Ras-Related GTPases and the Cytoskeleton Alan Hall

Chester Beatty Laboratories, Institute of Cancer Research, London SW3 6JB, United Kingdom

GTPases or GTP-binding proteins are turning out to regulate a surprisingly wide spectrum of biological processes. Peptide elongation in protein synthesis and signal transduction across plasma membranes (by EF-Tu and G proteins) are already well-known examples, but the emergence of new kinds of GTPases is creating fresh interest in unexpected places. In particular, the small GTP-binding proteins related to p21ras have been the subject of much discussion because they can regulate apparently unrelated aspects of cell biology such as growth, differentiation, and vesicle trafficking. Here I would like to focus on another recently attributed function of these small GTPases: the organization of the actin cytoskeleton.

Polymerized actin is spatially organized in all eukaryote cells into a variety of structures, such as cytoplasmic stress fibers or cables, the cortical actin network at the plasma membrane, surface protrusions (e.g., lamellipodia or microspikes), or the contractile ring formed during cell division. The roles of these actin networks are diverse. In addition to maintaining cell shape, they can be used to define cell polarity and to drive cell movement and cell division, and it should come as no surprise that actin polymerization has to be precisely controlled. The balance between monomeric and polymerized actin is known to be influenced by a large number of accessory actin-binding proteins (for review see Stossel [1989] and references therein), but recent work in yeast and in mammalian cells has revealed that the spatial organization of polymerized actin is controlled by ras-related GTP-binding proteins.

YEAST

Saccharomyces cerevisiae, despite its apparent morphological simplicity, has at least three types of polymerized actin. These are distributed asymmetrically according to the polarity of the cell, which is itself determined by the site at which the daughter cell buds from the mother during cell division—the bud site (for review see Drubin [1991]). Actin cables are found along the axis of the mother cell, actin patches are found on the surface of the bud, and a ring of actin spots forms a collar around the neck of the emerging bud. The bud site, therefore, determines the cell's polarity and the organization and distribution of the actin cytoskeleton.

Cell Polarity Genes

Genetic analysis of bud site and polarity determinants has revealed some interesting observations that are likely to have wider implications for morphogenesis and the control of actin organization in higher organisms. Five genes, BUD 1–5, have been identified that are required for correct positioning of the bud but not for bud assembly (Bender and Pringle, 1989; Chant and Herskowitz, 1991; Chant et al., 1991). Mutations in BUD 1, 2, or 5, for example, lead to random budding patterns in all cell types. Mutations in BUD 3 and 4 have more subtle effects; in **a** and α cells the normal axial pattern of bud formation is replaced with a bipolar pattern, whereas in \mathbf{a}/α cells the mutations are silent. Another five genes that are necessary for the assembly of the bud have been identified: CDC24, CDC42, CDC43, and BEM 1 and 2 (Adams et al., 1990; Johnson and Pringle, 1990; Bender and Pringle, 1991; Chant et al., 1991). Mutations in these genes block budding and lead to uniform cell surface growth and a disorganized actin cytoskeleton.

How do these genes function? It is much too early to tell in any detail, but sequence analysis has been very revealing. The bud pattern gene, BUD1 (previously called RSR1) encodes a small GTP-binding protein (Bender and Pringle, 1989). It is a close relative of ras with 60% amino acid identity and appears to be the yeast homologue of mammalian rap1. BUD5 is a member of a growing family of proteins with homology to CDC25, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for RAS, and it seems likely that BUD5 is a nucleotide exchange factor for BUD1 (Chant et al., 1991; Powers et al., 1991). The bud assembly gene, CDC42, also encodes a small GTP-binding protein. It has \sim 30% amino acid identity to p21ras and belongs to the rho-subfamily of ras-related proteins (Hall, 1990; Johnson and Pringle, 1990). CDC43 has been shown to encode a subunit of the geranylgeranyl transferase essential for correct posttranslational modification of CDC42 (Finegold et al., 1991) whereas for CDC24 there is some indirect evidence that it might affect guanine nucleotide levels on CDC42 (Hart et al., 1991).

