## Direct, Intermolecular Iridium-Catalyzed Enantioselective Allylation of Carbamates to Form Branched Allylic Amines

Daniel J. Weix, Dean Marković, Mitsuhiro Ueda and John F. Hartwig.

Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois, 600 South Matthews Avenue, Urbana, Illinois 61801

# **Supporting Information**

General Methods	S-1
Synthesis of allylic <i>tert</i> -butoxy carbonates	S-3
Synthesis of trichloroethoxycarbamate (TrocNH <sub>2</sub> )	S-6
Ir(cod)( <i>P</i> , <i>C</i> -L2)(ethylene)	S-6
General procedures for carbamate allylation	S-7
Synthesis of <i>N</i> -Boc allylic amines	S-8
Synthesis of <i>N</i> -Cbz allylic amine	S-12
Synthesis of <i>N</i> -Teoc allylic amine	S-12
Synthesis of <i>N</i> -Troc allylic amine	S-13
Synthesis of <i>N</i> -Fmoc allylic amine	S-13
Synthesis of N-(1-phenylallyl)-2-oxazolidinone	S-14
Table S1. Survey of weak bases.	S-14
Table S2. Base stoichiometry and solvent screen.	S-15
Procedures for palladium-catalyzed allylation of carbamates	S-15
References	S-16
<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra for all new compounds (separate file)	S-17

#### General Methods.

Elemental Analyses were performed by Robertson Microlit Laboratories, Inc. (Madison, NJ) or by the University of Illinois Microanalysis Laboratory. GC/MS analyses were performed on an Agilent 6890N GC equipped with a 5973 MS and an HP-5ms column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID x 0.25 µm film). GC analyses were obtained on an Agilent 6890 GC equipped with an HP-5 column (25 m x 0.20 mm ID x 0.33 µm film) and an FID detector. Optical rotations were measured as solutions in chloroform (c =g/100 mL) on a Rudolph Instruments (Denville, NJ) Autopol IV. We thank the Zimmerman group for allowing us to use this instrument. IR spectra were obtained from thin films on NaCl plates using a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum BX FT-IR instrument. NMR spectra were acquired on 400, 500, or 600 MHz Varian Unity or Innova instruments (University of Illinois VOICE NMR facility) and data were processed using the NUTS software program (Acorn NMR, Inc., Livermore, CA). Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to residual solvent peak (CDCl<sub>3</sub> = 7.26 ppm for  ${}^{1}$ H, 77.0 ppm for  ${}^{13}$ C) or to external standard (CFCl<sub>3</sub> = 0 for <sup>19</sup>F and 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> = 0 for <sup>31</sup>P). Coupling constants are given in Hertz. HPLC analyses were conducted on a Waters chromatography system (1525 binary pump, 717+ autosampler, 2487 dual wavelength detector). SFC analysis was conducted on a Berger SFC system. We thank the Denmark group for allowing us to use this instrument.

Chromatography was conducted on Silicycle Siala-P Silica gel using hexanes/ethyl acetate, hexanes/ether, or pentane/ether mixtures. While many products were visible on TLC using UV irradiation, all products were more easily identified by strong staining with KMnO<sub>4</sub> (orange). NMR yields were obtained from the unpurified isolate before chromatography by adding 50  $\mu$ L of dichloroethane (Aldrich, 99.8%), diluting with a small amount of CDCl<sub>3</sub> to be sure all material is dissolved and the solution is homogeneous, and diluting a portion of this mixture in ~0.75 mL CDCl<sub>3</sub> for analysis (pulse delay of 10 s to ensure complete relaxation of protons).

Reaction progress was monitored by GC and GC/MS with dodecane as the internal standard (10  $\mu$ L). Branched-to-linear ratios were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (usually the olefinic protons) or by isolated yield when NMR was inconclusive. GC methods were found to be unreliable for many substrates. For example, in the case of *N*-Boc 1-heptyl allyl amine a 92:8 b:l ratio was indicated by GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture, but an 85:15 ratio was indicated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis.

All starting materials were purchased from Aldrich except for FmocNH<sub>2</sub> (Fluka), Boc<sub>2</sub>O (Fluka), IrCl<sub>3</sub> (Pressure Chemical or gift from Johnson Matthey), Xantphos (9,9-Dimethyl-4,5-bis(diphenylphosphino)xanthene) (Strem) and Trost's ligand [(1*S*, 2*S*)-(-)-1,2-Diaminocyclohexane-*N*,*N*'-bis(2-diphenylphosphino-1-naphthoyl)] (Strem). Propylamine was dried over calcium hydride, distilled, and stored under nitrogen. THF, pentane, benzene, ether, toluene, and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were purified by passage of the degassed solvent (Ar) through a column of activated alumina (Solvent purification system purchased from Innovative Technologies of Newburyport, MA). Allylic alcohols were either purchased or synthesized from the corresponding aldehydes by the Roush-Masamune modification of the Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction,<sup>1</sup> followed by DIBAL-H reduction. [Ir(cod)Cl]<sub>2</sub> was synthesized according to the procedure of Crabtree,<sup>2</sup> or commercial material (gift from Johnson-Matthey) was recrystallized from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/EtOH. Unpurified commercial [Ir(cod)Cl]<sub>2</sub> produced a catalyst that was less reactive, but just as selective as the catalyst derived from purified material. The activity could be largely regained by the addition of 50 mol%  $K_3PO_4$ . Phosphoramidite ligands were synthesized according to published procedures.<sup>3</sup> TeocNH<sub>2</sub> was synthesized according to the published procedure.<sup>4</sup>

**General Procedure for the Synthesis of Allylic Boc Carbonates.** The procedure of Fréchet<sup>5</sup> was utilized with 1.4 equiv of Boc<sub>2</sub>O, 0.03 equiv Bu<sub>4</sub>NHSO<sub>4</sub>, 30% aq NaOH (0.5 mL/mmol), and the allylic alcohol as limiting reagent in methylene chloride (0.26 mL/mmol). Mechanical stirring was used because the reaction mixture becomes a paste. The use of large amounts of methylene chloride in the workup prevented the formation of emulsions (15 mL/mmol). Products were generally contaminated with Boc<sub>2</sub>O, and this impurity can be difficult to visualize by TLC or to remove by chromatography. We found that the procedure of Basel and Hassner was effective for removing unreacted Boc<sub>2</sub>O.<sup>6</sup> The crude product was combined with 0.5 equiv of imidazole in absolute ethanol (0.5 M) and stirred for 5 min. The solvent was then removed, and the product was purified by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub> with indicated solvent mixture, usually a short "plug" column was sufficient).



