# **Table S1. Summary of gene expression changes in** *ess1* **mutants**

**A**

<b>Experiment</b>	# genes (>2-fold change)			
ess1 $^{\prime\prime\prime\prime\delta4R}$	(24°C)		(34°C)	
	up	down	up	down
vs. wild-type	43	117	253	378
pGAL-H164R vs. pGAL-ESS1	uninduced		induced	
			$(+100 \text{ nm } \beta\text{-estradiol})$	
	up	down	up	down
	233	409	285	75

### **B**



<sup>a</sup> Data from Ganem *et al*.,(2003) and (\*) Nedea *et al*., (2003) n.a. not available

# **Top 50 up-regulated genes** *ess1-ts* **vs. wild type**



### **Top 50 down-regulated genes** *ess1-ts* **vs. wild type**



# **Top 100 ORFs - with 3' non-coding transcripts: Differential expression (***ess1-ts* **vs. wild-type, 34°C) from +25 to +150 bp from the TSS**

## **(5,074 ORFs evaluated)**







(1) confirmed by RT-PCR and/or Northern analysis (this paper); (E-) indicates CUT specific to ess1 mutants (also highlighted in red; (\*) transcripts found between two convergent ORFs (will therefore appear more than once in Table); Potential defects in readthrough (RT), initiation (INI) or termination (TERM). Ess1-specific CUTs have been named for the adjacent ORF, using the nomenclature of Neil et al., 2009.

## **Top 100 ORFs - with 5' non-coding transcripts: Differential expression (***ess1-ts* **vs. wild-type, 34°C) from -200 to -50 bp from the TSS**

### **(4,367 ORFs evaluated)**







(1) Confirmed by RT-PCR and/or Northern analysis (this paper); (E-) indicates CUT specific to ess1 mutants (also highlighted in red); (\*\*) transcripts found between two divergent ORFs (will therefore appear more than once in Table); (‡) transcripts also identified in 3' analysis (Table S2); Potential defects in readthrough (RT), initiation (INI) or termination (TERM). Ess1-specific CUTs have been named for the adjacent ORF, using the nomenclature of Neil et al., 2009.



# **Table S4.** *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* **strains used in this study**



# **Table S5. Oligonucleotides used in this study**





 $1$  after Thompson and Parker (2007)

 $^2$ after Komarnitsky et al. (2006)

 $^3$  after Wyers et al. (2005)

### **Supplemental Figure Legends**

**Figure S1. Expression array analyses of** *ess1* **mutants**. (**A**) Scatterplots of *ess1H164R*  temperature-sensitive mutants (Wu et al., 2000) vs. wild type reveal only a small fraction of the genome is affected. Control panels (upper row) reveal a tight distribution of data points along the diagonal, as expected. Comparisons between the *ess1H164R* mutant and wild-type were done at two temperatures (24°C and 34°C), and as expected a greater spread in the distribution away from the diagonal (in yellow) is apparent at restrictive temperature (34°C). Data points above the diagonal (in red) are elevated in *ess1H164R* cells relative to wild type, while points below the diagonal (in green) are reduced in mutants. (**B**) A similar analysis was done using an *ess1 HIS3* shutoff strain (YXW138) expressing the *ess1H164R* allele from the *GAL1* promoter under control of a GAL4-estrogen receptor-VP16 fusion activator (Gemmill et al. 2005). In absence of added hormone (0  $\beta$ -estradiol), the strain produces a very low level of the Ess1(H164R) protein, whereas at 100 nM estradiol, the mutant protein is overproduced to levels supporting nearly normal growth (Gemmill et al., 2005). Cells were grown at 30°C. The control cells (YXW137) were *ess1 HIS3* with *ESS1* under control of the *GAL1* promoter as above. As expected, the number of genes affected in the absence of estradiol is greater than that with 100 nM estradiol. (**C**) Venn diagrams representing the extent of overlap in the gene sets identified in the two experiments described in A and B, above. P-values based on the hypergeometric distribution indicate a highly significant overlap. The top 50 genes in common in each category are listed in **Table S1C,D.** (**D**) Venn diagrams representing genes in common among the top upregulated genes identified by microarray analysis of *ess1H164R* mutants (Affymetrix YG98S microarray; this study) and *ssu72* mutants (printed array; Ganem et al., 2003). P-values were calculated using N=5000 genes for each (out of 6871 for *ess1H164R* and 5885 for *ssu72* mutants). (**E**) Venn diagrams representing genes in common among the top up-regulated genes identified by microarray analysis of *ess1H164R* mutants (Affymetrix YG98S microarray; this study) and *nab3- 11* (Affymetrix YG98S microarray; Arigo et al., 2006) mutants. P-values were calculated using N=5000 genes for each (out of 6871 for *ess1H164R* mutants and at least 5000 for *nab3-11*  mutants).