This genetic analysis provides the bare bones of a model for cell polarity in yeast. BUD1 in its active GTP form determines the site of bud assembly, perhaps by recognizing a structure in the plasma membrane preserved from the previous cell cycle (e.g., the bud scar). BUD5 appears to be an activator of BUD1, and its activity could in turn be linked to signals in late G1 of the cell cycle. More analysis will be required to determine if the other BUD gene products also control BUD1 activity or whether they encode structural components required for defining the new bud site. There is genetic evidence that CDC24 can interact with both BUD1 and CDC42, and perhaps this may provide a link between bud site selection and bud assembly (Chant et al., 1991). In any event, CDC42 in its active GTP-bound form associates with the bud site and is able to promote the assembly of components required for bud formation. This is likely to include the chitin synthetase responsible for chitin deposition at the bud neck, as well as proteins that act as a nucleation site for the polymerization of actin into one or more of the organized structures described earlier.

Surprisingly, no GTPase activating protein (GAP) has yet turned up in these genetic analyses. Two GAPs for RAS, IRA1, and IRA2 have been identified in yeast, and in addition to regulating RAS activity, there is now evidence to suggest that they play a more active role in the RAS/adenylate cyclase signaling complex (Tanaka et al., 1990; Mitts et al., 1991). Similarly there is some evidence that in mammalian cells GAP is part of the signaling complex regulated by p21ras (Hall, 1990). It seems highly likely, therefore, that GAPs will play a crucial role in the regulation of bud site selection and bud assembly. A rapGAP active on rap1, the mammalian homologue of BUD1, has been cloned from a human brain cDNA library, but it is not yet known if there is a homologous sequence in yeast (Rubinfield et al., 1991). We have identified three GAP proteins in mammalian cells that are active on the CDC42 homologue, G25K/CDC42Hs (unpublished data; and Hart et al., 1991): 1) rhoGAP, first identified as a GAP for p21rho and also active on p21rac; 2) bcr, the product of the breakpoint cluster region gene, first shown to be a GAP for p21rac but not for p21rho; and 3) n-chimerin, a bcr-related protein also identified as a GAP for p21rac but not p21rho (Diekmann et al., 1991). Each of these proteins has a catalytic domain with $\sim 30\%$ amino acid identity to each other. It will be interesting to see if any bcr homologies turn up in the yeast polarity genes.

Another family of proteins identified in mammalian cells that can interact with small GTPases are the GDPdissociation inhibitors (GDIs). In particular, a GDI specific for the rho-subfamily has been identified and cloned (Fukumoto *et al.*, 1990). This protein has the remarkable ability to extract rho-related proteins from membranes but only when they are in the GDP form, leading to the suggestion that rho-like proteins may cycle between the plasma membrane and the cytosol (Isamura *et al.*, 1990). This has important implications for the mechanism of action of the rho-like proteins including CDC42, although so far GDIs have not been reported in yeast.

Actin Polymerization Genes

The identification of loci involved in linking bud assembly to actin polymerization is less advanced. One possible candidate is an actin-binding protein, ABP1, normally localized to cortical actin but, which when overexpressed, leads to delocalized cell growth (Drubin *et al.*, 1990). A second candidate is BEM1, which has been shown to interact functionally with BUD5, and like CDC42, is essential for bud formation (Chant *et al.*, 1991). It has no sequence homology to suggest it is a regulator of CDC42 activity but instead has two SH3 domains (Chenevert *et al.*, 1992). This sequence motif was first identified in src-related tyrosine kinases, and although its function is not at all clear, it appears to be restricted to proteins that can associate with cortical actin (Koch *et al.*, 1991).

Another protein that has been linked to cell polarity and the organization of polymerized actin is the cyclase associated protein (CAP). Deletion of the C-terminal domain of CAP results in random budding and abnormal actin distribution (Gerst et al., 1991). One clue to why this might happen comes from the observation that overexpression of profilin, an actin and polyphosphoinositide-binding protein, can partially overcome these effects (Vojtek et al., 1991). Unfortunately as with the polarity genes, there is yet no biochemical analysis to accompany the genetics, and the chain of protein interactions from CAP to actin polymerization, perhaps via profilin, is not yet clear (Goldschmidt-Clermont and Janmey, 1991). The original interest in CAP, however, stemmed from the observation that its N-terminal domain is absolutely required for the regulation of adenylate cyclase activity by RAS (Gerst et al., 1991). It has been suggested by Vojtek et al. that CAP may provide a link between the organization of the actin cytoskeleton and cell growth, and a search for a mammalian homologue of this domain of CAP is currently underway.

