReIA is sequestered away by IκBô, instead of p100, after LPS stimulation. (D) EMSA to reveal latent cytosolic NF-κB complexes. Cytosolic extracts harvested from resting wild-type and nfkb2^{-/-} MEFs were treated with DOC and NF-κB DNA binding activities were analyzed by EMSA. nfkb2^{-/-} cell extracts show elevated deoxycholate-inducible RelA:p50, indicating that DOC-insensitive complexes, RelA:p100, exist in wild-type cells. (E) Schematic of the reaction network. There are 100 reactions in the model, governed by 106 parameters, which describe the synthesis and degradation of IkBs, association and dissociation of IkBs, NF-kB, and IKK1 or IKK2, and cellular localization of IkBs and NF-kB. There are isoform-specific reaction rate constants for each of the 4 IkBs that are not explicitly shown. ΙκΒα, ΙκΒβ, and ΙκΒε only associate with IKK2 whereas ΙκΒδ only associates with IKK1. The numbers adjacent to each reaction arrow are the corresponding parameter numbers shown in Table S2. (F) RNase Protection Assay revealing IKBô and IKBa mRNA induction kinetics upon inflammatory signals stimulation. RNA was harvested from wild-type MEFs stimulated with chronic TNF (1 ng/mL), IL-1 (1 ng/mL), or transient LPS (100 ng/mL) for 45 min. Signals were quantitated, normalized to ribosomal protein gene L32 (loading control) and graphed relative to the signals in resting cells. (G) Half-life measurements of IxBa and p100/IxBb mRNAs by RNase Protection Assay. Wild-type MEFs were stimulated with LPS for 4 h and washed followed by actinomycinD treatment. RNA was then harvested at indicated time points. Signals were guantitated, normalized to L32 loading control and graphed relative to the signals in resting cells. Trend lines were generated by second order exponential curves that best fit the indicated data points.



Fig. S2. (*A*) Schematic of the algorithm used to generate a library of canonical IKK curves. Each canonical IKK curve resulted from a specific combination of values for parameters *x*, *y*, and *a*–*d* as indicated: The rise of canonical IKK activity was determined by the duration a and peak level *x*; subsequent attenuation proceeded over time *b* to a second phase level *y*, which lasts for a duration *c*; this is followed by a return to base line over time d. (*B*) Computational phenotyping of the negative feedback regulators. The graph shows the difference of NF-*κ*B activity between wild-type and mutant cells (difference in area under the curve) plotted against the corresponding canonical IKK activity curve.



Fig. S3. (A) Replicates of IKK kinase activity assays (IKK KA) from MEFs stimulated with chronic TNF (1 ng/mL) or LPS (100 ng/mL). NEMO immunoprecipitates from cell extracts prepared at indicated times were reacted with GST-I κ B α (1–54) protein in the presence of [32]ATP. (B) Expression analysis of NF- κ B target genes in response to LPS stimulation of MEFs. Wild-type and I κ B δ -deficient cells were stimulated with chronic LPS (10 ng/mL). RNA was harvested at indicated time points and gene expression was analyzed by RPA.

AS PNAS



Fig. 54. (*A*) Western Blot analysis against $I_{\kappa}B\delta$ of whole cell extracts from 50 µg/mL poly(I:C)-stimulated wild-type MEFs. Equal loading was confirmed by immunoblotting against α-tubulin. Band intensities were quantitated, normalized to α-tubulin and graphed. (*B*) Canonical IKK activity profile was measured by IKK IP kinase assays from wild-type MEFs stimulated with poly(I:C) (50 µg/mL). NEMO immunoprecipitates from cell extracts prepared at indicated times were reacted with GST-I_κBα (1–54) protein in the presence of [³²]ATP. Equal loading was confirmed by immunoblotting against IKK1. (*C*) NF-κB DNA binding activities induced by poly(I:C) (50 µg/mL) stimulation in wild-type and I_κBδ-deficient MEFs were monitored by EMSA.



В



Fig. S5. (A) NF-kB DNA binding activities induced by 15 min transient IL-1 in wild-type and mutant MEFs were monitored by EMSA. (B) Quantitation of gene expression shown in Fig. 4D. Signals were quantitated, normalized to GAPDH loading control. Units derived were then multiplied by an appropriate number and graphed relative to the signals in resting cells.



Fig. S6. Analysis of NF-KB activation in wild-type MEFs primed with TNF and rested for different periods of time as indicated. NF-KB activities in response to TNF were compared between naïve and primed cells by EMSA.