**Figure S2. Average differential expression** *ess1* **mutants vs. wild type.** (**A**) Readthrough at the 3' end of snoRNA genes. Average differential expression of *ess1H164*/wild type was computed by comparing tiling array data at each position within a 600 bp window encompassing +/- 300 bp from the predicted 3' end of 29 snoRNA genes. Polycistronic and snoRNA genes with convergent ORFs were excluded. (**B**) Readthrough at the 3' end of non-snoRNA genes. Average differential expression for 5,074 ORFs aligned relative to the transcription termination site (TTS) (Nagalakshmi *et al*., 2008). ORFs transcribed from opposing strands with overlapping

3' UTRs were excluded, as were ORFs affected by upstream snoRNA genes. (**C**) Increased 5' transcription in *ess1H164* cells may identify new upstream regulatory RNAs (uRNAs). Average differential expression for 4,367 ORFs aligned relative to the transcription start site (TSS) (Nagalakshmi et al., 2008). Genes with overlapping (divergent) promoters and genes downstream of snoRNA genes were excluded. Colored lines in **B** and **C** represent three independent controls of the average differential expression of 600 bp segments from 5,074 and 4,367 random locations across the genome, respectively.

**Figure S3. Tiling array data indicating readthrough of snoRNAs in** *ess1* **mutants.** Data are displayed using Integrated Genome Browser (IGB). **(A-D)** Examples of different patterns of transcription readthrough of snoRNA genes in *ess1H164R* mutants. (**A**) Readthrough continues through the downstream ORF (*USE1*). (**B**) Readthrough stops at the 5' end of *YTM1*. (**C**) Readthrough proceeds through an ORF (YPR092W) on the opposite strand. (**D**) The *SNR52*  gene is transcribed by RNA pol III (instead of pol II) and as expected, does not exhibit readthrough in *ess1* mutant cells.

**Figure S4. Average differential expression in selected regions,** *ess1* **mutants vs. wild type.** (**A**) Rank ordered average differential expression in the region +25 through +150 of 3' TTS for each gene in the processing set of Fig. S2B. This interval was chosen for analysis because it appears to be most representative of 3' differential expression in Fig. S2B. Due to missing probes, one gene did not have data in the selected region, thus data for 5,073 genes was used. Genes with the most pronounced differential expression in the region appear at the left-most portion of the plot and the majority of genes show little or no effect. The rapidly decreasing slope of the curve indicates a relatively few number of genes account for the shape of Fig. S2B and its y-axis scale. (**B**) Rank ordered average differential expression in the region -250 through -50 to 5' TSS for each gene in the processing set of Fig. S2C. This interval was chosen for analysis because it appears to be most representative of 5' differential expression in S2C. Similar to (**A**), high differential expression of genes at left-most portion of the plot shows that a relatively small number of genes account for the shape of Fig. S2C and its y-axis scale.

**Figure S5. Novel 3' and 5' sense and antisense transcripts revealed by bioinformatic analysis of tiling array data from** *ess1* **mutants.** (**A-E**) Examples of aberrant 3' transcription in *ess1H164R* mutants among genes rank ordered among the top 50 in Fig. S4A and listed in Table S2. (**F-J**) Examples of aberrant 5' transcription in *ess1H164R* mutants among genes rank ordered among the top 50 in Fig. S4B and listed in Table S3. (**A**) Probable transcription readthrough of the *SML* gene in *ess1H164R* mutants. (**B**) Example of a previously identified antisense CUT (Neil et al., 2009) and (**C**) an antisense SUT (Xu et al., 2009) that are also revealed in *ess1H164R* mutants.

