Supporting Information

Tanaka et al. 10.1073/pnas.0907572106

SI Materials and Methods

Preparation of HIV-1_{NL4-3} gp120 and HIV-1_{SF162} gp120 from Cultured Cells. HIV-1_{NL4-3} gp120 was obtained from HeLa/T-env/Tat cells (1) that constitutively express HIV- 1_{NL4-3} envelope protein. Cells were cultured in RPMI medium supplemented with 3% FBS and 100 µg/mL kanamycin sulfate (Meiji Seika). The culture supernatant (10 L) was concentrated using a 50-kDa cut-off ultrafiltration module (Microza UF AHP-1010; Asahi Kasei) until reaching 1/10th volume. The concentrated supernatant was loaded onto a DEAE Sephadex A-50 (Amersham Biosciences) open column equilibrated with 20 mM Tris·HCl (pH 8.0) containing 100 mM NaCl. The gp120 was recovered in the flow-through fraction and brought to 20% saturation in ammonium sulfate by addition of solid ammonium sulfate. The fraction was then filtered with a glass microfiber filter (Whatman International), and the filtrate was applied to a column (30 mm i.d. \times 150 mm) packed with Phenyl-toyopearl 650M (Tosoh) that was equilibrated with 20% ammonium sulfate buffer (20 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.5/20% saturating ammonium sulfate). The column was then washed with 10% ammonium sulfate buffer and eluted with 5% ammonium sulfate buffer. The gp120-containing fractions were pooled and loaded onto a Galanthus nivalis lectin-agarose (Vector Laboratories) column equilibrated with 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, in PBS. Bound gp120 was eluted with 500 mM methylmannose pyranoside (Sigma) in equilibration buffer. The fractions containing gp120 were collected, concentrated using an Amicon YM-30 membrane (Millipore), and stored at -80 °C. HIV-1_{SF162} gp120 was obtained from HeLa/M-env cells (1) that continuously express HIV-1_{SF162} envelope protein, as described above for preparation of HIV-1_{NL4-3} gp120. gp120 was detected after SDS/PAGE by Western blotting using anti-HIV-1_{IIIB}gp120 polyclonal antibody (EM) as a primary antibody and rabbit anti-goat IgG-h 1 alkaline phosphatase (Bethyl) as a secondary antibody. Both gp120 preparations gave a single band.

- Chiba H, et al. (2001) A simple screening system for anti-HIV drugs: Syncytium formation assay using T-cell line tropic and macrophage tropic HIV env expressing cell lines—establishment and validation. J Antibiot 54:818–826.
- Otwinowski Z, Minor W (1997) Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. *Methods Enzymol* 276:307–326.
- Burla MC, et al. (2007) IL MILIONE. a suite of computer programs for crystal structure solution of proteins. J Appl Crystallogr 40:609–613.
- Lamzin VS. Wilson KS (1993) Automated refinement of protein models. Acta Crystallogr D 49:129–147.
- Murshudov GN, Vagin AA, Dodson EJ (1997) Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. Acta Crystallogr D 53:240–255.

Crystallization of Actinohivin (AH). Crystallization conditions were surveyed by the hanging-drop vapor-diffusion method at 293 K. Protein droplets prepared by mixing 2 μ L of 10 mg mL⁻¹ protein in 20 mM sodium citrate buffer solution at pH 5.6 and 1 μ L of reservoir solution were equilibrated to 700 μ L of reservoir solution. Many kinds of reservoir solutions were prepared by Hampton Research and Emerald BioSystems. Single crystals of AH appeared when the reservoir solution contained 35% 2-ethoxyethanol in 0.1 M sodium citrate buffer (pH 5.5).

X-Ray Data Collection. Crystals with suitable size and shape were soaked in the reservoir solution containing 2 mM K₂[Pt(CN)₄], and some of them were mounted in nylon loops and then flash-cooled in a nitrogen gas stream at 100 K. X-Ray diffraction patterns were taken at 100 K using a Quantum 315 CCD detector (Area Detector Systems) with synchrotron radiation ($\lambda = 1.07$ Å at BL-5A of PF in Tsukuba, Japan), and processed using the program HKL2000 (2) at 1.19-Å resolution. Statistics of data collection are summarized in Table S1. The crystal data suggests that there are two independent protein molecules in the asymmetric unit of the crystal.

Structure Determination and Refinement. The crystal structure was initially determined using the programs II Milione (3) and ARP/wARP (4) in the CCP4 program suite (5). The structure thus constructed was then subjected to maximum-likelihood refinements with the program REFMAC (6) in CCP4, followed by manual modifications of the structure with the program XtalView (7) and Coot (8). Six sodium ions and 317 water molecules assigned using the program ARP/wARP were included in the subsequent structure refinements. The stereochemistry of the two protein structures were verified using the program PROCHECK (9). Refinement statistics are summarized in Table S1. The atomic coordinates have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (ID code 3A07).

- Collaborative Computational Project 4 (1994) The CCP4 suite: Programs for protein crystallography. Acta Crystallogr D 50:760–763.
- McRee DE (1992) A visual protein crystallographic software system for X11/XView. J Mol Graphics 10:44–46.
- Emsley P, Cowtan K (2004) Coot: Model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr D 60:2126–2132.
- Laskowski RA MacArthur MW, Moss DS, Thornton JM (1993) PROCHECK: A program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. J Appl Crystallogr 26:283–291.
- 10. Brünger AT (1992) Free R value: A novel statistical quantity for assessing the accuracy of crystal structures. *Nature* 355:472–475.



Fig. S1. Comparison between AH and cyanovirin (CV)-N in binding to various glycoproteins by ELISA.

Table S1. Statistics of data collection and structure refinement

PNAS PNAS

Data collection		Structure refinement	
X-ray source	PF BL-5A	Resolution, Å	27.3–1.2
Wavelength, Å	1.07	Used reflections	67,142
Resolution, Å	27.30–1.19	R-factor, % [‡]	14.9
Observed reflections	580,437	<i>R</i> -free, % [§]	16.3
Unique reflections	69,476	No. of protein atoms	1,823
Completeness, %	97.2 (84.3)	No. of ions	6Na ⁺
R _{merge} , %*	8.9 (29.4)	No. of water molecules	317
l/σ l	33.6 (2.7)	rmsd	
Space group	C222 ₁	Bond lengths, Å	0.029
Unit cell <i>a</i> , Å	47.3	Bond angles, °	2.2
b, Å	51.6	Between proteins, Å	0.50
c, Å	175.3	Ramachandran plot, %	
Z [†]	2	Most favored regions	95.3
V _M	2.14	Add. allowed regions	4.7

Values in parentheses are for the highest-resolution shell between 1.23 and 1.19 Å.

* $R_{\text{merge}} = 100 \times \Sigma_{\text{hj}} |I_{\text{hj}} - \langle I_{\text{h}} \rangle | \Sigma_j \langle I_{\text{hj}} \rangle$, where I_{hj} is the *j*th measurement of the intensity of reflection h and $\langle I_{\text{h}} \rangle$ is its mean value. [†]Number of subunits in the asymmetric unit.

⁴*R* factor = $100 \times \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c|| \Sigma ||F_0|$, where $|F_0|$ and $|F_c|$ are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes, respectively. [§]Calculated using a random set containing 5% of the observations that were not included throughout refinement (10).