MAMMALIAN CELLS

Compared with yeast, the actin cytoskeleton of mammalian cells is much more complicated, and its precise architecture varies between cell types. Recent work, however, has provided evidence that actin organization in mammalian cells is also controlled by members of the rho-subfamily of small GTPases.

p21rho

The first suggestion that p21rho might be involved in regulating actin polymerization came from studies with a bacterial mono-ADP ribosyltransferase, C3 transferase, isolated from *Clostridium botulinum*. Introduction of this enzyme into a wide variety of cell types induces complete loss of actin stress fibers (Chardin *et al.*, 1989; Paterson *et al.*, 1990). It has subsequently been shown that C3 transferase mono-ADP ribosylates p21rho at

asparagine 41 and inactivates the protein (Sekine et al., 1989; Paterson et al., 1990). A complementary approach to investigate rho function taken by our laboratory was to micro-inject recombinant p21rho proteins directly into living cells. We found that this produced a dramatic increase in the number of actin stress fibers, leading us to propose that activation of p21rho stimulates the formation of stress fibers and that inactivation of p21rho (by the C3 transferase) leads to their disassembly (Paterson et al., 1990). Stress fibers are dynamic structures that are influenced by a variety of signals and growth conditions. Changes in their spatial organization are often associated with cell movement, and when C3 transferase is introduced into neutrophils, the ability of these cells to respond to chemotactic agents is completely lost, presumably because of inactivation of endogenous rho protein (Stasia et al., 1991).

How does rho regulate actin polymerization specifically to produce stress fibers? A more detailed analysis of rho-induced effects in cells has provided a clue. We have observed that after microinjection of rho and concomitant with stress fiber formation, the number of adhesion plaques dramatically increases (Ridley, Paterson, and Hall, unpublished data). These are clusters of integrin receptors and associated proteins, including vinculin, talin, and α -actinin, which form at points of attachment to the extracellular matrix and serve as a focal point for the formation of actin stress fibers. One obvious role of p21rho would be that of a structural component of the adhesion plaque, but this does not seem to be the case; more likely rho regulates its formation.

There are some tantalizing similarities here with other GTP-binding proteins. In particular, CDC42 is involved in regulating a similar organizational problem in yeast, namely, the assembly of bud site components at a discrete membrane site and the subsequent polymerization of actin. A more general mechanistic analogy can be drawn with elongation and initiation factors regulating protein synthesis. The elongation factor EF-Tu from Escherichia coli, e.g., in its GTP form binds soluble aminoacylated tRNA and transports it to the ribosome by transiently forming a ternary complex. A ribosome-induced stimulation of its GTPase activity ensures that EF-Tu.GDP rapidly dissociates, leaving behind tRNA complexed to the ribosome. In these examples the GTPbinding protein regulates the assembly of a multimolecular structure at a particular location within the cell. One possibility for rho, therefore, is that in its GTPform it recognizes a plasma-membrane target, perhaps an integrin receptor or a cluster of receptors, and promotes the assembly of an adhesion plaque complex. This then serves as a nucleation site specifically for the formation of actin stress fibers. Evidence for this model will require a detailed biochemical analysis of rho-induced effects, but in the absence of an *in vitro* functional assay this may not be so easy.

p21CDC42Hs(G25K) and p21rac

It has been shown that yeast *CDC*42 and its mammalian homologue p21CDC42Hs(G25K) are functionally interchangeable, although no biological effects of CDC42Hs in mammalian cells have yet been reported (Munemitsen *et al.*, 1990). Interestingly, a protein capable of inducing malignant transformation of NIH-3T3 fibroblasts, dbl, has homology to CDC24 and has been shown to catalyze the nucleotide exchange rate of CDC42Hs (Hart *et al.*, 1991). It is not yet clear, however, whether transformation of cells by dbl is mediated by activation of the CDC42Hs GTP-binding protein.

Our lab has obtained evidence linking a third member of this rho-subfamily of small GTPases, namely p21rac, to actin. Micro-injection of recombinant rac protein into fibroblasts stimulates the formation of actin filaments at the plasma membrane and induces membrane ruffling and macropinocytosis (Ridley, Paterson, and Hall, unpublished data). The molecular basis of membrane ruffling is not at all clear, although it is known to involve changes in the organization of cortical actin. Ruffling can be induced by a number of growth factors and by oncogenic ras protein, but we have shown that all these agents act through activation of endogenous p21rac. (Ridley, Paterson, and Hall, unpublished data).

