## **Supporting Information**

## Callahan et al. 10.1073/pnas.0902364106

## SI Text

1

## **Parameterizing Dynamics of Collinearity**

Dynamics of collinearity distribution described by Eq. 1 involves a conditional probability  $\Gamma(y|y',y'')$  that parents with collinearities y',y'' generate an offspring with y. This "transition probability" must allow an equilibrium distribution  $\rho(y)$  corresponding to random genomic order. Hence:

$$\rho(y) = \int dy' \int dy'' \rho(y') \rho(y'') \Gamma(y|y',y'').$$
 [S1]

For a sufficiently long genome,  $\rho(y)$  is well approximated by a Gaussian:

$$p(y) = \frac{e^{-\frac{ky^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\kappa^{-1}}}.$$
 [S2]

A reasonable phenomenological model for  $\Gamma$  must generate relaxation to a Gaussian equilibrium distribution while retaining some correlation between the offspring and the parents. Both effects can be captured by the following simple but nontrivial form:

$$\Gamma(y|y',y'') = \frac{e^{-\frac{\kappa[y-\alpha(y'+y'')]^2}{2(1-2\alpha^2)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\kappa^{-1}(1-2\alpha^2)}},$$
[83]

which provides a whole family of models with the free parameter  $\alpha < 1/2$  controlling the degree of parent–offspring correlation. For  $\alpha = 0$ , equilibrium is attained in a single step,  $\Gamma(y|y',y'') = \rho(y)$ , which is the case discussed in the main text. Qualitatively similar results—e.g. existence of the fixed point  $y^*$ —are obtained for any value of  $\alpha$ .

**Collinearity and the Success of Recombinant Offspring.** The contribution of collinearity to the success of recombination in creating high-fitness offspring is quantified in our paper by use of the function q(y). As presented, this function depends only on the recombinant's ("child's") collinearity. However, the likelihood of a recombination producing a high-fitness offspring is in fact a more complex mapping which depends in important ways on the parental genotypes. The function q(y) implicitly is a population average over parental genotypes conditioned on (*i*) offspring collinearity and (*ii*) offspring encoding a novel  $L^*$  pathway. To be explicit, we define the probability of a recombinant offspring to have collinearity *y* and encode a novel  $L^*$  pathway

$$\chi_*(y) = N^{-2} \sum_{g_1} \sum_{g_2} n(g_1) n(g_2) K_*(y|g_1,g_2),$$
 [S4]

where n(g) is the number of genotypes g in the population. The probability of a recombinant offspring to have collinearity y whether or not it encodes an  $L^*$  pathway is

$$\chi(y) = N^{-2} \sum_{g_1} \sum_{g_2} n(g_1) n(g_2) K(y|g_1, g_2)$$
 [S5]

$$= \int dy' \int dy'' \xi(y') \xi(y'') \Gamma(y|y',y'').$$
 [S6]

We then have  $q(y) = \chi_*(y)/\chi(y)$ .



**Fig. S1.** Distribution of lengths of modular PKS pathways in bacteria. A histogram of pathway length for the set of 38 modular PKS pathways exhibits a peak length 7, suggesting a threshold level of complexity. Data from Thattai M, Burak Y, Shraiman B (2007) The origins of specificity in polyketide synthase protein interactions. *PLoS Comput Biol* 3:e186. (Dataset S1 found at doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030186.sd001).

NAS PNAS