*tert*-Butoxy cinnamyl carbonate 2a. The general procedure was followed on a 42 mmol scale, and the product was purified by column chromatography (98:2 EtOAc/Hexanes). The product was isolated as a turbid, viscous oil (8.2 g, 84% yield). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum matched the literature reports.<sup>5,7</sup> Anal. Calcd for  $C_{14}H_{18}O_3$ : C, 71.77; H, 7.74; N, 0.0; Found: C, 72.03; H, 7.74; N, 0.02.

OBoc

*tert*-Butoxy decenyl carbonate 2b. The general procedure was followed on a 42 mmol scale, and the product was purified by column chromatography (TLC 30% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/70% Hexanes, product  $R_f = 0.5$ ). The product was isolated as a clear oil (10.1 g, 94% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, *J*=6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.21-1.32 (m, 8H), 1.37 (m, 2H), 1.48 (s, 9H), 2.04 (q, *J*=6.8 Hz, 2 H), 4.49 (dd, *J*=6.6,0.9 Hz, 2H), 5.57 (dtt, *J*=15.3,6.6,1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.79 (dt, *J*=15.3,6.8 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$  14.1, 22.6, 27.8, 28.8, 29.08, 29.12, 31.8, 32.2, 67.8, 81.9, 123.4, 137.2, 153.4. IR (thin film): 2928, 1739, 1369, 1278, 1169, 970, 861 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 70.27; H, 11.01; N, 0.00; found: C, 70.67; H, 11.43; N, 0.02.

# ОВос

*tert*-Butoxy *E*-3-(2-furyl)-prop-2-enyl carbonate 2c. The general procedure was followed on a 30 mmol scale, and the product was purified by column chromatography (40:1 Hexanes:Et<sub>2</sub>O, the product is only moderately stable on silica gel). The product was isolated as a light yellow oil (5.3 g, 79% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 600 MHz)  $\delta$  1.50 (s, 9H), 4.68 (dd, *J*=6.4, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 6.21 (dt, *J*=15.8, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 6.28 (d, *J*=3.3 Hz, 1H),

6.37 (dd, J=3.3,1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (d, J=15.9 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, J=1.5 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 151 MHz) & 27.8, 67.0, 82.2, 108.9, 111.3, 121.4, 122.3, 142.4, 151.8, 153.3. IR (thin film): 1741, 1276, 1255, 1160 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 64.27; H, 7.19; N, 0.00; found: C, 63.91; H, 7.42; N, 0.02.

*tert*-Butoxy *E*-4-methoxycinnamyl carbonate 2d. The general procedure was followed on a 6 mmol scale, and the product was purified by column chromatography (TLC, 70:30 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:Hexanes,  $R_f = 0.43$ ). The product was isolated as a light yellow oil (0.91 g, 58% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)  $\delta$  1.50 (s, 9H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 4.69 (dd, *J*=1.2, 6.7 Hz, 2H), 6.16 (dt, *J*=6.6, 15.8 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (d, *J*=15.9 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (m, 2H), 7.32 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 151 MHz)  $\delta$  27.8, 55.3, 67.8, 82.1, 114.0, 120.5, 127.9, 128.9, 134.3, 153.4, 159.6. IR (thin film): 1740, 1512, 1274, 1250, 1160 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 68.16; H, 7.63; N, 0.00; found: C, 67.98; H, 7.37; N, 0.02.



*tert*-Butoxy *E*-4-(trifluoromethyl)cinnamyl carbonate 2e. The general procedure was followed on a 7.5 mmol scale, except that the treatment with imidazole and ethanol was omitted, and Boc<sub>2</sub>O was removed by distillation under high-vacuum. The product was purified by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 98:2 Hexanes:EtOAc) and isolated as a clear oil (2.0 g, 89% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 600 MHz)  $\delta$  1.51 (s, 9H), 4.74 (d, *J*=6.2 Hz, 2H), 6.38 (dt, *J*=6.1, 15.9 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, *J*=15.9 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J*=8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (d, *J*=8.1 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 151 MHz)  $\delta$  27.8, 66.9, 82.5, 122.9 (q, *J*=271.9 Hz), 125.6 (q, *J*=3.8 Hz), 125.8, 126.8, 129.3 (q, *J*=32.5), 132.5, 139.6, 153.2. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376 MHz)  $\delta$  -60.3. IR (thin film): 1742, 1326, 1276, 1255, 1163, 1123, 1068 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>17</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 59.60; H, 5.67; N, 0.0; found: C, 59.75; H, 5.53; N, 0.02.



*tert*-butoxy 4-bromocinnamyl carbonate 2f. The general procedure was followed on a 9.3 mmol scale, and the product was purified by column chromatography (90:10 pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O) and then recrystallized from hexanes (cooled to room temperature, then to -35 °C overnight). The product was isolated as white crystals (2.11 g, 73% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)  $\delta$  1.50 (s, 9H), 4.70 (dd, *J*=6.3,1.2 Hz, 2H), 6.28 (dt, *J*=15.9,6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.60 (d, *J*=15.9 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (m, 2H), 7.44 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$  27.7, 67.1, 82.3, 121.9, 123.7, 128.1, 131.7, 133.0, 135.1, 153.3. IR (thin film): 1740, 1276, 1254, 1159 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>BrO<sub>3</sub>: C, 53.69; H, 5.47; N, 0.00; found: C, 53.93; H, 5.52; N, 0.02.



*tert*-butoxy *E*-3-cyclohexyl-2-propenyl carbonate 2g. The general procedure was followed on a 7.5 mmol scale, except that the treatment with imidazole and ethanol was omitted, and the product was purified by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 98:2 Hexanes:EtOAc). The product was isolated as a clear oil (1.39 g, 78% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  1.01-1.32 (m, 5H), 1.48 (s, 9H), 1.61-1.73 (m, 5H), 1.97 (m, 1H), 4.49 (dt, *J*=6.7, 0.7 Hz, 2H), 5.52 (dtd, *J*=15.6, 6.6, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (dd, *J*=15.6, 6.6 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$  25.9, 26.1, 27.8, 32.4, 40.3, 68.0, 81.9, 121.0, 142.6, 153.4. IR (thin film): 2925, 1740, 1278, 1254, 1163 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 69.96; H, 10.07; N, 0.00; found: C, 70.20; H, 10.16; N, 0.02.