Recently a very specialized function for p21rac has been identified in neutrophils and macrophages, and this is likely to provide a major step forward in understanding the general mechanism of action of rho-related GTPases. Stimulation of neutrophils after microbial infection induces phagocytosis of the infecting agent and activation of an NADPH oxidase to produce a potent killing agent, the superoxide radical, O_2^- . Activation of the plasma membrane-bound NADPH oxidase requires cooperation with two cytosolic proteins, p47 and p67 (for review see Morel et al. [1991]). Recent work with the use of an in vitro assay has revealed that p21rac, although not a stable component of this complex, is essential and is involved in promoting the assembly of the plasma-membrane complex incorporating the oxidase enzyme, p47 and p67 (Abo et al., 1991; Knaus et al., 1991). The availability of cloned cDNAs for both subunits of the oxidase, p47/p67 and rac, coupled with the availability of an in vitro assay, provides a unique opportunity for analyzing biochemically the function of this small GTP-binding protein.

SUMMARY

Incorporation of the available data on rac in neutrophils, CDC42 in yeast, and rho in fibroblasts suggests a general model for the function of rho-like GTPases (Figure 1). Conversion of an inactive cytoplasmic rho-related p21GDP/GDI complex to active p21.GTP occurs by inhibition of GAP and/or stimulation of exchange factors in response to cell signals. p21.GTP is then able to interact with its target at the plasma membrane. This could



Figure 1. A model for rho-like GTPases.

result in a conformational change in the target, enabling it to bind cytosolic protein(s). Alternatively, p21.GTP could be actively involved in transporting cytosolic protein(s) to the target. A GAP protein, perhaps intrinsic to the complex, would stimulate GTP hydrolysis allowing p21.GDP to dissociate. Solubilization of p21GDP by interaction with GDI would complete a cycle.

What about the nature of the final complex? The racregulated NADPH oxidase complex in neutrophils is currently the best understood and most amenable to further biochemical analysis. Two plasma-membrane bound subunits encode the catalytic function necessary for producing superoxide, but the two cytosolic proteins, p47 and p67, are essential for activity. Why the complexity? Production of superoxide is tightly coordinated with phagocytosis, a membrane process driven by rearrangement of cortical actin. This is not unrelated to the membrane ruffling and macropinocytosis that we observe in fibroblasts microinjected with p21rac. It is tempting to speculate, therefore, that in neutrophils rac is involved not only in promoting the assembly of the NADPH oxidase but also in the coordinate reorganization of cortical actin leading to phagocytosis. For CDC42 controlled bud assembly in yeast, the components of the plasma-membrane complex are not so clear. By analogy with rac in neutrophils, it seems likely that CDC42 is involved in promoting the assembly of cytosolic components at the bud site on the plasma membrane. These putative cytosolic proteins have not yet been identified, but BEM1 and ABP1 are two possible candidates. The biochemical basis for the stimulation of adhesion plaques and actin stress fibers by p21rho in fibroblasts is also unclear. However, components of the adhesion plaque such as vinculin and talin are known to be cytosolic when not complexed with integrin receptors, and rho could be involved in regulating their assembly into the adhesion plaque.

Several things are still difficult to incorporate into this model. First the target for CDC42, the bud site, although not yet structurally defined requires the activity of another small GTPase, BUD1. Similarly, in activated neutrophils, the NADPH oxidase is found in a complex with rap1, the mammalian homologue of BUD1 (Bo-Koch *et al.*, 1989). It seems likely, therefore, that the target is not simply a plasma-membrane protein but may be a complex of proteins whose formation is under the control of the rap1/BUD1 GTPase.

The other black box in this model is the actin connection: activation of bud assembly by CDC42 is followed by actin polymerization, activation of NADPH oxidase in neutrophils occurs concomitantly with phagocytosis, a cortical actin-dependent process, and p21rho in fibroblasts couples the formation of adhesion plaques to actin stress fibers. One possible link between the GTPase-driven assembly of a plasma-membrane complex and actin polymerization could involve the SH3 domain. Interestingly, both p47 and p67 and yeast ABP1 and BEM1 have SH3 domains. If rho-like GTPases recognize plasma-membrane targets already associated with cortical actin, then this could promote an interaction with a subset of SH3-containing proteins. The result of this would be a GTPase-regulated aggregation of a group of proteins at a single site in the plasma membrane. It is not too difficult to imagine biological processes where such a spatial integration of different biochemical activities would be essential: coupling the assembly of bud components to the formation of actin fibers in yeast; or the activation of NADPH oxidase to phagocytosis in neutrophils; or the assembly of adhesion plaques and the formation of actin stress fibers in fibroblasts are just three examples that have emerged so far.

