

**Table S1.** Density of GLT-1a gold particles in control and ceftriaxone-treated rats

<b>Localization</b>	<b>Controls</b> (particles/ $\mu\text{m}^2$ )	<b>CEF</b> (particles/ $\mu\text{m}^2$ )	<b>Controls vs CEF</b>
Nucleus (background) <sup>o</sup>	0.6 $\pm$ 0.05 (n=12)	0.7 $\pm$ 0.02 (n=14)	
MF terminals*	6.9 $\pm$ 0.96 (n=19)	9.7 $\pm$ 0.89 (n=20)	<i>P</i> =0.04
Plasma membrane <sup>s</sup>	22.2 $\pm$ 3.27	40.8 $\pm$ 7.30	<i>P</i> =0.026
Cytoplasm	6.2 $\pm$ 0.82	10.8 $\pm$ 1.55	<i>P</i> =0.014
Astrocytic processes <sup>+</sup>	23.0 $\pm$ 3.68 (n=38)	54.0 $\pm$ 9.39 (n=37)	<i>P</i> =0.005
Plasma membrane <sup>s</sup>	65.0 $\pm$ 8.10	105.2 $\pm$ 15.44	<i>P</i> =0.022
Cytoplasm	10.7 $\pm$ 1.39	24.7 $\pm$ 6.59	<i>P</i> =0.038

Density values are mean  $\pm$  SEM; n=number of profiles. Statistical analysis performed using two sided t-test. <sup>o</sup>In control and CEF-treated rats, the density of gold particles in MF terminals and astrocytic profiles was significantly higher than background (*P*<0.0001). <sup>\*</sup>In MF terminals of both control and treated rats, the density of membrane-associated particles was significantly higher than that of cytoplasmic particles (controls: *P*<0.0001; CEF: *P*=0.0003). <sup>+</sup>In astrocytic processes of both control and treated rats, the density of membrane-associated particles was significantly higher than that of cytoplasmic particles (*P*<0.0001). <sup>s</sup>In both control and treated rats, the density of astrocytic membrane-associated particles was significantly higher than that of MF terminals membrane-associated particles (controls: *P*=0.0006; CEF: *P*=0.005).