

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Appendix table 1a. Proportion reporting fair or poor health in 2004 by socioeconomic indicators and age among people who reported good, very good or excellent health in 2002.

	Age in 2002	50-54	55-59	60-64	65-69	70-74	75-79	80+	Total
Household wealth in 2002 (quintiles)	N = 6371	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Richest	1607	7.2	6.7	5.2	11.1	8.2	22.7	15.2	9.0
4th	1499	6.3	11.3	10.5	12.7	12.9	12.8	23.2	11.3
3rd	1349	10.0	10.9	15.3	12.7	20.4	24.8	20.2	14.9
2nd	1101	12.1	21.3	11.5	15.9	23.6	29.7	22.0	18.3
Poorest	815	23.7	30.4	27.5	21.8	26.8	25.3	25.8	25.9
Absolute difference between poorest & richest quintiles		16.5	23.7	22.3	10.7	18.6	2.6	10.6	16.9
Equivalised household income in 2002 (quintiles)	N = 6371	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Richest	1629	6.7	7.0	4.8	10.8	11.3	14.5	8.7	7.6
4th	1435	10.5	10.7	10.4	11.6	9.4	21.5	29.1	11.9
3rd	1186	13.7	20.8	10.8	15.8	20.5	22.3	18.5	17.0
2nd	1076	12.9	14.9	19.7	19.4	21.7	23.5	28.0	20.4
Poorest	1045	16.5	26.5	20.0	9.9	21.0	27.2	19.8	20.2
Absolute difference between poorest & richest quintiles		9.8	19.5	15.2	-0.9	9.7	12.7	11.1	12.6
Housing tenure in 2002	N = 6414	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Own outright	3837	8.7	9.7	10.3	12.3	15.6	23.1	20.4	13.6
Buying	1717	8.9	12.5	8.6	18.3	[24.5]	[14.3]	[22.7]	11.2
Renting	860	23.0	32.0	23.9	20.3	26.5	26.0	25.4	25.3
Absolute difference between own outright & renting		14.3	22.3	13.6	8.0	10.9	2.9	5.0	11.7

Appendix table 1b. Proportion reporting fair or poor health or dead by 2004 by socioeconomic indicators and age among people who reported good or very good health in 2002.

	Age in 2002	50-54	55-59	60-64	65-69	70-74	75-79	80+	Total
Household wealth in 2002 (quintiles)	N = 6575	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Richest	1632	7.2	7.2	5.5	12.4	11.3	25.8	23.0	10.4
4th	1526	6.6	11.8	10.9	13.4	13.8	17.4	32.4	12.9
3rd	1388	10.0	11.7	16.5	15.0	23.6	28.2	30.3	17.3
2nd	1143	12.1	22.7	11.5	18.7	26.6	36.6	33.0	21.3
Poorest	886	24.3	32.6	29.8	24.6	31.8	32.1	43.5	31.8
Absolute difference between poorest & richest quintiles		17.1	25.4	24.3	12.2	20.5	6.3	20.5	21.4
Equalised household income in 2002 (quintiles)	N = 6575	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Richest	1653	6.9	8.0	4.8	11.7	15.7	17.2	23.6	9.0
4th	1467	10.5	11.8	11.8	13.2	12.8	28.7	37.1	13.8
3rd	1220	13.7	21.2	11.2	17.0	22.2	26.9	31.8	19.3
2nd	1129	12.9	17.1	19.7	21.2	24.2	28.2	40.6	24.2
Poorest	1106	17.2	26.9	21.8	14.5	24.8	32.3	31.3	24.6
Absolute difference between poorest & richest quintiles		10.3	18.9	17.0	2.8	9.1	15.1	7.7	15.6
Housing tenure in 2002	N = 6619	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Own outright	3949	8.7	10.4	10.7	13.7	18.1	27.6	29.9	16.0
Buying	1735	9.0	13.3	9.3	21.6	26.0	[17.2]	[34.6]	12.2
Renting	935	23.6	34.1	25.9	23.6	31.5	33.6	43.4	31.3
Absolute difference between own outright & renting		14.9	23.7	15.2	9.9	13.4	6.0	13.5	15.3

Appendix table 2a. Proportion reporting difficulty with 1+ ADLs in 2004 by socioeconomic indicators and age among people who reported no difficulty with ADLs in 2002.