# OBoc

*tert*-butoxy *E,E*-2,4-hexadienyl carbonate 2h. The general procedure was followed except that the treatment with imidazole and ethanol was omitted, and the product was purified by column chromatography (TLC, 80:20 Hexanes:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.55$ ). The product was isolated as a light yellow oil (yield not determined). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 600 MHz)  $\delta$  1.47 (s, 9H), 1.74 (ddd, *J*=6.8,1.0,0.4 Hz, 3H), 4.54 (d, *J*=6.6 Hz, 2H), 5.62 (dtd, *J*=15.3,6.7,0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (dq, *J*=15.0,6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.03 (ddd, *J*=15.1,10.5,0.4 Hz, 1H), 6.24 (dd, *J*=15.3,10.6 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$  18.1, 27.8, 67.4, 82.0, 123.3, 130.4, 131.4, 135.2, 153.4. IR (thin film): 1739, 1276, 1254, 1162 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 66.64; H, 9.15; N, 0.00; found: C, 66.92; H, 9.42; N, 0.02.



*tert*-butoxy *E*-4-benzyloxybut-2-enyl carbonate 2i. The general procedure was followed on a 6 mmol scale, and the product was purified by column chromatography (TLC, 80:20 Hexanes:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.55$ , column in 80:20 Hexanes:Et<sub>2</sub>O). The product was isolated as a light yellow oil (1.44 g, 86% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)  $\delta$  1.49 (s, 9H), 4.03-4.05 (m, 2H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 4.57-4.58 (m, 2H), 5.84-5.95 (m, 2H), 7.26-7.36 (m, 5H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$  27.8, 66.7, 69.7, 72.2, 82.2, 126.4, 127.6, 127.7, 128.4, 131.4, 138.1, 153.3. IR (thin film): 1739, 1275, 1254, 1161 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 69.04; H, 7.97; N, 0.00; found: C, 69.02; H, 7.73; N, 0.02.

*tert*-butoxy 3-methoxycinnamyl carbonate 2j. The general procedure was followed on a 6 mmol scale, and the product was purified by column chromatography (80:20 pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O). The product was isolated as a clear oil (1.38 g, 87% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)  $\delta$  1.50 (s, 9H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 4.72 (dd, *J*=6.4, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 6.29 (dt, *J*=15.9, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, *J*=15.9 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (ddd, *J*=8.2, 2.6, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (t, *J*=2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (d, *J*=7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (t, *J*=7.9 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$  27.8, 55.2, 67.4, 82.3, 111.8, 113.8, 119.3, 123.2, 129.6, 134.2, 137.6, 153.3,

159.7. IR (thin film): 2979, 1733, 1599, 1580, 1368, 1271, 1156 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{20}O_4$ : C, 68.16; H, 7.63; N, 0.00; found: C, 68.09; H, 7.63; N, 0.02.

**2,2,2-trichloroethyl carbamate**.<sup>8</sup> Commercially available 2,2,2-trichloroethyl chloroformate was added dropwise to an excess of ammonium hydroxide with vigorous stirring at 0 °C. The white precipitated product was dissolved in methylene chloride, washed with water and brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent removed. The product was isolated as a white solid in near quantitative yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  4.72 (s, 2H), 5.21 (br s, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  74.6, 95.3, 155.1. IR (thin film): 3469, 1712, 1393, 1335, 807, 723 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 18.72; H, 2.10; N, 7.28; found: C, 18.97; H, 2.04; N, 7.32.



Ir(cod)(*P*,*C*-L2)(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).<sup>9</sup>

Caution! Choose a vessel large enough so that the pressure inside the reaction will be close to ambient to avoid the chance of explosion!

The procedure of Markovic and Hartwig was generally followed with some modifications. A mixture of (R,R,R)-L2 (346 mg, 0.576 mmol) and  $[Ir(cod)Cl]_2$  (193 mg, 0.287 mmol, see general methods section) was dissolved in THF (6 mL) under  $N_2$  and stirred (magnetic stir bar) in a sealed tube for 30 min. A heavy orange precipitate was observed. Under an inert atmosphere, 1 mL of anhydrous propylamine was added via syringe. The vessel was then attached to a vacuum line, the reaction mixture was frozen in liquid N<sub>2</sub>, and the vessel was evacuated. Ethylene (5.76 mmol, 10 equiv, volumetric gas bulb) was then condensed into the reaction vessel. The vessel was sealed and allowed to warm to room temperature with stirring overnight. The reaction turned bright yellow and homogeneous during this time. The vessel was moved into a nitrogen filled glove box, and the reaction mixture was transferred to a 20 mL scintillation vial. To the THF solution in the vial was then added 6 mL of pentane. The vial was capped, the mixture shaken, and the resulting mixture was then cooled to -35 °C to precipitate the PrNH<sub>2</sub>•HCl salt. This solution was filtered (45 µm teflon syringe filter), and the solvent removed under vacuum. The product was isolated as a yellow/brown powder in quantitative yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, benzene- $d_6$ ) 8.73 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 7.90-7.93 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.68 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.67 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.62 (d, J =8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.59 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.56 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.46 (d, J= 8.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.42 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.17 (dt, J = 1.0, 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH),