(**D,E**) Examples of probable 3' antisense CUTS that are specific to *ess1H164R* mutants (i.e. not previously identified in *rrp6* $\triangle$  or other Nrd1-related mutant backgrounds). Probable 5' sense (**F,G**) and antisense (**H,I**) CUTs that are specific to *ess1H164R* mutants. (**J**) Previously identified divergent CUT (CDR050cTs2/CUT497) and SUT (CDR051cTa3-A/SUT056) transcripts (Neil et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2009) also revealed in *ess1H164R* mutants .

**Figure S6. Chromatin Ip for localization of Nrd1 and Pcf11 on CUT and ncRNA loci. (A,D,F)**  Schematics showing location of PCR products used for ChIP. (**B,D**) Overexpression of Ess1 reduces recruitment of Nrd1 to terminator regions of two snoRNA genes. (**F**) Nrd1 ChIP at a CUT locus showing increased recruitment of Nrd1 in *ess1H164R* mutant cells relative to wild type. (**G**) Pcf11 ChIp at the same locus as in B, shows decreased recruitment of Pcf11 in *ess1H164R* mutant cells relative to wild type. All ChIP data are expressed as fold increase over a chromosome V control locus. Results in this figure are consistent with a model in which Ess1 is required for release of Nrd1 from the CTD and subsequent binding of Pcf11 (see Fig. 7 in main text). Error bars are standard deviation from the mean of at least 3 biological replicates.

**Figure S7. Tiling array data identifying CUTs and uRNAs in** *ess1* **mutants.** (**A, B**) Tiling results along with other data (see text), suggest the presence of novel CUT RNAs in *ess1H164R*  mutant cells. Dotted lines represent the approximate locations of these putative CUTs. (**C-F**) Examples of genes known to be regulated by non-coding upstream regulatory RNAs (uRNAs). Tiling profiles shown here for *ess1H164R* mutant cells are consistent with upstream transcripts reading through into the downstream ORFs as in *nrd1*, *sen1*, and *nab3* mutants. (**G**) Venn diagram representing the overlap between potential CUTs identified as SAGE tags, which are upregulated in *ess1<sup>H164R</sup>* (this study), *rrp6*∆ (Wyers et al., 2005) and *nab3-11* (Arigo et al., 2006) mutants. As expected, there is some overlap between SAGE transcripts in each mutant background, consistent with a role for each of the three genes, *RRP6*, *NAB3* and *ESS1,* in CUT processing.



**Figure S1**



**Figure S2**

















### **Supplementary Experimental Procedures**

### **Plasmids**

Reporter plasmids for snoRNA terminator activity were a gift from Jeff Corden (Carroll et al., 2004) and are derivatives of pRS416 (*CEN, URA3*) that contain an *ADH* promoter, *HIS3* coding region, and *CYC1* terminator. SnoRNA terminator sequences (66bp of *Snr13* or 70bp of *Snr47*) were inserted upstream of the *HIS3* coding region. Three constructs, pAHC-416 (*ADH1-HIS3-CYC1*), pA13HC-416 (*ADH1- Snr13(66)-HIS3-CYC1*) and pA47HC-416 (*ADH-Snr47(70)-HIS3-CYC1*) were transformed in W303-1A, *ess1H164R* (YGD-ts22), 46a and 46a-*nrd1-5* strains to measure the transcription readthrough defect. The plasmid pRS424-ESS1 (2µ, *TRP1*) (Ren et al., 2005) was used to overexpress Ess1 in *nrd1-5*, *pcf11-9* and *nab3-11* mutant strains. The plasmids, pRS424-NRD1 (2µ, *TRP1*) (Steinmetz and Brow, 1998), pRS424-PCF11 (2µ, *TRP1*) and pRS424-*NAB3* (2µ, *TRP1*) plasmids were used to overexpress Nrd1, Pcf11 and Nab3, respectively, in W303-1A and *ess1H164R* mutants. Plasmid pRS415-PCF11 (Amrani et al., 1997) was obtained from S. Buratowski (Harvard Med. School), pNAB3.14 (Conrad et al., 2000) from Jeff Corden (Johns Hopkins Univ.) and pRS424 (Christianson et al., 1992) from the laboratory of Phil Heiter (U. British Columbia).