DN A C

<



Fig. 57. (A) EMSA analysis to confirm specificity of RelB antibody. Nuclear extracts from *nfkb2^{-/-}* MEFs stimulated with chronic LPS (100 ng/mL) were either immuno-depleted with RelB antibody or not before EMSA analysis. (B) Quantitation of RANTES gene expression in response to LPS. Wild-type and indicated gene-deficient cells were stimulated with chronic LPS (10 ng/mL, 48 h). RNA was harvested and gene expression was analyzed by RNase Protection Assay. Signals were quantitated, normalized to L32 loading control. Units derived were then multiplied by an appropriate number and graphed.

Table S1. Model species and initial concentrations

PNAS PNAS

	Model			
	Species	Nomenclature	Initial µM	Location
1	ΙκΒα	IkBa	0	Cytoplasm
2	ΙκΒα	IkBan	0	Nucleus
3	ΙκΒα:NF-κΒ	IkBaNFkB	0	Cytoplasm
4	ΙκΒα:NF-κΒ	IkBaNFkBn	0	Nucleus
5	ΙκΒα:ΙΚΚ	IkBalKK	0	Cytoplasm
6	ΙκΒα:ΙΚΚ:ΝΓ-κΒ	IkBaIKKNFkB	0	Cytoplasm
7	Iκ B α mRNA	IkBat	0	Cytoplasm
8	ΙκΒβ	IkBb	0	Cytoplasm
9	ΙκΒβ	IkBbn	0	Nucleus
10	ΙκΒβ:ΝΕ-κΒ	IkBbNFkB	0	Cytoplasm
11	ΙκΒβ:ΝΓ-κ Β	IkBbNFkBn	0	Nucleus
12	ΙκΒβ:ΙΚΚ	IkBbIKK	0	Cytoplasm
13	ΙκΒβ:ΙΚΚ:ΝΓ-κΒ	IkBbIKKNFkB	0	Cytoplasm
14	ΙκΒβ mRNA	IkBbt	0	Cytoplasm
15	ΙκΒε	IkBe	0	Cytoplasm
16	ΙκΒε	IkBen	0	Nucleus
17	ΙκΒε:NF-kB	IkBeNFkB	0	Cytoplasm
18	ΙκΒε:NF-kB	IkBeNFkBn	0	Nucleus
19	ΙκΒε:ΙΚΚ	IkBelKK	0	Cytoplasm
20	ΙκΒε:ΙΚΚ:ΝΕ-κΒ	IkBelKKNFkB	0	Cytoplasm
21	$I\kappa B\epsilon mRNA$	IkBet	0	Cytoplasm
22	IKK2	IKK	0.1	Cytoplasm
23	NF-ĸB	NFkB	0	Cytoplasm
24	NF-ĸB	NFkBn	0.125	Nucleus
25	IKK1	IKK1	0.1	Cytoplasm
26	ΙκΒδ	IkBd	0	Cytoplasm
27	ΙκΒδ	IkBdn	0	Nucleus
28	ΙκΒδ:NF-κΒ	IkBdNFkB	0	Cytoplasm
29	ΙκΒδ:NF-κΒ	IkBdNFkBn	0	Nucleus
30	ΙκΒδ:ΙΚΚ1	IkBdIKK1	0	Cytoplasm
31	ΙκΒδ:ΙΚΚ1:ΝF-κΒ	IkBdIKK1NFkB	0	Cytoplasm
32	ΙκΒδ mRNA	lkBdt	0	Cytoplasm

There are 32 species included in the model. Each is represented in the model with a unique name (nomenclature) and is given an initial concentration and cellular localization. The total amounts of NF- κ B, IKK1, and IKK2 are conserved throughout the simulation (the sums of free, bound, active, and inactive forms in the cytoplasm and nucleus do not change). The initial concentrations of the remaining species are set to zero.