7.05-7.15 (m, 3H, ArH), 6.93-6.97 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.87-6.92 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.48 (dd, J =8.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.22-6.26 (m, 1H, ArH), 5.14 (dq,  $J_{PH} = 9.5$ ,  $J_{HH} = 7.0$  Hz, 1H,  $CHCH_3$ ), 5.02 (ddd,  $J_{PH} = 30.0$  Hz,  $J_{HH} = 8.0$ , 3.0 Hz, 1H,  $CHCH_2$ Ir), 3.38-3.46 (m, 1H, =CH COD), 3.10-3.22 (m, 2H, 2 x =CH COD), 3.14 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.88-2.95 (m, 1H, =CH<sub>2</sub> ethylene), 2.78 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.49-2.59 (m, 2H, 2 x CH<sub>2</sub> COD), 2.33-2.40 (m, 1H,  $CH_2$  COD), 2.17-2.23 (m, 1H, = $CH_2$  ethylene), 1.84-1.99 (m, 4H, 2 x = $CH_2$  ethylene + 2 x CH<sub>2</sub> COD), 1.75-1.83 (m, 2H, =CH COD + CH<sub>2</sub> COD), 1.25-1.37 (m, 3H, Ir-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH  $+ CH_2 COD + CH_2 COD)$ , 1.19 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H CH-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.96 (dd, J = 11.5, 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ir-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 157.1 (Ar <u>C</u>), 156.9 (Ar <u>C</u>), 151.8  $(d, J = 17 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar C}), 150.3 (d, J = 5 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar C}), 137.0 (\text{Ar C}), 133.7 (\text{Ar C}), 133.6 (\text{$ 132.9 (Ar C), 131.5 (Ar C), 131.2 (Ar C), 130.5 (Ar CH), 130.0 (Ar CH), 128.6 (Ar CH), 128.5 (Ar CH), 128.4 (Ar CH), 127.8 (Ar CH), 127.6 (Ar CH), 127.5 (Ar CH), 127.2 (Ar <u>C</u>H), 127.1 (Ar <u>C</u>H), 126.6 (Ar <u>C</u>H), 126.5 (Ar <u>C</u>H), 125.4 (Ar <u>C</u>H), 125.0 (Ar <u>C</u>H), 123.5 (Ar CH), 122.6 (Ar CH), 122.28 (Ar C), 122.26 (Ar C), 120.6 (Ar CH), 120.0 (Ar CH) 110.5 (Ar CH), 110.1 (Ar CH), 90.5 (COD CH), 77.7 (COD CH), 62.2 (d,  $J_{CP} = 43$ Hz, CH-CH<sub>2</sub>), 58.6 (d, J = 8 Hz, COD CH), 58.2 (d,  $J_{CP} = 22$  Hz, COD CH), 54.6  $(OCH_3)$ , 54.2  $(OCH_3)$ , 52.6 (d, J = 7 Hz, COD CH), 37.0  $(COD CH_2)$ , 33.3  $(=CH_2)$ ethylene), 33.0 (COD CH<sub>2</sub>), 32.5 (COD CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.6 (=CH<sub>2</sub> ethylene) 29.5 (COD CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.9, (Ir-<u>C</u>H<sub>2</sub>), 20.9 (CH-<u>C</u>H<sub>3</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 243 MHz) δ 154.0. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>49</sub>IrNO<sub>4</sub>P: C, 62.18; H, 5.33; N, 1.51; found: C, 61.99; H, 5.46; N, 1.95.

### General Procedures for the Synthesis of N-Boc Allyl Amines.

**Procedure A.** In an nitrogen filled glove box,  $Ir(cod)(P,C-L2)(CH_2CH_2)$  (18.5 mg, 0.02 mmol, derived from (R,R,R)-L2 unless otherwise noted) was added to a 1-dram vial, followed by *tert*-butoxy carbamate (82 mg, 0.7 mmol). A small stirbar and dodecane (10  $\mu$ L) were then added, and 0.5 mL of THF was added. This mixture was stirred briefly to dissolve all of the catalyst (The carbamate may not completely dissolve.). Finally, the allylic *tert*-butoxy carbonate (0.5 mmol) was weighed into the vial by pipette. The vial was sealed with a PTFE faced septum in a screw-top cap, removed from the glove box, and stirred at 30 °C for the specified time.

**Procedure B**. Same as procedure A except that diethyl ether was the solvent instead of THF, and the reaction was conducted at room temperature.

**Procedure C.** Same as procedure A except that diethyl ether was the solvent and 0.5 equiv of  $K_3PO_4$  was added along with the carbamate.

**Procedure D.** Same as procedure A except that diethyl ether was the solvent and 0.2 equiv of DBU was added along with the carbamate.

**N-Boc 1-phenyl allylamine 3a.**<sup>10-14</sup> General procedure A was followed on a 0.508 mmol scale, and the reaction was judged complete by GC after 24 h. Chromatogaphy on silica gel (TLC 90:10 hexanes:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.35$ ) yielded 94.6 mg of pure branched product (80 % yield). HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99 : 1,  $v = 1.0 \text{ mL/min}, \lambda = 210 \text{ nm}, \sim 25 \text{ °C}$ ):  $t_R \text{ [min]} = 10.2 (99.3\%), 12.3 (0.7\%), 99 \%$  ee. <sup>1</sup>H

NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)  $\delta$  1.45 (s, 9H), 4.92 (br s, 1H), 5.21 (dt, *J*=13.3,1.7 Hz, 2H), 5.27 (br s, 1H), 5.98 (m, 1H), 7.21-7.35 (m, 5H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$  28.3, 56.6, 79.6, 115.4, 127.0, 127.5, 128.6, 137.9, 141.0, 155.0. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> + 73.0 ° (*c* 1.06, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 72.07; H, 8.21; N, 6.00; found: C, 72.04; H, 8.47; N, 5.90. The experiment was repeated using the catalyst derived from (*S*,*S*,*S*)-L2 on a 0.503 mmol scale, and 105 mg of product (89% yield, 99% ee) was obtained.



*N*-Boc 1-(4-methoxyphenyl) allylamine 3d. General procedure B was followed on a 0.522 mmol scale, and the reaction was judged complete by GC after 3 h. Chromatogaphy on silica gel (TLC 7:3 hexanes:Et<sub>2</sub>O, R<sub>f</sub> = 0.36) yielded 122 mg of pure branched product (89 % yield) as a white crystalline solid. HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel AD-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99 : 1, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda$  = 220 nm, ~25 °C): t<sub>R</sub> [min] = 22.8 (99.2%), 25.0 (0.8%), 98% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)  $\delta$  1.44 (s, 9H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 4.82 (br s, 1H), 5.18-5.26 (overlapping br s and m, 3H), 5.98 (m, 1H), 6.87 (m, 2H), 7.21 (d, *J*=8.7 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$  28.3, 55.3, 56.0, 79.6, 114.0, 115.1, 128.2, 133.2, 138.1, 155.0, 158.9. IR (thin film): 3371, 1681, 1524, 1169, 1029 cm<sup>-1</sup>. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> + 77.3 ° (*c* 0.82, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>: C, 68.42; H, 8.04; N, 5.32; found: C, 68.23; H, 8.35; N, 5.11. The experiment was repeated using the catalyst derived from (*S*,*S*,*S*)-L2 on 0.492 mmol scale, and 105 mg of product (81% yield) was obtained. HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99 : 1, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda$  = 210 nm, ~25 °C): t<sub>R</sub> [min] = 12.1 (99.5 %), 14.8 (0.5%), 99% ee.