In conclusion, although rho-like GTPases clearly have distinct roles in different mammalian cell types and in yeast, their underlying mechanism of action appears to be strikingly similar. Whether this will remain so when there are some biochemical data to back up these initial observations, time will tell.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank the Cancer Research Campaign (UK) and the Medical Research Council (UK) for financial support, and Anne Ridley and Chris Marshall for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

Abo, A., Pick, E., Hall, A., Totty, N., Teahan, C., and Segal, A.W. (1991). Activation of NADPH oxidase involves the small GTP-binding protein p21rac. Nature 353, 668–670.

Adams, A.E.M., Johnson, D.I., Longnecker, R.M., Sloat, B.F., and Pringle, J.R. (1990). CDC42 and CDC43, two additional genes involved in budding and the establishment of cell polarity in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Cell. Biol. 111, 131–142.

Bender, A., and Pringle, J.R. (1989). Multicopy suppression of the cdc24 budding defect in yeast by *CDC*42 and three newly identified genes including the ras-related gene *RSR*1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *86*, 9976–9980.

Bender, A., and Pringle, J.R. (1991). Use of a screen for synthetic lethal and multicopy suppressor mutants to identify two new genes involved in morphogenesis in *S. cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. *11*, 1295–1305.

Bokoch, G.M., Quilliam, L.A., Bohl, B.P., Jesaitis, A.J., and Quinn, M.T. (1991). Inhibition of rap1A binding to cytochrome b₅₅₈ of NADPH oxidase by phosphorylation of rap1A. Science 254, 1794–1796.

Chant, J., Corrado, K., Pringle, J.R., and Herskowitz, I. (1991). Yeast *BUD5*, encoding a putative GDP-GTP exchange factor, is necessary for bud site selection and interacts with bud formation gene *BEM1*. Cell *65*, 1213–1224.

Chant, J., and Herskowitz, I. (1991). Genetic control of bud site selection in yeast by a set of gene products that constitute a morphogenetic pathway. Cell 65, 1203–1212.

Chardin, P., Boquet, P., Madaule, P., Popoff, M.R., Rubin, E.J., and Gill, D.M. (1989). The mammalian G protein rhoC is ADP-ribosylated by *Clostridium botulinum* exoenzyme C3 and affects actin microfilaments in vero cells. EMBO J. *8*, 1087–1092.

Chenevert, J., Corrado, K., Bender, A., Pringle, J., and Herskowik, I. (1992). A yeast gene (BEM1) necessary for cell polarization whose product contains two SH3 domains. Nature 356, 77–79.

Diekmann, D., Brill, S., Garrett, M. D., Totty, N., Hsuan, J., Monfries, C., Hall, C., Lin, L., and Hall, A. (1991). Bcr encodes a GTPase activating protein for p21rac. Nature 351, 400–402.

Drubin, D.G. (1991). Development of cell polarity in budding yeast. Cell 65, 1093-1096.

Drubin, D.G., Mulholland, J., Zhu, Z., and Botstein, D. (1990). Homology of yeast actin-binding protein to signal transduction proteins and myosin-1. Nature 343, 288–290.

Finegold, A.A., Johnson, D.I., Farnsworth, C.C., Gelb, M.H., Judd, S.R., Glomset, J.A., and Tamanoi, F. (1991). Protein geranylgeranyltransferase of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is specific for Cys-Xaa-Xaa-Leu motif proteins and requires the *CDC*43 gene product, but not *DPR1*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 88, 4448–4452.

Fukomoto, Y., Kaibuchi, K., Hori, Y., Fujioka, H., Araki, S., Ueda, T., Kikuchi, A., and Takai, Y. (1990). Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel type of regulatory protein (GDI) for the rho proteins, ras, p21-like small GTP-binding proteins. Oncogene *5*, 1321–1328. Gerst, J.E., Ferguson, K., Vojtek, A., Wigler, M., and Field, J. (1991). CAP is a bifunctional component of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* adenyl cyclase complex. Mol. Cell. Biol. *11*, 1248–1257.