Table S2. Model parameters and biochemical rate constants

PNAS PNAS

No.	Reaction	Parameter value	Category	Location	Source of parameter value					
	IkB mRNA and protein synthesis reactions									
1	\Rightarrow IkBat (constitutive)	2 E-4 min ⁻¹	RNA synth.	_	mRNA synthesis parameters were derived from mRNA and Protein expression profiles measured by RNase Protection Assays (RPA) and Western Blots in wild type and NF-kB-deficient cells.					
2	\Rightarrow IkBbt (constitutive)	1 E-5 min ⁻¹	RNA synth.	_	Refer to no. 1					
3	\Rightarrow IkBet (constitutive)	3 E-6 min ⁻¹	RNA synth.	_	Refer to no. 1					
4	\Rightarrow IkBdt (constitutive)	1 E-7 min ⁻¹	RNA synth.	_	Refer to no. 1					
5	\Rightarrow lkBat (induced by NFkBn)	$6 \ \mu M^{-2} \ min^{-1}$	RNA synth.	_	1					
9		Hill Coefficient: 3.0			1					
13		Delay: 0 min			2					
6	\Rightarrow lkBbt (induced by NFkBn)	$0.25 \ \mu M^{-2} \ min^{-1}$	RNA synth.	—	2					
10		Hill Coefficient: 3.0			1					
14		Delay: 37 min			2 and unpublished results					
7	\Rightarrow lkBet (induced by NFkBn)	$0.5 \ \mu M^{-2} \ min^{-1}$	RNA synth.	_	2					
11		Hill Coefficient: 3.0			1					
15	> Hands (fried and has NELDA)	Delay: 37 min			2 and unpublished results					
8	\Rightarrow IkBdt (induced by NFkBn)	0.025 μM ⁻² min ⁻¹	RNA synth.	_	mRNA synthesis parameters were derived from mRNA expression measurements in response to several inflammatory stimuli (SI Fig. 1F)					
12		Hill Coefficient: 3.0								
16		Delay: 90 min								
17	lkBat ⇒	0.035 min ⁻¹	RNA deg.	Cytoplasm	mRNA half-lives were determined by treating cells with Actinomycin-D and tracking the decay of the mRNA by RPA (SI Fig. 1G)					
18	lkBbt \Rightarrow	3 E-3 min ⁻¹	RNA deg.	Cytoplasm	Refer to no. 17					
19	lkBet ⇒	4 E-3 min ⁻¹	RNA deg.	Cytoplasm	Refer to no. 17					
20	IkBdt ⇒	2 E-3 min ⁻¹	RNA deg.	Cytoplasm	Refer to no. 17					
21	\Rightarrow lkBa	0.25 min ⁻¹	Prot. synth.	Cytoplasm	1					
22	⇒IkBb	0.25 min ⁻¹	Prot. synth.	Cytoplasm	1					
23	⇒IkBe	0.25 min ⁻¹	Prot. synth.	Cytoplasm	1					
24	⇒lkBd	0.25 min ⁻¹ IkB·IKK·NFkB a	Prot. synth.	Cytoplasm	Assumed to be the same as for canonical IkBs reactions					
25				Catal	reactions					
25		$30 \ \mu \text{IVI} \ \text{min}^{-1}$	Association	Cytoplasm	1					
20		$30 \mu M^{-1} min^{-1}$	Association	Cytoplasm	1					
27	$IkBd + NEkB \rightarrow IkBdNEkB$	$30 \ \mu M^{-1} \ min^{-1}$	Association	Cytoplasm	Assumed to be the same as for canonical IkBs					
29	IkBan + NFkBn \Rightarrow IkBaNFkBn	$30 \ \mu M^{-1} min^{-1}$	Association	Nucleus	1					
30	$IkBbn + NFkBn \Rightarrow IkBbNFkBn$	$30 \ \mu M^{-1} min^{-1}$	Association	Nucleus	1					
31	$lkBen + NFkBn \Rightarrow lkBeNFkBn$	$^{.}$ 30 μ M ⁻¹ min ⁻¹	Association	Nucleus	1					
32	$IkBdn + NFkBn \Rightarrow IkBdNFkBn$	$30 \ \mu M^{-1} min^{-1}$	Association	Nucleus	Assumed to be the same as for canonical IkBs					
33	$IkBaNFkB \Rightarrow IkBa + NFkB$	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	1					
34	$IkBbNFkB \Rightarrow IkBb + NFkB$	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	1					
35	$lkBeNFkB \Rightarrow lkBe + NFkB$	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	1					
36	$IkBdNFkB \Rightarrow IkBd + NFkB$	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	Assumed to be the same as for canonical IkBs					
3/	IkBaNFkBn ⇒ IkBan + NFkBn	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Nucleus	1					
38 20	$IKBDNFKBN \Rightarrow IKBDN + NFKBN$	6E-5 min -1	Dissociation	Nucleus	1					
<u>40</u>	$\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	6E-5 min-1	Dissociation	Nucleus	Assumed to be the same as for canonical IKRs					
40 41	$ kBa + KK2 \rightarrow kBa KK2$	$1.35 \mu M^{-1} min^{-1}$	Association	Cytoplasm	Assumed to be the same as for canonical loss					
42	$ kBb + KK2 \Rightarrow kBb KK2$	$0.36 \mu M^{-1} min^{-1}$	Association	Cytoplasm	1					
43	$IkBe + IKK2 \Rightarrow IkBeIKK2$	$0.54 \ \mu M^{-1} \ min^{-1}$	Association	Cytoplasm	1					
44	IkBd + IKK1 ⇒ IkBdIKK1	$0.54 \ \mu M^{-1} \ min^{-1}$	Association	Cytoplasm	Assumed to be the same as for IkBe but pertaining to IKK1					
45	lkBalKK2 \Rightarrow lkBa + lKK2	0.075 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	1					
46	$lkBblKK2 \Rightarrow lkBb + lKK2$	0.105 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	1					
47	$lkBelKK2 \Rightarrow lkBe + lKK2$	0.105 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	1					
48		0.105 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	Assumed to be the same as for IkBe but pertaining to IKK1					
49		11.1 μ IVI ⁻¹ min ⁻¹	Association	Cytoplasm	1					
50	INDUNTED + INNZ \Rightarrow INDUNENTED	2.00μ IVI ' MIM '	Association	Cytoplasm	1					
52	$\frac{1}{1000} + \frac{1}{1000} + 1$	4.2 μ M ⁻¹ min ⁻¹	Association	Cytoplasm	ہ Assumed to be the same as for IkBe but pertaining to الالا 1					
53	$ kBa KK2NFkB \Rightarrow kBaNFkB + KK2$	0.075 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	1					
54	$lkBblKK2NFkB \Rightarrow lkBbNFkB + IKK2$	0.105 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	1					
				-						