*N*-Boc 1-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl) allylamine 3e. General procedure C was followed on a 0.483 mmol scale, and the reaction was judged complete by GC after 23 h. Chromatogaphy on silica gel (TLC 7:3 hexanes:Et<sub>2</sub>O, R<sub>f(branched)</sub> = 0.36, R<sub>f(linear)</sub> = 0.19) yielded 106 mg of pure branched product (73 % yield). HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel OD-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99 : 1, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda$  = 210 nm, ~25 °C): t<sub>R</sub> [min] = 6.8 (0.4 %), 8.9 (99.6%), 99% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 1.43 (s, 9H), 4.95 (br s, 1H), 5.20 (d, *J*=17 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (d, *J*=10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (br s, 1H), 5.96 (m, 1H), 7.41 (d, *J*=8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (d, *J*=8.2 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 20.3, 56.3, 80.0, 116.7, 124.1 (q, *J*=271 Hz), 125.6 (q, *J*=3.7 Hz), 127.2, 129.7 (q, *J*=32.6 Hz), 137.1, 145.2, 154.9. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 470 MHz) δ -62.8. IR (thin film): 3371, 2980, 1683, 1527, 1327, 1161, 1124, 1067 cm<sup>-1</sup>. [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> + 30.7 ° (*c* 2.17, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 59.79; H, 6.02; N, 4.65; found: C, 60.17; H, 6.03; N, 4.47. The experiment was repeated using the catalyst derived from (S,S,S)-L2 on a 0.500 mmol scale for 36 h, and 86 mg of product (57% yield, 99% ee by HPLC) was obtained.



*N*-Boc 1-(4-bromophenyl) allylamine 3f. General procedure A was followed on a 0.498 mmol scale. Chromatogaphy on silica gel (TLC 7:3 hexanes:Et<sub>2</sub>O, R<sub>f</sub> = 0.40) yielded 122 mg of pure branched product (79 % yield). HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel OD-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99 : 1, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda$  = 210 nm, ~25 °C): t<sub>R</sub> [min] = 8.2 (0.4 %), 9.8 (99.6%), 99% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 1.42 (s, 9H), 4.91 (br s, 1H), 5.18 (d, *J*=17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d, *J*=20.4 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (br s, 1H), 5.93 (m, 1H), 7.16 (d, *J*=8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 20.3, 56.0, 79.8, 116.1, 121.3, 128.7, 131.6, 137.3, 140.1, 154.9. IR (thin film): 3377, 1682, 1514, 1168 cm<sup>-1</sup>. [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> + 53.0 ° (*c* 1.03, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>18</sub>BrNO<sub>2</sub>: C, 53.86; H, 5.81; N, 4.49; found: C, 54.04; H, 5.90; N, 4.30. The experiment was repeated using procedure C and the catalyst derived from (*S*,*S*,*S*)-**L2** on 0.498 mmol scale for 21 h, and 122 mg of product (79% yield, 99% ee by HPLC) was obtained.



*N*-Boc 1-(3-methoxyphenyl) allylamine 3h. General procedure B was followed on a 0.518 mmol scale, except that the catalyst derived from (*S*,*S*,*S*)-L2 was used. The reaction was judged complete by GC after 12 h. Chromatography on silica gel (TLC 7:3 hexanes:Et<sub>2</sub>O, R<sub>f</sub> = 0.23) yielded 99.1 mg of pure branched product (73 % yield) as an oil. HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99 : 1, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda$  = 210 nm, ~25 °C): t<sub>R</sub> [min] = 12.3 (0.7%), 15.9 (99.3%), 99% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 1.44 (s, 9H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 4.91 (br s, 1H), 5.21 (d, *J*=2.3, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (d, *J*=10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (br s, 1H), 5.97 (m, 1H), 6.80-6.83 (m, 2H), 6.88 (d, *J*=7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (t, *J*=7.8 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 28.3, 55.1, 56.5, 79.6, 112.7, 112.8, 115.4, 119.2, 129.6, 137.8, 142.6, 155.0, 159.8. IR (thin film): 339, 1695, 1489, 1366, 1249, 1167, 1043 cm<sup>-1</sup>. [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> – 60.3 ° (*c* 1.08, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>: C, 68.42; H, 8.04; N, 5.32; found: C, 68.68; H, 7.78; N, 5.28. The experiment was repeated on 0.492 mmol scale using the catalyst derived from (*R*,*R*,*R*)-L2 for 12 h and 114 mg of product (88% yield, 99% ee) was obtained.



*N*-Boc 1-(2-furyl) allylamine 3c. General procedure B was followed on a 0.513 mmol scale, except that the catalyst derived from (*S*,*S*,*S*)-L2 was used, and the reaction was judged complete by GC after 21 h. Chromatogaphy on silica gel (TLC 7:3 hexanes:Et<sub>2</sub>O,  $R_f = 0.59$ ) yielded 73.0 mg of pure branched product (64 % yield) as a clear oil. HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99 : 1, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda = 200$  nm, ~25 °C):  $t_R$  [min] = 8.1 (0.8 %), 10.7 (99.2%), 98% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 1.45 (s, 9H), 4.95 (br s, 1H), 5.21-5.27 (m, 2H), 5.37 (br s, 1H), 5.98 (m, 1H), 6.18 (d, *J*=3 Hz, 1H), 6.31 (dd, *J*=3.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 28.3, 50.6, 79.8, 106.5, 110.2, 116.0, 135.4, 142.2, 153.3, 154.9. IR (thin film): 3323, 1699, 1501, 1367, 1168 cm<sup>-1</sup>. [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> – 71.2 ° (*c* 0.98, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 64.55; H, 7.67; N, 6.27; found: C, 64.47; H, 7.90; N, 6.25. The experiment was repeated using the catalyst derived from (*R*,*R*,*R*)-L2 on a 0.482 mmol scale, and 41.5 mg of product (39% yield, 97% ee) was obtained.



*N*-Boc 1-vinyl prop-2-enylamine 3h. General procedure B was followed on a 0.484 mmol scale, and the reaction was judged complete by GC after 19 h. Chromatogaphy on silica gel (TLC 7:3 hexanes:Et<sub>2</sub>O, R<sub>f</sub> = 0.54) yielded 73.5 mg of pure branched product (77 % yield) as a light yellow oil. HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel OD-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99.75 : 0.25, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda$  = 200 nm, ~25 °C): t<sub>R</sub> [min] = 9.2 (2.1 %), 10.5 (97.9%), 96% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.70 (m, 3H), 4.54 (br s, 1H), 4.63 (br s, 1H), 5.11 (dt, *J*=10.4,1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dt, *J*=17.2,1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (dd, *J*=15.3,1.7 Hz, 1H), 5.63 (dqd, *J*=15.4,6.5,1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.81 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 17.7, 28.4, 54.0, 79.4, 114.7, 127.1, 130.0, 138.0, 155.1. IR (thin film): 3318, 1699, 1695, 1505, 1173 cm<sup>-1</sup>. [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> + 28.1 ° (*c* 0.81, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 66.97; H, 9.71; N, 7.10; found: C, 66.88; H, 9.87; N, 6.94. The experiment was repeated using the catalyst derived from (*S*,*S*,*S*)-L2 on a 0.489 mmol scale, and 76.8 mg of product (80% yield, 94% ee) was obtained.



