Supplemental Tables

Gene name	Gene symbol	Probe ^a	Primers ^b
TGF-β1	Tgfb1	AGTGGCTGAACCAAGGAGACGGAA	fGACGTCACTGGAGTTGTACGG
			r GCTGAATCGAAAGCCCTGT
CTGF	Ctgf	CCTGGGAAATGCTGCAAGGAGTGG	fTTCCCGAGAAGGGTCAAGCT
			r TCCTTGGGCTCGTCACACA
Fibronectin	Fn1	CCGACGAAGAGCCCTTACAGTTCCA	fATCATTTCATGCCAACCAGTT
			r TCGCACTGGTAGAAGTTCCA
Collagen type I alpha 1	Collal	TGCTGTGCTTTCTGCCCGGA	fGTCCCAACCCCCAAAGAC
			r CATCTTCTGAGTTTGGTGATACGT
Collagen type III	Col3a1	TCCCACTCTTATTTTGGCACAGCAGTC	fTGGTTTCTTCTCACCCTTCTTC
			r TGCATCCCAATTCATCTACGT
18S rRNA	Rn18s	ACCGGCGCAAGACGGACCAG	fGCCGCTAGAGGTGAAATTCTTG
			r CATTCTTGGCAAATGCTTTCG

TABLE S1. Probe and primer sequences for qRT-PCR analysis

Sequences are listed 5' to 3'. ^aProbes were 5' end-labeled with FAM (reporter) and 3' end-labeled with TAMRA (quencher). ^bForward primers are designated by f and reverse primers by r.

TABLE S2. The plas	ma and organ concent	ration of Y-27632 in v	wild-type and cGK	I ^{+/-} mice

Genotype		Plasma	Aorta	Heart	Kidney	Lung
	n	(µmol/L)	(µmol/kg)	(µmol/kg)	(µmol/kg)	(µmol/kg)
WT	3	0.81 ± 0.13	16.59 ± 6.97	4.93 ± 0.58	11.97 ± 0.08	11.61 ± 2.05
cGK I ^{+/-}	4	0.63 ± 0.14	14.96 ± 1.61	3.57 ± 0.36^{a}	10.00 ± 1.41	7.77 ± 1.82

Values are means \pm SEM. ^aP = 0.0165 vs. WT mice.

Genotype		BW	sBP	mBP	dBP	HR
and treatment	n	(g)	(mmHg)	(mmHg)	(mmHg)	(beats/min)
WT	4	31.4 ± 1.4	109.0 ± 2.5	77.1 ± 1.9	61.1 ± 1.7	554.0 ± 13.4
WT + AII	10	27.2 ± 0.5^{a}	141.6 ± 6.7^{a}	101.9 ± 6.6^{a}	82.2 ± 6.8^{a}	522.3 ± 37.7
WT + AII + Y	5	27.3 ± 0.4^{a}	145.1 ± 2.0^{a}	105.9 ± 3.8^{a}	86.4 ± 5.0^{a}	599.3±63.9
cGK I ^{+/-}	5	31.8 ± 1.5	108.0 ± 7.8	75.1 ± 4.5	58.7 ± 3.2	539.8 ± 36.5
cGK I ^{+/-} + AII	10	$27.4\pm0.7^{\rm b}$	162.4 ± 3.1^{bc}	122.1 ± 4.1^{bc}	101.6 ± 4.9^{bc}	555.7 ± 42.4
$cGK I^{+/-} + AII + Y$	6	27.7 ± 1.1^{b}	163.8 ± 4.4^{bc}	118.9 ± 2.0^{bc}	97.2 ± 1.6^{bc}	540.5 ± 20.1

TABLE S3. The hemodynamic profile of cGK $I^{+/-}$ mice

Values are means \pm SEM. AII, angiotensin II; Y, Y-27632; BW, body weight; sBP, systolic blood pressure; mBP, mean blood pressure; dBP, diastolic blood pressure; HR, heart rate. ^aP < 0.01 vs. WT mice. ^bP < 0.01 vs. cGK I^{+/-} mice. ^cP < 0.01 vs. WT mice with AII infusion.