### **Northern Analysis**

For RNA preparations, cells were grown at 30ºC and harvested after a rapid temperature shift to 37ºC (by the addition of prewarmed media) for 0, 30, 60 and 180 minutes. For fractionation, 20-40 µg of total RNA were used per lane. Prehybridization and hybridization was performed at 65°C in a buffer containing 0.5 M Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 7% SDS, 1.0mM EDTA and 1% BSA.  $^{32}$ P-labeled probes were prepared from the PCR amplified genomic regions by random priming. Signal was detected using a Molecular Dynamics phosphorimager and ImageQuant software.

#### **Western Analysis**

Yeast strains were grown at 30°C with shaking in YEPD or synthetic media lacking the appropriate amino acid to an  $OD_{600}$  of 0.6. Cells were collected by centrifugation, washed with ice-cold water and resuspended in 200 ul lysis buffer [200mM Tris -HCl (pH 8.0), 320 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> SO<sub>4</sub>, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>,10 mM EDTA, 10 mM EGTA, 20% glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), protease and phosphatase inhibitors]. To make the protein extract, the same volume of glass beads was added to the yeast cell suspensions and cell suspensions were vortexed in a cell disruptor for 5 min at 4°C. After centrifugation, the supernatants were kept for immunoblot analysis. Protein concentrations were determined using a BioRad reagent.

Protein extracts (10 ug) were fractionated by SDS-PAGE (8% gels) and transfered to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore) for reaction with primary antibodies according to standard procedures. Primary antibodies were H14 (P-Ser5) and 8WG16 (hypophoshorylated-CTD) from Covance, H5 (P-Ser2) from Bethyl, rabbit-anti Ess1 (Wu et al, 2000) and rabbit anti-Nrd1

antibodies (D. Brow). Anti-tubulin antibody (Abcam) was used for a loading control. Secondary monoclonal antibodies (anti-mouse or anti-rabbit immunoglobulin, IgG) conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Amersham) were used as appropriate. Proteins were visualized using a chemiluminescence reagents (USB).

#### **Quantitative RT-PCR**

For quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (Fig. 6A), cells were grown at 25°C and shifted to 34°C for three hours prior to harvesting. RNA was prepared from three independently-grown cultures. RNA preparations were DNaseI treated prior to cDNA synthesis. All PCR primers were tested using standard reactions and examination of the products on ethidium-bromide stained gels. The Comparative  $C_T$  method (Applied Biosystems User Manual) was used for quantitation where the amount of target gene amplification is normalized to an *ACT1* internal control. The relative (fold) enrichment is calculated as follows:  $2^{AAC}$ <sub>T</sub>=  $2^{[AC}$ <sub>T</sub> (control)-∆C<sub>T</sub> (expt.)], where  $\Delta C$ <sub>T</sub>=  $C$ <sub>T</sub> (sample) -  $C$ <sub>T</sub> (control). Error bars are standard deviations from the mean log values. Sequences of oligonucleotides used for PCR are given in Table S5.