Goldschmidt-Clermont, P.J., and Janmey, P.A. (1991). Profilin, a weak CAP for actin and ras. Cell *66*, 419–421.

Hall, A. (1990). ras and GAP—Who's controlling whom? Cell 61, 921–923.

Hall, A. (1990). The cellular functions of small GTP-binding proteins. Science 249, 635–640.

Hart, M.J., Eva, A., Evans, T., Aaronson, S.A., and Cerione, R.A. (1991). Catalysis of guanine nucleotide exchange on CDC42Hs protein by the *dbl* oncogene product. Nature 354, 311–314.

Hart, M.J., Shinjo, K., Hall, A., Evans, T., and Cerione, R.A. (1991). Identification of the human platelet GTPase activating protein (GAP) for the CDC42Hs protein. J. Biol. Chem. 266, 20840–20848.

Isamura, M., Kikuchi, A., Ohga, N., and Takai, Y. (1991). Regulation of binding of rhoB p20 to membranes by its specific regulatory protein, GDP dissociation inhibitor. Oncogene 6, 119–124.

Johnson, D., and Pringle, J. (1990). Molecular characterization of *CDC*42, A *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* gene involved in the development of cell polarity. J. Cell. Biol. *111*, 143–152.

Knaus, V.G., Heyworth, P.G., Evans, T., Curnutte, J.T., and Bokoch, G. (1991). Regulation of phagocyte oxygen radical production by the GTP-binding protein rac-2. Science 254, 1512–1515.

Koch, C.A., Anderson, D., Moran, M.F., Ellis, C., and Pawson, T. (1991). SH2 and SH3 domains: elements that control interactions of cytoplasmic signalling proteins. Science 252, 668–674.

Mitts, M.R., Bradshaw-Rouse, J., and Heideman, W. (1991). Interactions between adenylate cyclase and the yeast GTPase activating protein IRAI. Mol. Cell. Biol. *11*, 4591–4598.

Morel, F., Doussiere, J., and Vignais, P.V. (1991). The superoxidegenerating oxidase of phagocytic cells. Eur. J. Biochem. 201, 523–546.

Munemitsn, S., Innis, M.A., Clark, R., McCormick, F., Ullrich, A., and Polakis, P. (1990). Molecular cloning and expression of G25KcDNa, the human homologue of yeast cell cycle gene CDC42. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10, 5971–5982.

Paterson, H.F., Self, A.J., Garrett, M.D., Just, I., Aktories, K., and Hall, A. (1990). Microinjection of p21rho induces rapid changes in cell morphology. J. Cell. Biol. 111, 1001–1007.

Powers, S., Gonzales, E., Christensen, T., Cubert, J., and Broek, D. (1991). Functional cloning of *BUD5*, a *CDC25*-related gene from *S. cerevisiae* that can suppress a dominant-negative *RAS2* mutant. Cell 65, 1225–1231.

Rubinfield, B., Munemitsu, S., Clark, R., Conroy, L., Watt, K., Crosier, W.J., McCormick, F., and Polakis, P. (1991). Molecular cloning of a GTPase activating protein specific for the Krev-1 protein p21rap1. Cell 65, 1033–1042.

Sekine, A., Fujiwara, M., and Narumiya, S. (1989). Asparagine residue in the *rho* gene product is the modification site for botulinium ADPribosyltransferase. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 8602–8605.

Stasia, M.J., Jouan, A., Bourmeyster, N., Boquet, P., and Vignais, P.V. (1991). ADP-ribosylation of a small GTP-binding protein in bovine neutrophils by the C3-exoenzyme of *Clostridium botulinum* and effect on cell motility. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. *180*, 615–622.

Stossel, T.P. (1989). From signal to pseudopod. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 18261-18264.

Tanaka, K., Nakafuku, M., Satoh, T., Marshall, M.S., Gibbs, J.B., Matsumoto, K., Kaziro, Y., and Toh-e, A. (1990). *S. cerevisiae* genes *IRA1* and *IRA2* encode proteins that may be functionally equivalent to mammalian ras GTPase activating protein. Cell *60*, 803–807.

Vojtek, A., Haarer, B., Field, J., Gerst, J., Pollard, T.D., Brown, S., and Wigler, M. (1991). Evidence for a functional link between profilin and CAP in the yeast *S. cerevisiae*. Cell *66*, 497–505.