	Age in 2002	50-54	55-59	60-64	65-69	70-74	75-79	80+	Total
Household wealth in 2002 (quintiles)	N = 6911	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Richest	1655	2.0	5.0	4.0	7.1	8.4	12.8	14.7	5.9
4 th	1564	4.3	6.9	7.1	8.8	16.0	21.8	24.0	9.8
3rd	1431	5.7	7.5	11.3	8.7	14.6	19.7	19.0	10.6
2nd	1282	5.5	11.1	9.3	11.9	17.2	20.0	23.5	12.4
Poorest	979	12.8	10.6	19.1	12.9	20.8	20.0	29.8	17.4
Absolute difference between poorest & richest quintiles		10.8	5.6	15.1	5.8	12.4	7.2	15.1	11.5
Equalised household income in 2002 (quintiles)	N = 6911	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Richest	1692	3.0	4.9	4.8	7.8	14.1	8.8	20.0	5.7
4 th	1509	6.8	10.2	10.1	8.6	11.8	21.8	20.8	10.1
3rd	1291	7.9	7.5	6.7	9.1	15.3	20.2	26.5	11.1
2nd	1201	3.9	8.1	14.9	11.0	15.0	17.0	25.2	13.6
Poorest	1218	7.4	9.3	9.2	10.7	19.6	21.7	21.6	14.3
Absolute difference between poorest & richest quintiles		4.4	4.4	4.4	2.9	5.5	12.9	1.6	8.6
Housing tenure in 2002	N = 6953	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Own outright	4062	3.7	6.5	7.6	8.2	13.3	18.9	20.6	10.2
Buying	1850	4.5	8.0	8.4	13.9	23.5	[16.1]	[16.7]	7.6
Renting	1041	14.1	10.8	14.5	13.3	21.1	20.0	31.3	17.4
Absolute difference between own outright & renting		10.4	4.3	6.9	5.1	7.8	1.1	10.7	7.2

Appendix table 2b. Proportion reporting difficulty with 1+ ADLs or dead by 2004 by socioeconomic indicators and age among people who reported no difficulty with ADLs in 2002.

	Age in 2002	50-54	55-59	60-64	65-69	70-74	75-79	80+	Total
Household wealth in 2002 (quintiles)	N = 7157	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Richest	1691	2.0	5.8	4.8	8.4	11.5	20.3	24.7	7.9
4th	1596	4.6	7.4	7.8	10.3	17.8	27.5	31.5	11.6
3rd	1477	5.7	8.5	12.4	11.8	18.6	24.4	31.3	13.4
2nd	1332	6.2	12.5	9.8	14.9	21.6	26.2	34.2	15.7
Poorest	1061	13.3	13.9	23.9	16.3	26.3	30.2	45.3	23.8
Absolute difference between poorest & richest quintiles		11.3	8.1	19.1	7.9	14.8	9.9	20.6	15.9
Equivalised household income in 2002 (quintiles)	N = 7157	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Richest	1720	3.4	6.0	5.2	8.7	19.4	14.8	30.8	7.3
4th	1547	7.1	11.4	11.7	11.1	15.5	29.9	29.6	12.3
3rd	1331	7.9	8.6	7.5	10.9	19.0	25.0	37.1	13.7
2nd	1279	3.9	10.1	15.4	13.5	18.0	23.9	37.6	17.7
Poorest	1280	7.9	10.3	13.2	15.1	23.5	29.0	34.5	19.6
Absolute difference between poorest & richest quintiles		4.5	3.7	8.0	6.4	4.1	14.2	3.7	12.3
Housing tenure in 2002	N = 7199	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Own outright	4203	3.7	7.6	8.4	10.1	16.8	24.8	30.7	13.2
Buying	1872	4.9	8.8	10.2	17.1	25.0	[21.2]	[21.1]	8.7
Renting	1124	14.6	13.5	17.6	17.0	26.0	30.0	46.7	23.5
Absolute difference between own outright & renting		10.9	5.9	9.2	6.9	10.8	5.2	16.0	10.3


Appendix table 3a. Incidence of heart disease between 2002 and 2004 by socioeconomic indicators and age.