#### **Chromatin Immunoprecipitation**

Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed essentially as described (Keogh and Buratowski, 2004). 50 ml yeast cultures were grown at 30°C to mid-log phase and fixed with 11% formaldehyde. The crosslinking reaction was stopped by the addition of glycine. Cells were washed and resuspended in FA lysis buffer with protease inhibitors, lysed to isolate chromatin using glass beads (425-600µ). The chromatin was sonicated using a Sonifier 250 (Branson) to an average size of 200- 500 bp. Wild-type and *ess1H164R* chromatin was immunoprecipitated using either anti-Rpb3 (1:100 dilution; Neoclone) or anti-Nrd1 (1:100 dilution; gift from D. Brow) antibodies. The immunoprecipitates were incubated with protein G-agarose (for anti-Rpb3) or protein A-agarose (for anti-Nrd1), washed and eluted. TAP-tagged Ess1 immunoprecipitation was performed with antiprotein A, then with protein A-agarose (Sigma). The eluted supernatants and input controls were treated with proteinase K for 1 hr at 42°C and incubated at 65°C for 5 hr to reverse crosslinked protein-DNA complexes. DNA was extracted using phenol/choloroform/isoamylalcohol (25:24:1) and then with chloroform. The DNA was precipitated using 2 vol. EtOH, 1/10 vol. 3M NaOAc and 20 µg glycogen. For each antibody, the immunoprecipitated DNA fragment was isolated from at least three biological replicates. The relative proportion was then analyzed by quantitative real time PCR. For normalization across a set of samples, quantitative real time PCR values (normalized to inputs and a chromosome V control) were summed for each experiment and the sums set to the same arbitrary value for each experiment. The normalized values thus obtained for each ChIP sample were then used to obtain averages and standard deviations (Yu et al., 2006).

#### **Standard Expression Microarray Analysis**

Yeast genome YG98S expression arrays (Affymetrix) were used to analyze the expression of WT and mutant strains. Two independent RNA samples were isolated from wild-type (W303-1A) and *ess1H164R* cells after a temperature shift from 30°C to 34°C for 2 hrs. In a second experiment, RNA was prepared from an *ess1∆* strain expressing wild-type or an H164R mutant allele under control of the *GAL1* promoter. The plasmids used were pRS-315-GAL1p-WT(*ESS1*) and pRS315-GAL1p-H164R (Gemmill et al, 2005), and were under the control of a ß-estradiol-dependent GAL4-ER-VP16 activator (Louvion et al., 1993). At least two independent RNA samples were isolated from cells grown either with ß-estradiol (100nm) or without ß-estradiol (0 hormone) for 90 minutes. Sample preparation and hybridization analysis of the arrays (Affymetrix) were performed according to the manufacturer"s guidelines. Hybridization intensity, scatter plots, and change in gene expression of the annotated probesets was analyzed by Microarray Suite 5.0 software and Microsoft Excel. To identify new potential CUTs upregulated in *ess1* mutants, we analyzed the probesets that represent the non-annotated serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) open reading frames (Velculescu et al., 1997). To compare potential *ess1*-specific CUTs with previously identified CUTs from rrp6 $\triangle$  mutants (Wyers et al., 2005) and *nab3-11 ts*-mutants (Arigo et al., 2006), we used the Affymetrix Yeast S98 array data from http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/geo, accession number GSE2579 and GSE4657, respectively. All resulting analysis included only the probesets that were identified as present by the software. Comparison analysis between  $ess1^{H164R}/WT$  and *rrp6* $\triangle WTT$  and *nab3-11* was performed using Gene Spring (Agilent Technologies) and Microsoft Excel. The SAGE tags located downstream of snoRNAs that overlap with annotated ORFs were excluded from the analysis (Wyers et al., 2005; Table S3).

#### **Tiling Microarray Analysis**

RNA was isolated from three biological replicates of wild-type cells and five replicates of *ess1H164R* mutant cells following a shift from 30°C to 34°C for 2 hrs. The RNA from each sample was hybridized to Affymetrix Yeast 1.0 Tiling Array according to the manufacturer"s protocols. The data was analyzed using Tiling Analysis Software Version 1.1. The relative  $log<sub>2</sub>$  signal intensity files between *ess1H164R* and WT were visualized as graphs using Integrated Genome Browser (IGB) Version 5.12 (http://www.affymetrix.com/support/developer/tools/download\_igb.affx).