*N*-Boc 1-heptyl allylamine 3b. General procedure B was followed on a 0.503 mmol scale, and the reaction was judged complete by GC after 5 h. Chromatogaphy on silica gel (97.5:2.5 EtOAc:hexanes) yielded 104 mg of pure branched product (76 % yield) as an oil. HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel AS-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99.9 : 0.1, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda$  = 210 nm, ~25 °C): t<sub>R</sub> [min] = 9.3 (0.7%), 10.9 (99.3%), 99% ee.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)  $\delta$  0.87 (t, *J*=7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.21-1.34 (m, 10 H), 1.41-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 4.08 (br s, 1H), 4.43 (br s, 1H), 5.07 (d, *J*=10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (d, *J*=17.3 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$  14.1, 22.6, 25.7, 28.4, 29.2, 29.4, 31.8, 35.2, 52.7, 79.2, 114.1, 139.2, 155.4. IR (thin film): 3337, 2928, 1694, 1519, 1365, 1173 cm<sup>-1</sup>. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> + 12.0 ° (*c* 1.02, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 70.54; H, 11.45; N, 5.48; found: C, 70.80; H, 11.72; N, 5.62. The experiment was repeated using the catalyst derived from (*S*,*S*,*S*)-**L2** on a 0.488 mmol scale, and 74.0 mg of product (59% yield, 94% ee) was obtained.

**1-(Benzyloxy)-2-(Boc-amino)but-3-ene 3i.**<sup>15</sup> General procedure B was followed on 0.489 mmol scale, and the reaction was judged complete by GC after 21 h. Chromatography on silica gel (85:15 to 80:20 pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O) yielded 77.4 mg of pure branched product (57 % yield) as an oil. HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel AD-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99 : 1, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda$  = 200 nm, ~25 °C): t<sub>R</sub> [min] = 14.5 (1.25%), 16.7 (98.75%), 98% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 1.45 (s, 9H), 3.50-3.57 (m, 2H), 4.32 (br s, 1H), 4.51 (d, *J*=11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, *J*=12 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (br s, 1H), 5.17 (dt, *J*=10.3,1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dt, *J*=17.2,1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.86 (ddd, *J*=17.2,10.5,5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.27-7.37 (m, 5H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 28.4, 52.5, 72.1, 73.2, 79.4, 155.6, 127.6, 127.7, 128.4, 136.4, 137.9, 155.4. IR (thin film): 3437, 3333, 2976, 1716, 1495, 1365, 1248, 1169, 1104, 735, 697 cm<sup>-1</sup>. [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> +30.9 ° (*c* 0.62, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>: C, 69.29; H, 8.36; N, 5.05; found: C, 69.07; H, 8.09; N, 4.94. The experiment was repeated on 0.489 mmol scale using the catalyst derived from (*S*,*S*,*S*)-L2 and 87.8 mg of product (65% yield, 97% ee) was obtained.



*N*-Boc 1-cyclohexyl allylamine 3g.<sup>15</sup> General procedure C was followed on a 0.491 mmol scale, and the reaction was judged complete by GC after 48 h. Chromatogaphy on silica gel (TLC 9:1 hexanes:EtOAc,  $R_{f(branch)} = 0.31$ ,  $R_{f(linear)} = 0.25$ ; column in 9:1 pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O) yielded 31.0 mg of pure branched product (26 % yield) as a cystalline solid. HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel OD-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99.75 : 0.25, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda = 200$  nm, ~25 °C):  $t_R$  [min] = 7.9 (0.6%), 9.3 (99.4%), 99% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 0.93-1.25 (series of m, 5 H), 1.35-1.45 (m, 1H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.63-1.76 (series of m, 5H), 3.96 (br s, 1H), 4.52 (br s, 1H), 5.09-5.14 (m, 2H), 5.72 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 26.1, 26.2, 26.3, 28.4, 28.6, 29.3, 42.3, 57.5, 79.1, 114.9, 137.5, 155.5. IR (thin film): 3360, 2916, 1681, 1526 cm<sup>-1</sup>. [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> + 36.0 ° (*c* 0.65, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: *C*, 70.25; H, 10.53; N, 5.85; found: C,

70.32; H, 10.81; N, 5.73. The experiment was repeated using 8 mol% of the catalyst derived from (*S*,*S*,*S*)-**L2** and 10 mol%  $K_3PO_4$  on a 0.500 mmol scale for 72 h, and 31.0 mg of product (25% yield, 99% ee) was obtained.



(*R*)-*N*-Cbz 1-phenyl allylamine 4.<sup>11,16,17</sup> General procedure C was followed on 0.512 mmol scale, and the reaction was judged complete by GC at 9 h. Chromatogaphy on silica gel (TLC 9:1 hexanes:EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.17$ ) yielded 103 mg of pure branched product as a white solid (76 % yield). HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel OD-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99 : 1, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda = 210$  nm, ~25 °C):  $t_R$  [min] = 22.5 (1.4%), 31.5 (98.6%), 97% ee. Correlation with the reported order of elution on an OD-H column showed the product to possess the (*R*) configuration.<sup>17</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)  $\delta$  5.09-5.15 (m, 3H), 5.22-5.26 (m, 2H), 5.37 (br s, 1H), 6.01 (m, 1H), 7.27-7.36 (m, 10 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 151 MHz)  $\delta$  57.0, 66.9, 82.5, 115.8, 127.0, 127.7, 128.1, 128.5, 128.7, 136.3, 137.5, 140.5, 155.5. IR (thin film): 3323, 1687, 1538, 1245, 698 cm<sup>-1</sup>. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> + 52.9 ° (*c* 1.04, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 76.38; H, 6.41; N, 5.24; found: C, 76.48; H, 6.61; N, 5.18. The experiment was repeated on a 0.495 mmol scale at room temperature for 24 h, and 94 mg of product (71% yield, 97% ee) was obtained.