	Age in 2002	50-54	55-59	60-64	65-69	70-74	75-79	80+	Total
Household wealth in 2002 (quintiles)	N = 7171	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Richest	1631	2.4	4.5	3.8	6.3	8.5	8.4	2.4	4.8
4th	1587	4.7	8.1	6.3	3.7	4.3	11.0	3.5	5.9
3rd	1456	4.9	7.9	4.8	9.7	7.0	5.2	3.8	6.5
2nd	1374	6.7	9.4	9.7	11.4	7.8	9.6	6.1	8.7
Poorest	1123	11.7	13.3	7.8	7.2	11.5	9.9	4.8	9.6
Absolute difference between poorest & richest quintiles		9.3	8.8	4.0	0.9	3.0	1.5	2.4	4.8
Equivalised household income in 2002 (quintiles)	N = 7196	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Richest	1687	3.4	3.7	2.9	5.4	8.7	3.7	3.6	4.0
4th	1568	3.5	4.9	2.2	6.2	5.7	8.3	4.6	4.5
3rd	1373	4.6	7.3	3.9	4.7	2.1	6.0	4.3	4.7
2nd	1285	8.8	7.5	3.4	6.0	5.8	4.5	4.2	5.6
Poorest	1283	3.8	7.4	3.1	5.8	3.8	5.6	1.0	4.4
Absolute difference between poorest & richest quintiles		0.4	3.7	0.2	0.4	-4.9	1.9	-2.6	0.4
Housing tenure in 2002	N = 7207	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Own outright	4166	3.7	5.0	3.2	5.6	4.3	6.1	3.0	4.5
Buying	1861	3.5	4.4	1.9	7.0	2.0	[3.3]	[3.4]	3.7
Renting	1180	7.7	10.3	3.3	4.2	7.6	3.7	3.0	5.9
Absolute difference between own outright & renting		4.0	5.3	0.1	-1.4	3.3	-2.4	0	1.4


Appendix table 3b. Incidence of heart disease or circulatory-related death between 2002 and 2004 by socioeconomic indicators and age.

	Age in 2002	50-54	55-59	60-64	65-69	70-74	75-79	80+	Total
Household wealth in 2002 (quintiles)	N = 7305	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Richest	1641	2.4	4.7	3.8	6.6	9.8	10.1	9.2	5.5
4th	1602	4.7	8.4	6.3	3.7	8.0	12.5	11.6	7.1
3rd	1477	4.9	7.9	6.1	10.2	10.7	7.9	12.4	8.1
2nd	1404	7.0	10.1	10.6	12.4	12.1	15.7	15.4	11.2
Poorest	1181	11.7	15.8	9.2	9.0	15.3	18.2	20.7	14.5
Absolute difference between poorest & richest quintiles		9.3	11.1	5.4	2.4	5.5	8.1	11.5	9.0
Equalised household income in 2002 (quintiles)	N = 7333	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Richest	1695	3.4	3.9	2.9	5.4	13.8	3.7	13.3	4.7
4th	1584	3.7	4.9	3.2	7.0	9.7	11.5	14.1	5.8
3rd	1404	4.6	8.8	4.7	5.1	6.1	12.2	13.4	7.1
2nd	1324	8.8	8.2	3.9	6.7	8.0	9.6	14.6	8.5
Poorest	1326	3.8	9.3	4.3	6.9	7.4	10.2	13.8	8.2
Absolute difference between poorest & richest quintiles		0.4	5.4	1.4	1.5	-6.4	6.5	0.5	3.5
Housing tenure in 2002	N = 7341	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Own outright	4231	4.6	8.4	6.2	7.7	9.8	11.6	12.2	8.4
Buying	1872	4.9	6.6	6.2	10.0	5.9	[12.5]	[18.8]	6.3
Renting	1238	11.6	15.0	8.4	8.8	17.0	17.1	20.3	14.1
Absolute difference between own outright & renting		7.0	6.6	2.2	1.1	7.2	5.5	8.1	5.7


SUPP Figure 1a. Odds ratio for income gradient in onset of reporting fair or poor health over two-year period




SUPP Figure 1b. Odds ratio for income gradient in onset of reporting fair or poor health or death over two-year period




SUPP Figure 1c. Odds ratio for housing tenure gradient in onset of reporting fair or poor health over two-year period




SUPP Figure 1d. Odds ratio for housing tenure gradient in onset of reporting fair or poor health or death over two-year period




SUPP Figure 2a. Odds ratio for income gradient in onset of reporting difficulty with 1+ ADL over two-year period




SUPP Figure 2b. Odds ratio for income gradient in onset of reporting difficulty with 1+ ADL or death over two-year period




SUPP Figure 2c. Odds ratio for housing tenure gradient in onset of reporting difficulty with 1+ ADL over two-year period




SUPP Figure 2d. Odds ratio for housing tenure gradient in onset of reporting difficulty with 1+ ADL or death over two-year period




SUPP Figure 3a. Odds ratio for income gradient in incidence of IHD over two-year period



SUPP Figure 3b. Odds ratio for income gradient in incidence of IHD or circulatory-related death over two-year period



SUPP Figure 3c. Odds ratio for housing tenure gradient in incidence of IHD over two-year period



SUPP Figure 3d. Odds ratio for housing tenure gradient in incidence of IHD or circulatory-related death over two-year period