#### **Bioinformatic Data Analysis**

Affymetrix *S. cerevisiae* 1.0R tiling arrays were hybridized and intensities read with Affymetrix AGCC software. .CEL files produced by the AGCC software were analyzed with Affymetrix Tiling Array Software (TAS) v1.1.02. A two-sample TAS analysis was performed with five *ess1H164R* mutant samples as the "treatment" group and three wild-type control samples as the "control" group. The resulting .bar file will be available for download at http://www.wadsworth.org/resnres/bios/hanes.htm. Additional TAS analysis specifications were: bandwidth of 50, quantile normalization, probe-level analysis performed with both perfect match and mismatch probes, signal reported as log2, a conservative selection of a two-sided p-value and BPMAP file Sc03b\_MR\_v04.bpmap. The "scale to target intensity" option was not selected. TAS results were output to both .bar files and text files. Visual examination of TAS results was performed using Affymetrix Integrate Genome Browser (IGB) software. PERL scripts were written to read the TAS output text files and perform bioinformatics data analysis. Plots were created in the open source statistics package R.

Gene coordinates in the October 2003 release of the *S. cerevisiae* genome were used with the 1.0R tiling array data along with BPMAP file Sc03b\_MR\_v04.bpmap (Affymetrix). All ORF and snoRNA coordinates used in analysis were taken from the sgdGene and sgdOther tables, October 2003 release, downloaded from the UCSC Genome Bioinformatics web site.

Investigation revealed a 40 bp offset on chromosome 2 is present with the combination of the 1.0R tiling array chip and BPMAP file Sc03b\_MR\_v04.bpmap (confirmed by Affymetrix). Further investigation showed offsets on chromosomes 10 and 11. The offset on chromosome 2 is 40 bps and starts at approximately position 97,500 on the chromosome. The offset on chromosome 10 is 219 bp and starts at approximately chromosome position 121,500. The offset on chromosome 11 is more insidious as it gradually increases from 3 to 8 bps from roughly chromosome position 300,000 to the chromosome"s end (approximately, position 660,000). For all three chromosomes, the offset is such that the probe is actually the indicated number of bps (40, 219 or 3-8) upstream of the position stated in the signal (and p-value) text files output by TAS. The PERL scripts performed transformations on coordinates of data falling in these known regions of offsets. For chromosome 11, a simplified correction of 6 was used from position 300,000 to the chromosome"s end.

Figures S2A, B, C and Supp Figures 7A, B and C all show the **average** differential expression of a 600 bp region centered on the same relative position for a set of ORFs or snoRNAs. The centering point of the plots is coding sequence end (cdsEnd; Fig. S2A), 3" transcription termination site (TTS; Figs. S2B, S7C) or 5" transcription start site (TSS; Figs. S2C, S7A and B).

The particular set of ORFs or snoRNAs to process was determined by examining (Nagalakshmi et al., 2008) Suppl. Tables 4 or 6. ORF coordinates listed in these tables are more recent than the ORF coordinates of the October 2003 release of the *S. cerevisiae* genome (Oct. 2003 coordinates must be used with the 1.0R tiling arrays). The distance to the desired feature (cdsEnd, 5" TSS or 3" TTS, plot specific) was taken from Nagalakshmi et al. (2008) Table S4 or S6 (either "5- UTR\_length", "3"-UTR\_length", or "uORF\_length") and that value was added or subtracted to the Oct 2003 ORF start or end coordinate (taken from sgdGene and sgdOther tables downloaded from the UCSC Bioinformatics web site). This position was then transformed to take into account the offset problems (detailed above). For each ORF (or snoRNA) in the set being processed, this position become the center point from where signal data was extracted.