TABLE S4. The hemodynamic profile of RhoA-Tg and BNP-Tg mice

Genotype	n	BW	sBP	mBP	dBP	HR
		(g)	(mmHg)	(mmHg)	(mmHg)	(beats/min)
NTg	5	25.3 ± 0.4	119.8 ± 1.9	91.6 ± 2.1	77.8 ± 2.6	637.4 ± 23.3
RhoA ^{WT} -Tg	8	25.4 ± 0.7	117.2 ± 5.7	88.5 ± 4.0	74.3 ± 3.4	601.4 ± 26.4
RhoA ^{A188} -Tg	7	23.9 ± 1.6	120.3 ± 3.7	91.5 ± 2.3	77.1 ± 1.8	645.7 ± 22.3
BNP-Tg	11	25.8 ± 0.8	94.7 ± 2.3^{a}	71.9 ± 1.8^{a}	60.3 ± 1.7^{a}	544.0 ± 28.1^{a}
RhoA ^{WT} /BNP-Tg	5	25.1 ± 0.8	99.5 ± 2.0^{b}	69.4 ± 1.7^{b}	54.2 ± 1.7^{b}	527.8 ± 13.9
RhoA ^{A188} /BNP-Tg	5	25.0 ± 0.9	$88.2 \pm 3.1^{\circ}$	$66.5 \pm 1.5^{\circ}$	$55.6 \pm 1.5^{\circ}$	$523.6 \pm 51.8^{\circ}$

Values are means \pm SEM. Abbreviations are as depicted in the footnote for Table S3. ^aP < 0.05 vs. NTg. ^bP < 0.05 vs. RhoA^{WT}-Tg. ^cP < 0.01 vs. RhoA^{A188}-Tg.

Supplemental figure legends

Supplemental FIG. S1. Effect of cGK I hemizygosity on the myocardial interstitial fibrosis. Representative images (A) and the quantification (B) of Sirius Red-stained heart sections from cGK I+/– and WT mice untreated or treated with Ang II and Y-27632. Scale bar in (A), 0.1 mm. #, P < 0.001. Values are means \pm SEM of 5 to 46 replicates.

Supplemental FIG. S2. The transgene expression is confined to arterial media in RhoA-Tg mouse hearts. Immunostaining with anti-myc tag antibody of the heart section from RhoA^{A188}-Tg mice. a, artery. v, vein. Scale bar, 50 μ m.

Supplemental FIG. S3. The transgene expression and activity in the MASMCs derived from RhoA-Tg mice. (A) Immunoblotting analysis of the MASMCs whole cell lysates with anti-RhoA antibody (upper left). Densitometric quantification of the endogenous RhoA protein abundance (lower left), the myc-RhoA protein abundance (upper right), and the myc-RhoA/endogenous RhoA ratio (lower right). Values are means ± SEM of triplicates. (B) MASMCs were untreated (Pre) or treated (Post) for 5 minutes with thrombin (1 U/ml), the well established RhoA agonist, and subjected to cellular fractionation. Left panel; the protein level of endogenous RhoA and myc-RhoA in the membrane and cytosolic fractions was determined by immunoblotting analysis. Right panel; densitometric quantification of RhoA protein abundance in the membrane fraction of thrombin-stimulated cells. Values represent means of duplicates.

Supplemental FIG. S4. Transgenic expression of A188 RhoA causes exaggerated activation of ROCK, unresponsiveness to BNP, and increased Ser188 phosphorylation of endogenous RhoA. (A) Immunoblot showing the P-moesin and moesin level in the aortas of NTg and RhoA^{A188}-Tg mice untreated or treated with Ang II. (B, C) Immunoblot analysis of the aortas of NTg, RhoA^{wt}-Tg, RhoA^{A188}-Tg, BNP-Tg, RhoA^{wt}/BNP-Tg and RhoA^{A188}/BNP-Tg mice. (B) The level of P-moesin and moesin. (C) The level of P-RhoA and RhoA. (D) Immunoblot showing the level of P-VASP Ser237, P-RhoA, cGK I and ROCK in the aortas of RhoA^{wt}-Tg and RhoA^{A188}-Tg mice. (X) or triplicates (B-D). *, *P* < 0.05.

Supplemental FIG. S5. Impact of BNP and ROCK on Ang II-induced effects in RhoA-Tg/BNP-Tg-background mouse tissues. (A) The relative ROCK activity of the aortas from the indicated mouse groups untreated or treated with Ang II was determined as P-moesin/moesin ratio by immunoblotting analysis. (B) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of pro-fibrotic gene mRNA expression in the hearts of the RhoA-Tg/BNP-Tg-background NTg mice untreated or treated with Ang II and Y-27632. Values are means \pm SEM of 3 to 4 replicates. *, *P* < 0.05.

Supplemental FIG. S6. Unresponsiveness of RhoA^{A188}-Tg MASMCs to the cGMPmediated inhibition of LPA-induced actin polymerization. (A, B) Verification of the integrity of MASMC preparation by immunofluorescence of smooth muscle alpha-actin (A) and myc-tag (B). Nuclear staining was conducted with Hoechst 33258. (C) Phalloidin staining to visualize F-actin of serum-starved MASMCs without or with 2-hr pretreatment with 8-Br cGMP (0.5 mM) and 15-min stimulation with LPA (10 μ M). Scale bar, 25 μ m.
Ang II
 +
+

Y-27632
 +

WT
Image: Comparison of the second second



Α