No.	Reaction	Parameter value	Category	Location	Source of parameter value
55	$IkBeIKK2NFkB \Rightarrow IkBeNFkB + IKK2$	0.105 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	1
56	IkBdIKK1NFkB⇒ IkBdNFkB + IKK1	0.105 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	Assumed to be the same as for IkBe but pertaining to
				5	IKK1
57	$IkBalKK2 + NFkB \Rightarrow IkBalKK2NFkB$	$30 \ \mu M^{-1} min^{-1}$	Association	Cytoplasm	1
58	$IkBbIKK2 + NFkB \Rightarrow IkBbIKK2NFkB$	$30 \ \mu M^{-1} min^{-1}$	Association	Cytoplasm	1
59	$lkBelKK2 + NFkB \Rightarrow lkBelKK2NFkB$	$30 \ \mu M^{-1} min^{-1}$	Association	Cytoplasm	1
60	IkBdIKK1 + NFkB⇒ IkBdIKK1NFkB	$30 \ \mu M^{-1} min^{-1}$	Association	Cytoplasm	Assumed to be the same as for canonical IkBs
61	$IkBaIKK2NFkB \Rightarrow IkBaIKK2 + NFkB$	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	1
62	$IkBbIKK2NFkB \Rightarrow IkBbIKK2 + NFkB$	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	1
63	$IkBeIKK2NFkB \Rightarrow IkBeIKK2 + NFkB$	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	1
64	$lkBdIKK1NFkB \Rightarrow lkBdIKK1 + NFkB$	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Dissociation	Cytoplasm	Assumed to be the same as for canonical IkBs
		IkB and NI	-kB cellular loc	alization read	tions
65	lkBa ⇒ lkBan	0.09 min ⁻¹	Import		1
66	lkBb ⇒ lkBbn	0.009 min ⁻¹	Import		1
67	lkBe ⇒ lkBen	0.045 min ⁻¹	Import		1
68	lkBd ⇒ lkBdn	0.045 min ⁻¹	Import		Assumed to be the same as for IkBe
69	$lkBan \Rightarrow lkBa$	0.012 min ⁻¹	Export		1
70	IkBbn ⇒ IkBb	0.012 min ⁻¹	Export		1
71	$lkBen \Rightarrow lkBe$	0.012 min ⁻¹	Export		1
72	$lkBdn \Rightarrow lkBd$	0.012 min ⁻¹	Export		Assumed to be the same as for canonical IkBs
73	IkBaNFkB ⇒ IkBaNFkBn	0.276 min ⁻¹	Import		1
74	IkBbNFkB ⇒ IkBbNFkBn	0.0276 min ⁻¹	Import		1
75	lkBeNFkB ⇒ lkBeNFkBn	0.138 min ⁻¹	Import		1
76	IkBdNFkB ⇒ IkBdNFkBn	0.276 min ⁻¹	Import		Assumed to be the same as for IkBa
77	$lkBaNFkBn \Rightarrow lkBaNFkB$	0.828 min ⁻¹	Export		1
78	$lkBbNFkBn \Rightarrow lkBbNFkB$	0.414 min ⁻¹	Export		1
79	IkBeNFkBn ⇒ IkBeNFkB	0.414 min ⁻¹	Export		1
80	$lkBdNFkBn \Rightarrow lkBdNFkB$	0.414 min ⁻¹	Export		Assumed to be the same as for canonical IkBs
81	$NFkB \Rightarrow NFkBn$	5.4 min ⁻¹	Import		1
82	$NFkBn \Rightarrow NFkB$	0.0048 min ⁻¹	Export		1
	<i>,</i>	lkB pr	otein degrada	tion reactions	5
83	lkBa ⇒	0 12 min ⁻¹	Prot dea	Cytoplasm	3
84	$lkBh \Rightarrow$	0.12 min ⁻¹	Prot dea	Cytoplasm	3
85	$lkBe \Rightarrow$	0.18 min ⁻¹	Prot dea	Cytoplasm	3
86		1 4F-3 min ⁻¹	Prot deg	Cytoplasm	The IkBd half-life was measured by quantitative
00		1.42 5 1111	Trot. deg.	Cytoplashi	Western blot (unpublished results).