Differential expression signal data was extracted from the TAS signal output file +/- 300 bps around the center point. Probe spacing in the 1.0R tiling arrays is at best 4 bps and missing probes abound. When extracting the 600 bps of signal data, each of the 600 positions was recorded as

either containing data or not containing data. Once signal data was collected for all ORFs (or snoRNAs) the data was adjusted for strand orientation (all data placed 5' to 3') and centered on each ORF"s computed center point (5" TSS, 3" TTS or cdsEnd). The data sets were then collapsed by groups of 4 and each group of 4 averaged over all ORFs in the set. This left 150 total data points – 75 points on either side of the center point (ignoring the fence post issue). The collapsing by 4 addressed the fact that at best, the data would be at 4 bp intervals; if 300 bps of data is desired, the best that can be had with this tiling array is  $300 / 4 = 75$ . However, due to missing probes, each of the 150 generally, had different numbers of positions actually containing data, *i.e.*, the denominator when computing the average signal at each of the 150 positions differs for each position.

The three control lines on Figs S2A, B and C are plots of average differential expression signal data computed on N random draws of 600 bp regions of the tiling array data, where N is the number of ORFs processed in each plot, *i.e.*, 29, 5,074 and 4,367.

### **ORF or snoRNA gene sets analyzed:**

Figure S2A – Average Differential Expression at 3"-end of snoRNAs. From an initial list of 36 snoRNAs, coordinates for 7 snoRNAs were not found in the Oct 2003 UCSC downloaded sgdOther table, leaving data for 29 snoRNA genes.

Figure S2B – Average Differential Expression at 3"-end of ORFs, Genome-wide. Nagalakshmi et al. (2008) Supp. Table 4 contains 5,127 ORFs across chromosomes 1-16 that have a non-zero entry in the "3'-UTR length" column (mitochondrial ORFs were ignored). 37 ORFs were removed because they are known to be affected by snoRNA read-through; 2 ORFs were removed because they are in the vicinity of where the offset error starts on chromosome 10; 14 ORFs were removed because they were not found in the sgdGene or sgdOther tables, leaving 5,074 genes.

Figure S2C – Average Differential Expression at 5"-end of ORFs, Genome-wide. Nagalakshmi et al. (2008) Supp. Table 4 contains 4,556 ORFs across chromosomes 1-16 that have an entry in the "5"- UTR\_length" column (mitochondrial ORFs were ignored). 32 ORFs were removed because they are known to be affected by snoRNA read-through; 2 ORFs were removed because they are in the vicinity of where the offset error starts on chromosome 10; 14 ORFs were removed because they were not found in the sgdGene or sgdOther tables; 141 were removed because they are listed as "Potential\_AUG\_annotation\_error", leaving 4,367 genes.

Suppl. Figs 4A and B. For select regions in Figs. S2B and C, the average differential expression was computed for every ORF in the set. It was necessary to compute an average value, as opposed to computing the total area of the regions, due to missing probes on the chips. Signal data was collected and centered on the 3" TSS and the 5" TTS, and values were rank ordered and plotted. For Suppl. Fig. 4A, the selected region was +25 to +150 relative to the 3" TTS centering point. For Suppl.

Fig. 4B, the average differential expression was computed for each ORF in the region from -250 to - 50 relative to the 5" TSS centering point. These regions were chosen because they show the most pronounced differential expression in Figs. S2B and C. The top 100 ORFs from Suppl. Figs. 4A and 4B are listed in Tables S2 and S3.

### **Supplemental References**

Amrani, N., Minet, M., Wyers, F., Dufour, M.E., Aggerbeck, L.P., and Lacroute, F. (1997). PCF11 encodes a third protein component of yeast cleavage and polyadenylation factor I. Mol. Cell. Biol. *17*, 1102-1109.

Arigo, J.T., Eyler, D.E., Carroll, K.L., and Corden, J.L. (2006). Termination of cryptic unstable transcripts is directed by yeast RNA-binding proteins Nrd1 and Nab3. Mol. Cell *23*, 841-851.

Carroll, K.L., Pradhan, D.A., Granek, J.A., Clarke, N.D., and Corden, J.L. (2004). Identification of cis elements directing termination of yeast nonpolyadenylated snoRNA transcripts. Mol. Cell. Biol. *24*, 6241-6252.

Christianson, T.W., Sikorski, R.S., Dante, M., Shero, J.H., and Hieter, P. (1992). Multifunctional yeast high-copy-number shuttle vectors. Gene *110*, 119-122.