*N*-Troc 1-phenyl allylamine 5. General procedure D was followed on a 0.482 mmol scale, and the reaction was judged complete by GC at 10 h. Chromatogaphy on silica gel (9:1 pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O) yielded 124 mg of pure branched product (83 % yield) as an oil. HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel OD-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99 : 1, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda$  = 200 nm, ~25 °C): t<sub>R</sub> [min] = 15.9 (97.6%), 30.1 (2.4%), 95% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 4.71 (d, *J*=12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, *J*=12.1 Hz, 1H), 5.26-5.32 (overlapping m, 3H), 5.38 (m, 1H), 6.03 (m, 1H), 7.29-7.32 (m, 3H), 7.36-7.39 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 57.3, 74.6, 95.5, 116.2, 127.1, 127.9, 128.8, 136.9, 139.9, 153.7. IR (thin film): 3326, 1719, 1517, 1232, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> + 54.2 ° (*c* 1.02, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 46.71; H, 3.92; N, 4.54; found: C, 46.86; H, 3.85; N, 4.46. The experiment was repeated on a 0.492 mmol scale using the catalyst derived from (*S*,*S*,*S*)-L2 for 12 h, and 116 mg of product (77% yield, 95% ee) was obtained.

*N*-Teoc 1-phenyl allylamine 6. General procedure C was followed on 0.503 mmol scale, and the reaction was judged complete by GC at 10 h. Chromatogaphy on silica gel (TLC hexanes:Et<sub>2</sub>O, R<sub>f</sub> = 0.4) yielded 107 mg of pure branched product (76 % yield) as an oil. HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2-propanol = 99 : 1, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda$  = 210 nm, ~25 °C): t<sub>R</sub> [min] = 15.1 (99.1%), 18.4 (0.9%), 98% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 0.03 (s, 9H), 0.98 (dd, *J*=9.8,7.3 Hz, 2H), 4.12-4.21 (m, 2H), 4.95 (br s, 1H), 5.21-5.24 (m, 2H), 5.34 (br s, 1H), 6.00 (m, 1H), 7.27-7.30 (m, 3H), 7.33-7.37 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ -1.5, 17.7, 56.9, 63.3, 115.7, 127.0, 127.6, 128.7, 137.7, 140.8, 156.2. IR (thin film): 3314, 1685, 1534, 1247, 736 cm<sup>-1</sup>. [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> + 63.0 ° (*c* 0.98, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Si: C, 64.94; H, 8.36; N, 4.76; found: C, 65.17; H, 8.13; N, 4.78. The experiment was repeated on 0.500 mmol scale using the catalyst derived from (*S*,*S*,*S*)-L2, and 96.7 mg of product (70% yield, 99% ee) was obtained.



*N*-Fmoc 1-phenyl allylamine 7. General procedure C was followed on a 0.503 mmol scale with an extra 0.5 mL of THF added, and the reaction was judged complete by GC and TLC after 21 h. Chromatogaphy on silica gel (dry-load on SiO<sub>2</sub> with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, elute with 85:15 pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O) yielded 103 mg of pure branched product (57 % yield) as a white solid. SFC analysis (Daicel Chiralcel OD (0.46 cm x 25 cm), 15% MeOH in scCO<sub>2</sub>, v = 3.0 mL/min,  $\lambda$  = 220 nm, ~25 °C): t<sub>R</sub> [min] = 9.3 (97.9%), 12.5 (2.1%), 96% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 4.23 (t, *J*=6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (m, 2H), 5.15 (br s, 1H), 5.22-5.27 (m, 2H), 5.38 (br s, 1H), 6.03 (m, 1H), 7.29-7.43 (m, 9H), 7.60 (d, *J*=5.9 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (d, *J*=7.5 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 47.2, 57.0, 66.6, 115.9, 119.9, 125.0, 127.00, 127.04, 127.6, 127.7, 128.7, 137.4, 140.5, 141.3, 143.8, 155.5. IR (thin film): 3326, 1719, 1232, 1107, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 81.10; H, 5.96; N, 3.94; found: C, 80.95; H, 5.72; N, 3.80. [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> + 41.0 ° (*c* 0.60, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). The experiment was repeated on a 0.508 mmol scale using the catalyst derived from (*S*,*S*,*S*)-L2 with 20 mol% K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> for 60 h, and 103 mg of product (57% yield, 93% ee) was obtained.



*N***-1-phenylallyl 2-oxazolidinone 8.** General procedure D was followed on a 0.508 mmol scale, and the reaction was judged complete by GC after 10 h. Chromatogaphy on

silica gel (1:1 pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O to 100% Et<sub>2</sub>O) yielded 78.5 mg of pure branched product (76 % yield) as an oil. HPLC (Daicel Chiralcel OD-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), hexanes/2propanol = 97 : 3, v = 1.0 mL/min,  $\lambda$  = 220 nm, ~25 °C): t<sub>R</sub> [min] = 34.0 (0.5%), 99.5 (99.5%), 99% ee. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)  $\delta$  3.16 (m, 1H), 3.51 (m, 1H), 4.25 (m, 1H), 4.32 (m, 1H), 5.33 (dt, *J*=17.2,1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (dt, *J*=10.6,1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.63 (d, *J*=5.6 Hz, 1H), 6.08 (ddd, *J*=17.0,10.4,5.6 Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.32 (m, 3H), 7.35-7.38 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$  40.8, 58.6, 62.0, 118.3, 127.8, 128.0, 128.7, 133.4, 137.4, 158.1. IR (thin film): 1740, 1419, 1250, 712 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 70.92; H, 6.45; N, 6.89; found: C, 70.68; H, 6.35; N, 6.78. The experiment was repeated on a 0.500 mmol scale using the catalyst derived from (*S*,*S*,*S*)-**L2**, and 68.9 mg of product (68% yield, 99% ee) was obtained. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>28</sup> – 115.8° (*c* 1.11, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

#### **Optimization Table S1.** Survey of weak bases.



<sup>*a*</sup> General conditions: 0.5 mmol allylic carbonate, 1.0 mmol BocNH<sub>2</sub>, 1.5 mmol base, pre-activated catalyst (5 mol%, activated according to the literature method<sup>18</sup>), in THF at 50 °C. <sup>*b*</sup> Yields determined by GC vs. dodecane as internal standard of branched product. <sup>*c*</sup> Branched: linear ratios of products were determined by GC and are reported corrected for the difference in response factors. <sup>*d*</sup> Reaction run in toluene at rt. <sup>*c*</sup> Reaction run with 4 mol% catalyst.