87	lkBan ⇒	0.12 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Nucleus	3
88	lkBbn ⇒	0.18 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Nucleus	3
89	lkBen ⇒	0.18 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Nucleus	3
90	IkBdn ⇒	1.4E-3 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Nucleus	Refer to #86
91	$IkBaNFkB \Rightarrow NFkB$	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Cytoplasm	3
92	$IkBbNFkB \Rightarrow NFkB$	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Cytoplasm	3
93	$IkBeNFkB \Rightarrow NFkB$	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Cytoplasm	3
94	$IkBdNFkB \Rightarrow NFkB$	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Cytoplasm	Assumed to be the same as for canonical IkBs
95	lkBaNFkBn \Rightarrow NFkBn	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Nucleus	3
96	IkBbNFkBn $⇒$ NFkBn	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Nucleus	3
97	IkBeNFkBn ⇒ NFkBn	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Nucleus	3
98	IkBdNFkBn $⇒$ NFkBn	6E-5 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Nucleus	Assumed to be the same as for canonical IkBs
99	IkBalKK2 \Rightarrow IKK2	1.8E-3 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Cytoplasm	1
100	IkBbIKK2 \Rightarrow IKK2	6E-4 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Cytoplasm	1
101	IkBelKK2 \Rightarrow IKK2	1.2E-3 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Cytoplasm	1
102	IkBdIKK1 ⇒ IKK1	1.2E-3 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Cytoplasm	Assumed to be the same as for IkBe
103	$IkBaIKK2NFkB \Rightarrow IKK2 + NFkB$	0.36 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Cytoplasm	1
104	$IkBbIKK2NFkB \Rightarrow IKK2 + NFkB$	0.12 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Cytoplasm	1
105	IkBeIKK2NFkB \Rightarrow IKK2 + NFkB	0.18 min ⁻¹	Prot. dea.	Cytoplasm	1
106	$IkBdIKK1NFkB \Rightarrow IKK1 + NFkB$	0.18 min ⁻¹	Prot. deg.	Cytoplasm	Assumed to be the same as for IkBe

Parameter identifiers (column 1 and SI Fig.1E) are related to reaction descriptions and reaction rate constants.

 Werner SL, Barken D, Hoffmann A (2005) Stimulus specificity of gene expression programs determined by temporal control of IKK activity. Science 309:1857–1861.
Kearns JD, Basak S, Werner SL, Huang CS, Hoffmann A (2006) IkappaBepsilon provides negative feedback to control NF-kappaB oscillations, signaling dynamics, and inflammatory gene expression. J Cell Biol 173:659-664.

2. O'Dea EL, et al. (2007) A homeostatic model of IkappaB metabolism to control constitutive NF-kappaB activity. Mol Syst Biol 3:111.

PNAS PNAS