Conrad, N.K., Wilson, S.M., Steinmetz, E.J., Patturajan, M., Brow, D.A., Swanson, M.S., and Corden, J.L. (2000). A yeast heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein complex associated with RNA polymerase II. Genetics *154*, 557-571.

Ganem, C., Devaux, F., Torchet, C., Jacq, C., Quevillon-Cheruel, S., Labesse, G., Facca, C., and Faye, G. (2003). Ssu72 is a phosphatase essential for transcription termination of snoRNAs and specific mRNAs in yeast. EMBO J. *22*, 1588-1598.

Gemmill, T.R., Wu, X., and Hanes, S.D. (2005). Vanishingly low levels of Ess1 prolyl-isomerase activity are sufficient for growth in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. *280*, 15510-15517.

Keogh, M.C., and Buratowski, S. (2004). Using chromatin immunoprecipitation to map cotranscriptional mRNA processing in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Methods Mol. Biol. (Clifton, N.J) *257*, 1-16.

Louvion, J.F., Havaux-Copf, B., and Picard, D. (1993). Fusion of GAL4-VP16 to a steroid-binding domain provides a tool for gratuitous induction of galactose-responsive genes in yeast. Gene *131*, 129-134.

Nagalakshmi, U., Wang, Z., Waern, K., Shou, C., Raha, D., Gerstein, M., and Snyder, M. (2008). The transcriptional landscape of the yeast genome defined by RNA sequencing. Science *320*, 1344-1349.

Nedea, E., He, X., Kim, M., Pootoolal, J., Zhong, G., Canadien, V., Hughes, T., Buratowski, S., Moore, C.L., and Greenblatt, J. (2003). Organization and function of APT, a subcomplex of the yeast cleavage and polyadenylation factor involved in the formation of mRNA and small nucleolar RNA 3' ends. J. Biol. Chem. *278*, 33000-33010.

Neil, H., Malabat, C., d'Aubenton-Carafa, Y., Xu, Z., Steinmetz, L.M., and Jacquier, A. (2009). Widespread bidirectional promoters are the major source of cryptic transcripts in yeast. Nature *457*, 1038-1042.

Ren, P., Rossettini, A., Chaturvedi, V., and Hanes, S.D. (2005). The Ess1 prolyl isomerase is dispensable for growth but required for virulence in Cryptococcus neoformans. Microbiology *151*, 1593-1605.

Steinmetz, E.J., and Brow, D.A. (1998). Control of pre-mRNA accumulation by the essential yeast protein Nrd1 requires high-affinity transcript binding and a domain implicated in RNA polymerase II association. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA *95*, 6699-6704.

Thomas, B.J., and Rothstein, R. (1989). Elevated recombination rates in transcriptionally active DNA. Cell *56*, 619-630.

Velculescu, V.E., Zhang, L., Zhou, W., Vogelstein, J., Basrai, M.A., Bassett, D.E., Jr., Hieter, P., Vogelstein, B., and Kinzler, K.W. (1997). Characterization of the yeast transcriptome. Cell *88*, 243- 251.

Wu, X., Wilcox, C.B., Devasahayam, G., Hackett, R.L., Arevalo-Rodriguez, M., Cardenas, M.E., Heitman, J., and Hanes, S.D. (2000). The Ess1 prolyl isomerase is linked to chromatin remodeling complexes and the general transcription machinery. EMBO J. *19*, 3727-3738.

Wyers, F., Rougemaille, M., Badis, G., Rousselle, J.C., Dufour, M.E., Boulay, J., Regnault, B., Devaux, F., Namane, A., Seraphin, B.*, et al.* (2005). Cryptic pol II transcripts are degraded by a nuclear quality control pathway involving a new poly(A) polymerase. Cell *121*, 725-737.

Xu, Z., Wei, W., Gagneur, J., Perocchi, F., Clauder-Munster, S., Camblong, J., Guffanti, E., Stutz, F., Huber, W., and Steinmetz, L.M. (2009). Bidirectional promoters generate pervasive transcription in yeast. Nature *457*, 1033-1037.