#### Optimization Table S2. Base stoichiometry and solvent screen.





84:16 94:6 86:14 93:7 86:14 7 0.5 THE 1d 24 72 Ph 94:6 8 0.5 heptyl THF 1d 24 67 85:15 9 THF 22 68 85:15 0 heptvl 1d  $10^{c,d}$ 0 Ph THF 1d 24 95 93:7  $11^{c,d}$ 7.5 72 0 heptyl THF 1d 84:16  $12^{c,d}$ dioxane 0 heptyl 1d 5 74 86:14  $13^{c,d}$ 5 75 85:15 0 heptyl DME 1d  $14^{c,d}$ 0 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1d 5 56 87:13 heptvl  $15^{c,d}$ 0 heptyl ether 1d 5 81 85:15

<sup>*a*</sup> Reactions using catalyst **1b** were conducted as in Table 1, reactions using catalysts **1c** and **1d** were conducted as in Table 1 except that no  $[Ir(cod)Cl]_2$  was used. <sup>*b*</sup> Branched:linear (b:l) ratios were determined by GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture and are *uncorrected* (see methods section). Yield is of branched product as determined by GC and/or NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture vs. internal standards. <sup>*c*</sup> 1.4 Equiv of BocNH<sub>2</sub> were used. <sup>*d*</sup> Reaction was conducted at 30 °C.

1d

5

83

87:13

## Procedure for Palladium-Catalyzed Allylation of tert-Butyl Carbamate with tert-

ether

16<sup>c</sup>

0

heptyl

**Butyl Cinnamyl Carbonate.** In an nitrogen-filled glove box,  $[(\eta^3-C_3H_5)PdCl]_2$  (7.32 mg, 0.020 mmol) and Xantphos (23.1 mg, 0.040 mmol) were added to a 1-dram vial, followed by *tert*-butyl carbamate (70.3 mg, 0.600 mmol) and K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (127 mg, 0.600 mmol). A small stirbar and 1.0 mL of THF were added. This mixture was stirred briefly to dissolve all of the catalyst. Finally, the *tert*-butyl cinnamyl carbonate (117 mg, 0.499 mmol) was transferred to the vial by pipette. The vial was sealed with a cap containing a PTFE-faced septum, removed from the glove box, and stirred at room temperature for 15 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed a linear to branched ratio of >99:1. Chromatogaphy on silica gel (3:1 pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O) yielded 108 mg of pure linear product **9** (93 % yield) as an oil. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra matched those previously reported.<sup>19</sup>

**Procedure for Palladium-Catalyzed Allylation of** *tert***-Butyl Carbamate with** *tert***-butyl cyclohexenyl carbonate.** In an nitrogen filled glove box,  $[(\eta^3-C_3H_5)PdCl]_2$  (3.66 mg, 0.010 mmol) and Trost's ligand (15.8 mg, 0.020 mmol) were added to a 1-dram vial, followed by *tert*-butyl carbamate (70.3 mg, 0.600 mmol) and K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (106 mg, 0.499 mmol). A small stirbar and 1.0 mL of THF were added. This mixture was stirred briefly to dissolve all of the catalyst. Finally, the *tert*-butyl cyclohexenyl carbonate (99.1 mg, 0.500 mmol) was transferred to the vial by pipette. The vial was sealed with a cap containing a PTFE-faced septum, removed from the glove box, and stirred at room temperature for 15 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the crude product mixture showed only starting materials.

#### References

- (1) Blanchette, M. A.; Choy, W.; Davis, J. T.; Essenfeld, A. P.; Masamune,
- S.; Roush, W. R.; Sakai, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2183-2186.
  - (2) Crabtree, R. H.; Quirk, J. M.; Felkin, H.; Fillebeen-khan, T. Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem. 1982, 12, 407 - 413.
  - (3) Alexakis, A.; Rosset, S.; Allamand, J.; March, S.; Guillen, F.; Benhaim, C. Synlett 2001, 1375-1378.
  - (4) Reddy, K. L.; Dress, K. R.; Sharpless, K. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 3667-3670.
  - (5) Houlihan, F.; Bouchard, F.; Fréchet, J. M. J.; Willson, C. G. *Can. J. Chem.* **1985**, *63*, 153-162.
  - (6) Basel, Y.; Hassner, A. Synthesis 2001, 550-552.
  - (7) Trost, B. M.; Fraisse, P. L.; Ball, Z. T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1059-1061.
  - (8) Bachand, C.; Driguez, H.; Paton, J. M.; Touchard, D.; Lessard, J. J. Org. *Chem.* **1974**, *39*, 3136-3138.
  - (9) Stanley, L. M.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, in press.
  - (10) Alcón, M.; Canas, M.; Poch, M.; Moyano, A.; Pericas, M. A.; Riera, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 1589-1592.
  - (11) Kurose, N.; Takahashi, T.; Koizumi, T. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, *61*, 2932-2933.
  - (12) Mecozzi, T.; Petrini, M. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 8970-8972.
  - Lindsley, S. R.; Moore, K. P.; Rajapakse, H. A.; Selnick, H. G.; Young, M. B.; Zhu, H.; Munshi, S.; Kuo, L.; McGaughey, G. B.; Colussi, D.; Crouthamel, M.-C.; Lai, M.-T.; Pietrak, B.; Price, E. A.; Sankaranarayanan, S.; Simon, A. J.; Seabrook, G. R.; Hazuda, D. J.; Pudvah, N. T.; Hochman, J. H.; Graham, S. L.; Vacca, J. P.; Nantermet, P. G. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2007, *17*, 4057-4061.
  - (14) Atobe, M.; Yamazaki, N.; Kibayashi, C. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 5595-5607.
  - (15) Luly, J. R.; Dellaria, J. F.; Plattner, J. J.; Soderquist, J. L.; Yi, N. J. Org. Chem. **1987**, *52*, 1487-1492.
  - (16) Singh, O. V.; Han, H. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4801-4804.
  - (17) Singh, O. V.; Han, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 774-775.
  - (18) Leitner, A.; Shu, C.; Hartwig, J. F. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1093-1096.
  - (19) Connell, R. D.; Rein, T.; Aakermark, B.; Helquist, P. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 3845-3849.



















































f

















2h





























		119.299 119.299 113.768 111.818		82.258		55.201	-27.774	S-34	
	MeC	2j	⊘ОВо	C					
			чы, май рожины багаа маартан бараар бараар 		(1), (Up), (		nhumun um nhumun um 30	20	







































































